

Finite-dimensional approximations on the bidisc

Raphaël Clouâtre

University of Manitoba

Operator Theory with its Applications
August 2020

Based on joint work in progress with Adam Dor On.

The disc algebra

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)|, \quad f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

The disc algebra

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)|, \quad f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

$$A(\mathbb{D}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z]}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty}$$

The disc algebra

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)|, \quad f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

$$A(\mathbb{D}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z]}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

The disc algebra

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)|, \quad f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

$$A(\mathbb{D}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z]}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

This is an infinite-dimensional operator algebra, but it is completely determined by its finite-dimensional representations.

The disc algebra

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)|, \quad f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

$$A(\mathbb{D}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z]}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

This is an infinite-dimensional operator algebra, but it is completely determined by its finite-dimensional representations.

For $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, let $\varepsilon_z : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the character of evaluation at z , that is

$$\varepsilon_z(f) = f(z), \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

The disc algebra

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)|, \quad f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

$$A(\mathbb{D}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z]}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

This is an infinite-dimensional operator algebra, but it is completely determined by its finite-dimensional representations.

For $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, let $\varepsilon_z : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the character of evaluation at z , that is

$$\varepsilon_z(f) = f(z), \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

Then,

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |\varepsilon_z(f)|, \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

The disc algebra

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)|, \quad f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

$$A(\mathbb{D}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z]}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

This is an infinite-dimensional operator algebra, but it is completely determined by its finite-dimensional representations.

For $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, let $\varepsilon_z : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the character of evaluation at z , that is

$$\varepsilon_z(f) = f(z), \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

Then,

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |\varepsilon_z(f)|, \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

In other words, the map

$$\bigoplus_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \varepsilon_z : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \mathbb{C}$$

is a completely isometric homomorphism.

The disc algebra

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)|, \quad f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

$$A(\mathbb{D}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z]}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

This is an infinite-dimensional operator algebra, but it is completely determined by its finite-dimensional representations.

For $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, let $\varepsilon_z : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the character of evaluation at z , that is

$$\varepsilon_z(f) = f(z), \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

Then,

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |\varepsilon_z(f)|, \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

In other words, the map

$$\bigoplus_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \varepsilon_z : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \mathbb{C}$$

is a completely isometric homomorphism. This says that $A(\mathbb{D})$ is *residually finite-dimensional* (RFD).

The disc algebra

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)|, \quad f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

$$A(\mathbb{D}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z]}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

This is an infinite-dimensional operator algebra, but it is completely determined by its finite-dimensional representations.

For $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, let $\varepsilon_z : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the character of evaluation at z , that is

$$\varepsilon_z(f) = f(z), \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

Then,

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |\varepsilon_z(f)|, \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

In other words, the map

$$\bigoplus_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \varepsilon_z : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \mathbb{C}$$

is a completely isometric homomorphism. This says that $A(\mathbb{D})$ is *residually finite-dimensional* (RFD).

The disc algebra

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)|, \quad f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

$$A(\mathbb{D}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z]}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

This is an infinite-dimensional operator algebra, but it is completely determined by its finite-dimensional representations.

For $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, let $\varepsilon_z : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow M_{n_z}(\mathbb{C})$ be the character of evaluation at z , that is

$$\varepsilon_z(f) = f(z), \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

Then,

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |\varepsilon_z(f)|, \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

In other words, the map

$$\bigoplus_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \varepsilon_z : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} M_{n_z}(\mathbb{C})$$

is a completely isometric homomorphism. This says that $A(\mathbb{D})$ is *residually finite-dimensional* (RFD).

The disc algebra

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)|, \quad f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

$$A(\mathbb{D}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z]}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

This is an infinite-dimensional operator algebra, but it is completely determined by its finite-dimensional representations.

For $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, let $\varepsilon_z : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the character of evaluation at z , that is

$$\varepsilon_z(f) = f(z), \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

Then,

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |\varepsilon_z(f)|, \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

In other words, the map

$$\bigoplus_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \varepsilon_z : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \mathbb{C}$$

is a completely isometric homomorphism. This says that $A(\mathbb{D})$ is *residually finite-dimensional* (RFD).

The disc algebra

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)|, \quad f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

$$A(\mathbb{D}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z]}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$$

This is an infinite-dimensional operator algebra, but it is completely determined by its finite-dimensional representations.

For $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, let $\varepsilon_z : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the character of evaluation at z , that is

$$\varepsilon_z(f) = f(z), \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

Then,

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |\varepsilon_z(f)|, \quad f \in A(\mathbb{D}).$$

In other words, the map

$$\bigoplus_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \varepsilon_z : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \mathbb{C}$$

is a completely isometric homomorphism. This says that $A(\mathbb{D})$ is *residually finite-dimensional* (RFD).

Just how much finite-dimensionality does $A(\mathbb{D})$ enjoy?

Some associated C^* -algebras

Example

By definition, we have that $A(\mathbb{D}) \subset C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. In fact, we have that $C^*(A(\mathbb{D})) = C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. Moreover, $\bigoplus_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \varepsilon_z : C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \mathbb{C}$ is a completely isometric homomorphism.

Some associated C^* -algebras

Example

By definition, we have that $A(\mathbb{D}) \subset C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. In fact, we have that $C^*(A(\mathbb{D})) = C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. Moreover, $\bigoplus_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \varepsilon_z : C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \mathbb{C}$ is a completely isometric homomorphism.

