#### A Brief Survey of Closed-Range Composition Operators on Bergman and Bloch Spaces

Pratibha Ghatage, Department of Mathematics, Cleveland State University

Based on joint work with: John Akeroyd, Maria Tjani, Dechao Zheng and Nina Zorboska

This is a more detailed version of the talk I presented at the Gainesville SEAM. I have learnt a lot from all my co-authors. When it comes to interesting examples, the lion's share belongs to J. Akeroyd. I will always be grateful to Wayne Smith for his kind and consistent interest.

# **1** Notation

The spaces of analytic functions of interest to us are the classical Bloch, Bergman and Hardy spaces.

 $\mathbb{D}$  is the open unit disk whose area measure is assumed to be 1.

 $\mathcal{B}$  is the classical Bloch space and it is a Banach space under the norm,

 $||f|| = \sup\left\{ (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)|, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\} + |f(0)|.$ 

The little Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}_0$  consisting of the closure of polynomials in the Bloch-norm can be described as  $\{f \in \mathcal{B}, (1-|z|^2) | f'(z) | \to 0 \text{ as } |z| \to 1\}$ .

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{B}$  is contained in the Bergman space  $\mathcal{A}^2 = \left\{ f, \int_D |f(z)|^2 dA(z) < \infty \right\}.$ 

The pseudo-hyperbolic metric  $\rho$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  is defined by  $\rho(z, w) = |\sigma_z(w)|$ , where  $\sigma_z$  is the automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$ , which interchanges z with 0.

D(w,r) is the pseudo-hyperbolic disk  $\{z, \rho(z,w) < r\}$ , and its area  $|D(w,r)| \sim (1-|w|)^2$ . If  $\varphi$  is an analytic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ , then  $h_a = \sigma_{\varphi(a)} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_a$ , is an analytic self-map of

$$\mathbb{D}, h_a(0) = 0 \text{ and } h'_a(0) = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)\varphi'(a)}{1 - |\varphi(a)|^2}.$$
  
We write  $\tau_{\varphi}(z) = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)\varphi'(z)}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}$  and observe that by the Schwarz-Pick lemma,  $|\tau_{\varphi}(z)| \le 1.$   
 $|\tau_{\varphi}(z)| = 1 \forall z \text{ if } \varphi \text{ is an automorphism.}$ 

It has been shown that  $\tau_{\varphi}$  is Lipschitz, with respect to the pseudo-hyperbolic metric on the domain and Euclidean one on the range.

# 2 Regarding the Bloch space

**Theorem 2.0.1.** *The following are equivalent:* 

(a)  $C_{\varphi}$  has closed-range on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

(b) Given  $w \in \mathbb{D}, \varphi^{-1}(D(w,s))$  contains D(z,s), and  $|\varphi((D(z,s))| \sim |D(w,s)|$  for some  $s \in (0,1)$ .

(c)  $\exists t \in (0,s)$  so that, given  $w \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $D(z,t) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(D(w,r))$ ,  $|\varphi(D(z,t)| \sim |D(w,r)|$  and  $\varphi$  is univalent on D(z,t).

The above result is natural in view of the fact that the Bloch norm is often defined in terms of Schlicht disks.

<u>Application</u>: If  $\varphi$  is a univalent self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ , and  $C_{\varphi}$  has closed-range on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ , then  $C_{\varphi}$  is Fredholm on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

- 1. A univalent self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  belongs to the Dirichlet space  $\mathcal{D}$ , where  $\mathcal{D} = \left\{ f, \int |f'(z)|^2 dA(z) < \infty \right\}$ .
- 2.  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ , is well-known.
- 3. B<sub>1</sub> = {∑ a<sub>n</sub>σ<sub>w<sub>n</sub></sub>, ∑ |a<sub>n</sub>| < ∞, w<sub>n</sub> ∈ D̄} is called the Besov space.
  If < f, g >= ∫ f'(z)g'(z)dA(z), B<sub>1</sub> is the dual space of B<sub>0</sub>.
  Now if φ is a univalent self-map of D, then C<sub>φ</sub> maps B<sub>0</sub>1 into itself and hence C<sub>φ</sub>\* maps B<sub>1</sub>.
  Moreover, C<sub>φ</sub>\*(σ<sub>w</sub>) = τ<sub>φ</sub>(w)σ<sub>φ(w)</sub> if w ∈ D and 0 if w ∈ D̄.
  In particular, C<sub>φ</sub>\*(σ<sub>w</sub>) ≠ 0 if w ∈ D̄. It is now easy to see that ker C<sub>φ</sub>\* is one-dimensional if φ is univalent.
- 4. The Riemann map of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $\mathbb{D} \setminus (0,1)$  gives a closed-range composition operator on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

Thus there are non-automorphic univalent self-maps of  $\mathbb{D}$  which induce Fredholm composition operators on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . By P. Bourdon's result, this does not happen when  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is replaced by a Hilbert space of analytic functions.

