# 1. Review of Sets and Functions

It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the most basic set constructions and functions and knows the natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ , the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , and the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , though we will review carefully the properties which characterize  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Familiarity with matrices  $M_n(\mathbb{F})$  and  $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ , where  $\mathbb{F}$  is either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , is also assumed.

## 1.1. Unions, intersections, complements, and products.

**Definition 1.1.** Given sets  $X, Y \subset S$ , the union and intersection of X and Y are

$$\begin{aligned} X \cup Y = & \{z \in S : z \in X \text{ or } z \in Y\} \subset S \\ X \cap Y = & \{z \in S : z \in X \text{ and } z \in Y\} \subset S, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

The *complement* of X, denoted  $\tilde{X}$ , is the set

 $\tilde{X} = \{ x \in S : x \notin X \}.$  The relative complement of X in Y is

$$Y \setminus X = Y \cap \tilde{X} = \{ z \in S : z \in Y \text{ and } z \notin X \}.$$

Note  $\tilde{X} = S \setminus X$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let X and Y be sets. The *Cartesian product* of X and Yis the set

$$X \times Y = \{(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

**Example 1.3.**  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  is known as the Cartesian plane.

 $\mathbb{R}^3$  is the 3-dimensional Euclidean space of third semester Calculus. Δ

**Definition 1.4.** Given a set S, let P(S) denote the power set of S, the set of all subsets of S.

**Example 1.5.** Let  $S = \{0, 1\}$ . Then,

$$P(S) = \{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}.$$

As we shall see later,  $P(\mathbb{N})$  is a very large set.

 $\triangle$ 

**Definition 1.6.** Given sets I and S and a function  $\alpha : I \to P(S)$ , let  $A_i = \alpha(i)$ . The union and intersection of the collection  $\alpha(I)$  are

$$\cup_{i \in I} A_i = \{ x \in S : \text{ there is a } j \in I \text{ such that } x \in A_j \}$$
$$\cap_{i \in I} A_i = \{ x \in S : x \in A_j \text{ for every } j \in I \}.$$

respectively.

For an example, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_n = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : m \ge n\}$  and observe that

 $\cap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n=\emptyset.$ 

**Remark 1.7.** Given  $\mathcal{F} \subset P(S)$ , letting  $\mathcal{F}$  index itself,

 $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A = \{ x \in S : x \in A \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{F} \}.$ 

 $\diamond$ 

Do Problem 1.1.

1.2. Functions.

**Definition 1.8.** A function f is a triple (f, A, B) where A and B are sets and f is a rule which assigns to each  $a \in A$  a unique b = f(a) in B. We write

 $\begin{array}{c} f: A \to B. \\ f. \end{array}$ 

- (a) The set A is the *domain* of f.
- (b) The set B is the *codomain* of f
- (c) The range of f, sometimes denoted rg(f), is the set  $\{f(a) : a \in A\}$ .
- (d) The function  $f : A \to B$  is one-one if  $x, y \in A$  and  $x \neq y$  implies  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ .
- (e) The function  $f : A \to B$  is *onto* if for each  $b \in B$  there exists an  $a \in A$  such that b = f(a); i.e., if rg(f) = B.
- (f) The graph of f is the set

$$graph(f) = \{(a, f(a)) : a \in A\} \subset A \times B.$$

- (g) If  $f : A \to B$  and  $Y \subset B$ , the *inverse image* of Y under f is the set  $f^{-1}(Y) = \{x \in A : f(x) \in Y\}.$
- (h) If  $f: A \to B$  and  $C \subset A$ , the set

 $f(C) = \{f(c) : c \in C\} = \{b \in B : \text{ there is an } c \in C \text{ such that } b = f(c)\}$ is the *image of C under f*.

(i) The *identity function* on a set A is the function  $id_A : A \to A$  with rule  $id_A(x) = x$ .

