

UNIVERSAL COMMUTATIVE OPERATOR ALGEBRAS AND TRANSFER FUNCTION REALIZATIONS OF POLYNOMIALS

MICHAEL T. JURY

ABSTRACT. To each finite-dimensional operator space E is associated a commutative operator algebra $UC(E)$, so that E embeds completely isometrically in $UC(E)$ and any completely contractive map from E to bounded operators on Hilbert space extends uniquely to a completely contractive homomorphism out of $UC(E)$. The unit ball of $UC(E)$ is characterized by a Nevanlinna factorization and transfer function realization. Examples related to multivariable von Neumann inequalities are discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the algebra $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ of polynomials in n variables with complex coefficients. If $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is an n -tuple of bounded, commuting operators on a Hilbert space H , then we can define a seminorm $\|\cdot\|_T$ on \mathcal{P}_n in the obvious way:

$$\|p\|_T := \|p(T)\|.$$

If now \mathcal{T} is a collection of such n -tuples which is *separating* for \mathcal{P}_n , that is, $p(T) = 0$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ if and only if $p = 0$, then the supremum

$$(1.1) \quad \|p\| := \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \|p(T)\|.$$

defines a norm on \mathcal{P}_n , and the closure of \mathcal{P}_n with respect to this norm is a Banach algebra. Moreover, if p is an $m \times m$ matrix of polynomials (equivalently, a polynomial with $m \times m$ matrix coefficients), we can similarly define

$$(1.2) \quad \|p\|_n := \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \|p(T)\|.$$

Date: September 30, 2010.

Research supported by NSF grant DMS 0701268.

Explicitly, if we write p in multi-index notation as $p(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} A_{\mathbf{n}} z^{\mathbf{n}}$ with $A_{\mathbf{n}} \in M_{m \times m}(\mathbb{C})$, then $\|p(T)\|$ denotes the norm of the operator

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n}} A_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes T^{\mathbf{n}}$$

acting on $\mathbb{C}^m \otimes H$, where $T^{\mathbf{n}}$ has the obvious meaning. The completion of \mathcal{P}_n in the norm (1.1) (together with the system of matrix norms $\|\cdot\|_n$) thus becomes an *operator algebra*. While not every Banach algebra norm on \mathcal{P}_n can be obtained in this way, a number of norms of this type arise naturally and have been extensively studied. It will help to consider some examples.

1) Fix a nice domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (say, the unit ball) and let T range over the commuting normal operators with joint spectrum in $\partial\Omega$. Then by the spectral theorem (and the maximum principle) the norm $\|p\|_{\mathcal{T}}$ is equal to the supremum norm $\|p\|_{\infty} = \sup_{z \in \Omega} |p(z)|$.

2) Another important example is the *universal* or *Agler norm* $\|\cdot\|_u$. Here T ranges over all commuting n -tuples of contractive operators on Hilbert space. It is known that $\|\cdot\|_u$ is equal to the supremum norm over the unit circle \mathbb{T} when $n = 1$ (this follows from von Neumann's inequality), and equal to the supremum norm over the 2-torus \mathbb{T}^2 when $n = 2$ (Ando's inequality), but the analogous statements are false for all $n \geq 3$; a counterexample was first given by Kaijser and Varopoulos [13].

3) Yet another well-known example comes from the *row contractions*; these are the commuting n -tuples for which

$$I - \sum_{j=1}^n T_j T_j^* \geq 0.$$

It is remarkable in this case that the supremum (1.1) is always attained on a single distinguished row contraction, namely the *n -shift* S_1, \dots, S_n where S_j is the operator of multiplication by the coordinate function z_j on a certain Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n . The resulting norm on a polynomial p is the norm p inherits by acting as a multiplication operator on this space, called the *Drury-Arveson space* [8, 3, 5], which is the reproducing kernel Hilbert space on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n with kernel $k(z, w) = (1 - \sum_j z_j \bar{w}_j)^{-1}$. It is known that this norm is generically strictly greater than the supremum norm over the ball, and in fact the two are inequivalent [3]. (In the previous example, it is not known whether the universal norm is equivalent to the supremum norm over \mathbb{T}^n when $n \geq 3$.)

Following Ambrozie and Timotin [2] and Ball and Bolotnikov [4] (and the more general approach of Mittal and Paulsen [9]) the last two examples may be unified in the following way: consider the $n \times n$ -matrix valued function

$$Q(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \text{diag}(z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

Then the operators T_1, \dots, T_n are all contractive if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad I - Q(T)Q(T)^* \geq 0.$$

Row contractions are similarly characterized by the positivity of $I - Q(T)Q(T)^*$ for the $1 \times n$ -matrix valued function

$$Q(z) = (z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

In general, then, fix an analytic $N \times M$ matrix-valued polynomial Q in n variables and consider the domain

$$\mathcal{D}_Q = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|Q(z)\| < 1\}.$$

(Examples (2) and (3) above give the unit polydisk \mathbb{D}^n and the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n respectively.) Now consider the class of commuting operator n -tuples

$$\mathcal{T}_Q = \{T = (T_1, \dots, T_n) : I - Q(T)Q(T)^* \geq 0\}.$$

This class of operators may be used to define an operator algebra norm on the space of polynomials as in (1.1). Say a polynomial lies in the *Schur-Agler class* \mathcal{SA}_Q if $\|p(T)\| \leq 1$ for all T such that $I - Q(T)Q(T)^* \geq 0$. (It is possible for different polynomials Q to determine the same domain \mathcal{D}_Q but distinct Schur-Agler classes \mathcal{SA}_Q ; one of the motivations of the present paper is to investigate these differences in the case of linear Q .) Among the main results of [2] and [4] is that the classes \mathcal{SA}_Q are characterized by a ‘‘Nevanlinna factorization,’’ so named because it may be read as a kind of generalization of a 1919 theorem of R. Nevanlinna. This theorem says that a function f in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and bounded by 1 if and only if the kernel $(1 - f(z)\overline{f(w)})(1 - z\overline{w})^{-1}$ is positive semidefinite. Equivalently, there exists a Hilbert space H and a holomorphic function $F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow H$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1 - f(z)\overline{f(w)}}{1 - z\overline{w}} = F(z)F(w)^*$$

which it will be helpful to rewrite as

$$(1.5) \quad 1 - f(z)\overline{f(w)} = F(z)(1 - z\overline{w})F(w)^*.$$

A version of this theorem in the bidisk \mathbb{D}^2 was obtained by Agler [1], who showed that $f : \mathbb{D}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and bounded by 1 if

and only if there exists Hilbert space H and holomorphic functions $F_1, F_2 : \mathbb{D}^2 \rightarrow H$ such that

$$(1.6) \quad 1 - f(z)\overline{f(w)} = F_1(z)(1 - z_1\overline{w_1})F_1(w)^* + F_2(z)(1 - z_2\overline{w_2})F_2(w)^*$$

If we put $F = [F_1 \ F_2]$ and define

$$(1.7) \quad Q(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

then (1.6) takes a form more reminiscent of (1.5):

$$(1.8) \quad 1 - f(z)\overline{f(w)} = F(z) [I_H \otimes (I_2 - Q(z)Q(w)^*)] F(w)^*$$

In general, we have the following, which is special case of [4, Theorem 1.5]. (We state the theorem only in the case of polynomials, since it is really the operator algebra norm induced by the operators T that is of interest in the present paper.)

