

**MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE CORPORATION**



**AND**

**MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE ACCOUNT/BURKINA FASO**



**Environmental and Social Assessment Document Resource Series**

**Burkina Faso ESA Resources on Resettlement (OP4.12)**

**Gertrude Coulibaly and Della E. McMillan**  
*February 2010 Update*

**Table of Contents**

Preface

1.0. Document Summaries

1.1. Guidance and National Policy Context

1.2. MCC ESA Due Diligence Questions on Resettlement

1.3. Project Specific Analyses: Agricultural Development (AD) Project

1.4. Project Specific Analyses: Rural Land Governance (RLG) Project

1.5. Project Specific Analyses: Roads Project

2.0. Burkina Faso ESA Resources on Resettlement: Document Citations

3.0. Burkina Faso ESA Resources on Resettlement: Matrices Relating Resettlement Issues to Referenced Documents

3.1. All MCC/MCA Compact Projects in Burkina Faso

3.2. Agricultural Development (AD) Project

3.3. Rural Land Governance (RLG) Project

3.4. Roads Project

**Preface**

The Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) Environmental Guidelines require that compact-eligible countries review environmental and social impacts to ensure that MCC-funded projects are environmentally sound, designed to operate within applicable regulatory requirements, and (as required by the legislation establishing MCC) not likely to cause a significant environmental, health, or safety hazard. To support this process the agency requires all programs to comply with three sets of ESA guidance on environment, gender, and resettlement. MCC's Environment and Social Assessment (ESA) Due Diligence Questions are designed to assess the extent to which proposed activities are in compliance with MCC's Environmental Guidelines and to recommend

*This Document Resource Series is the result of a joint effort by the Millennium Challenge Account, Environmental and Social Assessment (ESA) Office in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, and the Millennium Challenge Corporation Environmental and Social Assessment (ESA) Office in Washington, DC. This is a living document that will be updated regularly based on new information and feedback from MCA and MCC staff and consultants and their work. Please submit comments or changes to be considered in the next update of this bibliography to Fidele Hien at [FGHien@mcaburkina.org](mailto:FGHien@mcaburkina.org) or Della McMillan at [dellamcmillan@aol.com](mailto:dellamcmillan@aol.com).*

mitigation strategies that will minimize environmental and social impacts. The full Due Diligence process includes 29 questions in six categories (Table 1).

To answer these questions for Burkina Faso, MCC fielded a series of preliminary ESA missions in collaboration with the Burkinabe government during the year preceding the signature of the Compact. A summary response to the 29 ESA questions is one of the pre-conditions to approval of a Compact.

**Table 1. Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) Due Diligence Questions**

Category	Number of Questions
A. Environmental Legal and Regulatory Structure (for the entire compact)	4
B. Environmental Screening (project by project)	4
C. Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (project by project)	6
D. Health and Safety (project by project)	3
<b>E. Resettlement (project by project)</b>	<b>9</b>
F. Gender and Underrepresented Groups	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>

Once Burkina signed the Compact (July 2008), the Burkina Millennium Challenge Account (MCA) created its own ESA unit that continues to oversee ESA for the activities it supports. This MCA ESA unit (*Direction des Evaluations Environnementales et Sociales* or DEES) works in collaboration with the MCCs ESA structures in Washington DC. The trip reports from the various MCC and MCA ESA missions and documents are the fruit of a substantial US and Burkinabe investment designed to ensure that MCC’s programs are both socially and environmentally sound.

The principal objective of the MCC/MCA/BF ESA Document Resource Series is to organize the information from the various ESA trips and activities in a way that will increase the capacity of MCC and MCA staff members and consultants to use the findings documented in these resources to improve program design, execution, and evaluation. The development of this resource tool should also help various Burkinabe government partners better understand MCC’s ESA guidance and its application to their programs.

The MCC/MCA/BF ESA Document Resource Series is organized into two formats. The first format is this PDF file entitled Burkina Faso ESA Resources on Resettlement. The second format is a download/archive of all the documents and reports that are referenced in this PDF.

- This **Burkina ESA Resources on Resettlement document** provides information on the past work on resettlement for MCC/MCA/BF ESA missions in three sections.
  - **Section 1.0:** The **Document Summaries** section provides a brief overview of the resettlement ESA activities and the resulting reports for specific Compact projects and project components in a particular compact agreement (in this case for Burkina). This section is organized into:
    - Guidance and national policy context trends and documents,
    - MCC ESA Due Diligence questions on resettlement, and
    - Project specific analyses of individual projects that were conducted during the Pre-Compact and Post-Compact Due Diligence and supervision and technical assistance missions.

- **Section 2.0:** The **Document Citation Table** provides the full citations for each report or resource, the reference number, and whether the document is available electronically and/or hard copy. The reference numbers in the Document Citations Table are linked to the actual electronic documents (when viewing this table from the original CD). The priority of the table is to group the documents by timeline and by the type of agency (i.e., MCA, governmental, or non-governmental) that did the work. Therefore, the reference numbers in the table are not in sequential order.

**Section 3.0:** The **Resettlement Matrices** cross reference critical issues to key documents summarized in section 1.0 and listed in section 2.0. The idea behind creating separate matrices is to allow users to quickly identify the resources they need to be familiar with for specific common critical issues. For example, if a contractor or partner were interested in identifying the types of issues likely to result at the building sites for the commune building component of the RLG Project, they could look at the row dealing with this in Table 3.3 (#9, Tables 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16).

- **Archive:** The second format of this bibliography tool is a compilation of the actual documents referenced in this Burkina Faso ESA Resources on Resettlement summary document. The referenced documents are available on CD. The file names are listed by the reference numbers used in Section 2.0 below.

## **1.0. Document Summaries**

### **1.1. Guidance and National Policy Context**

#### ***MCC Policy Context (OP4.12)***

MCC supports the Operational Policy (OP4.12) established by the World Bank. Since the late 1970s, OP4.12 has been the international standard that many developing countries and international organizations follow when dealing with resettlement (#1a and #1b, World Bank 2001). OP4.12 recommends strongly that when execution of a project causes involuntary displacement, the project should elaborate a Resettlement Action Plan (RAP) to help the displaced persons re-establish their livelihood systems and living standards to a level that is equal to or better than what they had before displacement. To help programs with this process, the World Bank has outlined a number of specific procedures for executing this policy and requires that a RAP be attached to the Environment and Social Impact Assessment of any project they approve (should displacement be likely to occur). A brief summary of MCC's global resettlement policy in relation to OP4.12 was prepared in January 2008 (#1c, MCC 2008).

