

FINAL EXAM 2002

(p.1)

1. Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $(a, b) = 1$ .

Suppose ~~ad~~  $a|c$  and  $b|c$ .

~~The converse~~

The  $c = ad$  &  $c = be$  for some  $e, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$(a, b) = 1$  implies that

$$ax + by = 1$$

for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\wedge$

$$c(ax + by) = c$$

$$acx + cby = c,$$

$$a(be)x + (ad)by = c,$$

$$ab(ex + dy) = c.$$

Therefore  $ab|c$  since  $ex + dy \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

2. Suppose  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $a$  or  $b$  not both zero &  $d = (a, b)$ .

$(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose  $ax + by = c$

for some integers  $x, y$ .  $d|a$  &  $d|b$

& hence  $d|ax + by$  &  $d|c$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  Suppose  $d|c$ . The  $c = d \cdot e$  for some  $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By the Hint:

$$d = ma + nb$$

for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\wedge$

$$c = ed = e(ma + nb) = a(em) + b(en)$$

&  $ax + by = c$

where  $x = em, y = en \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

3(i) SEE 2009 EXAM

(ii) Since 2, 73, 1103 are pairwise relatively prime  
it suffices to show that

$$(*) \quad 2^{161038} \equiv 2 \pmod{m}$$

for  $m=2, 73$  and  $1103$ .

$$2^{161038} \equiv 0 \equiv 2 \pmod{2} \quad \& \quad (*) \text{ holds for } m=2.$$

By Fermat's Little Theorem

$$2^{72} \equiv 1 \pmod{73}$$

Since 73 is an odd prime.

$$161038 = (2236)(72) + 46,$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{161038} &= (2^{72})^{2236} 2^{46} \\ &\equiv 2^{46} \pmod{73} \end{aligned}$$

$$2^6 = 64$$

$$2^7 = 128 \equiv 55 \pmod{73}$$

$$2^8 \equiv 110 \equiv 37 \pmod{73}$$

$$2^9 \equiv 74 \equiv 1 \pmod{73}.$$

Thus

$$2^{46} = (2^9)^5 2^1 \equiv 2 \pmod{73}$$

& (\*) holds for  $m=73$ .

By Fermat's Little Theorem

$$2^{1102} \equiv 1 \pmod{1103}$$

Since 1103 is an odd prime.

$$\begin{aligned} 161038 &= (146)(1102) + 146 \\ 2^{161038} &= (2^{1102})^{146} \cdot 2^{146} \equiv 2^{146} \pmod{1103} \end{aligned}$$

(P-3)

$$2^4 = 16$$

$$2^8 = 16^2 = 256$$

$$2^{16} = 65536 = (59)(1103) + 459 \equiv 459 \pmod{1103}$$

$$2^5 = 32$$

$$2^{13} = 2^5 \cdot 2^8 = (32)(256)$$

$$= \del{8126} 8192 = 7(1103) + 471$$

$$\equiv 471 \pmod{1103}$$

$$2^{29} = 2^{13} \cdot 2^{16} \equiv (459)(471) \pmod{1103}$$

$$\equiv 216189 \pmod{1103}$$

$$\equiv 1 \pmod{1103}$$

Since  $216189 = (1103)(196) + 1$ .

$$2^{161038} \equiv 2^{145} \cdot 2 \pmod{1103}$$

$$\equiv (2^{29})^5 \cdot 2 \pmod{1103}$$

$$\equiv 2 \pmod{1103} \quad (\text{since } 2^{29} \equiv 1 \pmod{1103})$$

& (\*) holds for  $m = 1103$ .

It follows that 161038 is pseudoprime.

4.

(i) Euler's Theorem Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m > 0$  & suppose  $(a, m) = 1$ .

Then

$$a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

(ii) Suppose  $m, n$  are positive relatively prime integers.

$$\text{Then } m^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \quad (\text{by Euler's Thm})$$

$$\& n^{\phi(m)} \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \quad (\text{since } \phi(m) \geq 1),$$

$$\& m^{\phi(n)} + n^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 + 0 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

Similarly,  $m^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  (by Euler's Thm), &  
 $m^{\phi(n)} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  (since  $\phi(n) > 1$ ), &  
 $m^{\phi(n)} + n^{\phi(m)} = 0 + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

Thus

$$m^{\phi(n)} + n^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$$

for  $k=m$  &  $k=n$ . It follows that

$$m^{\phi(m)} + n^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{mn}$$

since  $m, n$  are relatively prime.

S(i) SEE 2009 EXAM

(ii) Suppose  $f$  is multiplicative &  $f(1) = 0$ .

Suppose  $n$  is a positive integer. Then

$$(n, 1) = 1 \text{ \&}$$

$$f(n) = f(n \cdot 1) = f(n)f(1) \\ = f(n) \cdot 0 = 0.$$

(since  $f$  is multiplicative)

So

$$f(n) = 0 \text{ for all } n.$$

(iii) Suppose  $f$  is multiplicative &  $f(1) \neq 0$ .

$$\text{Then } (1, 1) = 1 \text{ \&}$$

$$f(1) = f(1 \cdot 1) = f(1)f(1) \text{ since } f \text{ is multiplicative}$$

Since  $f(1) \neq 0$

$$\frac{f(1)}{f(1)} = \frac{f(1)f(1)}{f(1)} \text{ \& } f(1) = 1.$$

(iv) SEE 2009 EXAM

6.

