

MAS 4203 - Homework 3 - Spring 2017

Section 3.1

(p.1)

#4 (p.80)

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n > 0$ . Define the arithmetic function  $\rho$  by  $\rho(1) = 1$  and  $\rho(n) = 2^m$  where  $m$  is the number of distinct prime numbers in the prime factorization of  $n$ .

(a)  $\rho$  is multiplicative but not completely multiplicative.

*Proof.* Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m, n \geq 1$  and suppose  $(m, n) = 1$ . We will show that

$$\rho(mn) = \rho(m)\rho(n).$$

The result is clearly true if  $m$  or  $n = 1$ . We assume  $m, n > 1$ . Suppose there are  $r$  distinct primes in the prime factorization of  $n$  and  $s$  distinct primes in the prime factorization of  $m$ . Then since  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime there are  $r + s$  primes in the factorization of  $mn$ . Hence

$$\rho(mn) = 2^{r+s} = 2^r 2^s = \rho(m)\rho(n).$$

Finally we show that  $\rho$  is not completely multiplicative. Now  $\rho(2) = 2$  and  $\rho(2^2) = 2$  so that  $\rho(2 \cdot 2) \neq \rho(2)\rho(2)$ .  $\square$

(b) Let

$$n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_m^{a_m}$$

be the prime factorization of  $n$ . Then

$$f(n) := \sum_{d|n} \rho(d) = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + 2a_i).$$

*Proof.* First let  $p$  be prime and suppose  $a$  is a positive integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(p^a) &= \sum_{d|p^a} \rho(d) \\ &= \rho(1) + \rho(p) + \rho(p^2) + \cdots + \rho(p^a) \\ &= 1 + 2 + 2 + \cdots + 2 = 1 + 2a. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= f(p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_m^{a_m}) \\ &= f(p_1^{a_1}) f(p_2^{a_2}) \cdots f(p_m^{a_m}) \\ &\quad \text{(since } \rho \text{ and hence } f \text{ are multiplicative by Theorem 3.1)} \\ &= (1 + 2a_1)(1 + 2a_2) \cdots (1 + 2a_m) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + 2a_i). \end{aligned}$$

#6 Suppose  $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is completely multiplicative.

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $n > 1$ .

Let

$$n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_r^{e_r}$$

be a prime factorization. Then

$$f(n) = f(p_1^{e_1}) f(p_2^{e_2}) \dots f(p_r^{e_r}) \quad (\text{since } f \text{ is multiplicative})$$

$$= (f(p_1))^{e_1} (f(p_2))^{e_2} \dots (f(p_r))^{e_r} \quad (\text{since } f \text{ is completely multiplicative})$$

Therefore value of  $f$  at any integer  $> 1$  is completely determined by its value at prime numbers.

NOTE:  $f(1) = 0$  or  $1$  by Exercise 4 proved in class.

If  $f(p) \neq 0$  for some prime  $p$  then

$f(1) = 1$  by Ex 3.

If  $f(p) = 0$  for all primes  $p$  then the value of  $f$  at  $1$  is not determined;  $f(1) = 0$  or  $1$ .

~~41~~ #7 (p.80)

(p.3)

Define  $\lambda(n)$  by

$$\lambda(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1 \\ (-1)^k, & \text{if } n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k \text{ where } p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \\ & \text{are not necessarily distinct prime numbers} \end{cases}$$

Then

(a)  $\lambda(n)$  is completely multiplicative.

*Proof.* Suppose  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers. We show

$$\lambda(mn) = \lambda(m)\lambda(n).$$

If  $m = 1$  then

$$\lambda(mn) = \lambda(n) = 1 \cdot \lambda(n) = \lambda(m)\lambda(n).$$

If  $n = 1$  then the result holds similarly.

Now suppose  $m$  and  $n$  are great than 1. Suppose further that  $m$  is a product of  $k$  (not necessarily distinct) primes and that  $n$  is a product of  $\ell$  (not necessarily distinct) primes. Then it is clear that  $mn$  is a product of  $k + \ell$  (not necessarily distinct) primes and

$$\lambda(mn) = (-1)^{k+\ell} = (-1)^k (-1)^\ell = \lambda(m)\lambda(n).$$

Hence  $\lambda(n)$  is completely multiplicative. □

(b)

$$\sum_{d|n} \lambda(d) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is a perfect square} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We define

$$F(n) = \sum_{d|n} \lambda(d)$$

for positive integers  $n$ . Since  $\lambda$  is multiplicative  $F(n)$  is multiplicative by Theorem 3.1 (p.79).