#### ***MCA Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF)***

Burkina does not have a national policy framework on resettlement or any resettlement policy frameworks (following the OP4.12 model) for specific sectors,<sup>1</sup> other than the Resettlement Policy Framework for displacement and compensation for the transportation sector that was developed by TECSULT in 2002 (#80, TECSULT 2002).

---

<sup>1</sup> While there are many national precedents on resettlement and various sector-specific papers or directives on resettlement for these other sectors, none of the sectors have official RPFs following the model laid out in OP4.12.

Instead of developing an MCA-specific resettlement framework, MCA is sponsoring a workshop that will bring together senior staff from the government ministries and international donor agencies that have had past experience with design and execution of involuntary resettlement. MCA hopes to facilitate a national dialogue that may result in a draft resettlement policy framework for the country to which MCA can subscribe (#2.a, Hien 2008).

### ***Sector-Specific Policy Documents Relevant to Resettlement***

An initial overview of critical national policies in relation to specific compact projects (and specific sub-components of specific projects) is addressed under question two of the nine ESA questions on resettlement (#4, MCC 2008):

What are the country's related regulations and safeguard processes, and how do they compare to the World Bank's involuntary resettlement policy or other similar internationally-accepted norms?

Earlier drafts of these reviews (for the MCA projects and project sub-components) are contained in various consultant reports and are cross-referenced in the matrix for the specific projects and project sub-components to which they relate.

Gertrude Coulibaly (Resettlement Manager, MCA/BF) compiled a more complete review of the relevant sector policies in preparation for the Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) workshop that MCA/BF organized in September 2009. The workshop report (#205), which is being finalized, lists of some of the most important sector policies in place, as well as other pertinent documents from major donors (e.g., USAID and The World Bank) and donor-funded initiatives (e.g., PNGT2 Phases I and II) (#60-#76) will eventually be included in the matrix.

### **1.2. MCC ESA Due Diligence Questions on Resettlement**

Nine of the 29 MCC/MCA ESA questions (Table 1 above) focus on assessing the anticipated impact of a proposed project (as well as specific project sub-components<sup>2</sup>) on involuntary resettlement and the livelihoods of households already living in the proposed project area and what measures must be taken to re-establish households at their pre-existing or higher living standards. During the Pre-Compact ESA missions, various MCC resettlement consultants conducted research to answer these questions for each of the various sub-components of the three major projects. Based on these different reviews, MCC prepared the official response to the nine ESA questions on resettlement—for the project as a whole as well as specific sub-components—that was submitted along with the revised program proposals as part of the final Compact review (#4, MCC 2008).

### **1.3. Project Specific Analyses: Agricultural Development (AD) Project**

The Agricultural Development (AD) project has four components: water management and irrigation, rural roads, diversified agriculture, and finance services.

---

<sup>2</sup> Given the fact that each project has different components—legislation, buildings construction, activities, etc.—the resettlement analyses were conducted for specific groups of project activities (sub-components) as well as for the entire project.

### ***Water Management and Irrigation***

George Jay (supervised by Alamousa Cheick Traore, MCA/BF and Oliver Pierson, MCC) conducted the original ESA review of the irrigation component of the AD Project as part of the Roche ESA of the entire project. This included a draft review of the nine ESA questions (#66, Jay et al. 2007).

Della McMillan (with national consultants Issa Zerbo and Sidibe Belko, under the supervision of Fidele Hien, MCA/BF and Oliver Pierson, MCC) conducted the ESA review for the Kouri Irrigation Project, which was not in the original Roche review. Although the Kouri project was not funded, this document includes an extensive review of the resettlement issues raised by irrigation in the Sourou Valley (which is one of two geographical foci of the project) in relation to the national policy context, other irrigation schemes (including those under AMVS), and OP4.12 (#8, McMillan et al. 2008:11-22 and #7, McMillan 2008: 42-48).

### ***Rural Roads***

The Due Diligence on the rural roads was not conducted as part of the global ESA review by Roche (#6, 2007). The issue of Due Diligence for this sub-component was complicated by the fact that the design and location of the AD Project roads has changed repeatedly and is still not fully decided.<sup>3</sup>

### ***Diversified Agriculture***

Larry Quinn conducted the initial ESA environmental and resettlement review of the market component of the AD Project (#30 and #31, Quinn 2007). During a second review, Quinn conducted a more specific review of the resettlement issues associated with the market redevelopment sub-component (#32 and #33, Quinn 2008).

Della McMillan summarized the initial site review by Quinn (for markets) and conducted the resettlement review of other aspects of the AD Project including animal nutrition and roads (#7, McMillan 2008: 6-20).

In preparation for the resettlement studies connected with development of the irrigated perimeters at Di and execution of MCA's proposed compensation package, the MCA ESA office organized a workshop aimed at informing and building awareness of local authorities of the Boucle du Mouhoun region about MCA's social and environmental policies, including resettlement. This workshop was organized at the Di mayor's office on December 5, 2008.<sup>4</sup>

### ***Finance Services***

---

<sup>3</sup> This needs to be verified.

<sup>4</sup> A proceedings of this workshop was prepared (#201, Coulibaly 2008).

No resettlement issues were identified for this sub-component.<sup>5</sup>

### ***All AD Project Components (Resettlement)***

Based on these and various trip reports by MCC and MCA staff, Della McMillan produced a revised response to the nine questions for the three major components of the AD Project<sup>6</sup> (#7, McMillan 2007: 75-84). The final version of the comprehensive response to the nine Due Diligence questions that was submitted to the MCC Board was prepared by Fidele Hien, Larry Quinn, and Oliver Pierson based on all the relevant documents (#4, MCC 2008).