Theorem 1.1. *Let Q and \mathcal{D}_Q be as above, and let p be a matrix-valued analytic polynomial. Then the following are equivalent:*

- 1) **Agler-Nevanlinna factorization.** *There exists a Hilbert space K , and an analytic function $F : \mathcal{D}_Q \rightarrow B(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ such that*

$$(1.9) \quad 1 - p(z)p(w)^* = F(z) [I_K \otimes (I - Q(z)Q(w)^*)] F(w)^*$$

- 2) **Transfer function realization.** *There exists a Hilbert space K' , a unitary transformation $U : K' \oplus \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow K' \oplus \mathbb{C}^N$ of the form*

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{matrix} & & K' & \mathbb{C}^N \\ K' & & \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbb{C}^N & & \end{matrix}$$

such that

$$(1.11) \quad p(z) = D + C(I - Q(z)A)^{-1}Q(z)B.$$

- 3) **von Neumann inequality.** *p lies in the (matrix-valued) Schur-Agler class \mathcal{SA}_Q , that is, for every commuting n -tuple T such that $I - Q(T)Q(T)^* \geq 0$,*

$$(1.12) \quad \|p(T)\|_{M_N \otimes B(K)} \leq 1.$$

The inequality in statement (3) always implies that p is bounded by 1 in \mathcal{D}_Q . The converse holds in \mathbb{D} (von Neumann's inequality) and in \mathbb{D}^2 (with Q given by (1.7)) by Ando's theorem. In all other cases the converse is either false or an open problem.

The purpose of this paper is to prove an analog of the above result where the single matrix-valued polynomial Q is replaced by a family of linear maps $\sigma : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow B(H)$. In this respect there is some overlap with

the more general results of [9], where general analytic σ are considered, though the point of view of the present paper is somewhat different. In particular the linear maps σ are exactly the maps that are completely contractive with respect to a given n -dimensional operator space E . (We assume the reader is familiar with the notions of operator spaces, completely contractive maps, etc. The books [10] and [11] are excellent references. The facts and definitions we require are briefly reviewed in Section 2.) The role of these operator spaces (and their duals) is a central theme. (In fact the reader who is familiar with the results of [2, 4, 9] may prefer to read sections 4 and 5 first.)

To state our main theorem, we introduce one bit of notation: if $S = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ is an n -tuple of operators on a Hilbert space K , write σ_S for the map

$$(1.13) \quad \sigma_S(z) := \sum_{j=1}^n z_j S_j$$

from \mathbb{C}^n into $B(K)$. (Evidently every linear map $\sigma : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow B(K)$ has this form.) Our main theorem, proved in Section 3, is the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let E be a finite dimensional operator space, with underlying Banach space V , and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the open unit ball of V . For each analytic M_N -valued polynomial p , the following are equivalent:*

- 1) **Agler-Nevanlinna factorization.** *There exists a Hilbert space K , a completely contractive map $\sigma : E \rightarrow B(K)$, and an analytic function $F : \Omega \rightarrow B(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ such that*

$$(1.14) \quad 1 - p(z)p(w)^* = F(z) [I_K - \sigma(z)\sigma(w)^*] F(w)^*$$

for all $z, w \in \Omega$.

- 2) **Transfer function realization.** *There exists a Hilbert space K' , a unitary transformation $U : K' \oplus \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow K' \oplus \mathbb{C}^N$ of the form*

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{array}{c} K' \\ \mathbb{C}^N \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} K' \quad \mathbb{C}^N \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & D \end{array} \right) \end{array}$$

and a completely contractive map $\sigma : E \rightarrow B(K')$ so that

$$(1.16) \quad p(z) = D + C(I - \sigma(z)A)^{-1}\sigma(z)B.$$

for all $z \in \Omega$.

3) von Neumann inequality. *If S is a commuting n -tuple in $B(K)$ and σ_S is completely contractive for E^* , then*

$$(1.17) \quad \|p(S)\|_{M_N \otimes B(K)} \leq 1.$$

A quick observation: if the operators $S = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ in statement (3) are commuting *matrices*, then the condition that σ_S be completely contractive for E^* is just the condition that S belong to the unit ball of E . This duality is described more fully in the next section.

We now let $UC(E^*)$ denote the completion of the polynomials in the norm

$$(1.18) \quad \|p\|_{UC(E^*)} := \sup_S \|p(S)\|$$

where the supremum is taken over all S appearing in item 3 of Theorem 1.2, and let $UC(E^*)$ denote the resulting operator algebra (UC for “Universal Commutative”). It is easy to see that the norm (1.18) controls the supremum norm over Ω , and hence every element of $UC(E^*)$ is a continuous function on the closure of Ω and analytic in Ω .

These algebras $UC(E^*)$ are the *universal commutative operator algebras* of the title. Indeed, it is evident that $UC(E^*)$ has the following universal property: if $\sigma : E^* \rightarrow B(H)$ is any completely contractive map with commutative range, then σ has a unique extension to a completely contractive homomorphism $\hat{\sigma} : UC(E^*) \rightarrow B(H)$. (The map σ picks out a commuting n -tuple S , and $\hat{\sigma}$ just evaluates on S .) This is discussed further in Section 4.

The results of this paper overlap with those of [2, 4] only for those operator spaces E which can be embedded completely isometrically in $B(H)$ for some *finite-dimensional* Hilbert space H . However many finite-dimensional operator spaces of interest do *not* admit such an embedding. Indeed among the most interesting operator spaces in the present context are the so-called *maximal operator spaces* $MAX(V)$, which correspond to the *minimal UC-norms* discussed in Section 5. (In particular we show that the supremum norm on the tridisk \mathbb{D}^3 is not a UC -norm.) Outside the exceptional cases of the $V = \ell^1$ and $V = \ell^\infty$ norms on \mathbb{C}^2 , we know of no maximal space which embeds in a matrix algebra (or even a nuclear C^* -algebra; in fact for every $n > 16$ there exist V with $\dim V = n$ such that $MAX(V)$ cannot embed in a nuclear C^* -algebra. See [11, pp.340–341]).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Operator spaces and duality. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on \mathbb{C}^n ; write V for the Banach space $(\mathbb{C}^n, \|\cdot\|)$. Let Ω denote the open unit ball of

V :

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\| < 1\}$$

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the standard *symmetric* (not Hermitian) inner product on \mathbb{C}^n :

$$\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j w_j$$

We consider the dual space V^* with respect to this pairing, and let Ω^* denote the dual unit ball:

$$\Omega^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |\langle z, w \rangle| < 1 \text{ for all } w \in \Omega\}$$

We will equip V with various (concrete) operator space structures; each of these is determined by an isometric mapping

$$\varphi : V \rightarrow B(H)$$

where H is a Hilbert space (of arbitrary dimension). More explicitly, if we write vectors $z \in V$ in coordinate form with respect to the standard basis e_1, \dots, e_n , we will write $T_j = \varphi(e_j)$ so that

$$\varphi(z) = \varphi\left(\sum z_j e_j\right) = \sum z_j T_j := \langle z, T \rangle$$

The matrix norm structure on $E = (V, \varphi)$ is determined explicitly as follows: if A_1, \dots, A_n are matrices (all of some fixed size $k \times l$) then

$$\|(A_1 \ \dots \ A_n)\|_\varphi := \left\| \sum A_j \otimes T_j \right\|$$

where the latter norm is the standard one in $M_{kl} \otimes B(H)$, obtained by identifying $M_{kl} \otimes B(H)$ with $B(H^l, H^k)$. It will be convenient to write

$$\langle A, T \rangle := \sum A_j \otimes T_j.$$

Given an operator space structure $E = (V, \varphi)$ and a linear map $\psi : V \rightarrow B(K)$, we say ψ is *completely contractive* with respect to φ if

$$(2.1) \quad \left\| \sum A_j \otimes \psi(e_j) \right\| \leq \left\| \sum A_j \otimes \varphi(e_j) \right\|$$

for all n -tuples of matrices $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$. The map ψ will be called *completely isometric* if equality holds in (2.1) for all A .