Example

By the maximum modulus principle, the restriction map $\rho : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T})$ is completely isometric. We have that $C^*(\rho(A(\mathbb{D}))) = C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\bigoplus_{z \in \mathbb{T}} \varepsilon_z : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \mathbb{T}} \mathbb{C}$ is a completely isometric homomorphism.

Some associated C^* -algebras

Example

By definition, we have that $A(\mathbb{D}) \subset C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. In fact, we have that $C^*(A(\mathbb{D})) = C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. Moreover, $\bigoplus_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \varepsilon_z : C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \mathbb{C}$ is a completely isometric homomorphism.

Example

By the maximum modulus principle, the restriction map $\rho : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T})$ is completely isometric. We have that $C^*(\rho(A(\mathbb{D}))) = C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\bigoplus_{z \in \mathbb{T}} \varepsilon_z : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \prod_{z \in \mathbb{T}} \mathbb{C}$ is a completely isometric homomorphism.

Example

Consider the Hardy space

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $\Phi : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow B(H^2(\mathbb{D}))$ be defined as $\Phi(f) = M_f$. Then, $\mathfrak{T} = C^*(\Phi(A(\mathbb{D})))$ is the *Toeplitz algebra* and it is **not** RFD (it contains the ideal of compact operators).

The maximal C^* -algebra

Let \mathcal{R} denote the collection of *all* completely contractive homomorphisms $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_θ .

The maximal C^* -algebra

Let \mathcal{R} denote the collection of *all* completely contractive homomorphisms $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_θ .

The map $\Theta = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathcal{R}} \theta$ is a completely isometric homomorphism.

The maximal C^* -algebra

Let \mathcal{R} denote the collection of *all* completely contractive homomorphisms $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_θ .

The map $\Theta = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathcal{R}} \theta$ is a completely isometric homomorphism.

We define $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D})) = C^*(\Theta(A(\mathbb{D})))$.

The maximal C^* -algebra

Let \mathcal{R} denote the collection of *all* completely contractive homomorphisms $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_θ .

The map $\Theta = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathcal{R}} \theta$ is a completely isometric homomorphism.

We define $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D})) = C^*(\Theta(A(\mathbb{D})))$. This is a universal C^* -algebra: every representation of $A(\mathbb{D})$ extends to a $*$ -representation of $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$.

The maximal C^* -algebra

Let \mathcal{R} denote the collection of *all* completely contractive homomorphisms $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_θ .

The map $\Theta = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathcal{R}} \theta$ is a completely isometric homomorphism.

We define $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D})) = C^*(\Theta(A(\mathbb{D})))$. This is a universal C^* -algebra: every representation of $A(\mathbb{D})$ extends to a $*$ -representation of $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$.

Is $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$ residually finite-dimensional?

The maximal C^* -algebra

Let \mathcal{R} denote the collection of *all* completely contractive homomorphisms $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_θ .

The map $\Theta = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathcal{R}} \theta$ is a completely isometric homomorphism.

We define $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D})) = C^*(\Theta(A(\mathbb{D})))$. This is a universal C^* -algebra: every representation of $A(\mathbb{D})$ extends to a $*$ -representation of $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$.

Is $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$ residually finite-dimensional? Yes!

Theorem

The disc algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$ is residually finite-dimensional, and so is its maximal C^ -algebra $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$.*

The maximal C^* -algebra

Let \mathcal{R} denote the collection of *all* completely contractive homomorphisms $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_θ .

The map $\Theta = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathcal{R}} \theta$ is a completely isometric homomorphism.

We define $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D})) = C^*(\Theta(A(\mathbb{D})))$. This is a universal C^* -algebra: every representation of $A(\mathbb{D})$ extends to a $*$ -representation of $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$.

Is $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$ residually finite-dimensional? Yes!

Theorem

The disc algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$ is residually finite-dimensional, and so is its maximal C^ -algebra $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$.*

Proof.

Ingredients:

- universality of $A(\mathbb{D})$ (von Neumann's inequality)

The maximal C^* -algebra

Let \mathcal{R} denote the collection of *all* completely contractive homomorphisms $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_θ .

The map $\Theta = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathcal{R}} \theta$ is a completely isometric homomorphism.

We define $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D})) = C^*(\Theta(A(\mathbb{D})))$. This is a universal C^* -algebra: every representation of $A(\mathbb{D})$ extends to a $*$ -representation of $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$.

Is $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$ residually finite-dimensional? Yes!

Theorem

The disc algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$ is residually finite-dimensional, and so is its maximal C^ -algebra $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$.*

Proof.

Ingredients:

- universality of $A(\mathbb{D})$ (von Neumann's inequality)
- if T is a contraction on \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a subspace, then $P_{\mathcal{K}}T|_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a contraction.



Residual finite-dimensionality of the maximal C^* -algebra

Theorem

The disc algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$ is residually finite-dimensional, and so is its maximal C^ -algebra $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$.*

Residual finite-dimensionality of the maximal C^* -algebra

Theorem

The disc algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$ is residually finite-dimensional, and so is its maximal C^ -algebra $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$.*

Main question

The bidisc algebra $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is residually finite-dimensional. Is $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}^2))$ residually finite-dimensional as well?

