

## Electronic supplementary material (M. Barfield and R.D. Holt)

### Origin of Mutations in Host Shift Experiments

Using data published in Dennehy et al. (2006), the source phage population in the host shift experiment is expected to be greater than  $10^{10}$  at the end of each growth period; assume it is  $10^{10.5}$ .  $10^4$  virions go to the sink, while the rest are diluted by  $10^6$ , leaving  $10^{4.5}$  to go to the next passage in the source. These phage reproduce to  $> 10^{10}$  and this cycle likely repeats for the duration of the experiment.

The population levels in the sink are not as clear. Again using Dennehy et al. (2006) as a reference, the population should increase to about  $10^{9.5}$  following the initial inocula with  $10^4$  phage. Subsequently this population is reduced to about  $10^{3.5}$  during serial passage transfer. If no adaptation occurs and  $10^4$  new phage are added each day, the population levels should approach  $10^{4.2}$  after source phage are added, and to  $10^{9.7}$  after growth. However, the absolute fitness of the static source is about  $10^{-1.4}$  in Figure 4 of the current manuscript, and at the end of the experiment the host shift sink has an absolute fitness only a little greater than 1 in spite of a  $10^{1.6}$  increase in relative fitness. If the change in absolute fitness is similar to that in relative fitness, this implies an absolute initial fitness of about  $10^{-1.5}$ , in reasonable agreement with the static source value. The Dennehy et al. (2006) results, used above, show that absolute fitness on ERA is approximately  $10^{-0.5}$ . If the fitness is an order of magnitude lower, then the sink population should fluctuate between about  $10^4$  and  $10^{8.5}$ . Call the final sink population  $N$ .

A new mutant can appear in the sink on any day because of a mutation in the sink that day, or due to a mutant in the source that is transferred to the sink. If the mutation rate is  $\mu$  per virion, then the expected number of sink mutations in the last replication is  $N\mu$ . If each phage replication increases the population by a factor of  $F$ , then the phage population produced in the

last-but-one replication is  $N/F$ , so the number of mutations would be  $Nu/F$ . A mutant produced in this replication would produce on average  $w^F$  phage, where  $w$  is the fitness of the mutant relative to the non-mutants (per replication cycle), for a total number of mutants in the final population due to this replication's mutations equal to  $Nuw$ . With 5 replications/day, the total number of mutants expected is  $Nu(1 + w + w^2 + w^3 + w^4)$ , with  $10^{-6}$  of these passaged to the next sink, or  $Nu(1 + w + w^2 + w^3 + w^4)/10^6$ .

To these are added  $10^4$  virions from the source. Using a similar calculation for the number of source mutants per day gives  $Mu(1 + v + v^2 + v^3 + v^4)$ , where  $M$  is the peak source population and  $v$  is the relative fitness of the mutant in the source. The fraction of these that go to the sink is  $10^4/M$ , so the number of mutants occurring in the source on one day that go to the sink on the next passage is  $10^4u(1 + v + v^2 + v^3 + v^4)$ . If  $v = w$ , then the number of mutants expected from the source is more than that from the sink if  $N < 10^{10}$ . Both estimates of  $N$  above are below this value (basically because the sink is a sink).

However, presumably we are interested in mutations that are beneficial in the sink. Figure 2 implies that such mutations are probably detrimental in the source. Therefore,  $v$  is probably less than 1 and  $w$  greater than 1. This would increase the sink's contribution – for a single day, if  $N < 10^{10}(1 + v + v^2 + v^3 + v^4)/(1 + w + w^2 + w^3 + w^4)$ , the source's contribution is larger.

This only considers mutants arising in one day for the source. Another possibility is for a mutant to go from source to sink that arose in the source on a previous day. The number of mutants in the source on one day passaged to the next source is  $Mu(1 + v + v^2 + v^3 + v^4)/10^6$ . After 5 replications, the number is  $Mu(1 + v + v^2 + v^3 + v^4)v^5$ , of which  $10^4u(1 + v + v^2 + v^3 + v^4)v^5$  go to the sink. This is  $v^5$  times the source contribution above, and for even earlier days the

contributions would be  $v^{10}$ ,  $v^{15}$ , etc. So after many days, the number of mutants coming from the source would be  $10^4 u(1 + v + v^2 + v^3 + v^4)/(1 - v^5)$ , and the condition for this to be greater than the new sink mutations is  $N < 10^{10}(1 + v + v^2 + v^3 + v^4)/[(1 + w + w^2 + w^3 + w^4)(1 - v^5)]$ . Thus we conclude it is highly likely that beneficial mutations entered the sink via the source rather than arising *de novo* in the sink.