An operator space structure E over V naturally determines a dual operator space structure E^* over V^* , by declaring

$$E^* := CB(E, \mathbb{C})$$

At the first matrix level, $M_1(E^*)$ is isometrically V^* . The $M_m(E^*)$ -norm of an $m \times m$ matrix A with entries from V^* is then given by the CB norm of the map from V to $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ induced by A . In the case that E is finite dimensional, the duality can be described much more

concretely in terms of a pairing between completely contractive maps for E and E^* . It will be helpful to work this out very explicitly: first we recall that, since V is identified with \mathbb{C}^n as a vector space, by the “canonical shuffle” elements of $M_m(E)$ may be presented in one of two ways: either as $m \times m$ matrices with entries from V ,

$$A = [\vec{a}_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^m, \quad \vec{a}_{ij} = (a_{ij}^1, \dots, a_{ij}^n) \in V,$$

or as n -tuples of $m \times m$ matrices

$$A = [A_1 \dots A_n]$$

where the i, j entry of A_k is a_{ij}^k . In general we will prefer the latter form. In particular it will be desirable to describe the matrix norms on E^* using these expressions. Fix an element $A \in M_m(E^*)$. Then A induces a map from V to $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ via

$$A \cdot \vec{v} = [\langle \vec{a}_{ij}, \vec{v} \rangle]_{i,j=1}^m$$

In turn, A sends an element $B \in M_l(E)$ to the $ml \times ml$ matrix

$$A \cdot B = [\langle \vec{a}_{ij}, \vec{b}_{pq} \rangle]_{i,j=1}^m {}_p,q=1^l$$

Using the definition of the symmetric pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ this last matrix may be written as a sum

$$\left[\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k b_{pq}^k \right]_{i,j=1}^m {}_p,q=1^l$$

Now, for fixed k , the $ml \times ml$ matrix

$$[a_{ij}^k b_{pq}^k]_{i,j=1}^m {}_p,q=1^l$$

may be canonically identified with the Kronecker tensor product $A_k \otimes B_k$. Thus, up to a canonical shuffle, the matrix $A \cdot B$ is equal to

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_k \otimes B_k$$

Finally, by the definition of the matrix norms on E^* , we have that the norm of A in $M_m(E^*)$ is equal to

$$(2.2) \quad \|A\|_{M_m(E^*)} := \sup \|A \cdot B\|_{M_{ml}(\mathbb{C})} = \sup \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n A_k \otimes B_k \right\|_{M_{ml}(\mathbb{C})}$$

where the supremum is taken over all $l \geq 1$ and all B in the unit ball of $M_l(E)$. Similarly, we have for all $B \in M_l(E)$

$$(2.3) \quad \|B\|_{M_l(E)} = \sup \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n A_k \otimes B_k \right\|_{M_{ml}(\mathbb{C})}$$

where the supremum is taken over all $m \geq 1$ and all A in the unit ball of $M_m(E)^*$.

The above considerations extend naturally to the setting of completely contractive maps. Given an n -tuple of operators $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ on a Hilbert space $B(H)$, define a linear map $\sigma_T : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow B(H)$ by

$$\sigma_T(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j T_j.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let E be an n -dimensional operator space and let E^* denote its dual. Given an n -tuple of operators $S = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$, the map σ_S is completely contractive for E^* if and only if*

$$(2.4) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \otimes T_j \right\|_{\min} \leq 1$$

for all n -tuples T for which the map σ_T is completely contractive for E .

Remark: Throughout this paper, the norm in expressions such as (2.4) is understood to be the *minimal* tensor norm, that is, if S and T act on Hilbert spaces H and K respectively, the norm of the sum $\sum_{j=1}^n S_j \otimes T_j$ is its norm as an operator on $H \otimes K$. We will henceforth omit the *min* subscript.

Proof. Suppose σ_S is completely contractive for E^* . Then for all $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ in the unit ball of $M_l(E^*)$,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n S_k \otimes A_k \right\| \leq 1$$

Now let T be an n -tuple of operators on a (separable) Hilbert space H , such that σ_T is completely contractive for E . Fix an orthonormal basis for H and let P_k be the projection onto the span of the first k basis vectors. Define an n -tuple of $k \times k$ matrices

$$A_j^k = P_k T_j P_k.$$

(The matrix of A_j^k is written with respect to the fixed basis of H .) We claim that

$$A^k = (A_1^k, \dots, A_n^k)$$

belongs to the unit ball of $M_k(E^*)$. To see this, by (2.2) it suffices to prove that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j^k \otimes B_j \right\| \leq 1$$

for all $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n)$ in the unit ball of $M_l(E)$, for all l . But since σ_T is completely contractive for E , the map $\sigma_k := P_k \sigma_T P_k$ is as well, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n B_j \otimes A_j^k \right\| &= \left\| (I \otimes P_k) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n B_j \otimes T_j \right) (I \otimes P_k) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n B_j \otimes T_j \right\| \\ &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

since σ_T is completely contractive. This proves the claim.

Now, by the hypothesis that σ_S is completely contractive for E^* ,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \otimes A_j^k \right\| \leq 1$$

for all k , but since

$$\sum_{j=1}^n S_j \otimes A_j^k \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \otimes T_j$$

in the strong operator topology, we have $\| \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \otimes T_j \| \leq 1$, as desired.

For the converse, suppose that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \otimes T_j \right\| \leq 1$$

for all T such that σ_T is completely contractive for E . By (2.2), the map σ_A is completely contractive for E whenever A is an n -tuple of matrices in the unit ball of $M_m(E^*)$. It is then immediate that σ_S is completely contractive for E^* . \square

2.2. Factorization of positive semidefinite functions. Let Ω be a set and K a Hilbert space. Following [7], a function $\Gamma : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow B(K)^*$ is called *positive semidefinite* if

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{z, w \in \Lambda} \Gamma(z, w) (f(z) f(w)^*) \geq 0$$

for every finite subset $\Lambda \subset \Omega$ and every function $f : \Lambda \rightarrow B(K)$. This definition may be naturally extended if we replace $B(K)^*$ with $B(B(K), M_N(\mathbb{C}))$: then $\Gamma : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow B(B(K), M_N)$ is positive semi-definite if and only if

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{z, w \in \Lambda} v(z)\Gamma(z, w)(f(z)f(w)^*)v(w)^* \geq 0$$

for all finite $\Lambda \subset \Omega$, and all functions $f : \Lambda \rightarrow B(K), v : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$. In the scalar case, the following lemma reduces to [7, Proposition 4.1]. The proof of the matrix-valued version stated here is entirely analogous and is omitted.

Lemma 2.2. *A function $\Gamma : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow B(B(K), M_N)$ is positive semi-definite if and only if there exists a Hilbert space H and a function $G : \Omega \rightarrow B(B(K), B(H, \mathbb{C}^N))$ such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \Gamma(z, w)(ab^*) = (G(z)[a])(G(w)[b])^*$$

for all $a, b \in B(K)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose E is a finite dimensional operator space, $\psi : E \rightarrow B(K)$ is completely contractive map, and $\Gamma : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow B(B(K), M_N)$ is a positive semidefinite function. Then there exists a Hilbert space H , a completely contractive map $\sigma : E \rightarrow B(H)$ and a function $F : \Omega \rightarrow B(H, \mathbb{C}^N)$ such that*

$$\Gamma(z, w)[I_K - \psi(z)\psi(w)^*] = F(z)(I_H - \sigma(z)\sigma(w)^*)F(w)^*.$$

Proof. Given the completely isometric map $\psi : E \rightarrow B(K)$, let \mathcal{A} denote the unital C^* -subalgebra of $B(K)$ generated by the operators $\{\psi(z) : z \in \Omega\}$. Choose G to factor Γ as in Lemma 2.2. Now, in the factorization (2.7), let H' denote the subspace of H spanned by vectors of the form $(G(w)[a])^*v$ for $w \in \Omega, a \in \mathcal{A}, v \in \mathbb{C}^N$. We then obtain a “right regular representation” $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H')$ by defining

$$(2.8) \quad \pi(a)^*(G(w)[b])^*v = (G(w)[ba])^*v$$

It is straightforward to check that π is a $*$ -homomorphism: linearity is evident, and for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$(2.9) \quad \pi(xy)^*(G(w)[b])^*v = (G(w)[bxy])^*v$$

$$(2.10) \quad = \pi(y)^*(G(w)[bx])^*v$$

$$(2.11) \quad = \pi(y)^*\pi(x)^*(G(w)[b])^*v$$

so π is multiplicative. Similarly

$$(2.12) \quad u^*G(z)[a]\pi(x)^*(G(w)[b])^*v = u^*G(z)[a](G(w)[bx])^*v$$

$$(2.13) \quad = u^*\Gamma(z, w)[ax^*b^*]v$$

$$(2.14) \quad = u^*G(z)[ax^*](G(w)[b])^*v$$

$$(2.15) \quad = u^*G(z)[a]\pi(x^*)(G(w)[b])^*v$$

so $\pi(x^*) = \pi(x)^*$. Now define $\sigma(z) := \pi(\psi(z))$. It is evident that σ is a completely contractive map from E to $B(H')$. It follows from (2.8) and the definition of \mathcal{A} that

$$(2.16) \quad \sigma(w)^*(G(w)[b])^*v = (G(w)[b\psi(w)])^*v$$

for all $z \in \Omega$, $b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}^N$.

