

Rank one perturbations of row unitaries

Michael Jury

University of Florida

JMM, January 10, 2013

shift invariant subspace $M = \theta H^2 \subset H^2$, θ inner, $\theta(0) = 0$

$$K_\theta := (\theta H^2)^\perp$$

Consider $X = S^*|_{K_\theta}$:

$$\ker X = [1] \quad \text{coker} X = [S^*\theta] \quad (\text{note } S^*\theta \perp M) \quad (1)$$

Fix $|\alpha| = 1$. Define unitary $U_\alpha : K_\theta \rightarrow K_\theta$:

$$U_\alpha^* := S^*|_{K_\theta} + \alpha^*(S^*\theta \otimes 1) \quad (2)$$

The vector 1 is cyclic for U_α ...spectral measure μ_α :

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta^n d\mu_\alpha(\zeta) = \langle U_\alpha^n 1, 1 \rangle, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (3)$$

Theorem (Clark)

μ_α and θ are related by

$$\frac{1 + \alpha^* \theta(z)}{1 - \alpha^* \theta(z)} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 + \zeta^* z}{1 - \zeta^* z} d\mu_\alpha(\zeta) \quad (4)$$

and $\mu_\alpha \perp m$.

Moreover, the operator

$$(V_\alpha f)(z) := (1 - \alpha^* \theta(z)) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{1 - \zeta^* z} d\mu_\alpha(\zeta) \quad (5)$$

is unitary from $L^2(\mu_\alpha)$ to K_θ , and

$$U_\alpha V_\alpha = V_\alpha M_\zeta. \quad (6)$$

The NC setting:

H a Hilbert space

Consider row unitaries $\mathbf{U} : H^d \rightarrow H$

$$\mathbf{U} = (U_1, \dots, U_d)(h_1, \dots, h_d)^T = \sum_{j=1}^d U_j h_j \quad (7)$$

\mathbf{U} is unitary iff U_j satisfy the *Cuntz relations*

$$U_i^* U_j = \delta_{ij} I, \quad \sum_{j=1}^d U_j U_j^* = I \quad (8)$$

Row unitaries from backward shifts:

\mathbf{F}_d – set of all NC words in letters $\{1, \dots, d\}$ (including empty word \emptyset)

$$w = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m, \quad |w| = m, \quad |\emptyset| = 0 \quad (9)$$

$F_d^2 := \ell^2(\mathbf{F}_d)$ (full Fock space) o.n. basis $\{\xi_w : w \in \mathbf{F}_d\}$

Shifts on F_d^2 : for $j = 1, \dots, d$

$$L_j \xi_w = \xi_{jw} \quad (10)$$

The L_j satisfy

$$L_i^* L_j = \delta_{ij} I, \quad \sum_{j=1}^d L_j L_j^* = I - \xi_\emptyset \otimes \xi_\emptyset \quad (11)$$

$\mathbf{L} := (L_1, \dots, L_d)$ – NC d -shift

Row unitaries from backward shifts:

Suppose $M \subset F_d^2$ is \mathbf{L} -invariant ($L_j M \subset M$ all j) ...and *cyclic*.

Then (Davidson/Pitts, Popescu) there is a wandering vector $\theta \in M$ such that

$$M = \overline{\text{span}\{L^w \theta : w \in \mathbf{F}_d\}}^{\|\cdot\|} \quad (12)$$

Write

$$\theta = \sum \hat{\theta}(w) \xi_w, \quad \sum_w |\hat{\theta}(w)|^2 = 1 \quad (13)$$

Row unitaries from backward shifts:

If X_1, \dots, X_d are operators in some $B(K)$ with $\|\sum X_j X_j^*\| < 1$, then (Popescu)

$$\theta(X) := \sum_w \widehat{\theta}(w) X^w \quad (14)$$

is norm convergent and $\|\theta(X)\| \leq 1$ (so θ is a *free holomorphic function*).

Since also $\sum_w |\widehat{\theta}(w)|^2 = 1$, call θ a *free inner function*.

Motivation:

"repeated interaction model" for atom-photon interactions (Gohm et al.)

