

Homework 4

(1)

Ex 3.6

#64

Let $f(n) = |\mu(n)|$.

Let $(m, n) = 1, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(mn) &= |\mu(mn)| \\ &= |\mu(m)\mu(n)| \quad (\text{since } \mu \text{ is multiplicative}) \\ &= |\mu(m)| |\mu(n)| \\ &= f(m) f(n) \end{aligned}$$

& f is multiplicative. So by Thm 3.1,

$$F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d) = \sum_{d|n} |\mu(d)|$$

is multiplicative. Let p be prime & $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(p^a) &= \sum_{d|p^a} |\mu(d)| \\ &= |\mu(1)| + |\mu(p)| + |\mu(p^2)| + \dots + |\mu(p^a)| \\ &= |1| + |-1| + 0 + \dots + 0 \\ &= 2. \quad w(n) \end{aligned}$$

We show $F(n) = 2^{w(n)}$

where $w(n) = \#$ of distinct prime divisors of n .

Now $F(1) = 1 = 2^0 = 2^{w(1)}$ & result true for $n=1$.

Now suppose $n > 1$ & $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$ is a prime factorization. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(n) &= F(p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}) \\ &= F(p_1^{a_1}) F(p_2^{a_2}) \dots F(p_k^{a_k}) \quad (\text{since } F \text{ is multiplicative}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \overbrace{2 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot 2}^{k \text{ times}} \\
 &= 2^k \\
 &= 2^{\omega(n)}
 \end{aligned}
 \quad \text{(by result for } F(p^a) \text{)}^{(2)}$$

$$\# \quad F(n) = \sum_{d|n} |\mu(d)| = 2^{\omega(n)} \quad \text{for all } n > 1.$$

#65 First we show

$$\ln n = \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d).$$

The result is true for $n=1$ since $\ln 1 = 0$.

Suppose $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$
 be a prime factorization of $n > 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ln n &= \ln(p_1^{a_1} \dots p_k^{a_k}) \\
 &= a_1 \ln(p_1) + \dots + a_k \ln(p_k) \\
 &= a_1 \ln(p_1) + \dots + a_k \ln(p_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{d|p_j^{a_j}} \Lambda(d) &= \Lambda(1) + \Lambda(p_j) + \dots + \Lambda(p_j^{a_j}) \\
 &= 0 + \ln(p_j) + \dots + \ln(p_j) \\
 &= a_j \ln(p_j).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\# \quad \ln n = \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{d|p_j^{a_j}} \Lambda(d) = \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d)$$

(since $\Lambda(m) = 0$ if $m \neq p^a$)

(3)

Here $\ln n = \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d)$ for all $n > 1$.

By Möbius Inversion,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(n) &= \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \ln\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) (\ln n - \ln d) \\ &= \ln n \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) - \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \ln d \\ &= - \sum_{d|n} (\ln d) \mu(d) \end{aligned}$$

since $\ln n = 0$ if $n=1$ &
 $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$ if $n > 1$.

Therefore $\Lambda(n) = - \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \ln d$.

#66 Let $g(n) = f(n) \mu(n)$ since f is mult.

Let $(m, n) = 1$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(mn) &= f(mn) \mu(mn) \\ &= f(m) f(n) \mu(m) \mu(n) \text{ since } f, \mu \text{ are mult.} \\ &= (f(m) \mu(m)) (f(n) \mu(n)) \\ &= g(m) g(n) \end{aligned}$$

g is multiplicative.

Now assume f is multiplicative & $f(1) = 1$.

(4)

#68

Suppose $F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$ ($n \geq 1$)

where f is an arithmetic function &

F is multiplicative. Then by Möbius Inverse

$$(*) \quad f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) F\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \text{ for } n \geq 1$$

We proceed as into proof of Theorem 3.1.

Suppose m, n are relatively prime positive integers.

$$f(mn) = \sum_{d|mn} \mu(d) F\left(\frac{mn}{d}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{d_1|m} \sum_{d_2|n} \mu(d_1 d_2) F\left(\frac{mn}{d_1 d_2}\right) \quad (\text{by Ex 8. Sect. 3.1})$$

$$= \sum_{d_1|m} \sum_{d_2|n} \mu(d_1) \mu(d_2) F\left(\frac{m}{d_1}\right) F\left(\frac{n}{d_2}\right) \quad (\text{since if } d_1|m \text{ \& } d_2|n$$

then $(d_1, d_2) = 1$ since $(m, n) = 1$
and since both μ & F are multiplicative)

$$= \sum_{d_1|m} \mu(d_1) F\left(\frac{m}{d_1}\right) \sum_{d_2|n} \mu(d_2) F\left(\frac{n}{d_2}\right)$$

$$= f(m) f(n) \quad (\text{again by } (*))$$

Hence f is multiplicative.