Now let  $p$  be prime and  $a$  a positive integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(p^a) &= \lambda(1) + \lambda(p) + \lambda(p^2) + \cdots + \lambda(p^a) \\ &= 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + \cdots + (-1)^a. \end{aligned}$$

So when  $a$  is even we have

$$F(p^a) = (1 - 1) + (1 - 1) + \cdots + (1 - 1) + 1 = 1,$$

(P. 4)

and when  $a$  is odd we have

$$F(p^a) = (1 - 1) + (1 - 1) + \dots + (1 - 1) = 0.$$

The result is clearly true when  $n = 1$ . It is also clear that  $n$  is a perfect square iff  $n$  has a prime factorization of the form

$$n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$$

where each  $a_j$  is even. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(n) &= F(p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}) \\
&= F(p_1^{a_1}) F(p_2^{a_2}) \dots F(p_k^{a_k}) \\
&\quad \text{since } F \text{ is multiplicative} \\
&= 1 \cdot 1 \dots 1 \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $n$  is not a perfect square then at least one of the  $a_j$  is odd, say  $a_{j_0}$ . In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(n) &= F(p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_{j_0}^{a_{j_0}} \dots p_k^{a_k}) \\
&= F(p_1^{a_1}) F(p_2^{a_2}) \dots F(p_{j_0}^{a_{j_0}}) \dots F(p_k^{a_k}) \\
&= F(p_1^{a_1}) F(p_2^{a_2}) \dots 0 \dots F(p_k^{a_k}) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Section 3.2

□

(5) # 10(e),(g) (p.84)

(e) Let  $n = 4851$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
n &= 3^2 7^2 11, \\
\phi(n) &= 4851 \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \\
&= 3^2 7^2 11 (2/3)(6/7)(10/11) \\
&= (3)(7)(2)(6)(10) \\
&= 2520.
\end{aligned}$$

(g)

$$\begin{aligned}
15! &= (15)(14)(13)(12)(11)(10)(9)(8)(7)(6)(5)(4)(3)(2)(1) \\
&= 2^{11} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(15!) &= (2^{11} - 2^{10})(3^6 - 3^5)(5^3 - 5^2)(7^2 - 7) \cdot 10 \cdot 12 \\
&= 2^{10} 3^5 (2) 5^2 (4) 7 (6) \cdot 10 \cdot 12 \\
&= 2^{17} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 = 250822656000.
\end{aligned}$$



(p. 5)

~~64~~ #14 (p.85)

(a) There are infinitely many integers  $n$  for which  $\phi(n) = \frac{n}{3}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n = 2^a 3^b$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are any positive integers. Then by Theorem 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(n) &= n \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) \\ &= n \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{3} = \frac{n}{3}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore there are infinitely many integers  $n$  for which  $\phi(n) = \frac{n}{3}$ .  $\square$

(b) There are no integers  $n$  for which  $\phi(n) = \frac{n}{4}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose (by way of contradiction) that  $\phi(n) = \frac{n}{4}$  for some positive integer  $n$ . Then by Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{(p-1)}{p} = \frac{1}{4},$$

and

$$4 \prod_{p|n} (p-1) = \prod_{p|n} p.$$

This implies  $2^2 \mid \prod_{p|n} p$  which is impossible since the highest power of 2 that could divide  $\prod_{p|n} p$  is  $2^1$  by unique prime factorization. We have a contradiction and so there are no integers  $n$  for which  $\phi(n) = \frac{n}{4}$ .  $\square$

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

(iv) #15, p. 85.

Suppose  $\phi(n) = k$  where  $n \geq 2$ .

Suppose  $p$  is prime &  $p | n$  Then by #12  $(p-1) | k$  &  $p-1 \leq k$ ,  $p \leq k+1$ .

Suppose  $p^a | n$  Then by #12

$$p^{a-1} | k, \quad \&$$

$$(a-1) \ln 2 \leq (a-1) \ln p = \ln(p^{a-1}) \leq \ln k, \quad \&$$

$$a \leq \frac{\ln k}{\ln 2} + 1.$$

Let  $n = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_m^{a_m}$  be prime fact.