Now define $H = K'$ and $F(z) := G(z)[I_K]$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 and Equation 2.16 that

$$\Gamma(z, w)[I_K] = G(z)[I_K](G(w)[I_K])^* = F(z)F(w)^*$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(z, w)[\psi(z)\psi(w)^*] &= (G(z)[I_K\psi(z)])(G(w)[I_K\psi(w)])^* \\ &= F(z)\sigma(z)\sigma(w)^*F(w)^*, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\Gamma(z, w)(I_K - \psi(z)\psi(w)^*) = F(z)F(w)^* - F(z)\sigma(z)\sigma(w)^*F(w)^*$$

as desired. \square

3. MAIN THEOREM

The proof of each implication in Theorem 1.2 is handled in a separate subsection.

3.1. 1 implies 2.

Proof. This is a standard application of the ‘‘lurking isometry’’ technique. Rearrange (1.14) to obtain

$$(3.1) \quad 1 + F(z)\sigma(z)\sigma(w)^*F(w)^* = p(z)p(w)^* + F(z)F(w)^*$$

Define subspaces $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \subset H' \oplus \mathbb{C}^N$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(w)^*F(w)^*x \\ x \end{pmatrix} : w \in \Omega, x \in \mathbb{C}^N \right\} \\ \mathcal{N} &= \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} F(w)^*x \\ p(w)^*x \end{pmatrix} : w \in \Omega, x \in \mathbb{C}^N \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The equation (3.1) then implies the existence of an isometry $U^* : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$U^* \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(w)^* F(w)^* x \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F(w)^* x \\ p(w)^* x \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $w \in \Omega$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Enlarging H' to a space H'' if necessary, we may extend U^* to a unitary (still denoted U^*) taking $H'' \oplus \mathbb{C}^N$ to itself. We also regard σ as taking \mathbb{C}^N into $B(H'')$, by declaring $\sigma(w)x$ to be 0 for all $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and all $x \in H'' \ominus H'$. (Note this extended σ is still completely contractive.) We now write the action of U^* as a unitary colligation

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^* & C^* \\ B^* & D^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(w)^* F(w)^* x \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F(w)^* x \\ p(w)^* x \end{pmatrix}$$

This corresponds to the linear system

$$(3.2) \quad A^* \sigma(w)^* F(w)^* + C^* = F(w)^*$$

$$(3.3) \quad B^* \sigma(w)^* F(w)^* + D^* = p(w)^*$$

This system may be solved to obtain

$$p(z) = D + C(I - \sigma(z)A)^{-1} \sigma(z)B$$

for all $z \in \Omega$. (Note that $(I - \sigma(z)A)$ is invertible, since $\|A\| \leq 1$ and $\|\sigma(z)\| \leq \|z\|_V < 1$ for all $z \in \Omega$.) \square

3.2. 2 implies 3.

Proof. Write

$$\sigma(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j T_j.$$

Let $S = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ induce a completely contractive map σ_S of E^* on $B(L)$. Then by Proposition 2.1,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \otimes T_j \right\| \leq 1.$$

Given the unitary colligation U , let $\tilde{A} = I_L \otimes A$, $\tilde{B} = I_L \otimes B$, etc. Fix $0 < r < 1$; and observe that

$$(3.4) \quad p(rS) = \tilde{D} + \tilde{C} \langle rS, T \rangle (I - \tilde{A} \langle rS, T \rangle)^{-1} \tilde{B}.$$

Since $\|rS\| < 1$ and the S_j commute, the right-hand side of (3.4) may be expanded in a norm-convergent power series in the S_j . Using (1.16), we may also expand the left-hand side in the S_j , by first expanding

p and then substituting rS . The equality then follows by matching coefficients. It is now easy to verify that

$$(3.5) \quad I - p(rS)^*p(rS) \geq 0$$

for all $r < 1$ and hence $I - p(S)^*p(S) \geq 0$ by letting $r \rightarrow 1$. To prove (3.5), let A, B, C, D be any unitary colligation and X any operator with $\|X\| < 1$. Then if we define

$$Q = D + CX(I - AX)^{-1}B$$

a well-known calculation shows that

$$I - Q^*Q = B^*(I - AX)^{-1*}(I - X^*X)(I - AX)^{-1}B \geq 0.$$

Taking $X = \langle rS, T \rangle$ and $Q = p(rS)$ proves the claim. \square

3.3. **3 implies 1.**

Proof. This is the most involved part of the proof; the argument will be broken into several sub-arguments. We will first show that, given any finite set $\Lambda \subset \Omega$, there exist F and ψ so that (1.14) holds for all $z, w \in \Lambda$. (This constitutes the bulk of the proof.) We then conclude that such a factorization is valid on all of Ω via a compactness argument (in particular, by appeal to Kurosh's theorem).

So, fix a finite set $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\} \subset \Omega$. Consider the cone \mathcal{C} of $kN \times kN$ Hermitian matrices which can be written in the form

$$(3.6) \quad A_{ij} = [F(\lambda_i)(1 - \psi(\lambda_i)\psi(\lambda_j)^*)F(\lambda_j)^*]_{ij}$$

where F is a function from Λ to a Hilbert space $B(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ and ψ is a completely contractive map of E into $B(K)$. It is easy to see that \mathcal{C} contains all positive semidefinite matrices: if A is positive semidefinite we may factor it as $A_{ij} = F(\lambda_i)F(\lambda_j)^*$ and take $\psi = 0$. Moreover, observe that for all $A \in \mathcal{C}$, the Hilbert space K in the above map can be taken to be a *fixed* space of finite dimension at most $2kN$. To see this, note that the factorization that appears in the right hand side of 3.6 takes place in the subspace of K given by

$$\text{span} \{F(\lambda_i)^*x, \psi(\lambda_i)^*F(\lambda_i)^*x : i = 1, \dots, k, x \in \mathbb{C}^N\}$$

We now suppose that the $kN \times kN$ Hermitian matrix

$$P_{ij} = I_N - p(\lambda_i)p(\lambda_j)^*$$

does *not* belong to \mathcal{C} . Our first claim is the following:

Claim 1: \mathcal{C} is closed.

It follows that there exists a real linear functional $L : M_{kN}^{sa}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $L(\mathcal{C}) \geq 0$ but $L(P) < 0$. We extend L to a complex linear functional on all of $M_{kN}(\mathbb{C})$ (still denoted L) in the standard way.

