

Jacobians, Directional Derivatives, and the Chain Rule.

Suppose f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q are functions of p variables x_1, \dots, x_p ; thus for $1 \leq i \leq q$, $f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)$ is some real number. For a given point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbf{R}^p$, we can assemble the numbers $f_1(\mathbf{x}), f_2(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_q(\mathbf{x})$ into a q -component column vector $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$. (We will also write \mathbf{x} as a column vector below.) Thus we obtain a map

$$\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q.$$

(If one or more of the f_i 's is not defined at every point of \mathbf{R}^p , we actually get a function whose domain is just a subset of \mathbf{R}^p , not all of \mathbf{R}^p .) This is an important and sophisticated perspective on which much of advanced calculus is based. For what we do below, it is best to write points in \mathbf{R}^p and \mathbf{R}^q as column vectors, rather than row vectors.

We call $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$ *differentiable* at a point $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}^p$ if all the partial derivatives $\partial f_i / \partial x_j$ ($1 \leq i \leq q, 1 \leq j \leq p$) exist at $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{a}$ and are continuous there. (Technically this definition is not quite right, but it will suffice for us.) At each such \mathbf{a} , we define a $q \times p$ matrix $J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{a})$, called the *Jacobian* of \mathbf{f} at \mathbf{a} ; it is defined by

$$(J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{a}))_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{a}).$$

The phrase “ $J_{\mathbf{f}}$ at \mathbf{a} ” means $J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{a})$. If $A = J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{a})$, the associated linear map $L_A : \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$ is called the *derivative* (or sometimes the *differential*) of \mathbf{f} at \mathbf{a} , and we will write it as

$$D_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q.$$

Exercises.

1. Let $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ be defined by $f_1 \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = x + y + z$, $f_2 \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = x^2 + y^3 - z^4$.

(i) Compute $J_{\mathbf{f}}$ at a general point $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^3$. (ii) Compute $J_{\mathbf{f}}$ at the point $\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

2. Let $\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ be defined by $g_1(t) = 3t^2$, $g_2(t) = 2t$, $g_3(t) = \cos(t - 1)$. (i) Compute $J_{\mathbf{g}}(t)$ for general t . (ii) Compute $J_{\mathbf{g}}(t)$ at $t = 1$.

3. Let A be a $q \times p$ matrix and let $h = L_A : \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$ be the associated linear map. Compute $J_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{a})$ for all $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}^p$.

Suppose $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$ is differentiable at a point $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}^p$, and that $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{R}^p$ is some vector. (In this handout, “points in \mathbf{R}^p ” are no different from “vectors in \mathbf{R}^p ”; we’re calling \mathbf{a} a point and \mathbf{u} a vector only because of the very different roles that \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{u} play in the next sentence.) The *directional derivative of \mathbf{f} at \mathbf{a} in the direction \mathbf{u}* is the vector in \mathbf{R}^q given by the matrix product of the $q \times p$ matrix of $J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{a})$ and the $p \times 1$ matrix (i.e. column vector) \mathbf{u} :

$$(D_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{f})(\mathbf{u}) = J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{a}) \mathbf{u}.$$

Note that *derivative* and *directional derivative*, as defined in this handout, are related but not identical concepts. (Further warning: the notation for directional derivative varies from book to book. Many authors write the above as $(D_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{f})(\mathbf{a})$ or $D_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})$. Some use a lower case d instead of a D . Similarly, many authors write $D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})$ or $d\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})$ for the derivative of \mathbf{f} at \mathbf{a} .)

Exercises.

4. Check that when $q = 1$ and $p = 2$ or 3 the definition above gives the directional derivative you learned in calculus III. (You may have been told in calc. III that \mathbf{u} should be a unit vector, but in more advanced calculus this restriction is dropped, so that the nature of the derivative as a linear transformation can show through.)

5. Let \mathbf{f} be as in problem 1. Compute the directional derivative of \mathbf{f} at $\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ in the direction $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Suppose $\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$ and $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$ are functions. Then we can form the composition $\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g} : \mathbf{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$:

$$(\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g})(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})).$$

The *chain rule* for functions of several variables is a theorem about derivatives of compositions of differentiable functions. In calc. I, you learned the chain rule for the case $p = q = s = 1$. In calc. III, you learned it for values of p, q, s from 1 to 3. These are baby versions of the true chain rule, which works for all p, q, s . The chain rule is most easily expressed in terms of matrix multiplication of Jacobians. Specifically, it says this:

Chain Rule Theorem: *Let $\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$ be differentiable at $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}^s$, and let $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$ be differentiable at $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathbf{R}^p$. Then $\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g} : \mathbf{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$ is differentiable at \mathbf{a} , and its Jacobian is given by the matrix product*

$$J_{\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{a}) = J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a})) J_{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{a}). \tag{1}$$

(We will not prove this theorem.) Again note that the matrices involved are of the right size to make the equation above sensible.

Exercises.

6. In the Chain Rule Theorem, suppose $f = L_A$ and $g = L_B$ are the linear maps associated to matrices A, B of the appropriate size. In view of your answer to exercise 3, to what familiar formula does equation (1) reduce in this case?

7. (i) Let \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} be as in exercises 1 and 2, and let $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}$. Without computing the function \mathbf{h} explicitly, compute its Jacobian at $t = 1$. (ii) Let $h_i(t), 1 \leq i \leq 2$, be the component functions of \mathbf{h} . From your answer to (i), evaluate dh_1/dt and dh_2/dt at $t = 1$.