NC stationary process

\implies Cuntz scattering system (Ball/Vinnikov)

\implies free inner function θ

Row unitaries from backward shifts:

Recall

$$M = \overline{\text{span}\{L^w\theta : w \in \mathbf{F}_d\}}^{\|\cdot\|} \quad (15)$$

Write $K_\theta = M^\perp$. Assume $\widehat{\theta}(\emptyset) = 0$. Then $\xi_\emptyset \in K_\theta$. Wandering property of θ implies

$$L_j^*\theta \in M^\perp \quad \text{all } j \quad (16)$$

Form the column vector $\mathbf{y} \in K_\theta^d$

$$\mathbf{y} = (L_1^*\theta, \dots, L_d^*\theta)^T \quad (17)$$

Since $\theta(0) = 0$,

$$\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^d L_j L_j^* \theta, \theta \right\rangle = \|\theta\|^2 = 1. \quad (18)$$

Conclusion: $\mathbf{L}^*|_{K_\theta}$ is a column contraction with rank one defects.
Identify defect spaces to get a row unitary:

$$U_j^{\alpha*} := L_j^*|_{K_\theta} + \alpha^* y_j \otimes \xi_\emptyset \quad (19)$$

Theorem (J)

For each $|\alpha| = 1$, $\mathbf{U}^\alpha := (U_1^\alpha, \dots, U_d^\alpha)$ is a cyclic row unitary on K_θ with cyclic vector ξ_\emptyset .

So: every free inner function determines a family of cyclic row unitaries.

Q: Which row unitaries arise this way?

Theorem (J)

A cyclic row unitary (cyclic vector x) arises as above if and only if for

$$\mathbf{T} := (P_x^\perp U_1, \dots, P_x^\perp U_d) \quad (20)$$

satisfies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|w|=n} T^{w*} = 0 \quad (\text{SOT}) \quad (21)$$

Proof.

The NC characteristic function $\theta_{\mathbf{T}}$ is free inner (Popescu) □

States (NC measures) and a Clark theorem:

Recall the NC d -shift \mathbf{L} and form the *Cuntz-Toeplitz operator system*

$$\mathcal{S} = \text{span}\{L^w, L^{v*} : w, v \in \mathbf{F}_d\} \quad (22)$$

A "free" Herglotz formula:

Theorem (Popescu)

If b is a contractive free holomorphic function, then there is a unique positive functional $\mu : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(I + b(X))(I - b(X))^{-1} = (\text{id} \otimes \mu) \left((I + \sum X_j \otimes L_j^*) (I - \sum X_j \otimes L_j)^{-1} \right)$$

for all $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d) \in B(H)$ with $\|\sum X_j X_j^\| < 1$*

States from cyclic row unitaries:

Given cyclic (\mathbf{U}, x) , define a state μ on \mathcal{S} by

$$\mu(L^w) := \langle U^w x, x \rangle \quad (23)$$

A Clark-type theorem:

Theorem (J)

Suppose θ is a free inner function with associated row unitaries \mathbf{U}^α and states μ_α . Then

$$(I + \theta(X))(I - \theta(X))^{-1} = (id \otimes \mu_\alpha) \left((I + \sum X_j \otimes L_j^*) (I - \sum X_j \otimes L_j^*)^{-1} \right)$$

Example ("point masses"): Fix a point $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d)$ on the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^d . Define

$$\delta_\eta(L^w) = \eta^w = \eta_{i_1} \eta_{i_2} \cdots \eta_{i_m} \quad (24)$$

(the *Cuntz states*). These arise from the free inner function

$$\theta_\eta(X) = \sum_{j=1}^d \eta_j^* X_j. \quad (25)$$

Q: Which states give free inner functions? (call these *quasi-singular*)

Q: Which states give free inner functions? (call these *quasi-singular*)

Example: by brute force, convex combinations of pairs of Cuntz states give free inner functions. So e.g.

$$\theta(X_1, X_2) = I - (I - X_1) \left(I - \frac{X_1 + X_2}{2} \right)^{-1} (I - X_2)$$

is free inner (coming from $\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{e_1} + \delta_{e_2})$)

(a sort of free version of $\frac{x_1 + x_2 - 2x_1x_2}{2 - x_1 - x_2}$)

Q: Which states give free inner functions? (call these *quasi-singular*)

Example: by brute force, convex combinations of pairs of Cuntz states give free inner functions. So e.g.

$$\theta(X_1, X_2) = I - (I - X_1) \left(I - \frac{X_1 + X_2}{2} \right)^{-1} (I - X_2)$$

is free inner (coming from $\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{e_1} + \delta_{e_2})$)

(a sort of free version of $\frac{x_1 + x_2 - 2x_1x_2}{2 - x_1 - x_2}$)

Q: Is the set of quasi-singular states on \mathcal{S} convex???