Using L we construct a pre-Hilbert space: for functions $F, G : \Lambda \rightarrow B(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$, define

$$\langle F, G \rangle_L := L([F(\lambda_i)G(\lambda_j)^*])$$

Since L is positive on \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C} contains all positive matrices, it follows that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_L$ is positive semidefinite. Denote by \mathcal{H} the resulting pre-Hilbert space. We next construct an n -tuple of operators on \mathcal{H} . First, if $Q : \Lambda \rightarrow B(K)$ is any function, we can define a “right multiplication operator” M_Q on \mathcal{H} via

$$(M_Q F)(\lambda) = F(\lambda)Q(\lambda)$$

(In fact, the only Q we need will be scalar multiples of the identity, but it will be helpful to think of this scalar multiplication as occurring on the right rather than the left.) Now, for each $\lambda_i \in \Lambda$, write its coordinates as

$$\lambda_i = (\lambda_i^1, \dots, \lambda_i^n)$$

and define operators $S_k : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$(S_k F)(\lambda_i) := M_{\lambda_i^k} F(\lambda_i) = F(\lambda_i) \lambda_i^k$$

We will construct from these operators a completely contractive map of the operator space E^* :

Claim 2: If \mathcal{E} is any Hilbert space and

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k T_k$$

is any completely contractive map from E to $B(\mathcal{E})$, then the operator

$$I - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n S_k \otimes T_k \right)^* \left(\sum_{k=1}^n S_k \otimes T_k \right)$$

is nonnegative on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}$.

From this claim it follows easily that

Claim 3: If $\langle F, F \rangle_L = 0$ then $\langle S_k F, S_k F \rangle_L = 0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$.

We may now construct a Hilbert space from \mathcal{H} as usual, by passing to the quotient by the space of null vectors and completing; denote the resulting Hilbert space \mathcal{H}' . Claims 2 and 3 show that the operators S_k pass to well-defined, bounded operators on \mathcal{H}' , which will still denote S_k . It is also immediate from Claim 2 that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n S_k \otimes T_k \right\| \leq 1$$

whenever $\psi(z) = \sum z_k T_k$ is completely contractive for E ; thus by Proposition 2.1, the map

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k S_k$$

is completely contractive for E^* . The proof that (1.14) is valid on finite sets will now be complete if we can show that $1 - p(S)^*p(S)$ is *not* positive on \mathcal{H}' . Let J denote the $kN \times kN$ which has the $N \times N$ identity matrix I_N in the i, j block for all $i, j = 1, \dots, k$. The matrix J may be factored as $G(\lambda_i)G(\lambda_j)^*$ where $G(\lambda_i) = I_N$ for all i . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (I - p(S)^*p(S))G, G \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'} &= \langle (I - p(S)^*p(S))G, G \rangle_L \\ &= L([G(\lambda_i)(I_N - p(\lambda_i)p(\lambda_j)^*)G(\lambda_j)^*]) \\ &= L(I_N - p(\lambda_i)^*p(\lambda_j)) \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have now proved the existence of the factorization on finite sets, modulo the proofs of the claims, which are now provided. After these, the factorizations on finite sets will be pieced together, and the proof will be finished.

Proof of Claim 1: To see that \mathcal{C} is closed we appeal again to the lurking isometry technique. So, suppose $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Since X is Hermitian, by the spectral theorem we may write X as a difference of two positive matrices

$$X = P - N$$

with $\|P\| \leq \|X\|, \|N\| \leq \|X\|$. Now factor P and N as Grammians:

$$P_{ij} = \langle p_j, p_i \rangle, \quad N_{ij} = \langle n_j, n_i \rangle$$

There exist F and ψ so that

$$(3.7) \quad X_{ij} = \langle p_j, p_i \rangle - \langle n_j, n_i \rangle = F(\lambda_j)^*(1 - \psi(\lambda_j)^*\psi(\lambda_i))F(\lambda_i)$$

As before, the lurking isometry argument leads to the equation

$$F(\lambda_i) = (I - A\psi(\lambda_i))^{-1}Bp_i$$

where A, B belong to a unitary colligation. Now, $\|A\psi(\lambda_i)\| \leq \|\lambda_i\|_V < 1$ and $\|p_i\| \leq \|X\|$ for all i , and so

$$(3.8) \quad \|F(\lambda_i)\| \leq (1 - \|\lambda_i\|_V)^{-1}\|X\|$$

for all i .

Let X_n be a sequence in \mathcal{C} and suppose $X_n \rightarrow X$. For each n we obtain F_n, ψ_n so that (3.7) holds. By (3.8) the functions F_n are uniformly bounded, and hence admit a subsequence converging to some

$F : \Lambda \rightarrow K$. Since the ψ_n are also uniformly bounded, passing to a further subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi$ pointwise in norm for some completely contractive ψ . (The fact that $\psi(z)$ acts on a finite-dimensional space is used here.) It follows that this F and ψ factor X as in (3.7), and hence $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof of Claim 2: Let $F_1, \dots, F_d : \Lambda \rightarrow B(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ and let e_1, \dots, e_d be an orthonormal set in \mathcal{E} . To prove Claim 2 it suffices to show that

$$(3.9) \quad \left\langle \left(I - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n S_k \otimes T_k \right)^* \left(\sum_{k=1}^n S_k \otimes T_k \right) \right) \left(\sum F_l \otimes e_l, \sum F_m \otimes e_m \right) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}}$$

is positive; this will be the case because this is in fact may be written as the functional L applied to an $kN \times kN$ matrix lying in the cone \mathcal{C} . To see this, let us write \tilde{T}_k for the operator $I_K \otimes T_k$ on $K \otimes \mathcal{E}$, and $\tilde{F}(\lambda_i) = \sum F_l(\lambda_i) \otimes e_l$. By the definition of S we have

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_k (S_k \otimes T_k)(F_l \otimes e_l)(\lambda_i) = \sum_k F_l(\lambda_i) \lambda_i^k \otimes T_k e_l$$

$$(3.11) \quad = \left(\sum_k \lambda_i^k \tilde{T}_k \right) (F_l(\lambda_i) \otimes e_l)$$

$$(3.12) \quad = \langle \lambda_i, \tilde{T} \rangle (F_l(\lambda_i) \otimes e_l)$$

$$(3.13) \quad = \langle \lambda_i, \tilde{T} \rangle \tilde{F}(\lambda_i)$$

Now, using the fact that $\{e_l\}$ is orthonormal,

$$(3.14) \quad \left\langle \sum F_l \otimes e_l, \sum F_m \otimes e_m \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}} = L \left(\sum F_l(\lambda_i) F_l(\lambda_j)^* \right)$$

$$(3.15) \quad = L(\tilde{F}(\lambda_i) \tilde{F}(\lambda_j)^*)$$

Combining the above calculations, we find that (3.9) may be written as

$$(3.16) \quad L \left(\tilde{F}(\lambda_i) [1 - \langle \lambda_i, \tilde{T} \rangle \langle \lambda_j, \tilde{T} \rangle^*] \tilde{F}(\lambda_j)^* \right)$$

Since the map $z \rightarrow \langle z, T \rangle$ is completely contractive for E , the map obtained by replacing T with \tilde{T} is as well. It follows that the argument of L in (3.16) belongs to \mathcal{C} , and hence (3.9) is positive, as desired.

Proof of Claim 3: Trivially, there exists a real number $\alpha > 0$ such that, for each $k = 1, \dots, n$, the map

$$\sigma(z) = \alpha z_k$$

is a completely contractive map of E . Applying Claim 2 to this map (that is, taking $T_k = \alpha$, $T_j = 0$ for $j \neq k$) we get

$$I - \alpha^2 S_k^* S_k \geq 0$$

for each k . Thus the operators S_k are bounded on \mathcal{H} , so in particular $\langle S_k F, S_k F \rangle_L = 0$ whenever $\langle F, F \rangle_L = 0$.