Residual finite-dimensionality of the maximal C^* -algebra

Theorem

The disc algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$ is residually finite-dimensional, and so is its maximal C^ -algebra $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$.*

Main question

The bidisc algebra $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is residually finite-dimensional. Is $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}^2))$ residually finite-dimensional as well?

By von Neumann's inequality, $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$ coincides with the universal C^* -algebra generated by a contraction.

Residual finite-dimensionality of the maximal C^* -algebra

Theorem

The disc algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$ is residually finite-dimensional, and so is its maximal C^ -algebra $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$.*

Main question

The bidisc algebra $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is residually finite-dimensional. Is $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}^2))$ residually finite-dimensional as well?

By von Neumann's inequality, $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$ coincides with the universal C^* -algebra generated by a contraction.

By Ando's inequality, $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}^2))$ coincides with the universal C^* -algebra generated by a pair of commuting contractions.

Residual finite-dimensionality of the maximal C^* -algebra

Theorem

The disc algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$ is residually finite-dimensional, and so is its maximal C^ -algebra $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$.*

Main question

The bidisc algebra $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is residually finite-dimensional. Is $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}^2))$ residually finite-dimensional as well?

By von Neumann's inequality, $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$ coincides with the universal C^* -algebra generated by a contraction.

By Ando's inequality, $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}^2))$ coincides with the universal C^* -algebra generated by a pair of commuting contractions.

Theorem (Courtney–Sherman 2019, Ji–Natarajan–Vidick–Wright–Yuen 2020)

The universal C^ -algebra generated by a pair of **doubly commuting** contractions is not residually finite-dimensional.*

Residual finite-dimensionality of the maximal C^* -algebra

Theorem

The disc algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$ is residually finite-dimensional, and so is its maximal C^ -algebra $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$.*

Main question

The bidisc algebra $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is residually finite-dimensional. Is $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}^2))$ residually finite-dimensional as well?

By von Neumann's inequality, $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}))$ coincides with the universal C^* -algebra generated by a contraction.

By Ando's inequality, $C_{\max}^*(A(\mathbb{D}^2))$ coincides with the universal C^* -algebra generated by a pair of commuting contractions.

Theorem (Courtney–Sherman 2019, Ji–Natarajan–Vidick–Wright–Yuen 2020)

The universal C^ -algebra generated by a pair of **doubly commuting** contractions is not residually finite-dimensional.*

Recall: contractions T_1 and T_2 are doubly commuting if $T_1T_2 = T_2T_1$ and $T_1T_2^* = T_2^*T_1$

Approximation by finite-dimensional representations

Let \mathcal{A} be an operator algebra and let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be a completely contractive homomorphism.

Approximation by finite-dimensional representations

Let \mathcal{A} be an operator algebra and let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be a completely contractive homomorphism.

Let $\varphi_\lambda : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be a net of completely contractive homomorphisms (acting on the **same** Hilbert space as θ) such that $\dim C^*(\varphi_\lambda(\mathcal{A})) < \infty$.

Approximation by finite-dimensional representations

Let \mathcal{A} be an operator algebra and let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be a completely contractive homomorphism.

Let $\varphi_\lambda : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be a net of completely contractive homomorphisms (acting on the **same** Hilbert space as θ) such that $\dim C^*(\varphi_\lambda(\mathcal{A})) < \infty$.

We say that (φ_λ) is

- (i) an *Exel–Loring approximation for θ* if $(\varphi_\lambda(a))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges in SOT to $\theta(a)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$;

Approximation by finite-dimensional representations

Let \mathcal{A} be an operator algebra and let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be a completely contractive homomorphism.

Let $\varphi_\lambda : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be a net of completely contractive homomorphisms (acting on the **same** Hilbert space as θ) such that $\dim C^*(\varphi_\lambda(\mathcal{A})) < \infty$.

We say that (φ_λ) is

- (i) an *Exel–Loring approximation for θ* if $(\varphi_\lambda(a))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges in SOT to $\theta(a)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (ii) an *Exel–Loring $*$ -approximation for θ* if $(\varphi_\lambda(a)^*)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges in SOT to $\theta(a)^*$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$;

Approximation by finite-dimensional representations

Let \mathcal{A} be an operator algebra and let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be a completely contractive homomorphism.

Let $\varphi_\lambda : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be a net of completely contractive homomorphisms (acting on the **same** Hilbert space as θ) such that $\dim C^*(\varphi_\lambda(\mathcal{A})) < \infty$.

We say that (φ_λ) is

- (i) an *Exel–Loring approximation for θ* if $(\varphi_\lambda(a))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges in SOT to $\theta(a)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (ii) an *Exel–Loring $*$ -approximation for θ* if $(\varphi_\lambda(a)^*)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges in SOT to $\theta(a)^*$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (iii) an *Exel–Loring C^* -approximation for θ* if $(\varphi_\lambda(a))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges in SOT* to $\theta(a)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Approximation by finite-dimensional representations

Let \mathcal{A} be an operator algebra and let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be a completely contractive homomorphism.

Let $\varphi_\lambda : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be a net of completely contractive homomorphisms (acting on the **same** Hilbert space as θ) such that $\dim C^*(\varphi_\lambda(\mathcal{A})) < \infty$.

