## Homework 2 Spring 2025

These exercises are from our textbook for the course: Graphs & Digraphs, Seventh Edition.

1. For which integers x with  $0 \le x \le 7$  is the sequence (7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, x) graphical?

**Solution:** To start, the sum of the degrees needs to be even and so it follows that x must be even. We check each case using the Havel–Hakimi theorem. In particular, it follows from the theorem that for  $s = (d_1 \ge ... \ge d_n)$  to be graphical it is necessary for  $d_1$  to be strictly less than the number of non-zero  $d_i$  in s, otherwise s' will contain a -1 and not be graphical. We have the cases:

x = 0: We get (7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0) is not graphical by our observation above. So x = 0 doesn't work.

x = 2: We get (7,6,5,4,3,2,2,1) is graphical if and only if (5,4,3,2,1,1,0) is graphical, which is graphical if and only if (3,2,1,0,0,0) is graphical, but it's not by our observation above. So x = 2 doesn't work.

x = 4: We get (7, 6, 5, 4, 4, 3, 2, 1) is graphical if and only if (5, 4, 3, 3, 2, 1, 0) is graphical, which is graphical if and only if (3, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0) is graphical, which is graphical if and only if (1, 1, 0, 0, 0) is graphical, which is the degree sequence of the graph  $G = (\{v_1, \ldots, v_5\}, \{v_1v_2\})$ . So x = 4 works.

x = 6: We get (7, 6, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1) is graphical if and only if (5, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0) is graphical, which is graphical if and only if (4, 3, 2, 1, 0) is graphical, but it's not by our observation above. So x = 6 doesn't work.

We conclude that only x = 4 makes the given sequence graphical.

2. Characterize those graphs with the property that every connected subgraph is an induced subgraph.

Solution: Let *G* be a graph. We will show that
every connected subgraph of *G* is an induced subgraph ⇔ *G* is a forest.
(⇒) If *G* contains a cycle *C* = u<sub>1</sub>...u<sub>k</sub>u<sub>1</sub> then the path *P* = u<sub>1</sub>...u<sub>k</sub> is a connected subgraph that is not an induced subgraph. Hence it is necessary for *G* to be acyclic, i.e., for *G* to be a forest.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let *H* be a connected subgraph of *G*. To show *H* is induced, let  $u, v \in V(H)$  such that  $uv \in E(G)$ . As *G* is a forest we know the edge  $uv \in E(G)$  is the unique path from *u* to *v* in *G*. Hence we must have the edge  $uv \in E(H)$  since *H* is connected, thus we conclude that *H* is induced.

3. Prove that every tree with maximal degree *k* has at least *k* leaves.

**Solution:** A tree with maximal degree two is isomorphic to a path, which has two leaves. It follows that any tree with at least two vertices has at least two leaves. Now suppose *T* is a tree with maximal degree *k* and let *v* be a vertex of degree *k* in *T*. The graph T - v is a forest with *k* components  $T_1, \ldots, T_k$  that are each trees. Any  $T_i$  with order one is a leaf in *T*, and each  $T_i$  with order at least two has at least two leaves in  $T_i$ . Perhaps one of these leaves of  $T_i$  are adjacent to *v* in *T*, but they cannot both be adjacent to *v* otherwise *T* would contain a cycle. Hence each  $T_i$  for  $1 \le i \le k$  contributes at least one leaf to *T* and so *T* has at least *k* leaves.

4. Let  $s = (d_1 \ge ... \ge d_n)$  be a sequence of non-negative integers and set  $p = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i$ . Prove that *s* is the degree sequence of a multigraph if and only if *p* is even and  $d_1 \le p/2$ .

**Solution:**  $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose G is a multigraph with degree sequence s. We know  $\sum d_i = p$  must be even by the Handshaking Lemma. Of course  $d_1 \leq |E(G)|$ , which implies  $2d_1 \leq 2|E(G)| = p$  again by the Lemma and thus  $d_1 \leq p/2$ .  $(\Leftarrow)$  We will construct a multigraph with degree sequence s by induction on the non-negative even integers p. If p = 0 then the empty graph of order n is a multigraph with degree sequence s. Now let  $p \ge 2$  and note this implies  $d_1 \geq 1$ . For our inductive hypothesis we assume there is a multigraph for any non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers that sum to any even less than *p*. Since  $d_1 \le p/2$  it follows that  $d_1 \le d_2 + \ldots + d_n$ . If we have equality here then the graph obtained by adding  $d_i$  edges between vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_i$  for  $2 \le i \le n$ is a multigraph with degree sequence s. Otherwise, since  $1 \le d_1 < d_2 + \ldots + d_n$ it follows there are at least two non-zero  $d_i$ . Let s' be a new sequence of length *n* obtained from *s* by decreasing the two smallest non-zero entries of *s* by one. Then s' is a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers that sums to p - 2, hence by our inductive hypothesis there is a multigraph G' of order n with degree sequence s'. Now let G be the graph obtained from G' by adding a single edge between the vertices corresponding to the  $d_i$  we decremented to get s' from s. The graph G is a multigraph with degree sequence s as desired.