

MAS 4105 - Quiz 2 - Fall 2014

Wednesday, Sept. 17

NAME:

Solution

Instructions: All work should be written in a proper and coherent manner, and in a way that any student in the class can follow your work. Show all necessary working and reasoning. When giving proofs your reasoning should be clear.

TOTAL: 20 pts + 2 bonus pts.

1. [1+1+3= 5 pts]

(a) Complete Definition: Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$ . Let  $W \subset V$  be nonempty.  $W$  is a subspace of  $V$  if  $W$  is a vector space over  $F$  with operations of addition & scalar multiplication as defined in  $V$ .

(b) Complete Theorem 1.3 Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$  and  $W \subset V$ .  $W$  is a subspace of  $V$  if and only if the following 3 conditions hold.

- (i)  $\vec{0} \in W$
- (ii)  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in W$  whenever  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in W$
- and (iii)  $c\vec{u} \in W$  whenever  $\vec{u} \in W$  &  $c \in F$ .

(c) Let  $W = \{ (a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : a + 2b + 3c + 4d = 0 \}$ . Prove that  $W$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

$\vec{0} = (0, 0, 0, 0) \in W$  since  $0 + 2 \cdot 0 + 3 \cdot 0 + 4 \cdot 0 = 0$ .

Let  $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4), \vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) \in W$  &  $c \in \mathbb{R}$

Then  $u_1 + 2u_2 + 3u_3 + 4u_4 = v_1 + 2v_2 + 3v_3 + 4v_4 = 0$ .

(room on next page)

$$\vec{u} + \vec{v} = (u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2, u_3 + v_3, u_4 + v_4) \in W$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{since } & (u_1 + v_1) + 2(u_2 + v_2) + 3(u_3 + v_3) + 4(u_4 + v_4) \\ & = (u_1 + 2u_2 + 3u_3 + 4u_4) + (v_1 + 2v_2 + 3v_3 + 4v_4) = 0 + 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$c\vec{u} = (cu_1, cu_2, cu_3, cu_4) \in W$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{since } & (cu_1) + 2(cu_2) + 3(cu_3) + 4(cu_4) \\ & = c(u_1 + 2u_2 + 3u_3 + 4u_4) = c \cdot 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $W$  is subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  by Theorem 1.3,  
 since  $W$  is also clearly a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  & satisfies  
 (i), (ii), (iii) of Theorem 1.3

2. [2+3=5 pts]

(a) Complete Definition: Let  $V$  be a vector space and  
 suppose  $\emptyset \neq S \subset V$ . We say a vector  $\vec{v} \in V$  is a  
 linear combination of vectors in  $S$  if  
 there are vectors  $\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_2, \dots, \vec{s}_n \in S$  & scalars  
 $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  such that

$$\vec{v} = c_1 \vec{s}_1 + c_2 \vec{s}_2 + \dots + c_n \vec{s}_n$$

The span of  $S$  denoted by  $\text{Span}(S)$

is defined by

$$\text{Span}(S) = \left\{ \vec{v} : \vec{v} \in V \text{ \& } \vec{v} \text{ is a linear combination of } \right.$$

vectors in  $S$

By convention we define  $\text{Span}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ .

(b) Let  $\vec{v} = (1, -1, 1, 2)$ , and  $S = \{(2, 0, 3, 1), (1, 1, 1, -1)\}$   
 $\subset \mathbb{R}^4$ . Determine whether  $\vec{v}$  is in the  $\text{Span}(S)$ .

$\vec{v} = (1, -1, 1, 2) = 1(2, 0, 2, 1) + (-1)(1, 1, 1, -1)$ .  
 which is a linear combination of vectors in  $S$ .

So  $\vec{v} \in \text{span}(S)$ .

3. [1+1+3=5 pts]

Complete Definition:

(a) Let  $S \subset V$  where  $V$  is a vector space.  
 $S$  is linearly dependent if there are distinct  
 vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n \in S$  and  
 scalars  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  not all zero  
 such that

$$c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$$

Otherwise we say  $S$  is linearly independent.

(b) The empty set is linearly dependent.

True or False? Explain.

**FALSE.** Any linearly dependent set must  
 contain at least one vector.

(c) Determine whether the set

$$\{x^3 + 2x^2, -x^2 + 3x + 1, x^3 - x^2 + 2x - 1\}$$

is linearly dependent or linearly independent  
 in  $P_3(\mathbb{R})$ .

(Room on Next Page)



Consider the eqn

$$a(x^3 + 2x^2) + b(-x^2 + 3x + 1) + c(x^3 - x^2 + 2x - 1) = 0$$

where  $a, b, c$  are real scalars.

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a + c = 0 \\ 2a - b - c = 0 \\ 3b + 2c = 0 \\ b - c = 0 \end{cases}$$

$-2R_1 + R_2, R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a + c = 0 \\ -b - 3c = 0 \\ b - c = 0 \\ 3b + 2c = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a + c = 0 \\ -b - 3c = 0 \\ -4c = 0 \\ -7c = 0 \end{cases}$$

This implies  $a = b = c = 0$  & hence the set is linearly independent.

4. [5 pts]

Do ONE part (a) or (b).

(a) Let  $W_1, W_2$  be subspaces of a vector space  $V$ .  
Prove that  $W_1 + W_2$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

(b) Let  $V$  be a vector space of a field of characteristic not equal to two.