#### **1.4. Project Specific Analyses: Rural Land Governance (RLG) Project**

The initial design of the Rural Land Governance (RLG) Project involved ten *fiches* (forms)—each with a relatively distinct set of local and national partners. These ten forms (whose title and activities evolved during the Pre-Compact phase) were regrouped into three official activities:

- Activity 1: Support to the Development of Legislation, Produce Guides to Support Implementation of the New Law, and Disseminate New Legislation;
- Activity 2: Support Institutional Development and Capacity Building; and
- Activity 3: Site Specific Interventions to Improve Land Tenure and Management.

The original resettlement analysis was conducted by various MCC staff and consultants in 2007 and summarized in September 2007 (#78).

##### ***Activity 1: Legislation/Laws***

No resettlement review was conducted on these activities, which focus on legislative reforms and capacity building.

##### ***Activity 2: Institutional Development and Capacity Building***

The principal resettlement issues related to Activity 2 are those associated with the construction of the commune buildings.

- Oliver Pierson (MCC) and Larry Quinn (MCC consultant) conducted the initial ESA on the 17 pilot commune building sites in association with Alamoussa Cheick Traore (MCA/BF) using a standard checklist (#30 Quinn 2007; #31 Quinn 2007; and #5, Pierson 2007).
- One year later (just after signature of the Compact) MCC consultants Della McMillan and Issa Zerbo (in association with Fidele Hien [MCA/BF]) revisited the 17 sites to re-assess the original checklist and to facilitate completion of documentation of the land tenure status of the sites. The same mission identified several communes that were proposing alternative sites and helped complete almost all the missing documentation on

---

<sup>5</sup> Note: This needs to be verified in the official response to the nine ESA Due Diligence questions submitted to the Board.

<sup>6</sup> 1.1. **Water management and irrigation**; 1.2. **Rural roads and other infrastructure** (including livestock corridors on scheme), and 1.3. **Diversified agriculture** (communal pastures and improvements to district markets).

the land tenure status of the sites (#9, McMillan and Zerbo 2008 and #10, McMillan and Zerbo 2008).

- MCA policies toward resettlement were presented and discussed during the first stakeholders meeting with the commune mayors in October 2008 (under the direction of Fidele Hien) (#200, MCA/BF 2008).
- In connection with the first stakeholders workshop, Larry Quinn and Issa Zerbo revisited two of the communes (Kampti and Bama) that proposed alternative sites in 2008, as well as two sites slated for market interventions under the AD Project (#34, Quinn 2008).
- A second stakeholders meeting was organized with the commune mayors and general secretaries on May 19, 2009 at MCA. The objective of this meeting was to help orient the program about activities of the Rural Land Governance project, in particular the activities linked to construction of commune building complexes and the measures needed to prepare for a joint supervision mission by the land tenure team and the MCA/BF ESA office.<sup>7</sup>
- From May 25 to June 12 and July 20, MCA organized a joint mission of the RLG project and the MCA ESA office (DEES or *Direction des Evaluations Environnementales et Sociales*) in the 17 pilot communes as part of preparing for construction of the commune buildings. During this mission, Gertrude Coulibaly (resettlement manager, MCA/BF) and Gustave Konate (commune construction manager, MCA/BF) organized a series of interviews in pilot communes to better appreciate the actual state of the sites and to verify availability of the proposed sites and the resettlement needs before start-up of the environmental and architectural studies that were planned in connection with commune building construction and the renovation of selected markets. The summary report of this mission summarizes the land occupation patterns and resettlement needs at 16 of the 17 sites. The commune of Loumbila presented a new five hectare site to MCA July 20, 2009.<sup>8</sup>

### ***Activity 3: Site Specific Land Tenure Interventions***

The initial ESA review of resettlement issues associated with this sub-component of the project was conducted by Della McMillan (MCC consultant) in association with Alamooussa Cheick Traore, (MCA/BF), Oliver Pierson (MCC), and Gordon Appleby (MCC personal services contractor, specialist on resettlement). A summary of these issues in relation to Burkina's national policy framework and similar projects (e.g., the national PNGT2 project) is described in McMillan (#7, 2008: 21-35 and 36-47). The same document discusses special challenges and legal context of the resettlement issues that are likely to emerge in the following situations:

- Agro-pastoral schemes (pp. 25-28) and vulnerable groups, such as pastoralists (p. 50),
- Older irrigation schemes (pp. 25-28),
- Areas adjacent to classified forests (pp. 29-32),
- In conjunction with delineation of pastures and livestock corridors (pp. 32-33), and
- Worker housing (pp. 53-54).

---

<sup>7</sup> No proceedings summary of this meeting was prepared.

<sup>8</sup> A mission report was prepared but is not yet completed pending a return visit to Loumbila to verify the new alternative site being proposed (#204, Coulibaly and Konate 2009).

## 1.5. Project Specific Analyses: Roads Project

Gordon Appleby (#40, Sterling Merchant Finance Ltd. 2007) conducted the original Pre-Compact ESA on a portion of the roads that were finally selected for inclusion in the Roads Project and responded to all nine questions in his report. A resettlement policy framework for roads was prepared in 2002 by TECSULT for the Ministry of Infrastructure, Transport, and Housing (#80, TECSULT 2002).

After signature of the Compact, MCA facilitated a number of more detailed studies of individual roads that were retained by the project, (including the start-up of the Environmental and Social Impact Assessments and elaboration of a resettlement plan), in order to have an Environmental and Social Management Plan (PGES or *Plan de gestion environnementale et sociale*) and Resettlement Plan) before the actual construction work started.

MCA anticipates elaboration of a resettlement policy framework for all the MCA/BF projects that will be funded as part of the initial roads feasibility studies (RD2),<sup>9</sup> which might also be used by other national projects.

Between December 2008 and July 2009, the MCA resettlement manager (Gertrude Coulibaly) organized three large public information and awareness-building workshops for local authorities in areas likely to be affected by construction of new and/or rehabilitation of existing roads under the MCA Roads or AD (Agricultural Development) projects.