(5)

5(a)

x	$x^2 \pmod{7}$	x	$x^2 \pmod{11}$
± 1	1	± 1	1
± 2	4	± 2	4
± 3	$9 \equiv 2$	± 3	9
		± 4	$16 \equiv 5$
		± 5	$25 \equiv 3$

$$x^2 \equiv 23 \equiv 2 \pmod{7} \Leftrightarrow x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{7}$$

$$x^2 \equiv 23 \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \Leftrightarrow x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{11}$$

$$x^2 \equiv 23 \pmod{77} \text{ iff } x^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{7} \text{ \& } x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$$

$$\text{iff } x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{7} \text{ \& } x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{11}$$

We use CRT to solve

$$(*) \begin{cases} x \equiv b_1 \pmod{7} \\ x \equiv b_2 \pmod{11} \end{cases}$$

$$m_1 = 7, \quad m_2 = 11, \quad M = 77$$

$$M_1 = 11, \quad M_2 = 7$$

$$x_1 M_1 \equiv 11x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}, \quad 4x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}, \quad x_1 = 2$$

$$x_2 M_2 \equiv 7x_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{11}, \quad x_2 = 8$$

$$\text{Soln to } (*) \Leftrightarrow x \equiv x_1 M_1 b_1 + x_2 M_2 b_2$$

$$\equiv 2 \cdot 11 \cdot b_1 + 8 \cdot 7 \cdot b_2 \pmod{77}$$

$$b_1 = \pm 3, \quad b_2 = \pm 1$$

$$\text{So } x \equiv 22b_1 + 56b_2 \pmod{77}$$

$$\equiv 122, 10, -10, -122$$

$$\equiv 45, 10, 67, 32$$

$$\equiv 10, 32, 45, 67 \pmod{77}$$

(6)

~~$2n+1 = p$ if $n = \frac{p-1}{2}$.
 It follows that $6S \equiv n(n+1)(2n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ &
 $S \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ since $p > 3$ & $(6, p) = 1$.
 So S is divisible by p .~~

7 (b) Let p be an odd prime $p > 5$.
 By #6

$T =$ Sum of 4th powers of the q.n.r. mod p

$$\equiv \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} k^2 - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k=q \cdot 1 \pmod{p}}}^{p-1} k^2$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} k^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} j^4$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} p(2p-1)(p-1) - \frac{1}{480} p(p-1)(p+1)(3p^2-7)$$

since $\sum_{j=1}^n j^4 = \frac{1}{30} n(2n+1)(n+1)(3n^2+3n-1)$.

$$\equiv -\frac{1}{480} p(p-1)(3p^2+3p-16p+7)$$

So $480 T \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$
 & $T \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ since $480 = 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$
 & $(p, 480) = 1$ since $p > 5$.

Thus T is divisible by p .

(7)

#8 Let p be an odd prime.

$P =$ product of q.r. mod p

$$\equiv 1^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-1)^2 \pmod{p} \text{ (by \#6)}$$

$$\equiv [(p-1)!]^2 \pmod{p}$$

$$\equiv (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} \pmod{p} \text{ (by Prob. 47(a) Ch. 2)}$$

So if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $p = 4k+1$ some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ &
 $(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} = (-1)^{2k+1} = -1$ &

$$P \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $p = 4k+3$ some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$ &
 $(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} = (-1)^{2k+2} = +1$, &

$$P \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Since any odd $p \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ we see that
 $P \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ iff $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

~~#10(b) Note $4a(ax^2+bx+c) = 4a^2 + 4abx + 4ac$
 $= (2a+b)^2 + (4ac - b^2)$.~~

~~$x^2 + x \equiv 3 \pmod{13}$~~

~~$\Leftrightarrow 4(x^2+x) \equiv 12 \pmod{13}$ (since $(4,13)=1$)~~

~~$\Leftrightarrow (2x+1)^2 - 1 \equiv 12 \pmod{13}$~~

~~$\Leftrightarrow (2x+1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$~~

~~$\Leftrightarrow 2x+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$~~

~~$\Leftrightarrow 2x \equiv -1 \equiv 12 \pmod{13} \Leftrightarrow x \equiv 6 \pmod{13}$ (since $(2,13)=1$)~~

Ex 4.2

(2)

(129) Let $a=11, p=23$. Then $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)=11$.

