

10314 Author(s): Andrew Vince and A. N. 't Woord Reviewed work(s): Source: *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 103, No. 4 (Apr., 1996), p. 349 Published by: <u>Mathematical Association of America</u> Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/2975202</u> Accessed: 25/04/2012 13:51

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Mathematical Association of America is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The American Mathematical Monthly*.

 $j(n) \ge \lceil nx \rceil = j_x(n)$. To prove j agrees with j_x through N, suppose there exists $n \le N$ such that $j(n) > j_x(n) = \lceil nx \rceil$, so $j(n) \ge nx + 1$. When we express mn as n copies of m, the defining condition yields $j(mn) \le nj(m) = nmx$. When we express mn as m copies of n, the defining condition yields $j(mn) \ge mj(n) - (m-1) \ge mnx + 1$, a contradiction.

Editorial comment. Frank Schmidt pointed out that these sequences are characterized in R. L. Graham, S. Lin, and C.-S. Lin, "Spectra of numbers", *Math. Mag.* 51 (1978), 174–176. Another reference, supplied by an editor, is M. Boshernitzan and A. S. Fraenkel, "Nonhomogeneous spectra of numbers", *Discr. Math.* 34 (1981), 325–327.

Solved also by V. Božin (student, Yugoslavia), H. von Eitzen (Germany), F. J. Flanigan, R. Holzsager, I. Kastanas, O. P. Lossers (The Netherlands), A. D. Melas (Greece), J. M. Santmyer, F. Schmidt, A. N. 't Woord (The Netherlands), GCHQ Problem Solving Group (U. K.), and the proposer.

A Modular Power Series

10314 [1993, 589]. Proposed by Andrew Vince, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL.

Let b be an integer greater than 1. Let S be a set of integers containing 0 such that no two members of S are congruent modulo b. If

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_i}{b^i} = 0,$$

with $s_i \in S$, prove that all $s_i = 0$.

Solution by A. N. 't Woord, University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands. Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (s_i/b^i) = 0$ with $s_i \in S$. We define a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (s_i/b^i) = a_n/b^n$, by setting $a_0 = 0$ and $a_n = b^{n-1}s_1 + b^{n-2}s_2 + \cdots + bs_{n-1} + s_n$ for $n \ge 1$. Notice that $a_n = ba_{n-1} + s_n$ for all $n \ge 1$.

If $a_n = 0$ for some $n \ge 1$, then $s_n \equiv a_n \equiv 0 \mod b$. This requires $s_n = 0$ and $a_{n-1} = 0$, and hence $s_i = 0$ for all $i \le n$, inductively. If $1 \le m < n$ and $a_m = a_n$, then $s_m \equiv a_m \equiv a_n \equiv s_n \mod b$. Therefore, $s_m = s_n$ and $a_{m-1} = a_{n-1}$. By induction, $a_{n-m} = a_0 = 0$. Hence $s_i = 0$ for all $i \le n - m$. It suffices therefore to show that $a_m = a_n$ occurs with the difference n - m arbitrarily large.

By the congruence condition, S is finite. Choose M > 0 such that $|s_i| \le M$ for all *i*. Now

$$0 = \left|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_i}{b^i}\right| \ge \frac{|a_n|}{b^n} - \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{M}{b^i} = \frac{1}{b^n} \left(|a_n| - \frac{M}{b-1}\right),$$

so $|a_n| \le M/(b-1)$ for all *n*. Therefore, the set $\{a_n : n \ge 0\}$ is finite. Thus, for some *k*, we have $a_n = k$ infinitely often, and the result follows.

Solved also by K. L. Bernstein, W. Blumberg, S. M. Gagola Jr., R. Holzsager, N. Jensen (Germany), I. Kastanas, O. P. Lossers (The Netherlands), R. Martin (student), L. E. Mattics, the MMRS group of Oklahoma State University, and the proposer. Five incorrect solutions were received.

Integral Matrices with Integral Inverses

10315 [1993, 589]. Proposed by Mowaffaq Hajja, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan.

Let A and B be matrices with integer entries of sizes r by n and n by r, respectively, with r < n. Suppose that AB is an r by r identity matrix. Show that A can be enlarged to an n by n integral matrix having an integral inverse.

Solution by Allan Pedersen, Søborg, Denmark. Let a_1, \ldots, a_r be the row vectors of A in order from top to bottom, and let b_1, \ldots, b_r be the column vectors of B in order from left to