Then  $\prod_{i=1}^m (p_i - 1) | \phi(n) = k$  by #12,

$$2^{m-1} \leq \prod_{i=1}^m (p_i - 1) \leq k \quad \&$$

$$(m-1) \ln 2 \leq \ln k \quad \& \quad m \leq \frac{\ln k}{\ln 2} + 1.$$

Thus

$$n = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_m^{a_m} \leq \left( (k+1)^{\frac{\ln k + 1}{\ln 2}} \right)^m$$

$$\leq (k+1)^{\left(1 + \frac{\ln k}{\ln 2}\right)^2}$$

$n$  is bounded & therefore there are only finitely many possible  $n$ .

(p.7)

# 16(a) (Ch3, p.85) Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Then

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \leq \phi(n) \leq n.$$

Proof: Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Since

$$\mathbb{Z}_n^\times = \{m : 1 \leq m \leq n, (m, n) = 1\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

we have

$$\phi(n) = |\mathbb{Z}_n^\times| \leq n.$$

Next we show that

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \leq \phi(n).$$

First, we prove that for  $a \geq 1$

$$\phi(p^a) \geq \begin{cases} p^{a/2}, & \text{if } p \text{ is an odd prime, } (*) \\ \frac{1}{2}p^{a/2}, & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Let  $p$  be an odd prime and suppose  $a \geq 1$ . Then

$$p \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{3}-1} \approx 2.4,$$

so that  $\sqrt{3} \leq \sqrt{3}p - p$ ,  $p \leq \sqrt{3}(p-1)$  and

$$\frac{p}{p-1} \leq \sqrt{3}.$$

Since  $p$  is odd

$$p^{a/2} \geq 3^{1/2} \geq \frac{p}{p-1}$$

$$\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) p^{a/2} \geq 1$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) p^a \geq p^{a/2}$$

$$p^a - p^{a-1} \geq p^{a/2}$$

and by Theorem 3.3 we have

$$\phi(p^a) = p^a - p^{a-1} \geq p^{a/2}.$$

Let  $p = 2$  and suppose  $a \geq 1$ . Then  $a \geq a/2$ ,  $a-1 \geq (a/2) - 1$  and

$$\phi(2^a) = 2^a - 2^{a-1} = 2^{a-1} \geq 2^{a/2-1} = \frac{1}{2}2^{a/2}.$$

Hence (\*) holds.

The result is clearly true for  $n = 1$ . Now suppose  $n > 1$  with prime factorization

$$n = 2^a p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r},$$

(p. 8)

21

where  $a \geq 0$ . We note that (\*) also holds when  $a = 0$ . So using (\*) and the fact that  $\phi$  is multiplicative we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(n) &= \phi(2^a)\phi(p_1^{a_1})\phi(p_2^{a_2})\cdots\phi(p_r^{a_r}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}2^{a/2}p_1^{a_1/2}p_2^{a_2/2}\cdots p_r^{a_r/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

# 16(b) (Ch 3, p.85) If  $n$  is composite, then

$$\phi(n) \leq n - \sqrt{n}.$$

Proof: Suppose  $n$  is composite. Then  $n$  has some prime divisor  $p' \leq \sqrt{n}$ , by Prop 1.7 (p.11). We have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{p'} &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \\ -\frac{1}{p'} &\leq -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{p'} &\leq 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(n) &= n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \\ &\leq n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p'}\right) \quad (\text{since each factor } (1 - 1/p) \leq 1) \\ &\leq n \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = n - \sqrt{n}.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\phi(n) \leq n - \sqrt{n}. \quad \square$$

Section 3.3

(p. 9)

# 30 (e)

$$n = 4851 = 3^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^1$$

↳  $\tau(n) = 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 = 18$  by Thm 3.?

(g)

$$n = 15! = 2^{11} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^1 \cdot 13^1$$

$$\tau(n) = 12 \cdot 7 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$$

$$= 4032.$$

# 32 (Ch 3, p.88)  $\nu(n)$  is odd if and only if  $n$  is a perfect square.

Proof: Let

$$n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$$

be the prime factorization of  $n$  where the  $a_i \geq 0$ . Then by Theorem 3.8 we have

$$\nu(n) = \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i + 1).$$

Now  $\nu(n)$  is odd iff each factor  $(a_i + 1)$  is odd; i.e.  $a_i$  is even for all  $i$ . Hence  $\nu(n)$  is odd if and only if  $n$  is a perfect square.  $\square$

# 34 (Ch 3, p.88) Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $k > 1$ . The equation

$$\nu(n) = k \quad (\text{A})$$

has infinitely many solutions.