**Example 1.9.** Often one sees functions specified by giving the rule only, leaving the domain implicitly understood (and the codomain unspecified), a practice to be avoided. For example, given  $f(x) = x^2$  it is left to the reader to guess that the domain is the set of real numbers. But it could also be  $\mathbb{C}$  or even  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , the  $n \times n$  matrices with entries from  $\mathbb{C}$ . If the domain is taken to be  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\mathbb{R}$  is a reasonable choice of codomain. However, the range of f is  $[0, \infty)$  (a fact which will be carefully proved later) and so the codomain could be any set containing  $[0, \infty)$ . The moral is that it is important to specify both the domain and codomain as well as the rule when defining a function.

**Example 1.10.** Define  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x) = x^2$ . Note that f is neither one-one nor onto.

As an illustrations of the notion of inverse image,  $f^{-1}((4,\infty)) = (-\infty,2) \cup (2,\infty)$  and  $f^{-1}((-2,-1)) = \emptyset$ .

**Example 1.11.** The function  $g : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$  defined by  $g(x) = x^2$  is not one-one, but it is, as we'll see in Subsection 2.4, onto.

The function  $h: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is both one-one and onto. Note  $h^{-1}((4, \infty)) = (2, \infty)$ .

Do Exercises 1.3 and 1.1.

**Definition 1.12.** Given sets A, B and X, Y and functions  $f : A \to X$  and  $g : B \to Y$ , define  $f \times g : A \times B \to X \times Y$  by  $f \times g(a, b) = (f(a), g(b))$ .

**Example 1.13.** For example, if  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  is defined by f(n) = 2n and  $g : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{N}$  is defined by  $g(m) = 3m^2$ , then  $f \times g : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is given by  $f \times g(n,m) = (2n, 3m^2)$ .

Do Problem 1.2.

**Definition 1.14.** Given  $f : A \to B$  and  $C \subset A$ , the restriction of f to C is the function  $f|_C : C \to B$  defined by  $f|_C(x) = f(x)$  for  $x \in C$ .

**Definition 1.15.** Given  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  the composition of f and g is the function  $g \circ f: X \to Z$  with rule  $g \circ f(x) = g(f(x))$ .

A function  $f: X \to Y$  is *invertible* if there is a function  $g: Y \to X$  such that

$$g \circ f = id_X$$
$$f \circ g = id_Y.$$

We call g the inverse of f (see part (a) of Proposition 1.16 below), written  $g = f^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 1.16.** (a) If f is invertible, then the function g in Definition 1.15 is unique.

(b)  $f: X \to Y$  is invertible if and only if f is both one-one and onto.

**Example 1.17.** The function  $h : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  given by  $h(x) = x^2$  of Example 1.11 is one-one and onto and thus has an inverse. Of course this inverse  $h^{-1} : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is commonly denoted as  $\sqrt{}$  so that  $h^{-1}(x) = \sqrt{x}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose f is invertible so that there exists  $g: Y \to X$  satisfying the conditions of Definition 1.15. If  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ , then  $x_1 = g \circ f(x_1) = g \circ f(x_2) = x_2$  and hence f is one-one. Similarly, given  $y \in Y$ ,  $f \circ g(y) = y$  so that y = f(g(y)) is in the range of f. Hence f is onto.

Suppose f is one-one and  $g, h: Y \to X$  satisfy  $f \circ g = id_Y = f \circ h$ . Then, for each  $y \in Y$ , f(g(y)) = y = f(h(y)). Since f is one-one, g(y) = h(y), proving that if f is invertible, then g as in Definition 1.15 is unique.

Finally, suppose f is both one-one and onto. Define  $g: Y \to X$  as follows. Given  $y \in Y$ , there is a unique  $x \in X$  so that f(x) = y (why?). Let g(y) = xand note that f(g(y)) = y and g(f(x)) = x.

See Exercise 1.5 Do Problem 1.3.

#### 1.3. finite and countable sets.

**Definition 1.18.** Two sets A and B are *equivalent*, denoted  $A \sim B$  if there is a one-one onto mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$ .