We say that (φ_λ) is

- (i) an *Exel–Loring approximation for θ* if $(\varphi_\lambda(a))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges in SOT to $\theta(a)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (ii) an *Exel–Loring $*$ -approximation for θ* if $(\varphi_\lambda(a)^*)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges in SOT to $\theta(a)^*$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (iii) an *Exel–Loring C^* -approximation for θ* if $(\varphi_\lambda(a))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges in SOT* to $\theta(a)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem (Exel–Loring 1992)

A C^ -algebra is residually finite-dimensional if and only if every $*$ -representation admits an Exel–Loring approximation (of any kind).*

Some implications

Theorem (C.–Dor On 2020)

Let \mathcal{A} be an operator algebra. Then, we have the following implications.

$C_{\max}^(\mathcal{A})$ is residually finite-dimensional.*



Every completely contractive representation of \mathcal{A} admits an Exel–Loring C^ -approximation.*



Every completely contractive representation of \mathcal{A} admits an Exel–Loring $$ -approximation.*



\mathcal{A} is residually finite-dimensional.

Some implications

Theorem (C.–Dor On 2020)

Let \mathcal{A} be an operator algebra. Then, we have the following implications.

$C_{\max}^(\mathcal{A})$ is residually finite-dimensional.*



Every completely contractive representation of \mathcal{A} admits an Exel–Loring C^ -approximation.*



Every completely contractive representation of \mathcal{A} admits an Exel–Loring $$ -approximation.*



\mathcal{A} is residually finite-dimensional.

Corollary

Every completely contractive representation of $A(\mathbb{D})$ admits an Exel–Loring C^ -approximation.*

Some implications

Theorem (C.–Dor On 2020)

Let \mathcal{A} be an operator algebra. Then, we have the following implications.

$C_{\max}^*(\mathcal{A})$ is residually finite-dimensional.



Every completely contractive representation of \mathcal{A} admits an Exel–Loring C^ -approximation.*



Every completely contractive representation of \mathcal{A} admits an Exel–Loring $$ -approximation.*



\mathcal{A} is residually finite-dimensional.

Corollary

Every completely contractive representation of $A(\mathbb{D})$ admits an Exel–Loring C^ -approximation.*

Refined question

Does every completely contractive representation of $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$ admit an Exel–Loring $*$ -approximation?

Examples of Exel–Loring approximations

Example

\mathcal{H} reproducing kernel Hilbert space on some set X , $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$ subalgebra
 $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ identity representation

Examples of Exel–Loring approximations

Example

\mathcal{H} reproducing kernel Hilbert space on some set X , $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$ subalgebra
 $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ identity representation

$F \subset X$ finite, $\mathcal{K}_F = \text{span}\{k_x : x \in F\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ finite-dimensional subspace

Examples of Exel–Loring approximations

Example

\mathcal{H} reproducing kernel Hilbert space on some set X , $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$ subalgebra
 $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ identity representation

$F \subset X$ finite, $\mathcal{K}_F = \text{span}\{k_x : x \in F\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ finite-dimensional subspace
 $M_\varphi^* \mathcal{K}_F \subset \mathcal{K}_F$ for every $\varphi \in \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$

Examples of Exel–Loring approximations

Example

\mathcal{H} reproducing kernel Hilbert space on some set X , $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$ subalgebra
 $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ identity representation

$F \subset X$ finite, $\mathcal{K}_F = \text{span}\{k_x : x \in F\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ finite-dimensional subspace
 $M_\varphi^* \mathcal{K}_F \subset \mathcal{K}_F$ for every $\varphi \in \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$

Define $\theta_F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ as

$$\theta_F(a) = P_{\mathcal{K}_F} \theta(a) P_{\mathcal{K}_F}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Because $\cup_F \mathcal{K}_F$ is dense in \mathcal{H} , this net is an Exel–Loring C^* -approximation for θ .

Examples of Exel–Loring approximations

Example

\mathcal{H} reproducing kernel Hilbert space on some set X , $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$ subalgebra
 $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ identity representation

$F \subset X$ finite, $\mathcal{K}_F = \text{span}\{k_x : x \in F\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ finite-dimensional subspace
 $M_\varphi^* \mathcal{K}_F \subset \mathcal{K}_F$ for every $\varphi \in \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$

Define $\theta_F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ as

$$\theta_F(a) = P_{\mathcal{K}_F} \theta(a) P_{\mathcal{K}_F}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Because $\cup_F \mathcal{K}_F$ is dense in \mathcal{H} , this net is an Exel–Loring C^* -approximation for θ .

Example

$\mathcal{K}_\varphi = H^2(\mathbb{D}) \ominus \varphi H^2(\mathbb{D})$ where $\varphi(z) = \exp\left(\frac{z+1}{z-1}\right)$
 \mathcal{K}_φ infinite-dimensional and co-invariant for $A(\mathbb{D})$

Examples of Exel–Loring approximations

Example

\mathcal{H} reproducing kernel Hilbert space on some set X , $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$ subalgebra
 $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ identity representation

$F \subset X$ finite, $\mathcal{K}_F = \text{span}\{k_x : x \in F\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ finite-dimensional subspace
 $M_\varphi^* \mathcal{K}_F \subset \mathcal{K}_F$ for every $\varphi \in \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$

Define $\theta_F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ as

$$\theta_F(a) = P_{\mathcal{K}_F} \theta(a) P_{\mathcal{K}_F}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Because $\cup_F \mathcal{K}_F$ is dense in \mathcal{H} , this net is an Exel–Loring C^* -approximation for θ .