We want to calculate $11^{11} \pmod{23}$.

$$11^2 = 121 \equiv 6 \pmod{23}.$$

$$11^4 \equiv 36 \equiv 13 \pmod{23}.$$

$$11^8 \equiv 13^2 \equiv 169 \equiv 8 \pmod{23}.$$

$$11^{10} = 11^2 \cdot 11^8 \equiv 6 \cdot 8 \equiv 48 \equiv 2 \pmod{23},$$

$$11^{11} \equiv 2 \cdot 11 \equiv -1 \pmod{23}.$$

By Euler's Criterion,

$$\left(\frac{11}{23}\right) \equiv 11^{11} \equiv -1 \pmod{23},$$

& it

$$\text{follows that } \left(\frac{11}{23}\right) = -1.$$

(9)

Ex 4.2

#12(b) Use Euler's Criterion to evaluate $\left(\frac{-6}{11}\right)$.

$p = 11, a = -6$ & $\frac{p-1}{2} = 5$.

$a^5 = (-6)^5 = -6^5$

$6^2 = 36 \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$.

$6^4 \equiv 9 \pmod{11}$

$6^5 \equiv 54 \equiv -1 \pmod{11}$ &

$a^5 = (-6)^5 \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$.

So $\left(\frac{-6}{11}\right) \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$.

Since 11 is an odd prime this implies that $\left(\frac{-6}{11}\right) = 1$.

#13(b) Use Gauss' Lemma to evaluate $\left(\frac{-5}{11}\right)$.

$(-5), 2(-5), 3(-5), 4(-5), 5(-5)$

$= -5, -10, -15, -20, -25$

$\equiv (6), 1, (7), 2, (8) \pmod{11}$

$\frac{p}{2} = 5\frac{1}{2}$

$n = 3$ &

$\left(\frac{-5}{11}\right) = (-1)^3 = -1$.

(17)

(a) Let p be an odd prime, a, b be
g.n.r. mod p . Then

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{b}{p}\right) = -1. \quad \text{So}$$

$$\left(\frac{ab}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \left(\frac{b}{p}\right) = (-1)(-1) = 1.$$

Hence ab is g.r. mod p &
 $x^2 \equiv ab \pmod{p}$ is solvable

(b) This statement is false.

Let p, q be distinct odd primes &
 b be g.n.r. of both p, q .

If $x^2 \equiv b \pmod{pq}$ then $x \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$pq \mid (x^2 - b) \quad \& \quad p \mid x^2 - b \quad \&$$

$$x^2 \equiv b \pmod{p}$$

which contradicts b be a g.n.r. mod p .

Hence $x^2 \equiv b \pmod{pq}$ is not solvable
for any g.n.r. b of p, q .

(18) Let p be an odd prime, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose $p \nmid a$ & $p \nmid b$.
We have the following TABLE:

$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$	$\left(\frac{b}{p}\right)$	$\left(\frac{ab}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)\left(\frac{b}{p}\right)$
1	1	1
1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

From the TABLE we see that that either $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{b}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{ab}{p}\right) = 1$ or

exactly one of $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right), \left(\frac{b}{p}\right), \left(\frac{ab}{p}\right) = 1$.

So among the congruences $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$, $x^2 \equiv b \pmod{p}$, $x^2 \equiv ab \pmod{p}$ either all three are solvable or exactly one is solvable.

#14 (e)

$$\left(\frac{-2}{61}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{61}\right) \left(\frac{2}{61}\right)$$

$$= (+1)(-1) = -1.$$

since $61 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ & $61 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$

#16(a)

$$\left(\frac{-1}{7}\right) = -1 \text{ since } 7 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$$

So there are no integers n : $n^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{7}$

i.e. There are no positive integers n such that $7 \mid (n^2 + 1)$.

#17(a) Let p be an odd prime & let a, b be quadratic nonresidues mod p .

$$\text{Then } \left(\frac{ab}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \left(\frac{b}{p}\right) = (-1)(-1) = +1.$$

Hence the congruence equation

$$x^2 \equiv ab \pmod{p} \text{ has a solution } x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

#20 Let a be a positive integer, p an odd prime & suppose $p \nmid a$.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{aj}{p}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \left(\frac{j}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{j}{p}\right).$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{j}{p}\right) = 0 \text{ since } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ of } \left(\frac{j}{p}\right) = +1$$

$$\text{ \& } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ of } \left(\frac{j}{p}\right) = -1$$

$$1 \leq j \leq p-1.$$

Hence $\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \binom{aj}{p} = \binom{a}{p} \cdot 0 = 0.$