- The first workshop was organized for local authorities of the *Boucle du Mouhoun* region on proposed mechanisms for managing environmental and social impacts of the MCA-BF projects. This workshop was organized December 5, 2008 in the meeting room of the mayor's office in Di (Sourou province).<sup>10</sup>
- The second information and awareness building workshop, which was organized for local authorities in the Cascades region of the Haut Bassins Province, took place at Banfora on March 19, 2009. This workshop focused on involuntary displacement.<sup>11</sup>
- The third workshop, which included local authorities from the Central West and *Boucle du Mouhoun* regions, took place in Koudougou on July 10, 2009.<sup>12</sup>

In September 2009, the MCA ESA office (DEES) organized a training workshop for senior staff in the two ministries concerned by the Implementation Entity Agreement (IEA)—the Ministry of Environment and the Ministry of Infrastructure in Bobo-Dioulasso (#205, Coulibaly and Compaore 2009).

---

<sup>9</sup>The term RD refers to the different stages of road construction. For example, RD1=the road linking Dédougou and Nouna to the Mali frontier (i.e., the first group of MCA funded roads); RD2=Banfora to Sindou and secondary roads [the second group of roads funded] and RD3=Sabou- Koudougou- Dirir (i.e., the third group of roads funded).

<sup>10</sup> A proceeding of this meeting was prepared (#201, Coulibaly 2008).

<sup>11</sup> A proceeding of this meeting was prepared (#202, Coulibaly 2009).

<sup>12</sup> A proceeding of this meeting was prepared (#203, Coulibaly 2009).



## 2.0. Burkina Faso ESA Resources on Resettlement: Document Citations

Reference Number	Hard Copy=H, Electronic Version=E Inaccessible=0 MCC/W: MCC/ Washington Internet Site	Citation
1.i.a	<a href="#">E</a>	World Bank. 2001. World Bank Operational Manual. Operations Policies. OP4.12. Involuntary Resettlement. Washington DC: World Bank. December.
1.i.b	<a href="#">E</a>	French of 1.i.a
1.ii	<a href="#">E</a>	MCC. Guidance on the Implementation of Resettlement Activities. Washington DC: MCC (February 2008).
1.iii	<a href="#">E</a>	Planning for Resettlement: Best Practices
2.a	<a href="#">E</a>	Hein, Fidele. 2008. Millennium Challenge Account (MCA) – Burkina Faso (BF) Roads Project ESA Studies for the Dedougou-Nouna-Mali Border Activity: Scope of Work (SOW) for Developing a Resettlement Policy Framework for Involuntary Resettlement Ouagadougou, MCA (June 5 <sup>th</sup> draft).
2.b	<a href="#">E</a>	French 2.a
3.a	0	(forthcoming) Proceedings of RPF Workshop, expected 2009.
3.b	0	French of 3.a
4.i.a	<a href="#">E</a>	MCC. 2008. Official List of 29 MCC ESA Questions (in English)
4.i.b	<a href="#">E</a>	French of 4.i.a
4.ii	<a href="#">E</a>	MCC. 2008. Investment Memorandum: Burkina Faso. Washington DC: MCC (April 18, 2008).
5	<a href="#">E</a>	Pierson, Oliver. 2007. Burkina Faso ESA Due Diligence Mission. October 13-25, 2007. ESA Diligence of the Land Project and Participation in Ag-Hydro Interim Report Briefing. Washington, DC: Millennium Challenge Corporation/Environmental and Social Assessment.
6	<a href="#">E</a>	Roche Consulting. 2007. Hydro-Agricultural Projects. Interim Reports Due Diligence. Washington, DC: MCC/ESA (Draft Final Interim Reports: ESA Due Diligence, Lery, Dangoumana, Di, Comoé).
6.i	<a href="#">E</a>	Roche. 2007. Hydro-agricultural Projects. Due Diligence — Comoé Project. Draft Final Interim Report. Section K, Pp. 37-41 (on resettlement)
6.ii	<a href="#">E</a>	Roche. 2007. Hydro-agricultural Projects. Due Diligence—Sono-Koury. Draft Final Report. February 2008.
6.iii	<a href="#">E</a>	Roche. 2007. Hydro-agricultural Projects. Interim Report. Due Diligence. (Pp. 107-114 on Resettlement)
6.iv	<a href="#">E</a>	Roche. 2007. Hydro-agricultural Projects Interim Report. Lery.
6.v	<a href="#">E</a>	Roche. 2007. Hydro-agricultural Projects. Access and Mobility Final Report.
6.vi	<a href="#">E</a>	Roche. 2007. Hydro-agricultural Projects. Due Diligence—Di. Draft Final Report.
6.vii	<a href="#">E</a>	Roche 2007. Hydro-agricultural Projects. Due Diligence—Dangoumana.
6.viii	<a href="#">E</a>	Roche. Annexes A-E.
6.ix	<a href="#">E</a>	Roche. Annexes F-J.
66[LC1]	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>Jay, George; V. Roquet; and H. Ouédraogo. 2007 (Octobre). Réinstallation et Compensations: Conséquences du Projet et Recommandations.</i>
76	<a href="#">E</a>	Rolfes, Leonard. 2007. Land Allocation and Property Rights Issues Related to Proposed Irrigation Perimeter Development Projects in