Example

$\mathcal{K}_\varphi = H^2(\mathbb{D}) \ominus \varphi H^2(\mathbb{D})$ where $\varphi(z) = \exp\left(\frac{z+1}{z-1}\right)$
 \mathcal{K}_φ infinite-dimensional and co-invariant for $A(\mathbb{D})$

The compression $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}_\varphi)$ admits an Exel–Loring C^* -approximation.

Examples of Exel–Loring approximations

Example

\mathcal{H} reproducing kernel Hilbert space on some set X , $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$ subalgebra
 $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ identity representation

$F \subset X$ finite, $\mathcal{K}_F = \text{span}\{k_x : x \in F\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ finite-dimensional subspace
 $M_\varphi^* \mathcal{K}_F \subset \mathcal{K}_F$ for every $\varphi \in \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$

Define $\theta_F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ as

$$\theta_F(a) = P_{\mathcal{K}_F} \theta(a) P_{\mathcal{K}_F}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Because $\cup_F \mathcal{K}_F$ is dense in \mathcal{H} , this net is an Exel–Loring C^* -approximation for θ .

Example

$\mathcal{K}_\varphi = H^2(\mathbb{D}) \ominus \varphi H^2(\mathbb{D})$ where $\varphi(z) = \exp\left(\frac{z+1}{z-1}\right)$
 \mathcal{K}_φ infinite-dimensional and co-invariant for $A(\mathbb{D})$

The compression $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}_\varphi)$ admits an Exel–Loring C^* -approximation. But an approximating net must be rather complicated: there is no finite-dimensional subspace of \mathcal{K}_φ which is semi-invariant for $A(\mathbb{D})$.

Building new approximations from old ones

Key lemma

Assume that $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ admits an Exel–Loring approximation. Let $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}_\theta$ be an invariant subspace for $\theta(\mathcal{A})$. Then, the representation

$$a \mapsto \theta(a)|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}$$

admits an Exel–Loring approximation.

Building new approximations from old ones

Key lemma

Assume that $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ admits an Exel–Loring approximation. Let $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}_\theta$ be an invariant subspace for $\theta(\mathcal{A})$. Then, the representation

$$a \mapsto \theta(a)|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}$$

admits an Exel–Loring approximation.

What about general **compressions to semi-invariant subspaces**? What about **C*-approximations**?

Building new approximations from old ones

Key lemma

Assume that $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ admits an Exel–Loring approximation. Let $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}_\theta$ be an invariant subspace for $\theta(\mathcal{A})$. Then, the representation

$$a \mapsto \theta(a)|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}$$

admits an Exel–Loring approximation.

What about general **compressions to semi-invariant subspaces**? What about **C*-approximations**?

Recall that

$C_{\max}^*(\mathcal{A})$ is residually finite-dimensional.



Every completely contractive representation of \mathcal{A} admits an Exel–Loring C*-approximation.



Every completely contractive representation of \mathcal{A} admits an Exel–Loring *-approximation.



\mathcal{A} is residually finite-dimensional.

Back to functions: the complete Nevanlinna–Pick property

\mathcal{H} unitarily invariant complete Nevanlinna–Pick space on \mathbb{B}_d with kernel K

Back to functions: the complete Nevanlinna–Pick property

\mathcal{H} unitarily invariant complete Nevanlinna–Pick space on \mathbb{B}_d with kernel K

$$K(z, w) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \langle z, w \rangle^n \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{1}{K(z, w)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \langle z, w \rangle^n$$

where $(a_n), (b_n)$ non-negative sequences

Standing assumption: $a_n > 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} = 1$

Back to functions: the complete Nevanlinna–Pick property

\mathcal{H} unitarily invariant complete Nevanlinna–Pick space on \mathbb{B}_d with kernel K

$$K(z, w) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \langle z, w \rangle^n \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{1}{K(z, w)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \langle z, w \rangle^n$$

where $(a_n), (b_n)$ non-negative sequences

Standing assumption: $a_n > 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} = 1$

Example

Drury–Arveson space, Dirichlet space on \mathbb{D} , ...

Back to functions: the complete Nevanlinna–Pick property

\mathcal{H} unitarily invariant complete Nevanlinna–Pick space on \mathbb{B}_d with kernel K

$$K(z, w) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \langle z, w \rangle^n \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{1}{K(z, w)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \langle z, w \rangle^n$$

where $(a_n), (b_n)$ non-negative sequences

Standing assumption: $a_n > 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} = 1$

Example

Drury–Arveson space, Dirichlet space on \mathbb{D} , ...

$$A(\mathcal{H}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]} \subset \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$$

Back to functions: the complete Nevanlinna–Pick property

\mathcal{H} unitarily invariant complete Nevanlinna–Pick space on \mathbb{B}_d with kernel K

$$K(z, w) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \langle z, w \rangle^n \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{1}{K(z, w)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \langle z, w \rangle^n$$

where $(a_n), (b_n)$ non-negative sequences

Standing assumption: $a_n > 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} = 1$

Example

Drury–Arveson space, Dirichlet space on \mathbb{D} , ...

$$A(\mathcal{H}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]} \subset \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$$

Theorem (C.–Dor On 2020)

Every completely contractive representation of $A(\mathcal{H})$ admits an Exel–Loring $$ -approximation.*

Main ingredients of the proof

Let $\theta : A(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}_\theta)$ be a completely contractive homomorphism.