We proved that a factorization (1.14) exists on every finite subset $\Lambda \subset \Omega$. The extension to all of Ω is accomplished via a routine application of Kurosh's theorem. For each finite set $\Lambda \subset \Omega$ fix a factorization (1.14). Let H_Λ be the Hilbert space on which ψ acts. Put $H := \bigoplus_\Lambda H_\Lambda$ and $\psi := \bigoplus_\Lambda \psi_\Lambda$. Now for each Λ let Φ_Λ be the set of all positive semidefinite functions $\Gamma_\Lambda : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow B(B(H), M_N)$ such that

$$(3.17) \quad 1 - p(z)p(w)^* = \Gamma_\Lambda(z, w)[I_H - \psi(z)\psi(w)^*]$$

for all $z, w \in \Lambda$. Each Φ_Λ is nonempty, since it contains

$$(3.18) \quad \Gamma_\Lambda(z, w)[a] = F(z)P_\Lambda a P_\Lambda F(w)^*$$

where $P_\Lambda : H \rightarrow H_\Lambda$ is the orthogonal projection. By identifying $B(B(K), M_N)$ with $M_N(B(K)^*)$, the former space inherits the topology of entrywise weak-* convergence. The set of functions from $\Lambda \times \Lambda$ to $B(B(K), M_N)$ may then be endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence in this topology on $B(B(K), M_N)$ (in other words, the "pointwise entrywise weak-* topology"). The sets Φ_Λ are then compact in this topology; this follows from the boundedness argument in the proof of Claim 1. It is evident that restriction induces a continuous map $\pi_{\alpha\beta} : \Phi_\alpha \rightarrow \Phi_\beta$ when $\beta \subset \alpha$, so by Kurosh's theorem there exists a positive semidefinite Γ which satisfies (3.17) for all $z, w \in \Omega$. Finally, applying Lemma 2.3 to this Γ and ψ finishes the proof. \square

4. UNIVERSALITY OF $UC(E)$

In this section, to unclutter the notation a bit, we reverse the roles of E and E^* (which is harmless, since finite-dimensional operator spaces are reflexive), and consider the operator algebras $UC(E)$. So

$$(4.1) \quad \|p\|_{UC(E)} = \sup_S \{\|p(S)\|\}$$

the supremum taken over commuting n -tuples S such that $\sigma_S : E \rightarrow B(K)$ is completely contractive. As noted earlier, if the S_j are matrices, then this is just the condition that S lies in the unit ball of E^* .

Pisier [11, Chapter 6] introduces the *universal (unital) operator algebra* associated to an operator space E ; this algebra is denoted $OA_u(E)$. We will not require an explicit construction of $OA_u(E)$ here, only that $OA_u(E)$ has the following properties:

Proposition 4.1. *The following properties characterize $OA_u(E)$:*

(1) *There exists a canonical completely isometric embedding*

$$\iota : E \rightarrow OA_u(E).$$

(2) *If $\sigma : E \rightarrow B(H)$ is completely contractive, there exists a unique completely contractive unital homomorphism $\hat{\sigma} : OA_u(E) \rightarrow B(H)$ extending σ , i.e. so that $\hat{\sigma}(\iota(x)) = \sigma(x)$ for all $x \in E$.*

Similarly, the algebras $UC(E)$ are “universal” among commutative operator algebras containing E completely contractively; in particular we have:

Proposition 4.2. *Let E be a finite-dimensional operator space.*

(1) *There exists a canonical completely isometric embedding*

$$\iota : E \rightarrow UC(E).$$

(2) *If $\sigma : E \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely contractive map with commutative range, then there exists a unique completely contractive unital homomorphism $\hat{\sigma} : UC(E) \rightarrow B(H)$ extending σ .*

Proof. Everything is more or less immediate. For the embedding of E into $UC(E)$, since the vector space underlying E is just \mathbb{C}^n we let the map ι send the point $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ to the linear polynomial $p(z) = \sum a_j z_j$. That this embedding is completely isometric is immediate from the definition of the $UC(E)$ norms and the duality described in Section 2. For the extension property, the map σ has the form $\sigma(a) = \sum a_j S_j$ for commuting S_j 's, and thus by definition $\hat{\sigma}(p) := p(S)$ works; uniqueness is clear since the linear polynomials generate $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ as a (unital) algebra, and $\hat{\sigma}$ extends uniquely to the completion $UC(E)$. \square

The observations in the proof of Proposition 4.2 may be organized slightly differently. Restricting the operator algebra structure of $UC(E)$ to the linear polynomials, we get a completely isometric copy of E . Thus a homomorphism π from the polynomials into $B(K)$ is completely contractive for $UC(E)$ if and only if its restriction to the linear polynomials is completely contractive for the induced operator space structure. This gives a way of detecting whether or not a given operator algebra structure on the polynomials agrees with some $UC(E)$. This observation is exploited in the next section to show that the tridisk algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^3)$ is not completely isometric to any $UC(E)$.

A routine categorical argument shows that the universal property of Proposition 4.2 characterizes $UC(E)$ (up to complete isometry) among

the commutative operator algebras which contain E completely isometrically. We then obtain:

Proposition 4.3. *Let E be a finite-dimensional operator space, $OA_u(E)$ the universal (unital) operator algebra over E , and \mathcal{C} the commutator ideal of $OA_u(E)$. Then*

$$UC(E) \cong OA_u(E)/\mathcal{C},$$

completely isometrically.

Proof. We begin with the observation that the map of E into $OA_u(E)/\mathcal{C}$ given by the composition

$$E \hookrightarrow OA_u(E) \rightarrow OA_u(E)/\mathcal{C}$$

is completely isometric. (The first map is the canonical (completely isometric) embedding into $OA_u(E)$; the second is the quotient map.) To see this, it suffices to see that the restriction of the quotient map to E is completely isometric; this in turn follows from the existence of a completely isometric map $\sigma : E \rightarrow B(H)$ with commutative range. Such a map can be obtained by taking any complete isometry $\tau : E \rightarrow B(K)$ and defining

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With this canonical embedding of E into the quotient in hand, it is straightforward to check that $OA_u(E)/\mathcal{C}$ has the universal property of Proposition 4.2, and hence is completely isometrically isomorphic to $UC(E)$. \square

It is shown in [11, Chapter 6] that the operator algebra norm on $OA(E)$ is realized by taking the supremum over just those completely contractive representations of $OA(E)$ on finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. It is not obvious that the same is true for $UC(E)$ —the difficulty is that if $\sigma : E \rightarrow B(H)$ has commuting range and P is a projection in $B(H)$, the map $P\sigma P$ need not have commuting range. However the proof of Theorem 1.2 shows that $UC(E)$ is indeed determined by its finite-dimensional representations:

Theorem 4.4. *For every matrix-valued polynomial p , we have*

$$(4.2) \quad \|p\|_{UC(E)} = \sup \|p(S)\|$$

where the supremum is take over all n -tuples of commuting matrices for which σ_S is completely contractive for E ; in other words, over all commuting matrices in the unit ball of E^ .*

Proof. This is really an immediate consequence of the fact that the operators S_k constructed in the proof of the “(3) implies (1)” implication of Theorem 1.2 act on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. More precisely, (recalling the terminology and notation used in the proof of Theorem 1.2), if p is given and does *not* admit a Nevanlinna factorization, then there exists a finite set $\Lambda \subset \Omega$ for which $1 - p(z)p(w)^*$ does not belong to the cone \mathcal{C} . In this setting, the proof of the (3) \implies (1) implication produces an n -tuple of operators $S = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ on the finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} for which $I - p(S)p(S)^*$ is non-positive. The contrapositive of this statement is that if $\|p(S)\| \leq 1$ for all admissible matrices S , then p admits a Nevanlinna factorization, and hence (4.2) holds. \square

Another useful fact about $OA(E)$ is that it “commutes” with Calderon interpolation [11, Section 2.7], that is, for any pair of compatible operator spaces E_0, E_1 ,

$$OA(E_\theta) = [OA(E_0), OA(E_1)]_\theta$$

completely isometrically. We do not know if the analogous statement is true for $UC(E)$.

Question 4.5. Is it the case that

$$UC(E_\theta) \cong [UC(E_0), UC(E_1)]_\theta$$

completely isometrically?