Reference Number	Hard Copy=H, Electronic Version=E Inaccessible=0 MCC/W: MCC/ Washington Internet Site	Citation
		Burkina Faso. Washington, DC: MCC (September 2007).
77	<a href="#">E</a>	Rolfes, Leonard. 2007a. Alatona Irrigation Project: Land Allocation Design Parameters and Issues. Washington, DC: MCC. (Working Document November 12, 2007)
78	<a href="#">E</a>	MCA. 2007. Revised ESA Burkina Faso Due Diligence Questions. Draft#1, September 11, 2007. Washington, DC
7	<a href="#">E</a>	McMillan, Della E. 2008. Involuntary Settlement Review (OP4.12) of the Agricultural Development (AD) Project: Diversified Agriculture Activity and Land Tenure Project. Environmental and Social Assessment Due Diligence. Washington DC: Millennium Challenge Corporation. (Draft March 9, 2008, Revised April 25, 2008).
8	<a href="#">E</a>	McMillan, Della E., Issa Zerbo, and Belko Sidibe. 2008. Involuntary Resettlement Review (OP4.12) of the Agricultural Development (AD) Project: Kouri Irrigation Scheme. Washington DC: Millennium Challenge Corporation.
9	<a href="#">E</a>	McMillan, Della E. and Issa Zerbo. 2008. Final Environmental and Social Assessment (ESA) Site Review of the Commune Building Component for 17 Pilot Communes in the Rural Land Governance (RLG) Project. Ouagadougou: Millennium Challenge Corporation. (Draft September 29, 2008, Revised October 13, 2008 and December 23, 2008).
10	<a href="#">E</a>	McMillan, Della E. and Issa Zerbo. 2008. Final Environmental and Social Assessment Site Review of the Commune Building Component for 17 Pilot Communes in the Rural Land Governance (RLG) Project. <i>Aide mémoire</i> (Debriefing). Ouagadougou: Millennium Challenge Account.
30	<a href="#">E</a>	Quinn, Larry. 2007. Mission Report, MCC and MCA-Burkina. Burkina Faso Structures. 30 July to 10 August 2007. Washington, DC: Millennium Challenge Account/Environmental and Social Assessment. (August 24).
31	<a href="#">E</a>	Quinn, Larry. 2007. Mission Report, MCC and MCA-Burkina. Burkina Faso Commune Buildings. 30 October to 14 November 2007. Washington DC: Millennium Challenge Account/Environmental and Social Assessment (December 24).
32	<a href="#">E</a>	Quinn, Larry. 2008. Mission Report, MCC and MCA-Burkina. Burkina Faso RADP Structures and ESA Issues. 23 January to 7 February 2008. Washington DC: Millennium Challenge Account/Environmental and Social Assessment (February 18).
33	<a href="#">E</a>	Quinn, Larry. 2008. Mission Report, MCC and MCA-Burkina. Burkina Faso Commune Buildings and Market Improvements. 19 October to 3 November 2008 (November 6).
34	<a href="#">E</a>	Quinn, Larry. 2009. Mission Report, MCC and MCA-Burkina. Burkina Faso IWRM Planning. 23 March to 4 April 2009. Washington DC: Millennium Challenge Account/Environmental and Social Assessment (April 4).
40	<a href="#">E</a>	(Gordon Appleby wrote the resettlement section) Sterling Merchant Finance Ltd. 2007. Due Diligence of Burkina Faso Roads Proposals. Final Report. Part I-Due Diligence Results. Washington DC: Sterling Merchant Finance Ltd. (Internal Report)

Reference Number	Hard Copy=H, Electronic Version=E Inaccessible=0 MCC/W: MCC/ Washington Internet Site	Citation
		(November) Chapter x (Pp. x-y).
41	H	Elbow, Kent. 2007. Technical Directive #LTPR00. Land Tenure and Property Rights. Washington DC: MCC <b>June 16, 2007</b> (brief discussion of resettlement rights of persons displaced by irrigation).
<b>Relevant Government Policy and Project Documents</b>		
60	H	<i>Burkina/President du Faso. 2005. Decret No. 2005.-227/PRES. Promulguant la loi no. 055-2004/AN du 21 décembre 2004 portant code général6/ des collectivités territoriales au Burkina Faso. Ouagadougou. 14 Avril.</i>
61	H	<i>Burkina Faso. 1993. Textes Portant Réorganisation Agraire et Foncière. Loi No. 014/96/ADP du 23 Mai 96. Decret 97-054/PRES/PM/MEF du 06 Février 97. Ouagadougou. Novembre.</i>
62	H	<i>Coulibaly, Siaka and Jean Paul Sawadogo. 2002. Le PNGT: Une Expérience Dynamique dans la Lutte Contre la Pauvreté. Ouagadougou: MAHRH and PNGT2. Washington DC: ERM.</i>
63	<a href="#">E</a>	Environmental Resources Management (ERM). 2003. Environmental and Social Management Framework: Community-Based Rural Development. Washington DC: ERM.
64	H	Environmental Resources Management (ERM). 2003. Process Framework: Community-Based Rural Development. Washington DC: ERM.
65	H	Environmental Resources Management (ERM). 2003. Resettlement Policy Framework: Community-Based Rural Development. Washington DC: ERM.
67	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>MAHRH (Ministère de l'agriculture, de l'hydraulique et des ressources halieutiques). 2008. Avant-projet de Loi Relatif à La Sécurisation Foncière en Milieu Rural. Ouagadougou : MAHRH for PNGT2 (Mars).</i>
68	H	<i>MEDEV (Ministère de l'économie et du développement). n.d. Comment élaborer un plan communal de développement (PCD). Guide méthodologique de planification locale. Ouagadougou : MEDEV réalisé par la DGAT/DLR avec l'appui financier du PNGT/PACCI.</i>
69.i	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>Ministre de l'Administration Territoriale et de la Décentralisation. 2007. Fonds Permanent pour le Développement des Collectivités Territoriales (FPDCT). Projet de Code de Financement. FPDCT. Manuel de Procédures: Techniques, Administratives, Financières et Comptables (Version finale des consultants). Ouagadougou: Ministère de l'administration territoriale et de la décentralisation (26 Mai). (COMPLEMENT OF 75)</i>
69.ii	H	<i>FPDCT. Projet de Règlement Intérieur, version finale des consultants</i>
75	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>FPDCT. Version finale des consultants.</i>
70	H	<i>Ministère de l'Environnement et du Cadre de Vie. 2007. Etat d'anthropisation des forêts classées du Burkina Faso. Ouagadougou : Ministère de l'environnement e du Cadre de Vie, Direction Générale de la conservation de la nature. Mars 2007.</i>
71	H	<i>Ministère des Ressources Animales. 2001. Cahier des Charges</i>