Observe that  $\sim$  is behaves like an equivalence relation; i.e.,  $A \sim A$ ; if  $A \sim B$ , then  $B \sim A$ ; and finally if  $A \sim B$  and  $B \sim C$ , then  $A \sim C$ .

Given a positive integer n, let  $J_n$  denote the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ . The show that  $J_n$  is not equivalent to  $\mathbb{N}$  note, if  $f : J_n \to \mathbb{N}$ , then  $f(j) \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^n f(\ell)$  for each j and so f is not onto.

#### **Definition 1.19.** Let A be a set.

- (a) A is *finite* if it is either empty or there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such that  $A \sim J_n$ ;
- (b) A is *infinite* if it is not finite;
- (c) A is countable if  $A \sim \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (d) A is at most countable if either A is finite or countable; and
- (e) A is *uncountable* if it is not at most countable.

Here  $\mathbb{N}^+$  are the positive natural numbers; i.e.,  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Remark 1.20.** Note, by the comments preceding the definition, that  $\mathbb{N}$  is infinite.  $\diamond$ 

**Proposition 1.21.** A set A is at most countable if and only if there is an onto mapping  $f : \mathbb{N} \to A$ .

We will not prove this proposition.

Do Problem 1.4.

**Proposition 1.22.** The sets  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}$  are all at most countable.

Sketch of proof. Define  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$  by f(2m) = m and f(2m+1) = -m-1. Since f is onto,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is at most countable.

To prove  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is countable, consider  $\mathbb{N}$  as an array. Explicitly, define  $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  by g(k) = (n - m, m) where  $\frac{1}{2}n(n+1) \le k < \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)$  and  $k = \frac{1}{2}n(n+1) + m$ .

Now the composition  $(f \times id_{\mathbb{N}}) \circ g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$  is onto. Thus, to prove that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is at most countable, it suffices to exhibit an onto mapping  $h : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ , since then  $h \circ (f \times id_{\mathbb{N}}) \circ g$  maps  $\mathbb{N}$  onto  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Define h by  $h(m, n) = \frac{m}{n+1}$ .  $\Box$ 

Do Problems 1.5 and 1.6

**Proposition 1.23.** The set  $P(\mathbb{N})$  is not countable.

The proof is accomplished using Cantor's diagonalization argument.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove, if  $f : \mathbb{N} \to P(\mathbb{N})$ , then f is not onto. Given such an f, let

$$B = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n \notin f(n) \}.$$

We claim that B is not in the range of f. Arguing by contradiction, suppose  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and f(m) = B. If  $m \notin B$ , then  $m \in f(m) = B$  a contradiction. On the other hand, if  $m \in B$ , then  $m \notin f(m) = B$ , also a contradiction.

Later we will use the proposition to see that  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable. Do Problem 1.7.

1.4. Exercises.

**Exercise 1.1.** Define  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$  by

$$f(x) = (\cos(x), \sin(x))$$

Let

$$\mathbb{D} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 < 1 \}$$

and

$$S = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + (y - 1)^2 < 1\}.$$

Identify

(i)  $f^{-1}(S)$ ; (ii)  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{D})$ ; and (iii)  $f^{-1}(f((-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})))$ .

**Exercise 1.2.** Consider the function  $h = f \times g$  of Example 1.13 and let  $6\mathbb{N}$  denote the set  $\{6k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Find the inverse image of the set  $\{(j,k) : j \in \{2,3,4\} \ k \in 6\mathbb{N}\}$ . Find the inverse image of the set  $\{(j,k) : j \in \{0,1,2\} \ k \text{ is odd}\}$ .

**Exercise 1.3.** Suppose  $f : A \to B$ . Prove that f is one-one if and only if for each  $b \in B$  the set  $f^{-1}(\{b\})$  contains at most one element.

**Exercise 1.4.** Use induction to show, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , that  $P(J_n) \sim J_{2^n}$ .

**Exercise 1.5.** If  $f: X \to Y$  is invertible, and  $B \subset Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(B)$  could refer to either the inverse image of B under f, or the image of B under the function  $f^{-1}$ . Show that, happily, these two sets are the same.

