Sets and Logic, Dr. Block, Lecture Notes, 4-22-2020
We finish our discussion of inverse functions. We begin with a definition.

1. Definition. Let $A$ be a set. The identity function on $A$ is the function $i_{A}: A \rightarrow A$ given by $i_{A}(x)=x$ for every $x \in A$.
Recall the following lemma which we proved last time.
2. Lemma. Suppose that $f: A \rightarrow B$. Suppose also that the inverse relation $f^{-1}$ from $B$ to $A$ is a function from $B$ to $A$. Suppose that $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. Then $f(x)=y$ if and only if $f^{-1}(y)=x$.

Also, recall the following theorem.
3. Theorem. Suppose that $f: A \rightarrow B$. The inverse relation $f^{-1}$ from $B$ to $A$ is a function from $B$ to $A$ if and only if $f$ is bijective.

Finally, recall the following remark:
4. Remark. Two functions $f$ and $g$ from $A$ to $B$ are equal if and only if for every $a \in A, f(a)=g(a)$.
Now we look at two new results.
5. Theorem. Suppose that $f: A \rightarrow B$ is bijective. Then $f^{-1} \circ f=i_{A}$ and $f \circ f^{-1}=i_{B}$.

Proof. By Theorem 3 above, $f^{-1}$ is a function from $B$ to $A$. So the compositions above are well-defined.
First, we prove that $f^{-1} \circ f=i_{A}$. Note that each of the functions $f^{-1} \circ f$ and $i_{A}$ has domain $A$ and codomain $A$. Suppose that $x \in A$. Set $y=f(x)$. Then by Lemma 2 above, we have

$$
\left(f^{-1} \circ f\right)(x)=f^{-1}(f(x))=f^{-1}(y)=x=i_{A}(x)
$$

It follows from Remark 4 above that $f^{-1} \circ f=i_{A}$.

Second, we prove that $f \circ f^{-1}=i_{B}$. Note that each of these two functions has domain $B$ and codomain $B$. Suppose that $y \in B$. Set $x=f^{-1}(y)$. Then

$$
\left(f \circ f^{-1}\right)(y)=f\left(f^{-1}(y)\right)=f(x)=y=i_{B}(y)
$$

Again, it follows from Remark 4 above that $f \circ f^{-1}=i_{B}$.

We also have the following theorem.
6. Theorem: Suppose that $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow A$. Suppose also that $g \circ f=i_{A}$ and $f \circ g=i_{B}$. Then $f$ and $g$ are bijective and $g=f^{-1}$.

We will omit the proof of this theorem. You might try to prove it yourself.
This theorem yields a different way to prove that a function is bijective, and find the inverse function, Just present the function $g$ and prove that each of the two compositions is the identity function on the appropriate set. Of course you have to find the function $g$. This would essentially be the same as finding the inverse function. But this step would not be included in the formal proof.

Note: I will be posting solutions to the problems on Exam 2 and Problem Set 3 tomorrow.