Proof: Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $k > 1$ . Let  $n = p^{k-1}$ , where  $p$  is any prime. Then by Theorem 3.7

$$\nu(n) = \nu(p^{k-1}) = (k-1) + 1 = k,$$

and  $n = p^{k-1}$  is a solution to (A). Since there are infinitely many primes  $p$  the equation (A) has infinitely many solutions.  $\square$



(P. 11)

LP. 21

Q. #37, p. 89.

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n > 0$ .

Case 1  $n=1$ .  $\tau(1) = 1 \leq 2\sqrt{1} = 2$ .

Case 2  $n=p$  where  $p$  is prime. Then

$$\tau(p) = 2 \leq 2\sqrt{p} \text{ since } p > 2 > 1 \& \sqrt{p} > 1.$$

Case 3  $n$  is composite.

Let  $d$  be any positive divisor of  $n$ .

Then  $n = de$  some  $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$e = \frac{n}{d}$  is also a positive divisor of  $n$ .

We may regroup the positive divisors of  $n$

in pairs  $d$  &  $\frac{n}{d}$  unless  $d = \frac{n}{d}$

&  $d^2 = n$  &  $d = \sqrt{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $d \leq e$  then  $d^2 \leq ed = n$  &  $d \leq \sqrt{n}$ .

Hence # of pairs  $< \sqrt{n}$ . and

$$\tau(n) \leq 2\sqrt{n}.$$

~~(5) #45, p. 91.~~

~~Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k > 0$ , and suppose~~

~~(\*)  $\tau(n) = k$~~

~~where  $n$  is an integer  $> 1$ .~~

~~Then  $1, n$  are positive divisors of  $n$  so that~~

~~$k = \tau(n) \geq 1 + n$ , &~~

~~$n \leq k - 1$ .~~

~~Hence (\*) has finitely many solutions.~~

## Section 3.4

(p.12)

#46. Let  $n > 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Since  $n$  is a positive divisor of  $n$

$$n \leq \sum_{d|n} d = \sigma(n).$$

Any positive divisor  $d$  of  $n$  satisfies  
 $1 \leq d \leq n$

Thus

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} d \leq \sum_{d=1}^n d$$

$$\leq \sum_{d=1}^n n \quad (\text{since each } d \leq n)$$
$$= n \sum_{d=1}^n 1 = n \cdot n = n^2.$$

Therefore

$$n \leq \sigma(n) \leq n^2.$$



(p.13)

# 47 (Ch3, p.91) Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n > 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} \frac{1}{d} = \frac{\sigma(n)}{n}.$$

Proof: Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n > 0$ . As  $d$  runs through the positive divisors of  $n$  so does  $n/d$ . Hence

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} d = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} \frac{n}{d} = n \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} \frac{1}{d}.$$

Dividing by  $n$  we obtain the result.  $\square$

W.E.  
C.P.B.

(6)

#51 (p. 91)

$$(a) \sigma_3(12) = \sum_{d|12} d^3$$

$$= 1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + 4^3 + 6^3 + 12^3$$

$$= 2044$$

$$\sigma_3(8) = \sum_{d|8} d^3$$

$$= 1^3 + 2^3 + 4^3 + 8^3$$

$$= 585$$

Let  $k$  be a positive integer

(b) The function

$f(n) = n^k$  is completely multiplicative  
since  $f(mn) = (mn)^k = m^k n^k = f(m)f(n)$   
if  $m, n$  are positive integers.

Then

$$\sigma_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^k = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$$

is multiplicative by Theorem 3.1.

(c) Let  $p$  be prime &  $a$  be a positive integer.

Then

$$\sigma_k(p^a) = \sum_{d|p^a} d^k$$

$$= 1 + p^k + p^{2k} + \dots + p^{ak}$$



~~(p.15)~~

$$x^{a+1} - 1 = (x-1)(x^a + x^{a-1} + \dots + x + 1) \quad \&$$

$$1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^a = \frac{x^{a+1} - 1}{x-1} \quad \text{for } x \neq 1.$$

Hence by letting  $x = p^k$  we see that

$$\sigma_k(p^a) = \frac{p^{k(a+1)} - 1}{p^k - 1}.$$

(d) Let  $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_r^{a_r}$  be a prime factorization.  
Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k(n) &= \sigma_k(p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_r^{a_r}) \\ &= \sigma_k(p_1^{a_1}) \sigma_k(p_2^{a_2}) \dots \sigma_k(p_r^{a_r}) \quad (\text{since } \sigma_k(n) \\ &\quad \text{is multiplicative}) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{p_j^{k(a_j+1)} - 1}{(p_j^k - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

# Section 3.5

(p.16)