1.5. Problems.

Problem 1.1. Show

$$\widetilde{\bigcup_{A\in\mathcal{F}}A} = \cap_{A\in F}\tilde{A}.$$

**Problem 1.2.** Suppose  $f: X \to S$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset P(S)$ . Show,

$$f^{-1}(\bigcup_{A\in\mathcal{F}}A) = \bigcup_{A\in\mathcal{F}}f^{-1}(A)$$
$$f^{-1}(\bigcap_{A\in\mathcal{F}}A) = \bigcap_{A\in\mathcal{F}}f^{-1}(A)$$

Show, if  $A, B \subset X$ , then  $f(A \cap B) \subset f(A) \cap f(B)$ . Give an example, if possible, where strict inclusion holds.

Show, if  $C \subset X$ , then  $f^{-1}(f(C)) \supset C$ . Give an example, if possible, where strict inclusion holds.

**Problem 1.3.** If  $f : A \to B$ , then graph(f) is a subset of  $A \times B$ . Conversely, show, if  $S \subset A \times B$  has the property that for each  $a \in A$  there is a unique  $b \in B$  such that  $(a, b) \in S$ , then defining g(a) = b produces a function  $g : A \to B$  such that graph(g) = S.

**Problem 1.4.** Let A be a nonempty set. Prove that A is at most countable if and only if there is a one-one mapping  $g: A \to \mathbb{N}$ .

**Problem 1.5.** Prove that an at most countable union of at most countable sets is at most countable; i.e., if S is a set,  $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \to P(S)$  is a function such that each  $A_j = \alpha(j)$  is at most countable, then

$$T = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j$$

is at most countable.

Suggestion: For each j there is a function  $g_j : \mathbb{N} \to A_j$ . Define a function  $F : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to T$  by  $F(j,k) = g_j(k)$ . Proceed.

**Problem 1.6.** Show that the collection  $\mathcal{F} \subset P(\mathbb{N})$  of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  is an at most countable set.

**Problem 1.7.** Suppose A is a non-empty set. Show there does not exist an onto mapping  $f : A \to P(A)$ ; i.e., show  $A \not\sim P(A)$ .

**Problem 1.8.** Let A be a given nonempty set. Show,  $2^A = \{f : A \to \{0, 1\}\}$  is equivalent to P(A).

# 2. The Real Numbers

We will take the view that we know what the real numbers are and we will simply *review* some important properties in this section.

Recall the following notations for the *natural numbers*, *integers*, and *rational numbers*, respectively.

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$
$$\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$$
$$\mathbb{Q} = \{\frac{m}{n} : m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$$

Let  $\mathbb{N}^+$  denote the positive integers and  $\mathbb{R}$  the real numbers.

**Example 2.1.** The square root of 2 is not rational; i.e., there is no rational number s > 0 such that  $s^2 = 2$ .

## 2.1. Field Axioms.

**Definition 2.2.** A *field*  $\mathbb{F}$  is a triple,  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$ , where  $\mathbb{F}$  is a set and

 $+, \cdot : \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{F}$ 

are functions, called addition and multiplication respectively and written x + y = +(x, y) and  $xy = \cdot(x, y)$ , satisfying the following (long list) of axioms

- (i) x + y = y + x for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ ;
- (ii) xy = yx, for every x, y;
- (iii) (x + y) + z = x + (y + z) for every x, y, z;
- (iv) (xy)z = x(yz) for every x, y, z;
- (v) there is an element  $0 \in \mathbb{F}$  such that 0 + w = w for every  $w \in \mathbb{F}$ ;
- (vi) there is an element  $1 \in \mathbb{F}$ , distinct from 0, such that 1w = w for every  $w \in \mathbb{F}$ ;
- (vii) for each  $x \in \mathbb{F}$  there is an element  $u \in \mathbb{F}$  such that x + u = 0;
- (viii) for each  $x \neq 0$ , there is a y such that xy = 1; and
- (ix) (x+y)z = xz + yz for every x, y, z.

