

# Function Spaces on Varieties

Michael Dritschel (Newcastle), Greg Knese (U Alabama), Scott McCullough (U Florida)

me -UF

Hilbert Function Spaces, Gargnano, May 23, 2013

Consider a polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ . Its zero variety is the set

$$\mathcal{Z}_p =: \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : p(z, w) = 0\}$$

Say  $p$  defines a **distinguished variety** if

$$\text{for all } (z, w) \in \mathcal{Z}_p, |z| = 1 \text{ if and only if } |w| = 1. \quad (\text{DV})$$

**Examples:**

- $p(z, w) = z - w$  (boring)
- $p(z, w) = z^2 - w^2 = (z - w)(z + w)$  (mostly boring)
- $p(z, w) = z^3 - w^2$  (not boring)

The zero variety in the last example

$$p(z, w) = z^3 - w^2$$

is called the **Neil parabola**. Since

$$\text{grad}_{\mathbb{C}} p = \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial p}{\partial w} \right) = (3z^2, -2w),$$

the origin  $(0, 0)$  is a **singular point** (in this case, a **cusp**).

Mostly we are interested in analytic functions on

$$\mathcal{V} = Z_p \cap \mathbb{D}^2.$$

Notice  $\partial\mathcal{V} \subset \partial\mathbb{D} \times \partial\mathbb{D} \subsetneq \partial(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D})$ .

More examples:

$$z^m = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j - w}{1 - a_j^* w}, \text{ with } a_j \text{ distinct, nonzero}$$

The set

$$\mathcal{V} = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{D}^2 : z^m = B_n(z)\}$$

is a smooth distinguished variety; in fact it is a finite Riemann surface.

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ z^m = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j - w}{1 - a_j^* w} \right\} \cap \mathbb{D}^2$$

defines a finite Riemann surface of genus

$$g = \frac{(m-1)(n-1) - (k-1)}{2}$$

with  $k = \gcd(m, n)$  disks removed.

- $m = n = 2$ : annulus, every annulus arises this way (Bell)
- every finitely connected planar domain is a variety, but not smooth if 2 or more holes (Rudin, Fedorov)

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a distinguished variety. A function  $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic at  $(z, w) \in \mathcal{V}$  if there exist:

- a neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $(z, w)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , and
- a holomorphic function  $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

such that

$$F|_{\mathcal{V} \cap \Omega} = f|_{\mathcal{V} \cap \Omega}.$$

**FACT (H. Cartan):** If  $f$  is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{V}$  then there exists an  $F$  holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}^2$  such that  $F|_{\mathcal{V}} = f$ .

**Example:** what do the holomorphic functions on the Neil parabola  $\mathcal{N}$  look like?

The Neil parabola

$$\mathcal{N} = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{D}^2 : z^3 = w^2\}$$

is parameterized by the disk: the map

$$\psi : t \rightarrow (t^2, t^3)$$

is a holomorphic bijection of  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\mathcal{N}$ .

$f \rightarrow f \circ \psi$  is an isomorphism of  $Hol(\mathcal{N})$  with

$$\{f \in Hol(\mathbb{D}) : f'(0) = 0\}.$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{D}^2 : z^3 = w^2\}$$

$$\psi(t) = (t^2, t^3)$$

Take  $f$  holomorphic on  $\mathcal{N}$ , extend to  $\mathbb{D}^2$  (Cartan): then

$$f(\psi(t)) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{mn}(t^2)^m(t^3)^n = \sum_{k \neq 0} b_k t^k$$

Conversely for  $k \neq 1$  write  $t^k = (t^2)^m(t^3)^n$ , then

$$\sum_{k \neq 0} b_k t^k = \sum_{m,n} b_{2m+3n} (t^2)^m (t^3)^n$$

**Conclusion:**

$$\text{Hol}(\mathcal{N}) \cong \{f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : f'(0) = 0\}$$



This was a special case of a general fact:

### Theorem (Agler-McCarthy, 2007)

Let  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{D}^2$  be a distinguished variety. Then there exist:

- a finite Riemann surface  $R$ ,
- a holomorphic map  $\psi : R \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ , and
- a finite codimension subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Hol}(R)$

such that

$$f \rightarrow f \circ \psi$$

is an isomorphism of  $\text{Hol}(\mathcal{V})$  with  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The map  $\psi$  has the form

$$t \rightarrow (\psi_1(t), \psi_2(t))$$

with  $|\psi_1| = |\psi_2| = 1$  on  $\partial R$ , and  $p(\psi_1, \psi_2) = 0$ . In other words, an **algebraic pair of inner functions** on  $R$ .