Main ingredients of the proof

Let $\theta : A(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}_\theta)$ be a completely contractive homomorphism.

- We find a $*$ -homomorphism π of $C^*(A(\mathcal{H}))$ such that

$$\theta(a)^* = \pi(a)^*|_{\mathcal{E}_\theta}$$

for every $a \in A(\mathcal{H})$ (Ambrozie–Engliš–Müller 2002).

Main ingredients of the proof

Let $\theta : A(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}_\theta)$ be a completely contractive homomorphism.

- We find a $*$ -homomorphism π of $C^*(A(\mathcal{H}))$ such that

$$\theta(a)^* = \pi(a)^*|_{\mathcal{E}_\theta}$$

for every $a \in A(\mathcal{H})$ (Ambrozie–Engliš–Müller 2002).

The complete Nevanlinna–Pick property is used here (C.–Hartz 2018).

Main ingredients of the proof

Let $\theta : A(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}_\theta)$ be a completely contractive homomorphism.

- We find a $*$ -homomorphism π of $C^*(A(\mathcal{H}))$ such that

$$\theta(a)^* = \pi(a)^*|_{\mathcal{E}_\theta}$$

for every $a \in A(\mathcal{H})$ (Ambrozie–Engliš–Müller 2002).

The complete Nevanlinna–Pick property is used here (C.–Hartz 2018).

- The algebra $C^*(A(\mathcal{H}))$ contains the ideal \mathfrak{K} of compact operators and $C^*(A(\mathcal{H}))/\mathfrak{K} \cong C(\mathbb{S}_d)$ (Guo–Hu–Xu 2004).

Main ingredients of the proof

Let $\theta : A(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}_\theta)$ be a completely contractive homomorphism.

- We find a $*$ -homomorphism π of $C^*(A(\mathcal{H}))$ such that

$$\theta(a)^* = \pi(a)^*|_{\mathcal{E}_\theta}$$

for every $a \in A(\mathcal{H})$ (Ambrozie–Engliš–Müller 2002).

The complete Nevanlinna–Pick property is used here (C.–Hartz 2018).

- The algebra $C^*(A(\mathcal{H}))$ contains the ideal \mathfrak{K} of compact operators and $C^*(A(\mathcal{H}))/\mathfrak{K} \cong C(\mathbb{S}_d)$ (Guo–Hu–Xu 2004).
- We can find a unitary operator U along with a $*$ -representation σ of $C^*(A(\mathcal{H}))/\mathfrak{K}$ such that

$$\pi(a) = \sigma(a + \mathfrak{K}) \oplus U(a \otimes I)U^*$$

for every $a \in A(\mathcal{H})$.

Main ingredients of the proof

Let $\theta : A(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}_\theta)$ be a completely contractive homomorphism.

- We find a $*$ -homomorphism π of $C^*(A(\mathcal{H}))$ such that

$$\theta(a)^* = \pi(a)^*|_{\mathcal{E}_\theta}$$

for every $a \in A(\mathcal{H})$ (Ambrozie–Engliš–Müller 2002).

The complete Nevanlinna–Pick property is used here (C.–Hartz 2018).

- The algebra $C^*(A(\mathcal{H}))$ contains the ideal \mathfrak{K} of compact operators and $C^*(A(\mathcal{H}))/\mathfrak{K} \cong C(\mathbb{S}_d)$ (Guo–Hu–Xu 2004).
- We can find a unitary operator U along with a $*$ -representation σ of $C^*(A(\mathcal{H}))/\mathfrak{K}$ such that

$$\pi(a) = \sigma(a + \mathfrak{K}) \oplus U(a \otimes I)U^*$$

for every $a \in A(\mathcal{H})$. (\approx Wold decomposition of an isometry)

Facing the bidisc: the good and the bad

Facing the bidisc: the good and the bad

Good: $2 < 3$

Facing the bidisc: the good and the bad

Good: $2 < 3$ (Ando's inequality)

Facing the bidisc: the good and the bad

Good: $2 < 3$ (Ando's inequality)

Given a pair (T_1, T_2) of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , there is a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\theta(z_1) = T_1, \theta(z_2) = T_2$.

Facing the bidisc: the good and the bad

Good: $2 < 3$ (Ando's inequality)

Given a pair (T_1, T_2) of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , there is a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\theta(z_1) = T_1, \theta(z_2) = T_2$.

Bad: $2 > 1$

Facing the bidisc: the good and the bad

Good: $2 < 3$ (Ando's inequality)

Given a pair (T_1, T_2) of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , there is a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\theta(z_1) = T_1, \theta(z_2) = T_2$.

Bad: $2 > 1$ (commuting pairs of isometries are mysterious)

Facing the bidisc: the good and the bad

Good: $2 < 3$ (Ando's inequality)

Given a pair (T_1, T_2) of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , there is a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\theta(z_1) = T_1, \theta(z_2) = T_2$.

Bad: $2 > 1$ (commuting pairs of isometries are mysterious)

No perfect analogue of the Wold decomposition.

Facing the bidisc: the good and the bad

Good: $2 < 3$ (Ando's inequality)

Given a pair (T_1, T_2) of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , there is a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\theta(z_1) = T_1, \theta(z_2) = T_2$.