(i) Suppose  $m, n$  are positive integers &  $m|n$ .

Case 1  $m=1$ . Then  $\phi(m)=1$  &  $\phi(m)|\phi(n)$ .

Case 2  $m>1$ .

Let  $m = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_r^{a_r}$   
 be the prime factorization of  $m$  so that  
 each  $a_j \geq 1$  ( $1 \leq j \leq r$ ).

Then by unique factorization  
 $n = (p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \dots p_r^{b_r}) c$

where  $(m, c) = 1$  & each  $b_j \geq a_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq r$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(m) &= (p_1^{a_1} - p_1^{a_1-1}) (p_2^{a_2} - p_2^{a_2-1}) \dots (p_r^{a_r} - p_r^{a_r-1}) \\ &= (p_1^{a_1-1} p_2^{a_2-1} \dots p_r^{a_r-1}) (p_1 - 1) (p_2 - 1) \dots (p_r - 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi(n) = (p_1^{b_1-1} p_2^{b_2-1} \dots p_r^{b_r-1}) (p_1 - 1) (p_2 - 1) \dots (p_r - 1) \phi(c)$$

since  $\phi$  is mult. &  $((p_1^{b_1} \dots p_r^{b_r}), c) = 1$ .

$$\phi(n) = \phi(m) \phi(c) \cdot (p_1^{b_1-a_1} \dots p_r^{b_r-a_r})$$

&  
 $\phi(m) | \phi(n)$  since each  $b_j - a_j \geq 0$   
 &  $\phi(c) (p_1^{b_1-a_1} \dots p_r^{b_r-a_r}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(ii) The converse of (i) is not true.

For example,  $\phi(3) = 2 = \phi(6)$

As  $\phi(6) | \phi(3)$  but  $6 \nmid 3$ .

7. SEE 2009 EXAM

8. (i) SEE 2009 EXAM

(ii) Let  $p=5$  &  $k=\frac{p-1}{2}=2$ .

$x$	$x^2 \pmod{5}$
$\pm 1$	1
$\pm 2$	4

Here 1, 4 are the quadratic residues mod 5.

(iii) SEE

(iv)  $115 = 5 \cdot 23$  & so

$$\left(\frac{115}{131}\right) = \left(\frac{5}{131}\right) \left(\frac{23}{131}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{5}{131}\right) &= \left(\frac{131}{5}\right) \quad \text{(By the Law of Quadratic Reciprocity since } 5 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\text{)} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{5}\right) \quad \text{(since } 131 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}\text{)} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{23}{131}\right) &= - \left(\frac{131}{23}\right) \quad \text{(By the Law of Quadratic Reciprocity since } 131 \equiv 23 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\text{)} \\ &= - \left(\frac{16}{23}\right) \quad \text{(since } 131 = 5(23) + 16\text{)} \\ &= -1 \quad \text{since } 16 = 4^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } \left(\frac{115}{131}\right) = \left(\frac{5}{131}\right) \left(\frac{23}{131}\right) = (1)(-1) = -1.$$

(p.7)

9(i) Let  $p$  be an odd prime.

Suppose  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1 \leq a \leq p-1$  &

$a'$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $a \pmod{p}$ ;

$$\text{i.e. } aa' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

It is clearly  $p \nmid aa'$  (otherwise  $0 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  which is impossible).

$$\left(\frac{aa'}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{p}\right) = 1 \text{ since } aa' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

$$\left(\frac{aa'}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \left(\frac{a'}{p}\right) \text{ \&}$$

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \left(\frac{a'}{p}\right) = 1$$

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \left(\frac{a'}{p}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{a'}{p}\right) \text{ \&}$$

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a'}{p}\right) \text{ since } \left(\frac{a'}{p}\right) = \pm 1 \text{ \& } \left(\frac{a'}{p}\right)^2 = 1.$$

(ii)

$$\left(\frac{1 \cdot 2}{p}\right) + \left(\frac{2 \cdot 3}{p}\right) + \dots + \left(\frac{(p-2)(p-1)}{p}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} \left(\frac{a \cdot (a+1)}{p}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \left(\frac{a+1}{p}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} \left(\frac{a'}{p}\right) \left(\frac{a+1}{p}\right)$$

(by (i), use  $a'$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $a \pmod{p}$ ).

(p-8)

$$= \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} \left( \frac{a'(a+1)}{p} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} \left( \frac{aa' + a'}{p} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{a=1}^{p-2} \left( \frac{a'+1}{p} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=2}^{p-1} \left( \frac{j}{p} \right)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \right) - \left( \frac{1}{p} \right)$$

$$= 0 - \left( \frac{1}{p} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{p}$$

(since if  $1 \leq a \leq p-2$

then  $1 \leq a' \leq p-2$

since de multiplicatio

inverses  $p-1$  is  $p-1$

since  $p-1 \equiv (-1) \pmod{p}$ )

(by a known problem)