

On a Problem of von Renteln

Arthur Danielyan (adaniely@usf.edu)
University of South Florida

SEAM 40, 2024

University of Florida
15-17 March 2024

We discuss a theorem on the continuity of the conjugate functions from the theory of Fourier series and apply it for the solution of an open problem.

We discuss a theorem on the continuity of the conjugate functions from the theory of Fourier series and apply it for the solution of an open problem.

In 1980 M. von Renteln has formulated the following Problem 5.62 and the remarks, which have been published in the well-known collection of research problems (Hayman's List) [1] (p. 554).

We discuss a theorem on the continuity of the conjugate functions from the theory of Fourier series and apply it for the solution of an open problem.

In 1980 M. von Renteln has formulated the following Problem 5.62 and the remarks, which have been published in the well-known collection of research problems (Hayman's List) [1] (p. 554).

Problem 5.62. Let $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, $T = \{z : |z| = 1\}$ and u be a continuous real-valued function on T . Give a necessary and sufficient condition on u such that u is the real part of a function f in the disc algebra $A(\overline{D})$.

We discuss a theorem on the continuity of the conjugate functions from the theory of Fourier series and apply it for the solution of an open problem.

In 1980 M. von Renteln has formulated the following Problem 5.62 and the remarks, which have been published in the well-known collection of research problems (Hayman's List) [1] (p. 554).

Problem 5.62. Let $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, $T = \{z : |z| = 1\}$ and u be a continuous real-valued function on T . Give a necessary and sufficient condition on u such that u is the real part of a function f in the disc algebra $A(\overline{D})$.

Remarks.

- (1) A solution would have applications in the algebraic ideal theory.
- (2) An answer to the analogous problem for $L^p(T)$, $H^p(D)$ is the Burkholder-Gundy-Silverstein Theorem (see Peterson [3], p. 13).

Note that the recent account [2] of the mentioned collection of problems indicates that no progress on Problem 5.62 has been reported (see [2], p. 125).

Note that the recent account [2] of the mentioned collection of problems indicates that no progress on Problem 5.62 has been reported (see [2], p. 125).

It has been unknown for years but a solution to Problem 5.62 is formulated in A. Zygmund's book [5], however, without a proof. For the proof, the book [5] suggests a hint, but the hint seems to be irrelevant, unfortunately.

More precisely, the proposition 5, part (a), p. 180, in [5] formulates a solution to Problem 5.62 with a reference to a report of M. Zamansky [4] which contains no proofs. Perhaps Zamansky never published his proof (otherwise Zygmund would give a reference to it).

More precisely, the proposition 5, part (a), p. 180, in [5] formulates a solution to Problem 5.62 with a reference to a report of M. Zamansky [4] which contains no proofs. Perhaps Zamansky never published his proof (otherwise Zygmund would give a reference to it).

On the other hand, since Zygmund has formulated Zamansky's result in his book [5], he should have known it's proof too.

More precisely, the proposition 5, part (a), p. 180, in [5] formulates a solution to Problem 5.62 with a reference to a report of M. Zamansky [4] which contains no proofs. Perhaps Zamansky never published his proof (otherwise Zygmund would give a reference to it).

On the other hand, since Zygmund has formulated Zamansky's result in his book [5], he should have known it's proof too.

Indeed, Zygmund [5] (p.180) gives a parenthetical hint for a proof by suggesting to use uniformity in the relation (3.21) of Chapter III of [5]. But (3.21) does not contain a uniformity case and it is not clear how to give a proof following the hint.

The purpose of this talk is to give a proof for Zamansky's theorem and solve Problem 5.62. The proof uses the classical theory of Zygmund's book (but not the relation (3.21) mentioned above).

The purpose of this talk is to give a proof for Zamansky's theorem and solve Problem 5.62. The proof uses the classical theory of Zygmund's book (but not the relation (3.21) mentioned above).

As in [5], we use the standard notations $\tilde{f}(r, x)$ and $\tilde{f}(x)$ for the conjugate functions in the unit disc and on the (interval $[0, 2\pi]$ of) real line, respectively.

The purpose of this talk is to give a proof for Zamansky's theorem and solve Problem 5.62. The proof uses the classical theory of Zygmund's book (but not the relation (3.21) mentioned above).

As in [5], we use the standard notations $\tilde{f}(r, x)$ and $\tilde{f}(x)$ for the conjugate functions in the unit disc and on the (interval $[0, 2\pi]$ of) real line, respectively.

Also, following [5], we use the notation

$$\tilde{f}(x; h) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_h^\pi [f(x+t) - f(x-t)] \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2}t \, dt.$$

We need the following classical theorem on the boundary behavior of the conjugate harmonic function (see Theorem (7.20) in [5], p. 103).

Theorem A. *If f is integrable and F the indefinite integral of f , then*

$$\tilde{f}(r, x) - \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1-r}^{\pi} [f(x+t) - f(x-t)] \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2}t \, dt \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow 1)$$

at every point where F is smooth, in particular where f is continuous. If f is everywhere continuous, the convergence is uniform.

Theorem A. *If f is integrable and F the indefinite integral of f , then*

$$\tilde{f}(r, x) - \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1-r}^{\pi} [f(x+t) - f(x-t)] \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2}t \, dt \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow 1)$$

at every point where F is smooth, in particular where f is continuous. If f is everywhere continuous, the convergence is uniform.

