

Dilations and constrained algebras

Michael Dritschel (Newcastle), Scott McCullough (U Florida)

me -UF

St. Louis, October 20, 2013

T - a bounded operator on Hilbert space H

$K \subset \mathbb{C}$ - compact

Definition (von Neumann, 1949)

K is a **spectral set** for T if

$$\|r(T)\| \leq \|r\|_K := \sup_{z \in K} |r(z)|$$

for all rational functions with poles off K .

Definition (von Neumann)

K is a **spectral set** for T if

$$\|r(T)\| \leq \|r\|_K := \sup_{z \in K} |r(z)|$$

for all rational functions with poles off K .

Definition (Arveson, 1972)

Say K is a **complete spectral set** for T if

$$\|[r_{ij}(T)]\| \leq \|[r_{ij}]\|_K := \sup_{z \in K} \|[r_{ij}(z)]\|$$

for all $n \times n$ matrices $[r_{ij}]$ of rational functions, and all n .

Definition

Say K is a **complete spectral set** for T if

$$\|[r_{ij}(T)]\| \leq \|[r_{ij}]\|_K := \sup_{z \in K} \|[r_{ij}(z)]\|$$

for all $n \times n$ matrices $[r_{ij}]$ of rational functions, and all n .

Theorem (Arveson, 1972)

K is a complete spectral set for T if and only if T has a **normal ∂K -dilation**. That is, there exist

- A Hilbert space $\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{H}$,
- a normal operator N on \mathcal{L} with spectrum in ∂K

such that

$$r(T) = P_{\mathcal{H}} r(N)|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

for all r .

Theorem (Arveson 1972)

K is a complete spectral set for T if and only if T has a **normal ∂K -dilation**. That is, there exist

- A Hilbert space $\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{H}$,
- a normal operator N on \mathcal{L} with spectrum in ∂K

such that

$$r(T) = P_{\mathcal{H}}r(N)|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

for all r .

Say **rational dilation holds on K** if whenever K is spectral for T , then K is complete spectral for T .

Examples:

- rational dilation holds for $K=\text{disk}$ (Sz.-Nagy 1952)
- rational dilation holds for $K=\text{annulus}$ (Agler 1985)
- rational dilation **fails** for $K=\text{two-holed domain}$
(Dritschel-McCullough 2005, Agler-Harland-Raphael 2008)

$R(K)$ = uniform closure of rational functions in $C(K)$

all the above examples: $R(K)$ is a **hypo-Dirichlet algebra** on ∂K :

$$\overline{\operatorname{Re} R(K)} \subset C_{\mathbb{R}}(\partial K)$$

has finite codimension.

When the codimension is 0, rational dilation always holds
(Berger-Foais-Lebow)

The variety $z^2 = w^2$ in \mathbb{D}^2 :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y} &= \{z^2 = w^2\} = \{z = w\} \cup \{z = -w\} \\ &:= \mathcal{Y}_+ \cup \mathcal{Y}_-\end{aligned}$$

$\partial\mathcal{Y} = \partial\mathcal{Y}_+ \cup \partial\mathcal{Y}_-$ (a union of two circles)

$R(\mathcal{Y})$ is a hypo-Dirichlet algebra on $\partial\mathcal{Y}$ (codimension 1)

The variety

$$z^2 = \frac{w^2 - r^2}{1 - r^2 w^2}$$

in \mathbb{D}^2 is an annulus.

\mathcal{Y} is the limiting case $r \rightarrow 0$

Rational dilation holds on the annulus, does it hold on \mathcal{Y} ?

The Neil parabola:

$$\mathcal{N} = \{z^2 = w^3\} \subset \mathbb{D}^2$$

$$R(\mathcal{N}) \cong \{f \in R(\mathbb{D}) : f'(0) = 0\}$$

$R(\mathcal{N})$ is hypo-Dirichlet on $\partial\mathcal{N}$ (codimension 2)

Does rational dilation hold on \mathcal{N} ?

Theorem (1)

Rational dilation holds on the variety $z^2 = w^2$.

Theorem (2)

Rational dilation fails on the variety $z^2 = w^3$.

Rational dilation on the annulus:

$$\mathbb{A}_q = \{0 < q < |z| < 1\}$$

Theorem (Agler 1985)

Rational dilation holds on \mathbb{A}_q .