### **3** Regarding the Bergman space

Suppose  $K = \overline{K} \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$  and  $M \in (0, \infty)$  and assume that  $\forall \zeta \in K, |\varphi'(\zeta)| \leq M$ . Let  $W = \bigcup S(\zeta, \pi/2), \zeta \in K$  where  $\Gamma(\zeta, \pi/2)$  is a Stolz angle at  $\zeta$  and  $\varphi(\zeta) = \omega \in \partial \mathbb{D}$  and  $W_s = W \cap \{z, |z| > s\}$ .

The following result seems strong, but is a consequence of the following facts.

- 1. By the Julia-Caratheòdory theorem, the rate at which  $(1 |\varphi(z)|) \div (1 |z|)$  converges to  $|\varphi'(\zeta)|$  is dependent on  $|\varphi'(\zeta)|$ , rather than the location of  $\zeta$ .
- By a result of Pommerenke, if |φ'(ζ)| = M < ∞ and φ(ζ) = η where |ζ| = |η| = 1, then ∃θ, r, s, depending on M, satisfying the following: If |w| > r and w ∈ Γ(η, θ) then ∃z ∈ Γ(ζ, π/2) satisfying φ(z) = w.

**Theorem 3.0.2.** If  $\varphi$  is a holomorphic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1.  $C_{\varphi}$  is closed-range on  $\mathcal{A}^2$ .
- 2.  $\exists K = \overline{K} \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\varphi$  has uniformly bounded angular derivatives at every point of K,  $\varphi$  extends continuously to K and  $\varphi(K) = \partial \mathbb{D}$ .
- 3.  $\exists r \in (0,1)$  and  $M \in (0,\infty)$  such that,  $\mathbb{D} \setminus r\mathbb{D}$  is contained in  $\varphi(W_r) \subseteq \varphi(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\forall z \in W_r, M(1 |\varphi(z)|) \ge (1 |z|)$  and  $|\tau_{\varphi}(z)| \ge 1/2$ .

**Corollary 3.0.3.** No univalent self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  induces a closed-range composition operator on  $\mathcal{A}^2 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{H}^2$ ) unless it is automorphic.

It is a fact that in the univalent case, extension of  $\varphi$  to K is one-to-one. This makes K homeomorphic to  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  and hence  $K = \partial \mathbb{D}$ .

**Corollary 3.0.4.**  $C_{\varphi}$  is closed-range on  $\mathcal{A}^2$ , then  $C_{\varphi}$  is closed-range on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

#### 3.1 Examples

Example 1: J. Shapiro describes a Blaschke product B whose zeros are given by  $a_n = r_n e^{i\omega_n}$ where  $r_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n^2}$  and  $\omega_n$  is the mid-point of an arc of length  $\frac{1}{n}$ . By dropping the zeros in a well-defined manner, one ensures that the resulting product is thin and has no angular derivatives. So,  $C_B$  is compact on  $\mathcal{A}^2$ , which is as far as one can get from having a closed range. It is known that if B is a thin Blaschke product, (i.e. if  $|\tau_{(z_n)}| \to 1$  whenever  $|z_n| \to 1$ ) then  $\mathbb{D} \subseteq B(\Omega_{1/2})$ .

Example 2: There exists h, a conformal mapping of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto an infinite ribbon G, which spirals to  $\overline{\partial \mathbb{D}}$  in such a way that  $C_h$  has closed range on  $\mathcal{B}$ , but  $h(\partial \mathbb{D})$  does not intersect  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ .

On the positive side, we have the following:

Example 3: If B is a Blaschke product whose spectrum skips an arc of the unit circle, then  $\exists n$  so that  $z^n B(z)$  induces a closed-range composition operator on  $\mathcal{A}^2$ .

Example 4: There exists a Blaschke product  $B^*$ , for which  $C_{B^*}$  does not have a closed range on  $\mathcal{A}^2$ , but  $zB^*(z)$  does.

**Corollary 3.1.1.** If  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded below on  $\mathcal{A}^2$ , then  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded below on  $\mathcal{H}^2$ . Let  $\nu(A) = m(\varphi^{-1}(A))$ , a measure defined on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ . Then  $\nu \leq m$ . By a well-known result,  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded below on  $\mathcal{H}^2$  if and only if  $m \leq \nu$ . This holds since  $\varphi(K) = \partial \mathbb{D}$  and  $\varphi$  has uniformly bounded angular derivatives at every point of K.

If  $N_{\varphi}$  is the Nevalinna counting function and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , then  $N_{\varphi}(w) \le c(1 - |w|^2)$ . By Zorboska's theorem, if  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded below on  $\mathcal{H}^2$ , and  $G_c = \{w \in \mathbb{D}, N_{\varphi}(w) \ge c(1 - |w|^2)\}$ , then  $G_c$  satisfies the reverse Carleson condition for some c. i.e.  $|D(w,r) \cap G_c| \ge \delta |D(w,r)|$  for some r and  $\forall w \in \mathbb{D}$ .

Thus, we only need to consider "inner-like" functions, when dealing with  $\mathcal{A}^2$ .

As shown in the examples above, not all inner functions give rise to closed-range composition operators on  $\mathcal{A}^2$ .