By the definition (see the top of the same p. 103 in [5]), the function F is smooth at the point x if

$F(x+t) + F(x-t) - 2F(x) = o(t)$. Since obviously the existence of the finite derivative of F at x implies the smoothness of F at x , the limit relation in Theorem A is true a. e.

The following theorem, which solves Problem 5.62, we formulate as in the proposition 5, part (a), on p. 180 in [5].

The following theorem, which solves Problem 5.62, we formulate as in the proposition 5, part (a), on p. 180 in [5].

Zamansky's Theorem. *Let $f(x)$ be continuous and periodic. A necessary and sufficient condition for $\tilde{f}(x)$ to be continuous is that*

$$\tilde{f}(x; h) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_h^\pi [f(x+t) - f(x-t)] \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2} t \, dt$$

converges uniformly as $h \rightarrow +0$.

The following theorem, which solves Problem 5.62, we formulate as in the proposition 5, part (a), on p. 180 in [5].

Zamansky's Theorem. *Let $f(x)$ be continuous and periodic. A necessary and sufficient condition for $\tilde{f}(x)$ to be continuous is that*

$$\tilde{f}(x; h) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_h^\pi [f(x+t) - f(x-t)] \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2} t \, dt$$

converges uniformly as $h \rightarrow +0$.

Before proving Zamansky's theorem, note that in the formulation of this theorem we presented $\tilde{f}(x; h)$ in slightly more explicit form than in [5], p. 180. To see that the above form is the same as that in [5], we refer the reader to the simple notation adopted on p. 50 in [5].

Proof. (*Sufficiency.*) Let $\tilde{f}(x; h)$ be uniformly convergent as $h \rightarrow +0$.

Proof. (*Sufficiency.*) Let $\tilde{f}(x; h)$ be uniformly convergent as $h \rightarrow +0$.

Since f is continuous, f is Lebesgue integrable and the function

$$\tilde{f}(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} [f(x+t) - f(x-t)] \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2}t \, dt = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_h^{\pi}$$

exists for almost all x (see Theorem (3.1), p. 131, [5]).

Proof. (*Sufficiency.*) Let $\tilde{f}(x; h)$ be uniformly convergent as $h \rightarrow +0$.

Since f is continuous, f is Lebesgue integrable and the function

$$\tilde{f}(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi [f(x+t) - f(x-t)] \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2}t \, dt = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_h^\pi$$

exists for almost all x (see Theorem (3.1), p. 131, [5]).

By the definition of $\tilde{f}(x; h)$, the previous equality can be written as $\tilde{f}(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \tilde{f}(x; h)$ for almost all x . Since $\tilde{f}(x; h)$ converges uniformly, $\tilde{f}(x)$ is continuous.

(Necessity.) Let $\tilde{f}(x)$ be continuous.

(Necessity.) Let $\tilde{f}(x)$ be continuous.

We write the left part of the limit relation in Theorem A as follows:

$$[\tilde{f}(r, x) - \tilde{f}(x)] + \left[\tilde{f}(x) - \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1-r}^{\pi} [f(x+t) - f(x-t)] \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2} t \, dt \right) \right] -$$

(Necessity.) Let $\tilde{f}(x)$ be continuous.

We write the left part of the limit relation in Theorem A as follows:

$$[\tilde{f}(r, x) - \tilde{f}(x)] + \left[\tilde{f}(x) - \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1-r}^{\pi} [f(x+t) - f(x-t)] \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2} t \, dt \right) \right] \rightarrow 0$$

Since f is continuous, by Theorem A the convergence in the last limit relation is uniform.

(Necessity.) Let $\tilde{f}(x)$ be continuous.

We write the left part of the limit relation in Theorem A as follows:

$$[\tilde{f}(r, x) - \tilde{f}(x)] + \left[\tilde{f}(x) - \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1-r}^{\pi} [f(x+t) - f(x-t)] \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2} t \, dt \right) \right] \rightarrow 0$$

Since f is continuous, by Theorem A the convergence in the last limit relation is uniform.

Since $\tilde{f}(x)$ is continuous, we have that

$[\tilde{f}(r, x) - \tilde{f}(x)] \rightarrow 0$ ($r \rightarrow 1$) uniformly. Thus

$$\left[\tilde{f}(x) - \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1-r}^{\pi} [f(x+t) - f(x-t)] \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2} t \, dt \right) \right] \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow 1)$$






uniformly too.

Because $\tilde{f}(x)$ does not depend on r , the convergence of the term

$$\left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1-r}^{\pi} [f(x+t) - f(x-t)] \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2}t \, dt \right) \quad (r \rightarrow 1)$$

is uniform, which is the same as

$\tilde{f}(x; h) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_h^{\pi} [f(x+t) - f(x-t)] \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2}t \, dt$ converges uniformly as $h \rightarrow +0$. This completes the proof.

-  D. M. Campbell, J. G. Clunie, and W. K. Hayman, Research problems in complex analysis, In: Aspects of contemporary complex analysis, Proc. instr. Conf., Durham/Engl. 1979, 527-572 (1980).
-  W. K. Hayman and E. F. Lingham, Research Problems in Function Theory, Fiftieth Anniversary Edition, Springer, 2019.
-  K. E. Peterson, Hardy spaces and bounded mean oscillation, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977.
-  M. Zamansky, Sur l'approximation des fonctions continues, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci., **228** (1949), 460-461.
-  A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series, V. 1, Cambridge, 1959.

Thank You!