

Topological Markov Chains and Parry measure

Consider a signal or some $\underline{S} \in \Sigma^n$

$\underline{S} = \dots 35.7201\dots$

follows
Sometimes one just knows which symbol is. This is
which and not what the probability is. This is
where
coded in a transition matrix M

$$M_{ij} = 1 \quad \text{if } ij \text{ can occur.}$$

$$M_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{if } ij \text{ cannot occur.}$$

Indicates that
For example $M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

00 is not allowable and 01, 10, 11 are

- Let $\Sigma_M = \sum \Sigma \in \Sigma_n$: $A_{s_{2k+1}} = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ \mathbb{Z}

So Σ_M is the collection of bi-infinite sequences which only contain allowable pairs

Recall the topology on Σ_n is given by the metric $d(s, t) = \frac{1}{2^k}$ with $k = \min\{i: s_i \neq t_i\}$

- FACTS:

(1) Σ_M is compact

(2) Σ_M is completely invariant under the shift σ

(3) σ is a homeomorphism

Proof:

(3), (2) is easy. For (1) recall that

cylinder sets are open (and closed)

In Σ^n cylinder sets are $[\cdot \cdot \cdot i j \cdot \cdot \cdot]$. let $\Sigma = \sum_0 [\cdot \cdot \cdot i j \cdot \cdot \cdot]$. $M_{ij} = 0$ and $\sigma^k [\cdot \cdot \cdot i j \cdot \cdot \cdot] = \cdot \cdot \cdot [i j] \cdot \cdot \cdot$ disallowed words and

so Σ is the cylinder sets of length 2 and

Σ is open so $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma^k \Sigma$ is open and

Σ is thus closed and

$$\Sigma_M = \sum_n \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma^k \Sigma$$

therefore compact. \square

Let (P, A) by a pair defining a Markov measure μ

so $\tilde{p} > 0$, $\sum p_i = 1$, $\tilde{p} A = \tilde{p}$ and A is stochastic.

As in the HW we have

$$\text{FACT } \text{spt}(M) = \sum_M \Leftrightarrow (A_{ij} = 0 \Leftrightarrow M_{ij} = 0)$$

So there are many Markov measures on Σ_M

So which do we choose? There is a special one called

which turns out to have the maximal entropy. Here which turns out to have the Shannon-Ferry measure.

The Parry measure or is the construction.

Assume M is a $\{0,1\}$ matrix that is irreducible

($\forall i, j \exists n$ with $(M^n)_{ij} > 0$). By the Perron-Frobenius theorem there is a unique eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$ of maximal

modulus and $\vec{x}, \vec{r} > 0$ with $\vec{x}M = \lambda \vec{x}$ and $M\vec{r} = \lambda \vec{r}$

Since $\vec{x}, \vec{r} > 0$, $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{r} > 0$ so we rescale so that $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{r} = 1$. Notice \vec{x} is a row vector, \vec{r} a column one.

Define \vec{P} via $P_L = r_L \ell_L$ $L = 0, \dots, n-1$

$$A \text{ via } A_{ij} = \frac{M_{ij} r_j}{\lambda r_i}$$

Fact for next thm:

(\vec{P}, A) defines a Markov measure $\mu \in \Sigma^n$ (4) A is stochastic

so (1) $\sum P_L = 1$ (2) $\vec{P} > 0$ (3) $\vec{P} A = \vec{P}$ (4) A is its Parry

Theorem If μ is as above and μ is its Parry

measure then $h_\mu(\sigma) = \log \lambda$ Markov measure

Proof: We computed for a general

$$h_\mu(\sigma) = - \sum_{i,j} P_L A_{ij} \log A_{ij}$$

Thus in the case of Perry measure

$$h_M(\vec{r}) = - \sum_{i,j} r_i r_j M_{ij} \log(M_{ij} r_i r_j) \quad (1)$$

$$= - \sum_{i,j} r_i M_{ij} r_j (\log M_{ij} + \log r_i + \log r_j) \quad (2)$$

one by one

We examine terms

$$M_{ij} \log M_{ij} = 0 \quad \forall i,j$$

$$\bullet \text{ Now } M_{ij} = 0 \text{ or } 1$$

$$\bullet \text{ Since } \vec{r} \cdot \vec{M} = \vec{r} \cdot \vec{r}, \sum_i r_i^2 = 1$$

$$\bullet \text{ So } \sum_{i,j} r_i M_{ij} r_j \log r_j = \sum_j r_j \log r_j \left(\sum_i r_i M_{ij} \right) = \sum_j r_j \log r_j \left(\sum_i r_i \right) = \sum_j r_j \log r_j$$

• Similarly using $M\vec{r} = \lambda\vec{r}$ yields

$$\sum_{i,j} \frac{\ell_i M_{ij} r_j}{\lambda} \log r_i = \sum_{i,j} \ell_i r_j \log r_i$$

• Finally, $\sum_{i,j} \frac{\ell_i M_{ij} r_j}{\lambda} \log \lambda$

$$= \log \lambda \sum_{i,j} \ell_i M_{ij} r_j = (\log \lambda) \sum_i \ell_i r_i = \log \lambda$$

using $M\vec{r} = \lambda\vec{r}$ again and the initial normalisation

$$\sum_i r_i \ell_i = 1.$$

So finally, $h_M(\sigma) = 0 - \sum_j \ell_j r_j \log r_j + \log \lambda$

$$+ \sum_i \ell_i r_i \log r_i = \log \lambda. \quad \square$$

Example Let $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ so all transitions are allowable so $\Sigma_M = \Sigma_2$. The eigenvalues of M are 2 and zero

and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$

Now $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2$ so we normalize

$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$ $\vec{r} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

(it doesn't matter which we normalize)

Now for the Markov measure

$$P_L = r_i r_j \text{ so } \vec{P} = [1/2, 1/2]$$

$$A_{ij} = \frac{M_{ij} r_j}{\sum r_i} = 1/2 \quad \forall i, j$$

$$\text{so } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } h_M(\sigma) = \log 2$$

Notice that $\mu(\{s_0 s_1 \dots s_k\}) = P_{s_0} A_{s_0 s_1} \dots A_{s_{k-1} s_k}$
so μ is just $\text{re}(1/2, 1/2)$
 $= 1/2 \cdot 1/2 \dots 1/2$ so μ is just $\text{re}(1/2, 1/2)$ formula

Bernoulli measure and using the entropy formula

$$\text{from HW } h_M(\sigma) = -\left(\frac{1}{2} \log 1/2 + \frac{1}{2} \log 1/2\right) = \log 2 \text{ again}$$

(of course)

Recall that we showed for any Bernoulli

measure on $\Sigma = \{1, 2\}$, $h_M(\sigma) = \log 2$, Thus the Parry
Bernoulli measure $(1/2, 1/2)$ which is also the
maximal among those measures

measure for $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is maximal among a irreducible

- We will eventually see that given a that

Σ_0, \mathbb{B} -matrix with largest eigenvalue λ that

on Σ is an invariant probability Borel

$\text{MAX } \{ h_M(\sigma) : M \text{ is an invariant probability Borel}$

measures $\} = \log(\lambda)$ which is the entropy
Parry. Measure built from M . So
of the Parry measure is the measure of maximal

Entropy.