

MAS 4203 Spring 2016 Final Exam Part 1

1. (i) We say $a|b$ (a divides b) if

$$b = ac$$

for some integer c .

(ii) Proposition Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with a and b not both zero. Then

$$(a, b) = \min \{ am + bn : m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ \& } am + bn > 0 \}.$$

(iii)

Suppose $(a, b) = 1$ & $a|bc$.

Since $(a, b) = 1$, $ax + by = 1$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ by (ii)

Since $a|bc$, $bc = ad$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\text{Then } c(ax + by) = c \cdot 1,$$

$$acx + bcy = c,$$

$$acx + ady = c, \quad (\text{since } bc = ad),$$

$$a(cx + dy) = c,$$

and $a|c$ since $c, x, d, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(iv) Suppose p is prime & $p|ab$.

Since p is prime $(a, p) = 1$ or p .

Case 1 $(a, p) = 1$. Then by (iii) $p|b$.

Case 2 $(a, p) = p$. Then $p|a$.

Theref either $p|a$ or $p|b$.

2(i) an integer $p > 1$ is prime if its only positive divisors are 1 & p .

(ii) Suppose by way of contradiction that there is an integer $m > 1$ that has no prime divisor.

By the Well-Ordering Principle there is a smallest such integer say m_0 .
 m_0 is the smallest integer > 1 that has no prime divisor. m_0 is not prime since otherwise it would have a prime divisor namely itself. So m_0 is composite &

$$m_0 = ab$$

for some integers a, b with $1 < a, b < m_0$.

Since m_0 is the smallest positive integer > 1 that has no prime divisor a must have a prime divisor p since $1 < a < m_0$. But

$p \mid a$ & $a \mid m_0$ which implies $p \mid m_0$ and m_0 has a prime divisor which is a contradiction.

Hence every integer > 1 must have at least one prime divisor.

(iii) Suppose by way of contradiction there are only finitely many primes $p_1=2, p_2, \dots, p_r$.

$$\text{Let } N = (p_1 p_2 \dots p_r)^{\text{say}} + 1.$$

Then clearly N is an integer > 1 .

So by (ii) N must have a prime divisor p .

(p. 3)

So $p = p_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq r$.

But

$p \mid N$ & $p = p_j \mid (p_1 p_2 \dots p_r)$ & so

$p \mid (N - p_1 p_2 \dots p_r) = 1$ which is impossible since $p > 1$. So we have a contradiction. Proof has to be infinitely many primes. \square

3

(i) We say a is congruent to b modulo m
& write $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if $m \mid (a-b)$.

(ii) Suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ & $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$.

Then $m \mid (a-b)$ & $m \mid (c-d)$. Hence

$$m \mid c(a-b) + b(c-d) = ac - bd,$$

$$ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}.$$

(iii) An integer n is pseudo-prime if it is composite
and $2^n \equiv 2 \pmod{n}$.

(iv) Clearly $561 = (3)(11)(17)$ is composite
We show $2^{561} \equiv 2 \pmod{m}$ for $m = 3, 11$ & 17 .
This is sufficient since 3, 11, 17 are pairwise
relatively prime.

$$2^2 = 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \quad \&$$

$$2^{561} = (2^2)^{280} 2^1 \equiv 1^{280} \cdot 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}.$$

$$2^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \quad \text{by Fermat's Little Theorem}$$

since $11 \nmid 2$ & 11 is prime.

$$\therefore 2^{561} = (2^{10})^{56} 2^1 \equiv 1^{56} \cdot 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{11}.$$

$$2^{16} \equiv 1 \pmod{17} \quad \text{by Fermat's Little Theorem}$$

since $17 \nmid 2$ & 17 is prime.

$$\therefore 2^{561} = (2^{16})^{35+1} \quad (\text{since } 561 = 16 \cdot 35 + 1)$$

$$\equiv 1^{35} \cdot 2^1 \pmod{17}$$

$$\equiv 2 \pmod{17}.$$

Since 561 is pseudo prime since we have shown $2^{561} \equiv 2 \pmod{m}$ for $m = 3, 11 \& 17$.

4.

(i) Let p be a positive integer.

Suppose $2^p - 1$ is prime. In $2^p - 1 > 1$ & $p > 1$.

Suppose by way of contradiction that p is composite

$$\text{i.e. } p = ab$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 < a, b < p$.

We know $x^b - 1 = (x-1)(1+x+\dots+x^{b-1})$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

\therefore

$$2^p - 1 = (2^a)^b - 1 = (2^a - 1)(1 + 2^a + \dots + 2^{a(b-1)})$$

and $2^a - 1 \mid 2^p - 1$ since

$$1 + 2^a + \dots + 2^{a(b-1)} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

But $1 < a < p$ so $1 < 2^a - 1 < 2^p - 1$
 which contradicts $2^p - 1$ being prime. Hence
 p must be prime.

(ii) Let n be a positive integer and
 suppose

$$2^n + 1$$

is prime. Then

$$n = 2^m \cdot b$$

for some integer $m \geq 0$ and some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ odd, $b \geq 1$.
 We show that $b = 1$.

Suppose by way of contradiction $b \geq 3$.

$$(x+1)(1-x+x^2-\dots+x^{b-1})$$

$$= 1 - x + x^2 - \dots + x^{b-1}$$

$$+ x^2 - x^3 + \dots - x^{b-1} + x^b$$

$$= (1+x^b)$$

$$\text{So } 2^n + 1 = (2^{2^m})^b + 1 = (1 + 2^{2^m})(1 - 2^{2^m} + \dots + (2^{2^m})^{b-1})$$

&

$$1 < 1 + 2^{2^m} \mid 2^n + 1$$

since $1 - 2^{2^m} + \dots + (2^{2^m})^{b-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

But ~~all this~~

$$1 < 1 + 2^{2^m} < 1 + 2^{2^m \cdot b} = 1 + 2^n$$

which contradicts $1 + 2^n$ being prime.

Hence $b = 1$, $n = 2^m$ & n is a power of 2.

5

(i) An arithmetic function $f(n)$ is multiplicative if $f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$ whenever $(m, n) = 1$.

(ii) Suppose m, n are positive integers & $(m, n) = 1$.

Since $(m, n) = 1$, m, n can not both be even. There are 3 cases:

Case 1 m even & n odd.

Then $f(m) = -1$, $f(n) = 1$ and $f(mn) = 1$ since mn is even.

$$f(mn) = -1 = (-1)(1) = f(m)f(n).$$

Case 2 m odd & n even. see Case 1.

Case 3 Both m, n are odd.

Then $f(m) = f(n) = 1$ & $f(mn) = 1$ since mn is odd. So

$$f(mn) = 1 = (1)(1) = f(m)f(n).$$

In all cases $f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$ & f is multiplicative.

(iii) Observe that $g(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$.

g is multiplicative, since f is multiplicative.

by Th 3.1