Let  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$  be distinct vectors in  $V$ .

Prove that  $\{\vec{u}, \vec{v}\}$  is linearly independent if and only if  $\{\vec{u} + \vec{v}, \vec{u} - \vec{v}\}$  is linearly independent.

(a) Suppose  $W_1, W_2$  are subspaces of  $V$ .

We know  $W_1 + W_2 \subset V$  (since  $V$  is closed under addition).

$\vec{0} \in W_1 + W_2$  since  $\vec{0} = \vec{0} + \vec{0}$

and  $\vec{0} \in W_1$  &  $W_2$  since  $W_1, W_2$  are subspaces of  $V$ .

Let  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in W_1 + W_2$  &  $c \in F$  (a scalar).

Then  $\vec{u} = \vec{u}_1 + \vec{u}_2$ , where  $\vec{u}_1 \in W_1$  &  $\vec{u}_2 \in W_2$  &

$\vec{v} = \vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2$ , where  $\vec{v}_1 \in W_1$  &  $\vec{v}_2 \in W_2$

$\vec{u}_1 + \vec{v}_1 \in W_1$  since  $W_1$  is a subspace &  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{v}_1 \in W_1$ .

$\vec{u}_2 + \vec{v}_2 \in W_2$  since  $W_2$  is a subspace &  $\vec{u}_2, \vec{v}_2 \in W_2$

Thus  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = (\vec{u}_1 + \vec{u}_2) + (\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) = (\vec{u}_1 + \vec{v}_1) + (\vec{u}_2 + \vec{v}_2) \in W_1 + W_2$   
so  $W_1 + W_2$  is closed under addition.

$c\vec{u} = c(\vec{u}_1 + \vec{u}_2) = (c\vec{u}_1) + (c\vec{u}_2) \in W_1 + W_2$

since  $c\vec{u}_1 \in W_1$  since  $W_1$  is a subspace &  $\vec{u}_1 \in W_1$ , &

$c\vec{u}_2 \in W_2$  since  $W_2$  is a subspace &  $\vec{u}_2 \in W_2$ .

Hence  $W_1 + W_2$  is closed under scalar multiplication &

$W_1 + W_2$  is a subspace of  $V$  by theorem 4.3.  $\square$

(b) Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$  with  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$  (p. 4A)  
i.e.  $1+1 \neq 0$  in  $F$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $\{\vec{u}, \vec{v}\}$  is linearly indep.  
Now suppose

$$a(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + b(\vec{u} - \vec{v}) = \vec{0}$$

where  $a, b \in F$ . Then

$$(a+b)\vec{u} + (a-b)\vec{v} = \vec{0}.$$

This implies

$$(a+b) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\& (a-b) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Since  $\{\vec{u}, \vec{v}\}$  is linearly indep.

(1)+(2) implies  $(1+1)a = 0$  &  $a = 0$  since  $1+1 \neq 0$ .

(1) implies  $b = 0$  & so  $a = b = 0$  &

$\{\vec{u} + \vec{v}, \vec{u} - \vec{v}\}$  is linearly indep.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $\{\vec{u} + \vec{v}, \vec{u} - \vec{v}\}$  is linearly indep.  
Suppose

$$c\vec{u} + d\vec{v} = \vec{0}$$

where  $c, d \in F$ . Then

$$\bullet (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + (\vec{u} - \vec{v}) = (1+1)\vec{u} \&$$

$$\vec{u} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{u} - \vec{v})$$

where  $2 = 1+1 \neq 0$ .

$$\bullet (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) - (\vec{u} - \vec{v}) = (1+1)\vec{v} \&$$

$$\vec{v} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{u} - \vec{v}).$$



Here

$$c \left( \frac{1}{2}(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{u} - \vec{v}) \right) + d \left( \frac{1}{2}(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{u} - \vec{v}) \right) = \vec{0},$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(c+d)(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + \frac{1}{2}(c-d)(\vec{u} - \vec{v}) = \vec{0}.$$

This implies

$$\frac{1}{2}(c+d) = 0 \quad \& \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(c-d) = 0 \quad (4)$$

(3)+(4) gives

$$c = 0,$$

(3)-(4) gives

$$d = 0.$$

Then  $c = d = 0$  &  $\{\vec{u}, \vec{v}\}$  is linearly  
indep.  $\square$

## 5. [BONUS 2 pts]

Prove that if  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$  is a linearly independent subset of  $M_{n \times n}(F)$  then

$\{A_1^t, A_2^t, \dots, A_k^t\}$  is linearly independent.

Suppose  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$  is linearly indep. .

Suppose

$$c_1 A_1^t + c_2 A_2^t + \dots + c_k A_k^t = 0$$

where  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k \in F$ . Then

$$(c_1 A_1^t + c_2 A_2^t + \dots + c_k A_k^t)^t = 0^t$$

$$(c_1 A_1^t)^t + (c_2 A_2^t)^t + \dots + (c_k A_k^t)^t = 0$$

(by induction & property of transpose). So

$$c_1 (A_1^t)^t + c_2 (A_2^t)^t + \dots + c_k (A_k^t)^t = 0,$$

$\Delta$

$$c_1 A_1 + c_2 A_2 + \dots + c_k A_k = 0.$$

This implies  $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_k = 0$  since  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$  is linearly indep.