

LECTURE - (29)

Agenda:

- ① Uniformly most powerful tests
- ② Example

In the previous lecture, we learnt the Neyman-Pearson lemma. It is a very strong and interesting result which says that for testing a simple null hypothesis v.s. a simple alternative hypothesis there exists a test which has the highest power among all tests with level α . Moreover, this test has rejection region of the form $\left\{ \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\theta_A)} \leq k \right\}$ where k is determined by

the restriction that the ~~test~~ level is α .

We now ask the following question. If we want to test

$$H_0: \underbrace{\theta = \theta_0}_{\text{SIMPLE NULL}} \quad \text{v.s.} \quad H_A: \underbrace{\theta > \theta_0}_{\text{COMPOSITE ALTERNATIVE}},$$

is ~~there~~ a result similar to the Neyman-Pearson lemma available for this situation? More precisely, is there

a testing procedure which maximizes $\text{Power}(\theta_A)$
FOR EVERY $\theta_A > \theta_0$ among all ~~test~~ testing procedures
with level $-\alpha$?

IN GENERAL, THE ANSWER IS NO.

However, for a large class of examples,
we can use the Neyman-Pearson lemma to
obtain such a procedure. Here is an example
to illustrate these ideas.

EXAMPLE: Suppose Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n is a random sample
from a Normal $(\mu, 1)$ population. Suppose we
wish to test $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ v.s. $H_A: \mu > \mu_0$.

Consider the simpler problem of testing

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \text{ v.s. } H'_A: \mu = \mu_A,$$

where $\mu_A > \mu_0$ is arbitrarily fixed. The
Neyman-Pearson lemma tells us that the
most powerful level- α test has a rejection
region given by

$$\left\{ \frac{L(\theta = \mu_0)}{L(\mu_A)} \leq k \right\}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}L(\mu_0) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_{Y_i}(y_i | \mu_0) \\&= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - \mu_0)^2}{2}} \\&= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^n} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_0)^2}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}L(\mu_A) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_{Y_i}(y_i | \mu_A) \\&= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^n} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_A)^2}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{L(\mu_0)}{L(\mu_A)} \leq k \iff \frac{\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^n} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_0)^2}{2}}}{\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^n} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_A)^2}{2}}} \leq k$$

$$\iff e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_A)^2 \right\}} \leq k$$

$$\iff -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_A)^2 \right\} \leq \log k$$

$$\iff \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i^2 - 2\mu_0 y_i + \mu_0^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i^2 - 2\mu_A y_i) \geq -2 \log k$$

Hence,

$$\frac{L(\mu_0)}{L(\mu_A)} \leq k \iff 2(\mu_A - \mu_0) \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + n\mu_0^2 - n\mu_A^2 \geq -2 \log k$$

$$\iff 2n(\mu_A - \mu_0) \bar{y} \geq -2 \log k - n\mu_0^2 + n\mu_A^2$$

$$\iff \bar{y} \geq \underbrace{\frac{-2 \log k - n\mu_0^2 + n\mu_A^2}{2n(\mu_A - \mu_0)}}_{k'}$$

Hence, as expected, the rejection region looks like $\{\bar{y} > k'\}$, i.e., the null hypothesis will be rejected for large values of \bar{y} . Since the level is α ,

$$P(\mu_0 \text{ is rejected} \mid \mu_0 \text{ is true}) = \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\bar{Y} \geq k' \mid \mu = \mu_0) = \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\sqrt{n}(\bar{Y} - \mu_0) \geq \sqrt{n}(k' - \mu_0) \mid \mu = \mu_0) = \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\text{Normal}(0,1) \leq \sqrt{n}(k' - \mu_0)) = 1 - \alpha$$

(\therefore Note that \bar{Y} is Normal $(\mu_0, \frac{1}{n})$, and

hence $\sqrt{n}(\bar{Y} - \mu_0)$ is Normal $(0, 1)$).

Hence, $\sqrt{n}(k' - \mu_0)$ HAS TO BE THE $(1 - \alpha)^{\text{th}}$ QUANTILE OF A NORMAL $(0, 1)$ RANDOM VARIABLE, i.e.,

$$\sqrt{n}(k' - \mu_0) = z_{1-\alpha}$$

Hence,

$$k^* = \mu_0 + \frac{z_{1-\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Hence, the most powerful level- α test for testing $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ v.s. $H_A: \mu = \mu_A$ has a rejection region of the form

$$\left\{ \bar{Y} \geq \mu_0 + \frac{z_{1-\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}$$

NOTE THAT THE REJECTION REGION IS COMPLETELY INDEPENDENT OF μ_A , I.E., FOR ANY $\mu_A > \mu_0$, WE OBTAIN THE SAME REJECTION REGION.

Returning to testing the hypothesis $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ v.s. $H_A: \mu > \mu_0$, the level- α test with rejection region

$$\left\{ \bar{Y} \geq \mu_0 + \frac{z_{1-\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}$$

has the highest power for every specific alternative $\mu_A > \mu_0$. SUCH A TEST IS CALLED THE UNIFORMLY MOST POWERFUL LEVEL- α TEST FOR TESTING

$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ v.s. $H_A: \mu > \mu_0$.

There is a wide class of examples, where the most powerful ~~level~~ level- α tests are the same for every possible alternative $\theta_A > \theta_0$. In such a case, this test is known as the uniformly most powerful test for testing $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ v.s. $H_A: \theta_A > \theta_0$.

REMARK 1: A similar conclusion holds for testing

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \quad \text{v.s.} \quad H_A: \mu < \mu_0$$

in the case of the Normal (μ, σ^2) population.
The \bar{Y} test with rejection region

$$\left\{ \bar{Y} \leq \mu_0 - \frac{z_{1-\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}$$

is the uniformly most powerful level- α test for testing $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ v.s. $H_A: \mu < \mu_0$.

REMARK 2: There does not exist any uniformly most powerful \bar{Y} test for testing $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ v.s. $H_A: \mu \neq \mu_0$.

In general, in most instances, there do not exist uniformly most powerful tests for two-sided alternative hypotheses of the form $H_A: \theta \neq \theta_0$.