Reference Number	Hard Copy=H, Electronic Version=E Inaccessible=0 MCC/W: MCC/ Washington Internet Site	Citation
		<i>spécifiques de la zone pastoral aménagée de la Nouahao. Ouagadougou : MVVN, Coopération Italie, Burkina Faso. Octobre.</i>
72	H	<i>Ouédrago, Moussa. 2007. La Communalisation en Milieu Rural: Les Elus Locaux Puvent-ils Relever le Défi? Ouagadougou: L'Harmattan.</i>
73.a	<a href="#">E</a>	Ouedrago, Moussa. 2003. New Stakeholders and the Promotion of Agro-Silvo-Pastoral Activities in Burkina Faso: False Start or Inexperience? IIED (International Institute for Environment and Development). Drylands Programme. Issue Paper no. 118. London: IIED. <a href="http://www.iied.org">www.iied.org</a> .
73.b	<a href="#">E</a>	French of 73.a : <i>Ouedraogo, Moussa. 2003. Les Nouveaux Acteurs et la Promotion des Activités Agro-Sylvo-Pastorales dans le Sud du Burkina Faso : Faux départ ou inexpérience ? Ouagadougou : Group d'action Interantino Institute et de Recherche Environmental sur le Foncier et Developpement (GRAF) ou IEED (Janvier 2003).</i>
76.i	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>PNGT2. 2007. Comparaison entre les Procédures Nationales et les Procédures de la Banque Mondiale. Ouagadougou : PNGT2. (Unpublished Manuscript).</i>
76.ii	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>Serf, S. 2006. Cadre de Gestion Environnementale et Sociale. Version amendee. Ouagadougou : PNGT2.</i>
76.iii	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>Audit Environnemental et Social du PNG2. Rapport Definitif. Ouagadougou : PNGT (Septembre 2006).</i>
76.iv	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>Serf.S. 2006.Cadre Politique de Reinstallation. Version amendee. Ouagougou : PNGT2. (Octobre 2006).</i>
76.v	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>(no author). Methodologie de securisation foncier des sites d'investissements communautaires.</i>
76.vi	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>Kabou, C.T. Capitalisastion des experiences desecurisation foncier en milieu rural du Burkina faso dans le secteur du faune (NOTE TITLE SHEET IS MISSING).</i>
76.vii	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>Sanou, Saidou, Brahima Z. Ouattara, and Fidele Toe. 2004. Capitalisation des experiences de securisation foncier en milieu rural du Burkain Faso dans le secteur des amenegeements hydro-agricoles. Cas des plaines de Banon, de Nine-Dinoele, et de l'AMV-Sourou. Ouagougou : MAHRH (pour le PNGT2). Novembre 2004.</i>
76.viii	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>Kagone, Dr. Ir Hanade. Capitalisation des experiences de securisation foncier en milieu rural du Burkina Faso. Experiences dans le secteurs de l'elevage. Rapport finale. Ouagadougou : PNGT2.</i>
76.x	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>Termes de Reference pour la mise a jour des Cadre de Gestion E nvironnmentale et Sociale et le Plan Cadre de Reinstallation du PNGT, Phase 2. Ouagaodougou : PNGT2. (Juin 2006).</i>
74	H	<i>Urbanisme [Ministère de].2006. Code de l'urbanisme et de la construction au Burkina Faso. Loi No. 017-2006/AN du 18 mai 2008. Edition Novembre. 2006.</i>
80	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>TECSULT. 2002. Cadre de Politique de Deplacements et de Compensations. [TRANSPORT SECTOR] Rapport Final. Quebec : TECSULT pour Ministere des infrastructures des transports et de</i>

Reference Number	Hard Copy=H, Electronic Version=E Inaccessible=0 MCC/W: MCC/ Washington Internet Site	Citation
		<i>l'habitat (Novembre 2002).</i>
81	<a href="#">E</a>	Comments (memo) on the Draft Design of the Samendeni Dam Project from the World Bank (Islamique Development Bank to BERA/STUDI)
82	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>MAHRH. 2006. Plan Cadre de Recasement/Reinstallation. Rapport Final. Ouagadougou: Bureau Geographique du Burkina Etudes Recherches, Appuis—Conseils et Formation.</i>
83	H	MCC. n.d. Burkina Faso Roads Project: Feasibility Study, Environmental Assessment, Final Design and Construction Supervision. Terms of Reference. Revision 8 (August 2008).
<b>Other Non-Governmental Social and Demographic Studies in the Projected Areas for Intervention</b>		
100	H	McMillan, Della E. 1995. Sahel Visions: Planned Settlement and River Blindness Control in Burkina Faso. Tucson: University of Arizona Press.
101	<a href="#">E</a>	McMillan, Della E., Thomas Painter, and Thayer Scudder. 1992. Settlement and Development in the River Blindness Control Zone. World Bank Technical Paper 192. Washington DC: The World Bank.
102	<a href="#">E</a>	McMillan, Della E., Jean-Baptiste Nana, and Kimseyinga Savadogo. 1993. Settlement and Development in the River Blindness Control Zone; Case Study Burkina Faso. World Bank Technical Paper Number 200. Washington DC: The World Bank.
<b>Proceedings of relevant stakeholder meetings</b>		
200	H	MCA. 2008. Proceedings: 1 <sup>st</sup> Meeting with the Mayors of the Pilot Communes to Discuss MCC and MCA's Resettlement Guidelines and the Global Project. Ouagadougou: MCA (October 2008).
201	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>Coulibaly, Gertrude. 2008. Compte rendu de l'atelier d'information et de sensibilisation des autorités locales de la Boucle du Mouhoun sur les enjeux de la gestion des impacts environnementaux et sociaux des projets du MCA-BF. DI le 05 Décembre 2008. Ouagadougou : MCA.</i>
202	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>Coulibaly, Gertrude. 2009. Compte rendu de l'atelier d'information et de sensibilisation des autorités locales des Régions des Cascades et des Hauts Bassin sur le déplacement involontaire des populations dans le cadre du programme du MCA BF tenu à Banfora le 19 Mars 2009. Ouagadougou : MCA.</i>
203	<a href="#">E</a>	<i>Coulibaly, Gertrude 2009. Compte rendu de l'atelier d'information et de sensibilisation des autorités locales des Régions du Centre Ouest et de la Boucle du Mouhoun sur le déplacement involontaire des populations dans le cadre du programme du MCA BF tenu à Koudougou le 10 Juillet 2009. Ouagadougou : MCA.</i>
204	Draft in process	<i>Gustave Konaté et Gertrude Coulibaly 2009, Rapport de mission. 17 communes.</i>
205	Draft in process	<i>Coulibaly, Gertrude et Fanta R. Compaore. 2009. Compte rendu de l'atelier de renforcement des capacité des cadres du Ministère de l'Environnement et du Cadre de vie et du Ministère des infrastructures et du Désenclavement sur les évaluations Environnementales et Sociales dans le cadre des projets du MCA-BF tenu du 02 au 04 Septembre à Bobo-Dioulasso (en cours de rédaction) Ouagadougou : MCA.</i>



### 3.0. Burkina Faso ESA Resources on Resettlement: Matrices Relating Resettlement Issues to Referenced Documents

Four matrixes are included in this section:

**Matrix 3.1** identifies the resources and documents that cover specific issues and answer questions related to resettlement for all MCC/MCA Burkina Faso Compact projects (AD, RLG, and Roads) in general (such as general guidance documents on resettlement).