## Determinantal representations:

Theorem (Agler-McCarthy 2005, Knese 2009)

If  $\Phi$  is a rational matrix inner function, then

$$\det(wI - \Phi(z)) = 0$$

defines a distinguished variety; conversely every distinguished variety may be put in this form.

**Example:**

$$\Phi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ z^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$\det(wI_2 - \Phi(z)) = \det \begin{pmatrix} w & -z \\ -z^2 & w \end{pmatrix} = w^2 - z^3,$$

the Neil parabola.

Idea of proof:

Let  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{Z}_q \cap \mathbb{D}^2$  and choose a nice measure  $d\mu$  on  $\partial\mathcal{V}$  (e.g. push down harmonic measure from  $\partial R$ ).

Operators

$$M_z, \quad M_w \quad \in B(H^2(\mu))$$

are commuting isometries with  $q(M_z, M_w) = 0$ . The pair has a Sz.-Nagy–Foias model

$$M_z \cong S \otimes I_m, \quad M_w \cong \Phi(S)$$

for a matrix inner function  $\Phi$ . Or we could take

$$M_z \cong \Psi(S), \quad M_w \cong S \otimes I_n$$

Then

$$\det(zI_m - \Phi(w)) = \det(wI_n - \Psi(z)) = 0$$

defines  $\mathcal{V}$ .

## Application: Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation on $V$

General question: given points

$$s_1, \dots, s_n$$

in a (domain, surface, variety)  $\Omega$ , and points

$$t_1, \dots, t_n$$

in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ , when does there exist a holomorphic function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  with

$$f(s_i) = t_i, \quad \text{all } i = 1, \dots, n ?$$

**Theorem (Pick(1916), Nevanlinna(1919),...)**

*For  $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$ , the interpolation problem has a solution if and only if the  $n \times n$  matrix*

$$\frac{1 - t_i t_j^*}{1 - s_i s_j^*}$$

*is positive semidefinite.*

## Application: Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation on $V$

General question: given points

$$s_1, \dots, s_n$$

in a (domain, surface, variety)  $\Omega$ , and points

$$t_1, \dots, t_n$$

in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ , when does there exist a holomorphic function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  with

$$f(s_i) = t_i, \quad \text{all } i = 1, \dots, n ?$$

**Theorem (Pick(1916), Nevanlinna(1919),...)**

*For  $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$ , the interpolation problem has a solution if and only if the  $n \times n$  matrix*

$$\frac{1 - t_i t_j^*}{1 - s_i s_j^*} = (1 - t_i t_j^*) k(s_i, s_j)$$

*is positive semidefinite.*



Determinantal representation  $\implies$  reproducing kernels:

For each matrix inner function giving a determinantal representation

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\det(wI - \Phi(z)) = 0\},$$

there is an analytic (on  $V$ ) family of “eigenvectors”  $Q(z, w)$

$$Q(z, w)\Phi(z) = wQ(z, w)$$

for all  $(z, w) \in \mathcal{V}$ .