**Proposition 2.3.** [Cancellation] Given  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}$ , if x + y = x + z, then y = z.

*Proof.* There exists  $u \in \mathbb{F}$  such that x + u = 0. Thus,



**Remark 2.4.** It follows that 0 and additive inverses are unique. Hence it makes sense to write u = -x in case x + u = 0 so that x + (-x) = 0.

**Proposition 2.5.** Given  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ , 0x = 0 and -x = (-1)x.

*Proof.* Since 0 + 0x = 0x = (0 + 0)x = 0x + 0x, cancellation gives 0 = 0x. Using 0x = 0, we have x + (-1)x = 1x + (-1)x = (1 + (-1))x = 0x = 0.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.6.** From here on we will use freely, without proof or further comment, the many routine properties of fields which follow from the axioms.

**Example 2.7.** The sets  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  are all fields with their usual operations of addition and multiplication.

**Example 2.8.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}_3 = (\{0, 1, 2\}, +, \cdot)$  where

 $x+y=x+y \mbox{ modulo } 3$ 

 $xy = xy \mod 3$ 

Here the + on the left hand side is addition in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , whereas + on the right hand side is addition in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

The residue modulo 3 is the remainder after dividing by 3.

 $\mathbb{Z}_3$  is a field with neutral elements 0, 1.

 $\triangle$ 

 $\diamond$ 

**Definition 2.9.** Given fields  $\mathbb{F}$  and G, a mapping  $f : \mathbb{F} \to G$  is a field isomorphism provided

- (i) f is one-one;
- (ii) f is onto;

(iii) f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ ; and (iv) f(xy) = f(x)f(y) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ .

**Remark 2.10.** It follows that  $f(0_{\mathbb{F}}) = 0_G$  etc.

Do Problem 2.2.

2.2. Ordered Fields.

**Definition 2.11.** An ordered set (S, <) consists of a (nonempty) set S and a relation < on S which satisfies

(i) (trichotomy) for each  $x, y \in S$ , exactly one of the following hold,

 $x < y, \quad y < x, \quad x = y;$ 

(ii) (transitivity) for  $x, y, z \in S$ , if x < y and y < z, then x < z.

**Example 2.12.** The usual order on  $\mathbb{R}$  (and thus on any subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ ) is an example of an ordered set.

The dictionary order on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  produces an ordered set.

 $\triangle$ 

**Definition 2.13.** An ordered field  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, <)$  consists of a field  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$  which is also an ordered set  $(\mathbb{F}, <)$  such that,

- (i) if  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}$  and x < y, then x + z < y + z;
- (ii) if  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$  and x, y > 0, then xy > 0.

If x > 0 we call x positive.

**Example 2.14.**  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  with the usual ordering are ordered fields.  $\triangle$ 

**Proposition 2.15.** Suppose  $\mathbb{F}$  is an ordered field and  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ .

- (i) If x < 0, then -x > 0.
- (ii) If  $x \neq 0$ , then  $x^2 > 0$ .

(iii) In particular, 1 > 0 in any ordered field.

*Proof.* If x < 0, then 0 = x - x < 0 - x = -x.

To prove (ii), note, by trichotomy either x > 0 or x < 0. If x > 0, then  $x^2 = xx > 0$ . On the other hand, if x < 0, then -x > 0 and thus  $x^2 = (-x)^2 > 0$ .

**Remark 2.16.** We will not state (much less) prove the usual facts about the order structure in an ordered field, but rather use them without further comment.

**Example 2.17.** Prove that there is no order on  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  which makes it an ordered field.

We argue by contradiction. Accordingly suppose < is an order on  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  which makes  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  an ordered field. Since  $1 = 1^2$ , it follows that 1 > 0 and hence -1 < 0. On the other hand, -1 = 2 = 1 + 1 > 0 + 0 = 0, a contradiction (of trichotomy).

Do Problem 2.1.

# 2.3. The least upper bound property.

**Definition 2.18.** Let S be a subset of an ordered field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- (i) The set S is bounded above if there is a  $b \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $b \ge s$  for all  $s \in S$ .
- (ii) Any  $b \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $b \ge s$  for all  $s \in S$  is an *upper bound* for S.

