

Effects of Exercise on Anxiety Behaviors in Zebrafish

Sydney Fochler ¹, John Leri ², & Cairsty DePasquale ¹

1: Pennsylvania State University – Altoona, Department of Biology 2: Pennsylvania State University – Altoona, Department of Psychology



Background

- Exercise has been shown to improve cognitive function and decrease anxietylike behaviors in humans and mammalian models of human research (Trejo et al., 2008).
- Zebrafish (Danio rerio) are a widely used animal model in neurobehavioral research, and exercise has been shown to have beneficial effects on learning in these fish (Luchiari et d., 2013).
- ➢ However, the impact of exercise on anxiety-like behaviors has yet to be explored in zebrafish

Research Questions

- Do the effects of exercise in humans and mammalian models transfer to other model vertebrates?
- How does aerobic exercise affect zebrafish behavior in a test of anxiety?

Methods

- ➢ Fish were randomly placed into two groups. Both groups were placed in a swim tunnel five days per week, for one hour, over six weeks.
 - Exercise: Fish aerobically challenged (water velocity range: 0.5-5 m/s; n = 25).
 - Control: Fish freely moving in little to no flow (water velocity range: 0-0.5 m/s; n = 26).
- Flow measurements were taken at front, middle, and back of each channel (exercise P¹ = 3.66, P² = 1.98, P³ = .77; control P¹ = .17, P² = 0, P³ = 0).
- After six weeks, fish were individually tested in a novel tank diving test for anxiety-like behaviors (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1: The novel tank diving test. Consisted of a plain tank surrounded by black plastic on three sides. An acetate grid was used to evaluate zebrafish swimming activity, with Black three vertical zones (bottom, middle, Plastic and top). Trials lasted 5 minutes. Variables analyzed included: total time spent in bottom; total time spent in top; rate of movement; latency to enter top; number of entries into top; overage time spent in top per entry.

Results

- > Across the full 5 minute trial, there was no difference between treatments in the amount of time fish spent in the bottom of the tank ($F_{(1,48)}$ = 3.59, p = 0.06; Fig. 2).
- > However, control fish spent significantly more time in the bottom of the tank during the first three minutes of observation ($F_{(2, 48)} = 5.85, p = 0.02$; Fig. 2).



Fig. 2: Time spent in the bottom of the tank during each minute of the nove diving tank test. Mean \pm s.e.m.

- > Exercised fish moved into the top of the tank sooner than control fish ($F_{(1,41)} = 7.51$, p = 0.004; Fig. 3), and overall spent more total time there ($F_{(1,41)} = 15.95$, p = 0.01; Fig. 4).
- There were no differences between treatments in rate of movement (F_(1,41) = 0.02, p = 0.97), number of top entries (F_(1,41) = 0.34, p = 0.59), or average top entry duration (F_(1,41) = 1.47, p = 0.29).



Fig. 3. Differences between exercise and control fish in the time to first enter into the top of the tank. Mean \pm s.e.m.



to hippocampal neurogenesis. Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience, 37, 402-411. .uchiara, A., & Chacon, D. (2013). Physical exercise improves learning in zebrafish, Danio rerio. Behavioural Processes, 100, 44-47.

Acknowledgements

We thank Jennifer Sturgill for help with fish husbandry and maintenance. This work was supported by the Pennsylvania State University. IACUC No. 44578.