<u>Chapter 4</u>: Countability and separation axioms e.g. first cambole e.g. Hausdorff When can a given space be embedded in a metric space or a compact Hausdorff space? Munkres' goal: Urysohn metrization theorem, which says a second countable regular space is metrizable. countable basis × A second goal: A compact manifold can be embedded C R4 in some Finite-dimensional Euclidean space. Klein bottle

Section 30: The countability axioms

Def A space X has a <u>countable basis at $x \in X$ </u> if \exists a countable collection of nbhds $\{B_n \ni x_n^2\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ such that for each nbhd $U \ni x$, \exists some n with $x \in B_n \subset U$.

Space X is first countable if it has a countable basis at each xEX

 E_X A metric space (X,d) is first countable: Consider $\{B_{Y_n}(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$

We previously saw the following theorem with (=) for metric spaces:

 $\frac{\text{Thm}}{(a)} \times a \text{ topological space.}$ (a) $A \in X$. \exists sequence $(a_n) \in A$ with $u_n \to x \Rightarrow x \in \overline{A}$ (and (\Leftarrow) if X first countable). (b) $f: X \to Y$. f continuous $\Rightarrow \forall$ sequences $x_n \to x$, $f(x_n) \to f(x)$ (and (\Leftarrow) if X first countable).

Def Space X is second countable if it has a countable basis.

Rmk Second countable spaces are first countable.

$$\frac{E \times R}{R^{n}} \frac{\{(a,b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\}}{\{(a_{1},b) \times ... \times (a_{n},b_{n}) \mid a_{i}, b \in \mathbb{R}\}}$$

$$\frac{R^{W}}{R^{W}} \quad \text{Similarly}$$

<u>PF</u> Quick.

 ∞ Def AcX is dense if $\overline{A} = X$ R EX QCR is dense $\mathbb{Q}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is dense A non-compact (locally compact Hausdorff) space is dense in its one-point compact: fication.

I hm For X second countable, (a) Every open cover has a countable subcover (Lindelöf property) (b) I countable dense subset of X (separable property).

Kink The three are equivalent if X metrizable.

Pf Let {Bn3 be a countable basis for X.

(a) U open cover of X. For each n, if I UEU with Br CU, choose one such Un. This gives a countable subcollection \$Un3, of U. To see this subcollection covers X, note if $x \in X$ then XEU for some UEU. So x E B. CU.



(b) $\forall n \text{ choose } x_n \in B_n.$ Let $D = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$. For any $x \in X$, note any basic open B_n intersects D; hence $x \in \overline{D}$ and $X = \overline{D}$. U

Section 31: Separation axioms



Ex Recall \mathbb{R}_{K} is \mathbb{R} with basis $\{(a,b), (a,b)-K\}$, where $K = \xi - \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\}$. (We've added enough open sets so that K is closed.) IRK is Hausdorff: Use open intervals.

 \mathbb{R}_{K} is not regular: Consider O and $O \notin K \subset \mathbb{R}_{K}$. Can show any open sets about O and K intersect.

Later, we'll see a space that is regular but not normal.



Thm Subspaces and products of Hausdorff spaces are Hausdorff. Subspaces and products of regular spaces are regular. (The same is not true for normal spaces.) Ex IRe (lower limit topology) has basis: § (a,b), [a,b)}. Re is normal. Indeed, let A, B C Re be disjoint. Va EA, since a 4 B=B, I open [a, a + En] disjoint from B. , 3 open [b, b+ 2b) disjoint from A. YBEB Then U [a, a+Ea) > A and U [b, b+Eb) > B are disjoint opens.

Hence IRe is regular. By the above theorem, the Sorgenfrey plane $(R_e)^2$ is regular. But $(R_e)^2$ is not normal.

Indeed, $L = \{(x, -x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ is closed in \mathbb{R}^2 , hence closed in $(\mathbb{R}e)^2$.



Section 32: Normal spaces
The Every metrizable space
$$(X, d)$$
 is normal.
PE Metric space X Hauslorff \Rightarrow one-part sets are closel.
Let A, B c X be disjoint closed subsets.
Va $\in A$ \exists $B_{2a}(a)$ disjoint from B
(else a is a limit point of B and hence in B)
Vb $\in B$ \exists $B_{5b}(b)$ disjoint from A.
Let $U = \bigcup_{a \in A} B_{2a/2}(a)$ and $V = \bigcup_{b \in B} B_{5b/2}(b)$
These open sets containing A, B are disjoint since if $z \in U \cap V$,
then $\exists a \in A$ and $b \in B$ with $z \in B_{2a/2}(a) \cap B_{5b/2}(b)$.
WLOG let $z_a \leq z_b$.
We'd have $d(a,b) \leq d(a,z) + d(z,b) \leq za/2 + z_b/2 \leq z_b$, a contradiction.

Thm Every compact Hausdorff space X is normal. .41 <u>Pf</u> Hausdorff \Rightarrow one-point sets closed. • yz Vy2 164. Recall our proof that compact subsets of a Hausdorff space are closed: given Y compact and x & Y, • 93 Uyz we found disjoint opens U=x and V=Y. V43 Let A, B C X be closed and disjoint. B closed and X compact \Rightarrow B compact (and some for A). Hence VaEA I disjoint open sets Ua=a, Va=B. ZUaz covers the compact set A => finite subcover {Uai }..... Vaz Note U=Ua, v... v Uan and V=Va, n... n Van are disjoint opens containing A and B. Va.

Section 33: The Urysohn Lemma Thm (Urysohn lemma) Let X be a normal space, A, B disjoint closed subsets, and [a,b] c R (a < b). Then \exists continuous $f: X \rightarrow [a,b]$ with f(x)=a $\forall x \in A$ and f(x)=b $\forall x \in B$. Pf It suffices to consider the case [a,b] = [0,1]. Úy; Order the countable set Q^[0,1] starting with 1,0. - Let $U_1 = X - B$ (open). U1/2 Apply normality to get Uo open with A clocuocu. U2/3 B Continue inductively, obtaining open sets Up $\forall p \in \mathbb{Q}^{n}[0, 1]$ satisfying $p < q \Rightarrow Up \in Uq$. U1=X-B

(For example, when constructing $U_{2/5}$, apply normality to get $U_{2/5}$ open (with $U_{1/3} \subset U_{2/5} \subset U_{2/5} \subset U_{1/2}$. For $p \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (-\infty, 0)$, define $U_p = \phi$. For $p \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (1, \infty)$, define $U_p = X$. Now, define $S: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ by $f(x) = \inf \{ p \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x \in U_p \}$. If $x \in A$, then $x \in U_p$ $\forall p \ge 0$, so f(x) = 0 as required. If $x \in B$ then $x \notin U_p \forall p \in [1, so f(x) = 1]$ as required.

We now check f is continuous. Given $x_0 \in X$ and open $(c,d) \ni f(x_0)$, pick $p, g \in Q$ with c . $We claim <math>U_q - \overline{U_p}$ is an open neighborhood about x_0 with $f(U_q - \overline{U_p}) c(c,d)$. Note $f(x_0) < q \Rightarrow x_0 \in U_q$ and $f(x_0) > p \Rightarrow x_0 \notin U_s$ for some $s > p \Rightarrow f(x_0) \notin \overline{U_p}$. So $x_0 \in U_q - \overline{U_p}$. Similar arguments show $f(U_q - \overline{U_p}) c(c,d)$, as desired.