Bad: $2 > 1$ (commuting pairs of isometries are mysterious)

No perfect analogue of the Wold decomposition.

Known functional representations for pure commuting pairs of isometries are relatively complicated (Berger–Coburn–Lebow 1975, Bercovici–Douglas–Foias 2006).

Facing the bidisc: the good and the bad

Good: $2 < 3$ (Ando's inequality)

Given a pair (T_1, T_2) of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , there is a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\theta(z_1) = T_1, \theta(z_2) = T_2$.

Bad: $2 > 1$ (commuting pairs of isometries are mysterious)

No perfect analogue of the Wold decomposition.

Known functional representations for pure commuting pairs of isometries are relatively complicated (Berger–Coburn–Lebow 1975, Bercovici–Douglas–Foiias 2006).

Theorem (C.–Dor On 2020)

Any completely contractive representation of $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$ admits an Exel–Loring $$ -approximation.*

Facing the bidisc: the good and the bad

Good: $2 < 3$ (Ando's inequality)

Given a pair (T_1, T_2) of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , there is a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\theta(z_1) = T_1, \theta(z_2) = T_2$.

Bad: $2 > 1$ (commuting pairs of isometries are mysterious)

No perfect analogue of the Wold decomposition.

Known functional representations for pure commuting pairs of isometries are relatively complicated (Berger–Coburn–Lebow 1975, Bercovici–Douglas–Foias 2006).

Theorem (C.–Dor On 2020)

Any completely contractive representation of $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$ admits an Exel–Loring $$ -approximation.*

Recall: to show that any completely contractive representation of $A(\mathbb{D})$ admits an Exel–Loring C^* -approximation, we used

Facing the bidisc: the good and the bad

Good: $2 < 3$ (Ando's inequality)

Given a pair (T_1, T_2) of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , there is a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\theta(z_1) = T_1, \theta(z_2) = T_2$.

Bad: $2 > 1$ (commuting pairs of isometries are mysterious)

No perfect analogue of the Wold decomposition.

Known functional representations for pure commuting pairs of isometries are relatively complicated (Berger–Coburn–Lebow 1975, Bercovici–Douglas–Foias 2006).

Theorem (C.–Dor On 2020)

Any completely contractive representation of $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$ admits an Exel–Loring $$ -approximation.*

Recall: to show that any completely contractive representation of $A(\mathbb{D})$ admits an Exel–Loring C^* -approximation, we used

- von Neumann's inequality

Facing the bidisc: the good and the bad

Good: $2 < 3$ (Ando's inequality)

Given a pair (T_1, T_2) of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , there is a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\theta(z_1) = T_1, \theta(z_2) = T_2$.

Bad: $2 > 1$ (commuting pairs of isometries are mysterious)

No perfect analogue of the Wold decomposition.

Known functional representations for pure commuting pairs of isometries are relatively complicated (Berger–Coburn–Lebow 1975, Bercovici–Douglas–Foias 2006).

Theorem (C.–Dor On 2020)

Any completely contractive representation of $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$ admits an Exel–Loring $$ -approximation.*

Recall: to show that any completely contractive representation of $A(\mathbb{D})$ admits an Exel–Loring C^* -approximation, we used

- von Neumann's inequality
- if T is a contraction on \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a subspace, then $P_{\mathcal{K}}T|_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a contraction.

A reduction

Start with a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$.

A reduction

Start with a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$.

For each $0 < r < 1$, let $\theta_r : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be the completely contractive homomorphism such that $\theta_r(z_1) = r\theta(z_1)$ and $\theta_r(z_2) = r\theta(z_2)$.

A reduction

Start with a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$.

For each $0 < r < 1$, let $\theta_r : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be the completely contractive homomorphism such that $\theta_r(z_1) = r\theta(z_1)$ and $\theta_r(z_2) = r\theta(z_2)$.

Then, $(\theta_r(a))$ converges to $\theta(a)$ in norm for every $a \in A(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

A reduction

Start with a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$.

For each $0 < r < 1$, let $\theta_r : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be the completely contractive homomorphism such that $\theta_r(z_1) = r\theta(z_1)$ and $\theta_r(z_2) = r\theta(z_2)$.

Then, $(\theta_r(a))$ converges to $\theta(a)$ in norm for every $a \in A(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

In particular, we may assume that $\|\theta(z_1)\| < 1$.

A reduction

Start with a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$.

For each $0 < r < 1$, let $\theta_r : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be the completely contractive homomorphism such that $\theta_r(z_1) = r\theta(z_1)$ and $\theta_r(z_2) = r\theta(z_2)$.

Then, $(\theta_r(a))$ converges to $\theta(a)$ in norm for every $a \in A(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

In particular, we may assume that $\|\theta(z_1)\| < 1$.

Lemma

If $\|\theta(z_1)\| < 1$, then there is a completely contractive representation ρ of $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$ such that $\rho(z_1)$ is a unilateral shift and

$$\theta(a)^* = \rho(a)^*|_{\mathcal{H}_\theta}, \quad a \in A(\mathbb{D}^2).$$

A reduction

Start with a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$.

For each $0 < r < 1$, let $\theta_r : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be the completely contractive homomorphism such that $\theta_r(z_1) = r\theta(z_1)$ and $\theta_r(z_2) = r\theta(z_2)$.

Then, $(\theta_r(a))$ converges to $\theta(a)$ in norm for every $a \in A(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

In particular, we may assume that $\|\theta(z_1)\| < 1$.