5. EXAMPLES

Lacking a better name, in what follows we shall refer to the operator algebra norms described by Theorem 1.2 generically as *UC-norms*. One natural class of examples in the present context are those coming from the minimal and maximal operator space structures over the given Banach space V . We briefly recall the definitions. To define $MIN(V)$, we observe that the duality between V and V^* induces a natural map e from V into the space of continuous functions on the unit ball of V^* (denoted $C(V_1^*)$), by sending z to the functional it induces on V^* :

$$z \rightarrow \langle \cdot, z \rangle$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, this map is isometric if $C(V_1^*)$ is equipped with the supremum norm. Since this norm makes $C(V_1^*)$ into a C^* -algebra, the embedding thus defines an operator space structure on V , called the *minimal operator space* over V , and is denoted $MIN(V)$. The operator space $MAX(V)$ is defined by the matrix norms

$$\|(v_{ij})\|_N := \sup_{\varphi} \|(\varphi(v_{ij}))\|_{B(H^N)}$$

where the supremum is taken over all contractive linear maps from V into $B(H)$. In other words, every contractive map out of V is completely contractive for $MAX(V)$. On the other hand, a map is completely contractive for $MIN(V)$ if and only if it is completely contractive for every operator space structure over V . It is well-known (and not too hard to prove) that $MIN(V)^* = MAX(V^*)$ and $MAX(V^*) = MIN(V)$.

It follows that for each V , there is a unique minimal and maximal UC -norm associated to the domain $\Omega = ball(V)$. We denote these norms $\|p\|_{MIN(\Omega)}$ and $\|p\|_{MAX(\Omega)}$ respectively. The largest norm has the smallest unit ball; and hence allows the fewest completely contractive maps to appear in the Nevanlinna factorization. This happens when we choose $E = MIN(V)$ in Theorem 1.2, so the maximal UC -norm over $\Omega = ball(V)$ is obtained by taking the supremum over all commuting T such that the map σ_T is completely contractive for $MIN(V)^* = MAX(V^*)$. For example, if Ω is the unit polydisk \mathbb{D}^n , then $V = \ell_n^\infty$ and $V^* = \ell_n^1$. Now, σ_T is completely contractive for $MAX(\ell_n^1)$ if and only if it is contractive, that is if and only if

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n z_j T_j \right\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|.$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Clearly this happens if and only if each T_j is contractive, so by the von Neumann inequality of Theorem 1.2 we see that the maximal UC -norm over the polydisk is equal to the universal norm (the supremum over all commuting contractions) discussed in the introduction.

5.1. $MIN(\ell_n^1)$. In fact, the above considerations allow us to observe a stronger consequence of the Kaiser-Varopoulos counterexample to the three-variable von Neumann inequality. The original example, interpreted in the present setting, shows that $\|p\|_{MAX(\mathbb{D}^3)} > \|p\|_\infty$ on the polydisk \mathbb{D}^3 . In fact their example shows that $\|p\|_{MIN(\mathbb{D}^3)} > \|p\|_\infty$. More precisely, the triple commuting contractions T of the Kaiser-Varopoulos example [13] are such that σ_T is completely contractive for $MIN(\ell^1)$, and hence $\|p\|_{MIN(\mathbb{D}^3)} \geq \|p(T)\| > \|p\|_\infty$. It should be stressed that this is a particular feature of this example and not true generically of counterexamples to the three-variable von Neumann inequality; in particular it is not true of the 8×8 example produced by Crabb and Davie [6].

Proposition 5.1. *The Kaiser-Varopoulos contractions are completely contractive for $MIN(\ell_n^1)$.*

Proof. Let e_1, \dots, e_5 denote the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^5 . Consider the unit vectors

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(-e_2 + e_3 + e_4) \\ v_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(e_2 - e_3 + e_4) \\ v_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(e_2 + e_3 - e_4) \end{aligned}$$

The Kaijser-Varopoulos contractions are the commuting 5×5 matrices T_1, T_2, T_3 defined by

$$T_j = e_{j+1} \otimes e_1 + e_5 \otimes v_j$$

To prove the proposition we must show that if A_1, A_2, A_3 are matrices which satisfy

$$(5.1) \quad \|z_1 A_1 + z_2 A_2 + z_3 A_3\| \leq 1$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}^n$, then $\|\sum A_j \otimes T_j\| \leq 1$. Computing, we find

$$(5.2) \quad A_1 \otimes T_1 + A_2 \otimes T_2 + A_3 \otimes T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_1 & B_2 & B_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(-A_1 + A_2 + A_3) \\ B_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(A_1 - A_2 + A_3) \\ B_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(A_1 + A_2 - A_3) \end{aligned}$$

The norm of the matrix (5.2) is equal to the maximum of the norms of the first column and the last row. By (5.1), we have $\|\pm A_1 \pm A_2 \pm A_3\| \leq 1$ for any choices of signs, so the last row of (5.2) has norm at most 1. To say that the first column has norm at most 1 amounts to saying that

$$(5.3) \quad I - \sum_j A_j^* A_j \geq 0$$

or, in fancier language, the identity map of \mathbb{C}^n is completely contractive from $\text{MIN}(\ell_n^1)$ to the column operator space C_n . This may be seen by averaging: by (5.1), the matrix valued function

$$I - \sum z_i \bar{z}_j A_j^* A_i$$

is positive semidefinite on \mathbb{T}^n . Integrating against Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T}^n gives (5.3). \square

5.2. $\text{MIN}(\ell_n^2)$. We next consider the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$, with the ℓ^2 norm. Recall that the *row operator space* R_n and *column operator space* C_n are defined by embedding \mathbb{C}^n into $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ “along the first row” or “along the first column” respectively. We have $R_n^* = C_n$ completely isometrically, and thus a polynomial belongs to the unit ball of $UC(C_n)$ if and only if it is contractive when evaluated on every row contraction, that is, if and only if it is a contractive multiplier of the *Drury-Arveson space*; this fact is Arveson’s von Neumann inequality for row contractions [3].

It is known in general that $\|p\|_{UC(C_n)} > \|p\|_\infty$ (here $\|p\|_\infty$ is the sup norm over \mathbb{B}^n); probably the simplest example is $p(z_1, z_2) = 2z_1 z_2$. The next example shows that the strict inequality persists if we replace the sup norm with the $\text{MIN}(\ell_2^2)$ norm.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $p(z_1, z_2) = 2z_1 z_2$. Then $\|p\|_{\text{MIN}(\mathbb{B}^2)} = \|p\|_\infty = 1$ (so in particular $\|p\|_{UC(C_2)} > \|p\|_{\text{MIN}(\mathbb{B}^2)}$).*

Proof. By Theorem 1.2 and the discussion at the beginning of this section, it suffices to exhibit a contractive map $\sigma : \ell_2^2 \rightarrow B(H)$ and a holomorphic function $F : \mathbb{B}^2 \rightarrow H$ such that

$$(5.4) \quad 1 - 4z_1 z_2 \bar{w}_1 \bar{w}_2 = F(z)(1 - \sigma(z)\sigma(w)^*)F((w)^*.$$

To do this, take $H = \ell_6^2$; define

$$(5.5) \quad \sigma(z_1, z_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1 & z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(5.6) \quad F(z_1, z_2) = (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ z_1 \ z_2)$$

Clearly $\|\sigma(z_1, z_2)\| = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2$, and one may then check that (5.4) holds. \square

Actually, what is most interesting about this example is not that $\|p\|_{R_2} > \|p\|_{MIN(\mathbb{B}^2)}$ but that $\|p\|_{MIN(\mathbb{B}^2)} = \|p\|_\infty$. Similar factorizations can be constructed in higher dimensions to show that $\|p\|_{MIN(\mathbb{B}^n)} = 1$ for the polynomials

$$(5.7) \quad p(z_1, \dots, z_n) = n^{n/2} z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n, \quad p(z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2.$$

This is interesting because these are polynomials satisfying $\|p\|_\infty = 1$ that are in some sense “large”; in particular their Cayley transforms are extreme points of the space of holomorphic functions with positive real part in \mathbb{B}^n [12, Section 19.2]. It is then natural to raise the following question, which seems quite difficult:

Question 5.3. Is it the case that $\|p\|_{MIN(\mathbb{B}^n)} = \|p\|_\infty$ for all polynomials p ?

