

## 6.2 Pivoting Strategies

### 1) Problems with small pivots

We saw that if  $a_{kk} = 0$  at some point we have to find an element in the same column  $a_{pk} \neq 0$  and we have to interchange

$$(E_k) \leftrightarrow (E_p)$$

If  $a_{kk} \neq 0$  but small (in abs. value) then the multipliers

$$m_{jk} = \frac{a_{jk}}{a_{kk}}$$

will be much larger than one. Round off errors introduced in the computation of some of the elements  $a_{kk}$  is multiplied by  $m_{jk}$  which compounds the original error.

Also, in the backward substitution

$$x_k = \frac{b_k - a_{kn}x_n - \dots - a_{k+1,n}x_{k+1}}{a_{kk}}$$

with small value of  $a_{kk}$  any error in the numerator can be dramatically increased.

Ex. Use Gaussian elimination and a three-digit chopping arithmetic to solve the following linear system

$$0.03x_1 + 58.9x_2 = 59.2 \\ 5.31x_1 - 6.10x_2 = 47.0$$

Actual solution (10, 1).

Multiply the first row by

$$m_{21} = \frac{5.31}{0.03} = 177$$

Since  $a_{11} = 0.03$  - small,  $m_{21}$  - large.  
Multiplying the first row by -177

Actual:  $5.31x_1 + 10425.3x_2 = 10478.4$

Chopped  $5.31x_1 + 10400x_2 = 10400$

Multiplying by (-1) and adding to second row

Actual  $-10431.4x_2 = -10431.4 \Rightarrow x_2 = 1$

Chopped  $-10400x_2 = -10300 \\ \Rightarrow x_2 = 0.990$

Performing back substitution on the  
chopped system

$$0.03x_1 + 58.9x_2 = 59.2$$

$$10400x_2 = 10300$$

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= \frac{59.2 - 58.9 \times 0.99}{0.03} = \frac{59.2 - 58.3}{0.03} = \\&= \frac{0.9}{0.03} = 30 \quad (\text{Exact value } x_1 = 10)\end{aligned}$$

Thus the small error of -0.01 in  $x_2$   
is multiplied by

$$\frac{58.9}{0.03} = 1963$$

which ruins the approximation of  $x_1$ .

This example shows what difficulties  
arise if the pivot element  $a_{kk}$  is  
small, relative to the other entries.

## 2) Partial Pivoting

To avoid the problem described above, pivoting  
is performed by selecting a larger  
element  $a_{pq}$  for pivot and interchanging  
rows  $(E_k) \leftrightarrow (E_p)$  followed by interchanging

$k^{\text{th}}$  and  $q^{\text{th}}$  columns if necessary.

Strategy: Select an element in the column below the diagonal and has largest absolute value, that is determine smallest  $p > k$  such that

$$|a_{pk}| = \max_{k \leq l \leq n} |a_{lk}|$$

and perform  $(E_k) \leftrightarrow (E_p)$ . In this case no column interchange.

Ex: Reconsider the system

$$0.03x_1 + 58.9x_2 = 59.2$$

$$5.31x_1 - 6.10x_2 = 47.0$$

Instead of using 0.03 as a pivot we look in the column below it for the largest in abs. value coefficient.

That is 5.31. We will use that as pivot. Thus, we begin by interchanging  $(E_1) \leftrightarrow (E_2)$

$$5.31x_1 - 6.10x_2 = 47$$

$$0.03x_1 + 58.9x_2 = 59.2$$

Multiply the first row by

$$m_{21} = \frac{0.03}{5.31} = 0.00564$$

Multiplying the first row by  $m_{21}$  we get

Chopped:  $0.03 x_1 - 0.0344 x_2 = 0.265$

Now multiplying this by (-1) and adding to second equation produces the system

$$5.31 x_1 - 6.10 x_2 = 47$$

$$58.9 x_2 = 58.9$$

From here  $x_2 = 1$ . Backward substitution leads to

$$x_1 = \frac{47 + 6.10}{5.31} = \frac{53.1}{5.31} = 10$$

That is, we get the exact solution.

Def: The partial pivoting or maximal column pivoting is a procedure which places as pivot the largest in magnitude element in the pivot column.

Note: In this case  $|m_{ij}| \leq 1$ .

Although this strategy is sufficient for most

linear systems, situations arise that this is inadequate.

### 3) Scaled partial pivoting

Ex. Consider the system

$$\begin{aligned} 30.0 x_1 + 58900 x_2 &= 59200 \\ 5.31 x_1 - 6.10 x_2 &= 47 \end{aligned}$$

This is the same system as before except the first equation has been multiplied by  $10^3$ .

Performing partial pivoting we find that 30 is the maximal element.

Thus, we multiply the first equation by

$$m_{21} = \frac{5.31}{30.0} = 0.177$$

Chopping:  $5.31 x_1 + 10400.0 x_2 = 10400.0$

Subtracting from the second eqn.

$$\begin{aligned} 30.0 x_1 + 58900 x_2 &= 59200 \\ - 10400 x_2 &= -10300 \\ \Rightarrow x_2 &= 0.99 \end{aligned}$$

$$x_1 = \frac{59200 - 58900 \cdot (0.99)}{30} = 30$$

The same result as in the first example  
 The exact solution of this system is  $(10, 1)$ .

Thus, the partial pivoting does not help with this example.

Def: Scaled partial pivoting or scaled-column pivoting is a procedure which chooses as a pivot the element that is largest relative to the entries in its row.

Procedure:

1) Define scale factors  $s_i$  for each row a

$$s_i = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |a_{ij}|$$

( $s_i$  is the magnitude of the largest element of the row).

Note: If  $s_i = 0$  then the system has a row of zeroes and there is no unique solution.

2) Choose the least  $p$  with

$$\frac{|a_{pk}|}{s_p} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{|a_{jk}|}{s_j}$$

That is choose the row  $p$  such that the element in the column below the pivot has maximal value after being divided by the row factor  $s_j$ . and perform interchange

$$(E_k) \leftrightarrow (E_p)$$

Note: The factors  $s_1, s_n$  are computed only once at the beginning and must be interchanged when rows are interchanged.

Ex: Apply scaled pivoting to

$$\begin{aligned} 30.0 x_1 + 58900 x_2 &= 59280 \\ 5.31 x_1 - 6.10 x_2 &= 47 \end{aligned}$$

The scale factors are

$$s_1 = 58900$$

$$s_2 = 6.1$$

Consequently, performing scaled pivoting we compare the scaled elements in the pivot column:

$$\frac{30}{58900} = 5.09 \times 10^{-4} \quad \frac{5.31}{6.10} = 0.87049$$

Thus, the pivot should be 5.31.  
 Interchanging  $(E_1) \leftrightarrow (E_2)$   
 we get the system

$$5.31 x_1 - 6.10 x_2 = 47 \\ 30.0 x_1 + 58900 x_2 = 59200$$

Using Gauss-elimination and 3-digit chopping arithmetic we get the exact solution:

$$m_{21} = \frac{30.0}{5.31} = 5.65$$

Multiplying the first row by  $m_{21}$

chopping  $30.0 x_1 - 34.4 x_2 = 265$   
 and subtracting from the second equation we get the system

$$5.31 x_1 - 6.10 x_2 = 47 \\ 58900 x_2 = 58900$$

Thus,  $x_2 = 1, x_1 = 10.$