

## Sets and Logic, Dr. Block, Lecture Notes, 4-6-2020

We continue Chapter 11 in the text on relations. Please study section 11.3 in the text. Also try to do the odd-numbered exercises 1-11 on page 214.

1. **Definition.** Suppose that  $R$  is a relation on a set  $A$ . We say that  $R$  is an **equivalence relation** on  $A$  if and only if  $R$  is reflexive, transitive, and symmetric.
2. **Remark.** To prove that a relation  $R$  is an equivalence relation on a set  $A$ , we prove that  $R$  is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive. Recall the following from the last lecture notes.

To prove that  $R$  is reflexive: Suppose that  $x \in A$ . Prove that  $xRx$ .

To prove that  $R$  is symmetric: Suppose that  $x, y \in A$ , and  $xRy$ . Prove that  $yRx$ .

To prove that  $R$  is transitive: Suppose that  $x, y, z \in A$ . Suppose also that  $xRy$  and  $yRz$ . Then prove that  $xRz$ .

3. **Problem.** Define a relation  $R$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by

$$xRy \text{ if and only if } x^2 + y^2 \text{ is even.}$$

Prove that  $R$  is an equivalence relation.

### **Proof.**

First, we prove that  $R$  is reflexive. Suppose that  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Observe that  $x^2 + x^2 = 2x^2$ . It follows that  $x^2 + x^2$  is even. Thus,  $xRx$ . Therefore,  $R$  is reflexive.

Second, we prove that  $R$  is symmetric. Suppose that  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $xRy$ . Then  $x^2 + y^2$  is even. Since  $y^2 + x^2 = x^2 + y^2$ , it follows that  $y^2 + x^2$  is even. Thus,  $yRx$ . Therefore,  $R$  is symmetric.

Third, we prove that  $R$  is transitive. Suppose that  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose also that  $xRy$  and  $yRz$ . Then  $x^2 + y^2$  is even, and  $y^2 + z^2$  is even.

Now, it follows from the definition, that the integer  $-2y^2$  is even. Since the sum of three even integers is even, it follows that the integer,

$$x^2 + y^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2y^2$$

is even. So  $x^2 + z^2$  is even. Thus,  $xRz$ . Therefore,  $R$  is transitive.

□

4. **Definition.** Suppose that  $R$  is an equivalence relation on  $A$ . Suppose that  $x \in A$ . The **equivalence class** of  $x$  denoted  $[x]$  is given by

$$[x] = \{y \in A : xRy\}.$$

Let's consider the equivalence relation  $R$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  given in the problem above. Consider the equivalence class of the integer 0. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [0] &= \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : 0Ry\} = \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : 0^2 + y^2 \text{ is even} \} \\ &= \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : y^2 \text{ is even} \} = \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : y \text{ is even} \}. \end{aligned}$$

So the equivalence class of 0 is the set of all even integers.

Suppose we consider an even integer  $y \neq 0$ . What is the equivalence class of  $y$ ? We know that  $y$  is in the equivalence class of 0, so we have  $0Ry$ .

I claim that  $[0] \subseteq [y]$ . Let's prove this claim. Suppose that  $x \in [0]$ . Then  $0Rx$ . Since  $R$  is symmetric we also have  $xR0$ . Now, we saw above that  $0Ry$ . Since  $xR0$  and  $0Ry$ , we also have  $xRy$  (using the fact that  $R$  is transitive). Since  $R$  is symmetric we also have  $yRx$ . So, by definition, we have  $x \in [y]$ . Therefore,  $[0] \subseteq [y]$ .

I claim that also  $[y] \subseteq [0]$ . This can be proved in the same way as the previous claim. It follows that  $[y] = [0]$ . So, we have proved that for any even integer  $y$ , the equivalence class of  $y$  is the set of even integers. So, if  $E$  is the set of even integers, then

$$E = [0] = [2] = [-2] = [4] = [-4] = \dots$$

Now, let's think about the equivalence class of the integer 1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [1] &= \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : 1Ry\} = \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : 1^2 + y^2 \text{ is even}\} \\ &= \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 + y^2 \text{ is even}\} = \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : y \text{ is odd}\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, the equivalence class of 1 is the set of all odd integers. Moreover, we can show as above, that for any odd integer  $y$ , we have  $[y] = [1]$ . So if  $D$  denotes the set of odd integers, then

$$D = [1] = [-1] = [3] = [-3] = [5] = [-5] = \dots$$

□

By almost the same proof as above, we can prove the following result about equivalence relation and equivalence classes.

5. **Theorem.** Suppose that  $R$  is an equivalence relation on  $A$ . Suppose that  $v, w \in A$ . If  $w \in [v]$ , then  $[w] = [v]$ .

Try to write a proof of this Theorem, proving that each of the two sets  $[v]$  and  $[w]$  is a subset of the other. I will include a proof in the lecture notes next time.