**Example:**

$$(w \ z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ z^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (z^3 \ wz) = w(w \ z)$$

since  $z^3 = w^2$  on  $\mathcal{N}$

In addition to

$$Q(z, w)\Phi(z) = wQ(z, w)$$

we have  $P(z, w)$  so that for the “companion” matrix inner function  $\Psi$ ,

$$P(z, w)\Psi(w) = zP(z, w)$$

For  $(z, w) \in V$ ,  $(\zeta, \eta) \in V$ , we have the identity

$$\frac{Q(z, w)Q(\zeta, \eta)^*}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} = \frac{P(z, w)P(\zeta, \eta)^*}{1 - w\bar{\eta}}$$

Conversely, if  $P, Q$  are vector polynomials satisfying the identity, they come from a determinantal representation.

$$\frac{Q(z, w)Q(\zeta, \eta)^*}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} = \frac{P(z, w)P(\zeta, \eta)^*}{1 - w\bar{\eta}}$$

Call the above expression  $K((z, w), (\zeta, \eta))$ . Then

### Theorem (Knese, McCullough, J.)

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an irreducible distinguished variety. There exists  $f \in H^\infty(\mathcal{V})$  with

$$f(z_i, w_i) = t_i \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_\infty \leq 1$$

if and only if the matrices

$$(1 - t_i t_j^*)K((z_i, w_i), (z_j, w_j))$$

are all positive semidefinite, over all choices of determinantal representation.



For the Neil parabola, we had

$$H^\infty(\mathcal{N}) \cong \{f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) : f'(0) = 0\}.$$

Interpolation theorem recovers result of  
Davidson-Paulsen-Raghupathi-Singh

Ingredients of proof:

Step 1) The kernels

$$\frac{Q(z, w)Q(\zeta, \eta)^*}{1 - z\bar{z}\zeta} = \frac{P(z, w)P(\zeta, \eta)^*}{1 - w\bar{w}\eta} \quad (1)$$

over all determinantal representations form a complete Nevanlinna-Pick family: fix one such kernel  $k$  with associated RKHS  $H^2(k)$ . Fix  $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathcal{V}$ . The kernel for the subspace

$$\{f \in H^2(k) : f(z_0, w_0) = 0\}$$

has the form

$$\frac{1}{(1 - zz_0^*)(1 - ww_0^*)} \tilde{K}((z, w), (\zeta, \eta)) \frac{1}{(1 - z_0\zeta^*)(1 - w_0\eta^*)}$$

Step 2) Define a new norm on polynomials by

$$\|p\|_{\mathcal{K}} = \sup_K \{ \|M_p\|_{B(H^2(K))} \}$$

Now by Step 1, interpolation problem is solved for  $H_{\mathcal{K}}^{\infty}$  norm by a general interpolation theorem for kernel families (Knese-McCullough-J)

Step 3) Finally, prove the  $\mathcal{K}$  norm equals the supremum norm on  $\mathcal{V}$ —this follows from

### Lemma

*If  $f \in H^\infty(\mathcal{V})$  and  $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$ , there is a sequence of polynomials  $p_n \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$  such that*

- $|p_n| \leq 1$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ , and
- $p_n \rightarrow f$  locally uniformly on  $V$

Dilations & spectral sets:

Let  $q(z, w)$  define a distinguished variety  $\mathcal{V}$ .

**Question:** given commuting contractive operators  $S, T \in B(H)$  with  $q(S, T) = 0$ , when do there exist commuting unitary operators  $U, V \in B(K)$  with  $q(U, V) = 0$  and

$$S^m T^n = P_H U^m V^n|_H ?$$

Necessary: for all polynomials  $p$ ,

$$\|p(S, T)\| \leq \|p\|_{\mathcal{V}, \infty}$$

A homomorphism

$$\pi : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow B(H)$$

is **contractive** if

$$\|\pi(f)\|_{B(H)} \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{V})$ ,

and **completely contractive** if

$$\|[\pi(f_{ij})]\|_{B(H)} \leq \|[f_{ij}]\|_{\infty}$$

for all matrices  $f \in M_n(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{V}))$ .

Arveson:  $S, T$  dilate if and only if the contractive homomorphism

$$\pi(p) = p(S, T)$$

is completely contractive.

Say **rational dilation holds on**  $\mathcal{V}$  if every contractive  $\pi$  is completely contractive.

Rational dilation holds on the disk (Sz.-Nagy dilation)

...holds on the annulus (Agler)

...fails on a two-holed planar domain (Dritschel-McCullough, Agler-Harman-Raphael)

## Theorem (Dritschel, McCullough, J.)

*Rational dilation fails on the Neil parabola  $\mathcal{N}$ .*

In fact: there is a representation

$$\pi : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow M_{12 \times 12}(\mathbb{C})$$

contractive but not 2-contractive.