Goal: give a proof of Agler's theorem that can be extended to cover the $z^2 = w^2$ variety.

$$\mathbb{A}_q = \{0 < q < |z| < 1\}$$

inner boundary $\partial_0 = \{|z| = q\}$, outer boundary $\partial_1 = \{|z| = 1\}$

Let $u \geq 0$, harmonic in \mathbb{A} . Then

$$u(z) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{A}} P(z, \zeta) d\mu(\zeta).$$

$u = \text{Ref} \dots$ if and only if $\mu(\partial_0) = \mu(\partial_1)$

Let $u \geq 0$, harmonic in \mathbb{A} . Then

$$u(z) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{A}} P(z, \zeta) d\mu(\zeta).$$

Still true for $n \times n$ matrix-valued u ; then μ is a positive matrix-valued measure (POVM)

$u = \text{Ref} \dots$ if and only if $\mu(\partial_0) = \mu(\partial_1)$

Let $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{A}_q$ and suppose \mathbb{A}_q is spectral for T .

We want to prove

$$\|G(T)\| \leq 1$$

for all rational matrix-valued $G(z)$ with

$$\|G\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \sup_{\mathbb{A}} \|G(z)\| \leq 1,$$

First reduction:

$$F(z) = (I_n + G(z))(I_n - G(z))^{-1}$$

Then $\operatorname{Re} F(z) \succeq 0$ and

$$\|G(T)\| \leq 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \operatorname{Re} F(T) \succeq 0$$

Second reduction: we want $\operatorname{Re} F(T) \succeq 0$.

$$\operatorname{Re} F_\mu(z) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{A}} P(z, \zeta) d\mu(\zeta)$$

with $\mu(\partial_0) = \mu(\partial_1) = I_n$

F_μ is a Choquet integral

$$F_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathcal{E}} F_\lambda(z) dE_\mu(\lambda)$$

So we don't need check all F_μ , just the extreme points $F_\lambda \dots$

Second reduction (continued)

F_μ is a Choquet integral

$$F_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathcal{E}_n} F_\lambda(z) dE_\mu(\lambda)$$

where \mathcal{E}_n is the set of extreme points of the compact, convex set

$$\Gamma_n := \{n \times n \text{ matrix-valued } \mu, \mu(\partial_0) = \mu(\partial_1) = I_n\}$$

When $n = 1$, extreme points are pairs of point masses (one on ∂_0 , one on ∂_1).

$$\Gamma_n := \{n \times n \text{ matrix-valued } \mu, \mu(\partial_0) = \mu(\partial_1) = I_n\}$$

Theorem (Ball, Guerra-Huamán 2013)

If λ is an extreme point of Γ_n then λ is finitely supported:

$$\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^m A_j \delta_{\alpha_j} + \sum_{j=1}^m B_j \delta_{\beta_j}, \quad \alpha_j \in \partial_0, \quad \beta_j \in \partial_1$$

with A_j, B_j positive, rank one, and $\sum A_j = \sum B_j = I$

Not all of these are extreme—but it will suffice to check all of these.

Third reduction (Naimark dilation trick):

Lemma

If $A_1, \dots, A_m, B_1, \dots, B_m$ are positive, rank one, $n \times n$ matrices and $\sum A_j = \sum B_j = I_{n \times n}$ then there exist

- rank one projections $P_1, \dots, P_m, Q_1, \dots, Q_m$ with $\sum P_j = \sum Q_j = I_{m \times m}$, and
- an isometry $V : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$

such that

$$A_j = V^* P_j V, \quad B_j = V^* Q_j V$$

We may thus assume λ is a **spectral measure** on each boundary component

Final reduction (rotation trick):

$$\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j \delta_{\alpha_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j \delta_{\beta_j}$$

Assume $1 \notin \text{supp}(\lambda)$.

By transforming $F = F_\lambda$ appropriately we may sweep all the mass on ∂_1 onto a single point:

Lemma

Let $G = (F - I)(F + I)^{-1}$ and $U = G(1)$ (unitary). Then for

$$F_{\tilde{\lambda}} := (I + U^* G)(I - U^* G)^{-1}$$

we have $\tilde{\lambda}|_{\partial_1} = I_n \delta_1$.