(13) ~~(3)~~

#21 For each $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ let

\bar{j} be the multiplicative inverse of $j \pmod{p}$ such
 $1 \leq \bar{j} \leq p-1$ & $j\bar{j} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$

Now $\binom{1}{p} = 1$ & ~~$\binom{j}{p} = \binom{\bar{j}}{p}$~~

$1 = \binom{1}{p} = \binom{j\bar{j}}{p} = \binom{j}{p} \binom{\bar{j}}{p}$ &

$\binom{\bar{j}}{p} = \binom{j}{p}$ since $\binom{j}{p}, \binom{\bar{j}}{p} = \pm 1.$

Thus $\sum_{j=1}^{p-2} \binom{j(j+1)}{p} = \sum_{j=1}^{p-2} \binom{j}{p} \binom{j+1}{p}$

$= \sum_{j=1}^{p-2} \binom{\bar{j}}{p} \binom{\bar{j}+1}{p}$ (since $\binom{j}{p} = \binom{\bar{j}}{p}$)

$= \sum_{j=1}^{p-2} \binom{\bar{j}+1}{p}$

$= \sum_{j=1}^{p-2} \binom{j+1}{p}$ (since $j\bar{j} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$)

$= \sum_{k=2}^{p-1} \binom{k}{p}$ since $\overline{(p-1)} = (p-1)$ & $\bar{1} = 1$
 so $2 \leq \bar{j} \leq p-2$

(14)(b)

and j runs thro de residues $1, 2, \dots, p-2$
 \uparrow runs thro $\dots \dots \dots 1, 2, \dots, p-2$
 in same order (note $i \equiv j \pmod{p} \iff \bar{i} \equiv \bar{j} \pmod{p}$)
 (since mult. inv. is unique mod p)

$$\text{Hence } \sum_{j=1}^{p-2} \left(\frac{j(j+1)}{p} \right) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{k}{p} \right) \right) - \left(\frac{1}{p} \right)$$

$$= 0 - 1 = -1$$

note the result $\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{k}{p} \right) = 0$

was proved in #20.

#24

(a) Let $p \geq 7$ be prime, so that $p \nmid 2, 5, 10$.

$$\left(\frac{10}{p} \right) = \left(\frac{2 \cdot 5}{p} \right) = \left(\frac{2}{p} \right) \left(\frac{5}{p} \right)$$

So $p \nmid 2, 5, 10$. At least one of $2, 5, 10$ must be a q.v. mod p since

$$\text{otherwise } \left| \left(\frac{2}{p} \right), \left(\frac{5}{p} \right), \left(\frac{10}{p} \right) \right| = -1 \text{ \& } -1 = (-1)(-1)$$

which is impossible.

(b) $\left(\frac{2}{p} \right) \left(\frac{5}{p} \right) \left(\frac{10}{p} \right) = +1$

So it is not possible that exactly two of $2, 5, 10$ are q.v. mod p since if they were $(+1)(+1)(-1) = -1$ which is impossible.

#22

Suppose p is prime & $b \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Then clearly p is odd.

We know from #20 that

$$\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 0.$$

Let $\frac{p+1}{2} \leq j \leq p-1$ then $1 \leq p-j \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$

$$\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) + \sum_{j=\frac{p+1}{2}}^{p-1} \left(\frac{j}{p}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) + \sum_{j=\lfloor \frac{p+1}{2} \rfloor}^{p-1} \left(\frac{-(p-j)}{p}\right)$$

since $\text{gcd}(p, p) = p$

$$-(p-j) = j - p \equiv j \pmod{p}.$$

$$= \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) + \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$$

$$\left[\text{since } \left(\frac{-(p-j)}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{p-j}{p}\right) \right.$$

$$= \left(\frac{p-j}{p}\right) \text{ since } b \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

and as j runs thru $\frac{p+1}{2}, \dots, p-1$

$$\text{Therefore } 2 \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 0 \quad \& \quad \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 0. \quad \left. \right]$$

#23

(116)

Let p be an odd prime & suppose n is g.r. mod p . Then $p \nmid n$ & $p \nmid d$ for any divisor d of n .

Suppose $d \mid n$ & $d > 0$.