<sup>8</sup>  
 $n/d$ . Hence

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} d = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} \frac{n}{d} = n \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} \frac{1}{d}$$

Dividing by  $n$  we obtain the result.  $\square$

# 53 p.95 Let  $n$  be a perfect number. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} \frac{1}{d} = 2.$$

Proof: Let  $n$  be a perfect number. Then

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} d = 2n.$$

From the previous problem we have

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} \frac{1}{d} = \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \frac{2n}{n} = 2. \quad \square$$

# 55 p.95 Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m$  be distinct even perfect numbers. Then

$$\phi(n_1 n_2 \cdots n_m) = 2^{m-1} \phi(n_1) \phi(n_2) \cdots \phi(n_m).$$

Proof: Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m$  be distinct even perfect numbers. Then

$$n_i = 2^{p_i-1} (2^{p_i} - 1) \quad (\text{by Theorem 3.12}),$$

for each  $i$ , where each  $p_i$  is prime and  $(2^{p_i} - 1)$  is prime. It is clear that the  $p_i$  are distinct and hence the  $2^{p_i} - 1$  are distinct primes. Now, for each  $i$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n_i) &= \phi(2^{p_i-1} (2^{p_i} - 1)) \\ &= \phi(2^{p_i-1}) \phi(2^{p_i} - 1) \quad (\text{since } \phi \text{ is multiplicative and the two factors are relatively prime}) \\ &= (2^{p_i-1} - 2^{p_i-2}) \phi(2^{p_i} - 1) \\ &= 2^{p_i-2} \phi(2^{p_i} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n_1 n_2 \cdots n_m) &= \phi(2^{p_1-1} (2^{p_1} - 1) 2^{p_2-1} (2^{p_2} - 1) \cdots 2^{p_m-1} (2^{p_m} - 1)) \\ &= \phi(2^{p_1+p_2+\cdots+p_m-m} (2^{p_1} - 1) (2^{p_2} - 1) \cdots (2^{p_m} - 1)) \\ &= \phi(2^{p_1+p_2+\cdots+p_m-m}) \phi(2^{p_1} - 1) \phi(2^{p_2} - 1) \cdots \phi(2^{p_m} - 1) \quad (\text{since } \phi \text{ is multiplicative}) \\ &= 2^{p_1+p_2+\cdots+p_m-m-1} \phi(2^{p_1} - 1) \phi(2^{p_2} - 1) \cdots \phi(2^{p_m} - 1) \\ &= 2^{(p_1-2)+(p_2-2)+\cdots+(p_m-2)+m-1} \phi(2^{p_1} - 1) \phi(2^{p_2} - 1) \cdots \phi(2^{p_m} - 1) \\ &= 2^{m-1} 2^{p_1-2} \phi(2^{p_1} - 1) 2^{p_2-2} \phi(2^{p_2} - 1) \cdots 2^{p_m-2} \phi(2^{p_m} - 1) \\ &= 2^{m-1} \phi(n_1) \phi(n_2) \cdots \phi(n_m), \end{aligned}$$

by (B), and this gives the desired result.  $\square$



(8) #59, p.95

$$(a) \quad \sigma(16) = \frac{2^5 - 1}{2 - 1} = 31.$$

$$\sigma(31) = 1 + 31 = 32 = 2 \cdot 16.$$

↳  $\sigma(\sigma(16)) = 2 \cdot 16$  & 16 is super perfect.

(b) Suppose  $2^p - 1$  is Mersenne prime.

$$\sigma(2^p - 1) = \frac{2^p - 1}{2 - 1} = 2^p - 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(2^p - 1) &= 1 + (2^p - 1) \quad (\text{since } 2^p - 1 \text{ is prime}) \\ &= 2^p = 2 \cdot 2^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof

$$\sigma(\sigma(2^p - 1)) = 2 \cdot 2^{p-1} \text{ \& } 2^p \text{ is super perfect.}$$

(c) Suppose  $2^{a+1}$  is super perfect. Then

$$\sigma(\sigma(2^a)) = 2^{a+1}.$$

$$\text{But } \sigma(2^a) = \frac{2^{a+1} - 1}{2 - 1} = 2^{a+1} - 1.$$

Proof

$$\sigma(2^{a+1} - 1) = 2^{a+1}.$$

Then  $2^{a+1} - 1$  is prime hence a Mersenne prime

since if  $2^{a+1} - 1$  is not prime

$$\sigma(2^{a+1} - 1) > 1 + 2^{a+1} = 2^{a+2}.$$