Summary of the reductions: to show \mathbb{A} is complete spectral for T , it suffices to show

- $\|G(T)\| \leq 1$ for all contractive G

Summary of the reductions: to show \mathbb{A} is complete spectral for T , it suffices to show

- ~~$\|G(T)\| \leq 1$ for all contractive G~~
- $\operatorname{Re} F(T) \succeq 0$ for all $\operatorname{Re} F \succeq 0$

Summary of the reductions: to show \mathbb{A} is complete spectral for T , it suffices to show

- ~~$\|G(T)\| \leq 1$ for all contractive G~~
- ~~$\operatorname{Re} F(T) \succeq 0$ for all $\operatorname{Re} F \succeq 0$~~
- $\operatorname{Re} F_\lambda(T) \succeq 0$ for all finitely supported λ

Summary of the reductions: to show \mathbb{A} is complete spectral for T , it suffices to show

- ~~$\|G(T)\| \leq 1$ for all contractive G~~
- ~~$\operatorname{Re} F(T) \succeq 0$ for all $\operatorname{Re} F \succeq 0$~~
- ~~$\operatorname{Re} F_\lambda(T) \succeq 0$ for all finitely supported λ~~
- $\operatorname{Re} F_\lambda(T) \succeq 0$ for all finitely supported spectral λ

Summary of the reductions: to show \mathbb{A} is complete spectral for T , it suffices to show

- ~~$\|G(T)\| \leq 1$ for all contractive G~~
- ~~$\operatorname{Re} F(T) \succeq 0$ for all $\operatorname{Re} F \succeq 0$~~
- ~~$\operatorname{Re} F_\lambda(T) \succeq 0$ for all finitely supported λ~~
- ~~$\operatorname{Re} F_\lambda(T) \succeq 0$ for all finitely supported spectral λ~~
- $\operatorname{Re} F_\lambda(T) \succeq 0$ for all finitely supported spectral λ with only $l_n \delta_1$ on outer boundary

Consider F_λ with

$$\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j \delta_{\alpha_j} + I \delta_1.$$

$$\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j \delta_{\zeta_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n P_j \delta_1$$

(recall $\sum P_j = I$).

$$\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j \cdot (\delta_{\alpha_j} + \delta_1)$$

$$\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j \cdot (\delta_{\alpha_j} + \delta_1)$$

Put

$$\rho_j = \delta_{\alpha_j} + \delta_1$$

Poisson integral of ρ_j is real part of some holomorphic f_j . Since P_j are orthogonal,

$$F_\lambda = \text{diag} (f_1, \dots, f_n)$$

But each $\text{Re } f_j(T) \succeq 0$ by the spectral set hypothesis, so $\text{Re } F(T) \succeq 0$. \square

The variety $z^2 = w^2$ in \mathbb{D}^2 :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y} &= \{z^2 = w^2\} = \{z = w\} \cup \{z = -w\} \\ &:= \mathcal{Y}_+ \cup \mathcal{Y}_-\end{aligned}$$

$\psi_{\pm}(t) = (t, \pm t)$ parametrize \mathcal{Y}_{\pm} by \mathbb{D}

Holomorphic functions on \mathcal{Y} :

$$F : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$F_{\pm} = F|_{\mathcal{Y}_{\pm}}$$

are holomorphic on \mathcal{Y}_{\pm} and $F_{+}(0) = F_{-}(0)$. Conversely:

Lemma

If F_{\pm} are holomorphic in \mathbb{D} and $F_{+}(0) = F_{-}(0) = 0$, then

$$\tilde{F}(z, w) := (1 - (z - w))F_{+}\left(\frac{z + w}{2}\right) + (1 - (z + w))F_{-}\left(\frac{z - w}{2}\right)$$

is holomorphic in \mathbb{D}^2 and restricts to F_{\pm} on \mathcal{Y}_{\pm} .

To solve the Dirichlet problem on \mathcal{Y} , solve on each disk \mathcal{Y}_{\pm} and require the solutions to match at 0. Thus:

Lemma

μ on $\partial\mathcal{Y} = \partial_+ \cup \partial_-$ is the boundary measure of $\operatorname{Re} F$ if and only if $\mu(\partial_+) = \mu(\partial_-)$.

Same for matrix valued F, μ .

Proof for the annulus works:

Theorem (1)

Rational dilation holds on the variety $z^2 = w^2$.

Note that by the spectral set condition,

$$\|S^2 - T^2\| \leq \|z^2 - w^2\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0.$$

The dilation of S, T will be a pair of commuting normal operators U, V with spectrum in $\partial\mathcal{Y}$: thus

$$U, V \text{ unitary, and } U^2 = V^2$$

Which S, T have \mathcal{Y} as a spectral set?

Which S, T have \mathcal{Y} as a spectral set?

Theorem

Let S, T be commuting operators satisfying $S^2 = T^2$. Then S, T dilate to commuting unitaries with $U^2 = V^2$ if and only if

$$\|\gamma S + (1 - \gamma)T\| \leq 1$$

for all $|\gamma - \frac{1}{2}| = \frac{1}{2}$.