As before identify  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{N})$  with subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ :

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{N}) = \{f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}) : f'(0) = 0\}.$$



Convexity approach (Agler):

Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \frac{1+f}{1-f} : f \in H^\infty(\mathcal{N}), f(0) = 1 \right\}$$

The set  $\mathcal{P}$  is compact and convex, let

$$\mathcal{E} = \text{extreme points of } \mathcal{P}$$

For each  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  we have a Choquet integral

$$\frac{1+f}{1-f} = \int_{\mathcal{E}} \frac{1+\phi_t}{1-\phi_t} d\mu(t)$$

The functions

$$\left\{ \phi : \frac{1+\phi}{1-\phi} \in \mathcal{E} \right\}$$

are called test functions for  $H^\infty(\mathcal{N})$ .

Representing the unit ball of  $H^\infty(\mathcal{N})$ :

Rearranging the Choquet integral we have

$$1 - f(z)f(w)^* = \int_{\mathcal{E}} 1 - \phi_t(z)\phi_t(w)^* d\mu_{zw}(t)$$

where  $\mu$  is a positive measure valued kernel on  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ . To proceed we need this more explicitly...

$\mathbb{D}^*$  = one-point compactification of  $\mathbb{D}$

$$\psi_\lambda(z) = z^2 \frac{\lambda - z}{1 - \bar{\lambda}z}, \quad \psi_*(z) = z^2 \text{ (test functions)}$$

### Theorem (Pickering)

*If  $f$  lies in the unit ball of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{N})$  and is smooth across the boundary then*

$$1 - f(z)f(w)^* = \int_{\mathbb{D}^*} (1 - \psi_t(z)\psi_t(w)^*) d\mu_{z,w}(t)$$

*where  $\mu$  is a positive  $M(\mathbb{D}^*)$ -valued kernel.*

The test functions can be pushed back down to  $\mathcal{N}$ , we get

$$\phi_\lambda(z, w) = z \frac{\lambda z - w}{z - \lambda^* w}, \phi_*(z) = z$$

Pickering also shows no (closed) subcollection of test functions suffices.

**Corollary:** a pair of commuting, contractive, invertible matrices  $X, Y$  with  $X^3 = Y^2$  give a contractive representation of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{N})$  if and only if

$$X(\lambda X - Y)(X - \lambda^* Y)^{-1}$$

is contractive for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ .

Loosely, moving to matrix valued  $F$ , if  $F$  every  $n \times n$  matrix function also has a representation

$$1 - F(z)F(w)^* = \int_{\mathbb{D}^*} (1 - \psi_t(z)\psi_t(w)^*) d\mu_{z,w}(t)$$

then one can pass (nice) representations inside the integral to conclude contractive implies completely contractive.

Conversely, let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a finite set and form the closed, convex cone

$$C_{\mathfrak{F}} = \left\{ H(z, w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}^*} (1 - \psi_t(z)\psi_t(w)^*) d\mu_{z,w}(t) \right\}$$

where  $\mu_{z,w}$  are matrix-valued measures. If for some  $F$  we have

$$I - F(z)F(w)^* \notin C_{\mathfrak{F}}$$

then we can separate  $I - F(z)F(w)^*$  from  $C_{\mathfrak{F}}$  with a positive functional, apply GNS to get a representation that is contractive but NOT completely contractive.

Key step: if  $F$  is a matrix inner function in  $M_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{N})$ , an integral representation for  $F$  imposes constraints on its zeroes...

$$F(z) = z^2\Phi(z), \quad \Phi \text{ rational, inner, degree 2,}$$

### Theorem

If  $F$  is representable as

$$I - F(z)F(w)^* = \int_{\mathbb{D}^*} (1 - \psi_t(z)\psi_t(w)^*) d\mu_{z,w}(t)$$

for  $z, w$  in a large finite set  $\mathfrak{F}$ , then either

$$\Phi \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_1\phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$