**Matrix 3.2** identifies the resources and documents that cover specific issues and answer questions related to resettlement (OP4.12) for all the components of the Agricultural Development Project and those that only discuss specific components of the AD Project (i.e., water resource development, diversified agriculture, and financial services).

**Matrix 3.3** identifies the resources and documents that cover specific issues and answer questions related to OP4.12 for all the components of the Rural Land Governance Project in general and those for each of the specific components of the RLG Project (i.e., Activity 1, Activity 2, and Activity 3).

**Matrix 3.4** identifies the resources and documents that cover issues and answer questions related to resettlement (OP4.12) in connection with the Roads Project in general, as well as specific road segments being built or rehabilitated by that project.

#### 3.1. Matrix Relating Resettlement Issues to Referenced Documents for All MCC/MCA Compact Projects in Burkina Faso

Key Issues and Questions on Resettlement	Documents/ Resources that Apply/Address All Compact Projects	Pages
OP4.12/MCC Resettlement Guidance	#1.a & #1.b	
MCA Burkina Faso—RFP (Resettlement Policy Framework)	#2.a & #2.b	
Relevant National Policy Documents—Actual Documents	#60	
	#61	
	#62	
	#63	
	#64	
	#65	
	#66	
	#67	
	#68	
	#69	
	#70	
	#71	
	#72	
	#73	
#74		
Relevant National Policy Documents—Document Review Summaries	#7	
	#8	
	#74	

<b>Key Issues and Questions on Resettlement</b>	<b>Documents/ Resources that Apply/Address All Compact Projects</b>	<b>Pages</b>
	#63	
	#64	



**3.2. Matrix Relating Resettlement Issues to Referenced Documents for the Agricultural Development (AD) Project as a Whole and to each of the AD Project Components**

Key Issues and Questions on Resettlement	Entire AD Project			Water Mg. & Irrigation Component			Rural Roads Component			Diversified Ag Component			Finance Services Component		
	Ref#	Pages	E/H <sup>13</sup>	Ref#	Pages	E/H	Ref#	Pages	E/H	Ref#	Pages	E/H	Ref#	Pages	E/H
National Policy Context	8	11-12,28-29													
National Policy Context (in relation to OP4.12)	8	11-23													
National Sector Specific Policies															
Precedents (for compensation)	8	8-10, 23-24, 30-32, 39-44													
<b>Consultation</b>															
Local people															
Local authorities															
<b>ESA Methods</b>															
Methods used (general)	6.i-6.ix; 7, 66			8	8-35					32,33					
--Sourou															
--Comoe															
--Kouri (added later)															
ESA resettlement Due Diligence questions on project (draft and final)				8	8-34 <sup>14</sup>										
<b>Critical Issues to Consider in EMP (Environmental Management Plan)</b>															
Spontaneous immigration to site (related to construction activities)				7	53-54,18										
Access to irrigated parcels				6.i	53-54										

<sup>13</sup> E/H=Electronic/hard copy available at MCA.

<sup>14</sup> Kouri only.

Key Issues and Questions on Resettlement	Entire AD Project			Water Mg. & Irrigation Component			Rural Roads Component			Diversified Ag Component			Finance Services Component		
	Ref #	Pages	E/H <sup>13</sup>	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H
“ “				67											
“ “				68 <sup>15</sup>											
Livestock corridors/grazing/international transit zones															
Producer organization capacity (role in facilitating understanding of and management of settlement issues)				7	15 <sup>16</sup>										
Markets							7	8-17, 13, 19-20		8	8-34, 45				
“ “										7	9-13				
“ “										32					
“ “										33					
<b>Critical Issues/Costs RAPs</b>															
Sites likely to need RAPs	8														
” “	66														
Relocation support				7	51-53, Table 4.3 44-45										
Settler social policies				7	36-48, 51-53, Table										

<sup>15</sup> Comparisons with MCC projects in Mali.

<sup>16</sup> For livestock producer groups.

<sup>17</sup> Settlement issues related to the impact of road construction/rehabilitation on markets and markets on road construction/rehabilitation.

Key Issues and Questions on Resettlement	Entire AD Project			Water Mg. & Irrigation Component			Rural Roads Component			Diversified Ag Component			Finance Services Component		
	Ref #	Pages	E/H <sup>13</sup>	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H
					4.3										
“ “				8	11-23,28-29										
Cost estimates and methods for calculating costs															
--General agricultural areas				8	8-10, 23-24,30 32,39-44 <sup>18</sup>										
--Market renovation										7	9-11 <sup>19</sup>				
--Livestock grazing areas				7	6-8, 28, 50										
--Livestock transit areas				7	32-33,50										
Pasture/transit				7	6-9, 14-7,50										
“ “				8	10, 24-25										
“ “				6a	56-57 <sup>20</sup>										
Potential interface with RLG commune level land use planning (to strengthen commune organizational capacity)				7	32										
				8	30-										

<sup>18</sup> General displacement of settlements and cultivated areas.

<sup>19</sup> Markets only.

<sup>20</sup> Comoe only.