Then $\frac{n}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$ & $\frac{n}{d} \mid n$.

$$\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) \left(\frac{\frac{n}{d}}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -1$$

since n is g.r. mod p . \neq

$$\left(\frac{\frac{n}{d}}{p}\right) = - \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) \quad (*)$$

By Euler's Crit.

$$\sum_{d \mid n} d^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv \sum_{d \mid n} \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) \pmod{p}.$$

$$\text{But } \sum_{d \mid n} \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = \sum_{d \mid n} \left(\frac{n/d}{p}\right) \quad (\text{since as } d \text{ runs thro divisors of } n \text{ so does } n/d)$$

$$= - \sum_{d \mid n} \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) \quad (\text{by } (*))$$

$$\text{Hence } \sum_{d \mid n} \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = 0 \quad \&$$

$$\therefore \sum_{d \mid n} d^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

(17) ~~17~~

and j runs thro de residues $1, 2, \dots, p-2$
 \downarrow
 j runs thro $\dots \dots \dots 1, 2, \dots, p-2$
 in some order (Note $i \equiv j \pmod{p} \iff \bar{i} \equiv \bar{j} \pmod{p}$)
 (since mult. inv. is unique mod p)

Hence
$$\sum_{j=1}^{p-2} \left(\frac{j(j+1)}{p} \right) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{k}{p} \right) \right) - \left(\frac{1}{p} \right)$$

$$= 0 - 1 = -1$$

Note the result
$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{k}{p} \right) = 0$$

was proved in #20.

#24

(a) Let $p \geq 7$ be prime, so that $p \geq 11$.

$$\left(\frac{10}{p} \right) = \left(\frac{2 \cdot 5}{p} \right) = \left(\frac{2}{p} \right) \left(\frac{5}{p} \right)$$

↳ $p \nmid 2, 5, 10$. At least one of $2, 5, 10$ must be a q.v. mod p since

otherwise $\left(\frac{2}{p} \right), \left(\frac{5}{p} \right), \left(\frac{10}{p} \right) = -1$ & $-1 = (-1)(-1)$ which is impossible.

(b)
$$\left(\frac{2}{p} \right) \left(\frac{5}{p} \right) \left(\frac{10}{p} \right) = +1.$$

↳ it is not possible that exactly two of $2, 5, 10$ are q.v. mod p since if they were $(+1)(+1)(-1) = -1$ which is impossible.

(18) ~~(5)~~

(c) At least one of $a=2, 5$ or 10 is a g.r. mod p .

CASE 1 $a=2$ Then $1, 2$ are g.r. mod p (since $1^2 \equiv 1$).

CASE 2 $a=5$ Then $4, 5$ are g.r. mod p (since $4=2^2$).

CASE 3 $a=10$ Then $9, 10$ are g.r. mod p (since $9=3^2$).

In all cases there are at least two consecutive g.r. mod p .

#26 PROVED IN CASES. #25 See NEXT page

#27 Let p, q be prime numbers with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$
& $q = 2p + 1$. Then clearly p, q are distinct
odd primes.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $p=3$. Then $q=7$ and
 $2^3 - 1 = 2^p - 1 = 7$ is a Mersenne prime

(\Rightarrow) Suppose $2^p - 1$ is a Mersenne prime.
Approach by way of quadratic: $t = 2^p - 1$ & $p \neq 3$.
We show that $q \mid 2^p - 1$.

Now $p = 4k + 3$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ &

$$q = 2p + 1 = 2(4k + 3) + 1 = 8n + 7 \text{ \&}$$

$$q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}. \text{ None}$$

$$\left(\frac{2}{q}\right) = +1.$$

$$\text{But by Euler } \left(\frac{2}{q}\right) \equiv 2^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = 2^p \pmod{q}$$

& $2^p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ & $q \mid 2^p - 1$ &
 $q = 2^p - 1$ since $2^p - 1$ is prime.

$$\text{But } 2^p = (1+1)^p = 1 + \binom{p}{1} + \dots + \binom{p}{p-1} + 1 \\ > 2 + 2^p \quad (\text{since } p > 3)$$

and $2^p - 1 > 2p + 1 = 9$ ~~(Contrad.)~~
Hence $p = 3$.

#25 Let p be prime with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Then p is clearly odd.

By Problem #49 (Ch. 2 p. 63)

which follows for #47,

$$\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Let n be the # of q.n.r. mod $p < p/2$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

Then it follows that

$$\binom{1}{p} \binom{2}{p} \dots \binom{(p-1)/2}{p} = (-1)^n$$

since n of the terms in the product will be (-1) & the rest $(+1)$. But

$$\binom{1}{p} \binom{2}{p} \dots \binom{(p-1)/2}{p} = \frac{\left(\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right)}{p}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \end{cases}$$

since $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. So

$$\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! \equiv (-1)^n \pmod{p}.$$