A homomorphism

$$\pi : R(K) \rightarrow B(H)$$

is **contractive** if

$$\|\pi(f)\|_{B(H)} \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$$

for all $f \in R(K)$,

and **completely contractive** if

$$\|[\pi(F_{ij})]\|_{B(H)} \leq \| [F_{ij}] \|_{\infty}$$

for all matrices $F \in M_n(R(K))$.

Theorem (2)

Rational dilation fails on the Neil parabola \mathcal{N} .

In fact: there is a representation

$$\pi : R(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow M_{12 \times 12}(\mathbb{C})$$

contractive but not 2-contractive.

Identify $R(\mathcal{N})$ with subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$:

$$R(\mathcal{N}) = \{f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}) : f'(0) = 0\}.$$

Convexity approach again:

Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \frac{1+f}{1-f} : f \in H^\infty(\mathcal{N}), f(0) = 1 \right\}$$

The set \mathcal{P} is compact and convex, let

$$\mathcal{E} = \text{extreme points of } \mathcal{P}$$

For each $f \in \mathcal{P}$ we have a Choquet integral

$$\frac{1+f}{1-f} = \int_{\mathcal{E}} \frac{1+\phi_t}{1-\phi_t} d\mu(t)$$

For each $f \in \mathcal{P}$ we have a Choquet integral

$$\frac{1+f}{1-f} = \int_{\mathcal{E}} \frac{1+\phi_t}{1-\phi_t} d\mu(t)$$

The functions

$$\left\{ \phi : \frac{1+\phi}{1-\phi} \in \mathcal{E} \right\}$$

are called test functions for $H^\infty(\mathcal{N})$.

Representing the unit ball of $H^\infty(\mathcal{N})$:

Rearranging the Choquet integral we have

$$1 - f(z)f(w)^* = \int_{\mathcal{E}} 1 - \phi_t(z)\phi_t(w)^* d\mu_{zw}(t)$$

where μ is a positive measure valued kernel on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$. To proceed we need this more explicitly...

\mathbb{D}^* = one-point compactification of \mathbb{D}

$$\psi_\lambda(z) = z^2 \frac{\lambda - z}{1 - \bar{\lambda}z}, \quad \psi_*(z) = z^2 \text{ (test functions)}$$

Theorem (Pickering)

If f lies in the unit ball of $R(\mathcal{N})$ and is smooth across the boundary then

$$1 - f(z)f(w)^* = \int_{\mathbb{D}^*} (1 - \psi_t(z)\psi_t(w)^*) d\mu_{z,w}(t)$$

where μ is a positive $M(\mathbb{D}^)$ -valued kernel.*

The test functions can be pushed back down to \mathcal{N} , we get

$$\phi_\lambda(z, w) = z \frac{\lambda z - w}{z - \lambda^* w}, \phi_*(z) = z$$

Pickering also shows no (closed) subcollection of test functions suffices.

Corollary: a pair of commuting, contractive, invertible matrices X, Y with $X^3 = Y^2$ give a contractive representation of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{N})$ if and only if

$$X(\lambda X - Y)(X - \lambda^* Y)^{-1}$$

is contractive for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$.

Loosely, moving to matrix valued F , if F every $n \times n$ matrix function also has a representation

$$1 - F(z)F(w)^* = \int_{\mathbb{D}^*} (1 - \psi_t(z)\psi_t(w)^*) d\mu_{z,w}(t)$$

then one can pass (nice) representations inside the integral to conclude contractive implies completely contractive.

Conversely, let \mathfrak{F} be a finite set and form the closed, convex cone

$$C_{\mathfrak{F}} = \left\{ H(z, w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}^*} (1 - \psi_t(z)\psi_t(w)^*) d\mu_{z,w}(t) \right\}$$

where $\mu_{z,w}$ are matrix-valued measures.

If for some F we have

$$I - F(z)F(w)^* \notin C_{\mathfrak{F}}$$

then we can separate $I - F(z)F(w)^*$ from $C_{\mathfrak{F}}$ with a positive functional, apply GNS to get a representation that is contractive but NOT completely contractive.

Key step: if F is a matrix inner function in $M_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{N})$, an integral representation for F imposes constraints on its zeroes...

$F(z) = z^2\Phi(z)$, Φ rational, inner, degree 2,

Theorem

If F is representable as

$$I - F(z)F(w)^* = \int_{\mathbb{D}^*} (1 - \psi_t(z)\psi_t(w)^*) d\mu_{z,w}(t)$$

for z, w in a large finite set \mathfrak{F} , then either

$$\Phi \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_1\phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

One can write down Φ for which this fails, so rational dilation fails on \mathcal{N} . \square