In the language of [10, Chapter 5], the algebra of polynomials in n variables with the operator algebra norm defined by taking the supremum over all commuting contractions is denoted $(\mathcal{P}_n, \|\cdot\|_u)$. Paulsen also considers the algebras $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $MAXA(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^n))$. The former is the operator algebra determined by the supremum norm, the latter is obtained by taking the supremum over all commuting contractions which satisfy von Neumann’s inequality. In our notation (up to taking closures) the algebra $(\mathcal{P}_n, \|\cdot\|_u)$ is $UC(MAX(\ell_n^1))$. The above example shows that the norm on $UC(MIN(\ell_n^1))$ strictly dominates the sup norm when $n \geq 3$; it follows that none of the algebras

$$\begin{aligned} & UC(MAX(\ell_n^1)), \\ & UC(MIN(\ell_n^1)), \\ & MAXA(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^n)), \\ & \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^n) \end{aligned}$$

are completely isometrically isomorphic to each other when $n \geq 3$. However it is an open problem to determine if any of these are pairwise completely boundedly isomorphic. By definition chasing, the identity map on polynomials induces complete contractions

$$UC(MAX(\ell_n^1)) \rightarrow UC(MIN(\ell_n^1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^n)$$

and

$$UC(MAX(\ell_n^1)) \rightarrow MAXA(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^n)$$

The relationship between $UC(MIN(\ell_n^1))$ and $MAXA(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^n))$ is less clear; each possesses completely contractive maps into $B(H)$ which are not completely contractive for the other.

6. FURTHER RESULTS

One may view the presence of only polynomials in Theorem 1.2 as too restrictive, but the statement admits a simple modification to make it valid for arbitrary analytic functions on Ω . All that is required is to restrict the von Neumann inequality to strictly completely contractive tuples S ; that is, S for which $\|\sigma_S\|_{cb} = r < 1$. It is not hard to see that this condition implies that the Taylor spectrum of S lies in the closure of $r\Omega$, and hence $f(S)$ is a well-defined, bounded operator for any f holomorphic in Ω . We then have:

Theorem 6.1. *Let V be an n -dimensional Banach space, E an operator space structure over V , and $\Omega = \text{ball}(V) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. For every function f holomorphic in Ω , the following are equivalent:*

- 1) **Agler-Nevanlinna factorization.** *There exists a Hilbert space K , a completely contractive map $\psi : V \rightarrow B(K)$, and an analytic function $F : \Omega \rightarrow B(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ such that*

$$(6.1) \quad 1 - f(z)f(w)^* = F(z) [I_K - \sigma(z)\sigma(w)^*] F(w)^*$$

- 2) **Transfer function realization.** *There exists a Hilbert space K' , a unitary transformation $U : K' \oplus \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow K' \oplus \mathbb{C}^N$ of the form*

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{array}{c} K' \\ \mathbb{C}^N \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} K' \quad \mathbb{C}^N \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & D \end{array} \right) \end{array}$$

and a completely contractive map $\sigma : V \rightarrow B(K')$ so that

$$(6.3) \quad f(z) = D + C(I - \sigma(z)A)^{-1}\sigma(z)B.$$

- 3) **von Neumann inequality.** *If S is a commuting n -tuple in $B(K)$ and σ_S is strictly completely contractive for E^* (that is, $\|\sigma_S\|_{cb} < 1$), then*

$$(6.4) \quad \|f(S)\|_{M_N \otimes B(K)} \leq 1.$$

Proof (sketch). The “1 implies 2” and “2 implies 3” proofs are essentially unchanged. For “3 implies 1,” fix the finite set Λ and the cone \mathcal{C} as in the original proof. Since \mathcal{C} is closed and $I_N - f(\lambda_i)f(\lambda_j)^*$ is assumed to be outside of \mathcal{C} , there exists $0 < r < 1$ so that $I_N - f_r(\lambda_i)f_r(\lambda_j)^*$ is still outside of \mathcal{C} , where $f_r(z) := f(rz)$. Now continue the proof as before with f_r in place of f . The GNS construction produces, as in the original proof, operators S_i so that σ_S is completely contractive for E^* .

Finishing the proof shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (I - f(rS)f(rS)^*)G, G \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'} &= \langle (I - f_r(S)f_r(S)^*)G, G \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'} \\ &= L(I_N - f_r(\lambda_i)f_r(\lambda_j)) \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

The operators rS_i thus give a strictly completely contractive map for E^* and the desired contradiction. \square

As is now well-understood, the equivalences in Theorem 6.1 also give rise to a Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation theorem for the Banach algebra of holomorphic functions on Ω with the norm whose unit ball is characterized by Theorem 6.1. (Extending the notation of the previous section, we will call this algebra $UC^\infty(E)$). We state here only the most elementary scalar version; by well-known techniques the result may be extended to cover matrix-valued interpolation.

Theorem 6.2. *Given points $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ in Ω and scalars w_1, \dots, w_N , there exists a function $f \in UC^\infty(E)$ satisfying $f(\lambda_j) = w_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$ if and only if there exist matrices T_1, \dots, T_n such that σ_T is completely contractive for E and vectors v_1, \dots, v_N such that*

$$(6.5) \quad 1 - w_i \bar{w}_j = v_i \left[I - \sum_{k,l=1}^n \lambda_i^k \bar{\lambda}_j^l T_k T_l^* \right] v_j^*$$

Proof. If $f \in UC^\infty(E)$ and $f(\lambda_j) = w_j$, then (6.5) is simply the restriction of (6.1) to the points $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$, with $T_k = \sigma(e_k)$. Conversely, if (6.5) holds, we set $\sigma = \sigma_T$ and run the lurking isometry argument; this produces a unitary colligation such that

$$(6.6) \quad w_j = D + C(I - \sigma(\lambda_j)A)^{-1} \sigma(\lambda_j)B.$$

But this transfer function realization extends to define a function f in all of Ω , and by Theorem 6.1 this f lies in $UC^\infty(E)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Jim Agler and John E. McCarthy. *Pick interpolation and Hilbert function spaces*, volume 44 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [2] C.-G. Ambrozie and D. Timotin. A von Neumann type inequality for certain domains in \mathbf{C}^n . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(3):859–869 (electronic), 2003.
- [3] William Arveson. Subalgebras of C^* -algebras. III. Multivariable operator theory. *Acta Math.*, 181(2):159–228, 1998.
- [4] Joseph A. Ball and Vladimir Bolotnikov. Realization and interpolation for Schur-Agler-class functions on domains with matrix polynomial defining function in \mathbf{C}^n . *J. Funct. Anal.*, 213(1):45–87, 2004.

- [5] Joseph A. Ball, Tavan T. Trent, and Victor Vinnikov. Interpolation and commutant lifting for multipliers on reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces. In *Operator theory and analysis (Amsterdam, 1997)*, volume 122 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, pages 89–138. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [6] M. J. Crabb and A. M. Davie. von Neumann’s inequality for Hilbert space operators. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 7:49–50, 1975.
- [7] Michael A. Dritschel, Stefania Marcantognini, and Scott McCullough. Interpolation in semigroupoid algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 606:1–40, 2007.
- [8] S. W. Drury. A generalization of von Neumann’s inequality to the complex ball. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 68(3):300–304, 1978.
- [9] Meghna Mittal and Vern I. Paulsen. Operator algebras of functions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 258(9):3195–3225, 2010.
- [10] Vern Paulsen. *Completely bounded maps and operator algebras*, volume 78 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [11] Gilles Pisier. *Introduction to operator space theory*, volume 294 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [12] Walter Rudin. *Function theory in the unit ball of \mathbf{C}^n* , volume 241 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Science]*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [13] N. Th. Varopoulos. On an inequality of von Neumann and an application of the metric theory of tensor products to operators theory. *J. Functional Analysis*, 16:83–100, 1974.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, BOX 118105,
GAINESVILLE, FL 32611-8105, USA
E-mail address: `mjury@ufl.edu`