Lemma

If $\|\theta(z_1)\| < 1$, then there is a completely contractive representation ρ of $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$ such that $\rho(z_1)$ is a unilateral shift and

$$\theta(a)^* = \rho(a)^*|_{\mathcal{H}_\theta}, \quad a \in A(\mathbb{D}^2).$$

It remains to deal with the case where $\theta(z_1)$ is a unilateral shift.

A reduction

Start with a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$.

For each $0 < r < 1$, let $\theta_r : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\theta)$ be the completely contractive homomorphism such that $\theta_r(z_1) = r\theta(z_1)$ and $\theta_r(z_2) = r\theta(z_2)$.

Then, $(\theta_r(a))$ converges to $\theta(a)$ in norm for every $a \in A(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

In particular, we may assume that $\|\theta(z_1)\| < 1$.

Lemma

If $\|\theta(z_1)\| < 1$, then there is a completely contractive representation ρ of $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$ such that $\rho(z_1)$ is a unilateral shift and

$$\theta(a)^* = \rho(a)^*|_{\mathcal{H}_\theta}, \quad a \in A(\mathbb{D}^2).$$

It remains to deal with the case where $\theta(z_1)$ is a unilateral shift.

Claim

Assume that $\theta(z_1)$ is a unilateral shift. Then, θ admits an Exel–Loring $*$ -approximation.

Proof of the claim

- We have that $\theta(z_1) = S \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\theta(A(\mathbb{D}^2)) \subset \text{Mult}(H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{E})$.

Proof of the claim

- We have that $\theta(z_1) = S \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\theta(A(\mathbb{D}^2)) \subset \text{Mult}(H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{E})$.
- If \mathcal{E} is finite-dimensional, then for every $F \subset \mathbb{D}$ finite we let

$$\mathcal{K}_F = \text{span}\{k_z \otimes v : z \in F, v \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

This is co-invariant for $\theta(A(\mathbb{D}^2))$ and $(P_{\mathcal{K}_F}\theta(\cdot)|_{\mathcal{K}_F})$ is an Exel–Loring $*$ -approximation for θ .

Proof of the claim

- We have that $\theta(z_1) = S \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\theta(A(\mathbb{D}^2)) \subset \text{Mult}(H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{E})$.
- If \mathcal{E} is finite-dimensional, then for every $F \subset \mathbb{D}$ finite we let

$$\mathcal{K}_F = \text{span}\{k_z \otimes v : z \in F, v \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

This is co-invariant for $\theta(A(\mathbb{D}^2))$ and $(P_{\mathcal{K}_F}\theta(\cdot)|_{\mathcal{K}_F})$ is an Exel–Loring $*$ -approximation for θ .

- If \mathcal{E} is infinite-dimensional, write $\mathcal{E} = \cup \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$ where $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is a finite-dimensional subspace. Note that $S \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}}$ and $(I \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}})\theta(z_2)(I \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}})$ is a pair of commuting contractions.

Proof of the claim

- We have that $\theta(z_1) = S \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\theta(A(\mathbb{D}^2)) \subset \text{Mult}(H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{E})$.
- If \mathcal{E} is finite-dimensional, then for every $F \subset \mathbb{D}$ finite we let

$$\mathcal{K}_F = \text{span}\{k_z \otimes v : z \in F, v \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

This is co-invariant for $\theta(A(\mathbb{D}^2))$ and $(P_{\mathcal{K}_F}\theta(\cdot)|_{\mathcal{K}_F})$ is an Exel–Loring $*$ -approximation for θ .

- If \mathcal{E} is infinite-dimensional, write $\mathcal{E} = \cup \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$ where $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is a finite-dimensional subspace. Note that $S \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}}$ and $(I \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}})\theta(z_2)(I \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}})$ is a pair of commuting contractions.
- By Ando's inequality, there is a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta_{\alpha} : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\alpha})$ such that $\theta_{\alpha}(z_1) = S \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}}$ and $\theta_{\alpha}(z_2) = (I \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}})\theta(z_2)(I \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}})$.

Proof of the claim

- We have that $\theta(z_1) = S \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\theta(A(\mathbb{D}^2)) \subset \text{Mult}(H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{E})$.
- If \mathcal{E} is finite-dimensional, then for every $F \subset \mathbb{D}$ finite we let

$$\mathcal{K}_F = \text{span}\{k_z \otimes v : z \in F, v \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

This is co-invariant for $\theta(A(\mathbb{D}^2))$ and $(P_{\mathcal{K}_F}\theta(\cdot)|_{\mathcal{K}_F})$ is an Exel–Loring $*$ -approximation for θ .

- If \mathcal{E} is infinite-dimensional, write $\mathcal{E} = \cup \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$ where $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is a finite-dimensional subspace. Note that $S \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}}$ and $(I \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}})\theta(z_2)(I \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}})$ is a pair of commuting contractions.
- By Ando's inequality, there is a completely contractive homomorphism $\theta_{\alpha} : A(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow B(H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\alpha})$ such that $\theta_{\alpha}(z_1) = S \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}}$ and $\theta_{\alpha}(z_2) = (I \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}})\theta(z_2)(I \otimes P_{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}})$.
- We have that $(\theta_{\alpha}(a))$ converges to $\theta(a)$ in SOT* for every $a \in A(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

Thank you!