Key Issues and Questions on Resettlement	Entire AD Project			Water Mg. & Irrigation Component			Rural Roads Component			Diversified Ag Component			Finance Services Component		
	Ref #	Pages	E/H <sup>13</sup>	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H
					31										
				8	Vi,1, 24-25										
<b>Gender Issues</b>															
Potential positive and negative impacts	8	26-28													
“ “	7	48-50,51													
“ “	6.i	54-58													
Mitigation options															
<b>Issues to consider in SOW for contractors</b>															
Base camps	7	53-54													
Projected waves of spontaneous and sponsored (i.e., through the construction firms) worker immigration to construction sites and proposed mitigation measures through collaboration with the communes (with support from the RLG Project)	7	53-54,18													
Factors driving worker resettlement in the Comoe and the Sourou and proposed mitigation measures through collaboration with communes (with support from the RLG Project)	7	53-54,18													

Key Issues and Questions on Resettlement	Entire AD Project			Water Mg. & Irrigation Component			Rural Roads Component			Diversified Ag Component			Finance Services Component		
	Ref #	Pages	E/H <sup>13</sup>	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H
Worker housing and infrastructure	7	53-54													
Worker and settler health issues	8	35-36													
Water infrastructure for workers	8	36													
Worker catering/food supply	7	53													

### 3.3. Matrix Relating Resettlement Issues to Referenced Documents for the RLG Project as a Whole and to Each of the RLG Project Components

Key Issues and Questions on Resettlement	Entire RLG Project			Activity 1: Legislation			Activity 2: Commune Buildings			Activity 3: Site Specific Interventions		
	Ref #	Pages	E/H <sup>21</sup>	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H
<b>National Policy Context</b>							17	36-47		7	39-42	
“ “										63		
“ “										64		
“ “										74		
<b>National Policy Context—in Relation to OP4.12</b>							7	23-24		7	24-33	
<b>Precedents (for compensation)</b>							9	36-37,44		7	39-42	
<b>Consultation</b>												
Local people												
Local Authorities												
<b>ESA Methods (Phase I pilot communes and Phase II extension)</b>												
General methods										7	2	
“ “										7	61-74	
Checklists 1 & 2 for specific sites (commune buildings only)							30					
“ “							31					
“ “							5					
[LC2]“ “							9	6-9, Annex I				
Sites that checklist review revealed as needing additional review due to land tenure status or resettlement issues							9	10, Annex 6				
Recommendations for MCC and MCA Due Diligence checklists Phase II extension to remaining communes (30) (commune buildings only)							9	77-80				
Follow up mission to review proposed site changes							34					
MCA ESA Due Diligence questions on resettlement for this component							69					
“ “							17	61-74				
“ “							4					

<sup>21</sup> E/H=Electronic/hard copy.

Key Issues and Questions on Resettlement	Entire RLG Project			Activity 1: Legislation			Activity 2: Commune Buildings			Activity 3: Site Specific Interventions		
	Ref #	Pages	E/H <sup>21</sup>	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H
History of resettlement ESA missions for a sub-component							9	6-7				
<b>Land Tenure Status and Documentation of Land Tenure (reclassification status)</b>												
Steps needed to reclassify <i>non-loti</i> as far as zoned <i>loti</i> for administrative purposes and progress toward reclassification (commune buildings)							9	16,70-71				
Process for completing documentation required by MCC and MCA and current (December 2008) status of MCC and MCA required documentation (commune buildings)							9	10-11,67-68				
Reclassification of community land ( <i>securisation du foncier</i> )—experiences/lessons learned							9	10,67,Annex 2				
<b>Review of Proposed Site Changes</b>												
Justification for site changes in pilot communes							9	Table 9, Table 10, 35, Table 12, Table 13, Table 15, Table 16				
How conflict over site selection was managed by GOBF and MCA							9	52-53				
<b>Critical Issues/Costs RAPs</b>												
Critical components to consider in RAPs for the 7 pilot commune building (out of 17) sites identified as likely to need RAPs							9	Table 9 (Bama), Table 10 (Kampti), p 35 (Sono), Table 12 (Di), Table 13 (Ouarguaye), Table 15 (Loumbila), Table 16 (Kongoussi)				
--Commune buildings												
--Displaced agriculturalists										8	50	

Key Issues and Questions on Resettlement	Entire RLG Project			Activity 1: Legislation			Activity 2: Commune Buildings			Activity 3: Site Specific Interventions		
	Ref #	Pages	E/H <sup>21</sup>	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H
--Displaced herders										7	50	
--Areas adjacent to classified forests										7	29-32	
										70		
--Old irrigation schemes										7	25-29	
--Old agro-pastoral zones										7	25-29	
--Special problems of land use zoning in areas with large commercial farmers										112		
										113		
Key land use issues likely to affect resettlement and settlement in communes covered by the RLG project										8	85-87	
Sample resettlement costs (by commune) for RAPs at the 7 pilot commune building sites (out of 17) identified as likely to need RAPs							9	Same as critical components above				
Different methods for calculating compensation										8		
<b>Gender Issues</b>												
Potential positive and negative impacts							9	72-75, 20-21, 29, 35-36, 40, 46-47, 56-57,63		7	48-49	
Mitigation options												
<b>Issues to Consider in Scopes of Work (SOW) for Contractors</b>												
Catering services for construction workers							9	72-76				
Special zoning to reserve some of the adjacent commercial spots for women merchants							9	72-76				



### 3.4. Matrix Relating Resettlement Issues to Referenced Documents for the Roads Project as a Whole and to Each of the Specific Road Segments

Key Issues and Questions on Resettlement	Entire Roads Project			Specific Road Segments											
	Ref #	Pages	E/H <sup>22</sup>	TBD			TBD			TBD			TBD		
				Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H	Ref #	Pages	E/H
<b>National Policy Context</b>															
National Policy Context	40														
National Policy Context—in Relation to OP4.12	40														
Precedents (for compensation)	40														
<b>Consultation</b>															
Local People															
Authorities															
<b>ESA Methods for RAP Assessment</b>															
Initial ESA review	40														
Additional reviews	40														
<b>Critical Issues/Costs RAPs</b>															
Housing	40														
Commercial buildings	40														
Cultivation areas	40														
Pasture															
Different methods for calculating compensation	40														
Cost estimates	40														
<b>Gender Issues</b>															
Potential positive and negative impacts	40														
Mitigation options	40														
<b>Issues to Consider in Scopes of Work (SOWs) for Contractors</b>															
Base camps															
Catering															
Worker infrastructure															

<sup>22</sup> E/H=Electronic/hard copy.

**MCA/MCC ESA Document Resource Series**

**Managing Editors:** Della McMillan, Fidele Hien, and Rob Clausen

**Archivist:** Tim Burke and Peter Zara

**Editing and Production:** Leah Cohen

For comments or to add material to the series please contact the MCA or MCC ESA offices  
([fhien@mcaburkina.org](mailto:fhien@mcaburkina.org) or [dellamcmillan@aol.com](mailto:dellamcmillan@aol.com))