**Example 2.19.** Identify the set of upper bounds for the following subsets of the ordered field  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (a) [0,1);
- (b) [0,1];
- (c)  $\mathbb{Q}$ ;
- (d) Ø.

**Lemma 2.20.** Let S be a subset of an ordered field  $\mathbb{F}$  and suppose both b and b' are upper bounds for S. If b and b' both have the property that if  $c \in \mathbb{F}$  is an upper bound for S, then  $c \geq b$  and  $c \geq b'$ , then b = b'.

**Definition 2.21.** The *least upper bound* for a subset S of an ordered field  $\mathbb{F}$ , if it exists, is a  $b \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

- (i) b is an upper bound for S; and
- (ii) if  $c \in \mathbb{F}$  is an upper bound for S, then  $c \geq b$ .

**Remark 2.22.** Lemma 2.20 justifies the use of *the* (as opposed to *an*) in describing the least upper bound.

The condition (ii) can be replaced with either of the following conditions

(ii)' if c < b, then there exists an  $s \in S$  such that c < s; or

(ii)" for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $s \in S$  such that  $b - \epsilon < s$ .

The notions of *bounded below*, *lower bound* and *greatest lower bound* are defined analogously.

Least upper bound is often abbreviated lub. The term *supremum*, often abbreviated *sup*, is synonymous with lub. Likewise *glb* and *inf* for greatest lower bound and infimum.  $\diamond$ 

**Example 2.23.** Here is a list of examples.

 $\triangle$ 

- (i) The least upper bound of  $S = [0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is 1.
- (ii) The least upper bound of  $V = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$  is also 1.
- (iii) The set  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$  has no upper bound and thus no least upper bound;
- (iv) Every real number is an upper bound for the set  $\emptyset \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $\emptyset$  has no least upper bound.
- (v) With some effort, it can be shown that if the subset  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : 0 < x, x^2 < 2\}$  of the ordered field  $\mathbb{R}$  has a least upper bound s, then s > 0 and  $s^2 = 2$ ; i.e., this least upper bound is the square root of two.

 $\triangle$ 

**Example 2.24.** Consider the subset  $S = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} : 0 < q, q^2 < 2\}$  of the ordered field  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Arguing by contradiction, one shows, as in Example 2.23 Item (v), that if S has a least upper bound s, then  $s^2 = 2$  contradicting Example 2.1. Thus, there are subsets S of  $\mathbb{Q}$  which are nonempty and bounded above but yet do not have least upper bounds (in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).  $\bigtriangleup$ 

**Theorem 2.25.** Every nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  which is bounded above has a least upper bound.

Thus there is a positive real number s with  $s^2 = 2$ .

**Definition 2.26.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbb{G}$  be fields. A mapping  $\varphi : \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{G}$  is an ordered field isomorphism if  $\varphi$  is a field isomorphism and  $\varphi(x) <_{\mathbb{G}} \varphi(y)$  whenever  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $x <_{\mathbb{F}} y$ .

**Proposition 2.27.** If  $\mathbb{F}$  is an ordered field with the property that every nonempty subset S of  $\mathbb{F}$  which is bounded above has a least upper bound (in  $\mathbb{F}$  of course), then then there is an ordered field isomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

Hence  $\mathbb{R}$  is the essentially unique ordered field with the property that every set which could possibly have a least upper bound in fact does.

Do Problems 2.4 and 2.5.

We will not prove Theorem 2.25 and Theorem 2.27.

**Theorem 2.28.** [Archimedean properties] Suppose  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (i) There is a natural number n so that n > x.
- (ii) If 1 < x y, then there is an integer m so that y < m < x.
- (iii) If y < x, then there is a  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that y < q < x.

**Remark 2.29.** The last part of the theorem is sometimes expressed as saying  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* We prove (i) by arguing by contradiction. Accordingly, suppose no such natural number exists. In that case x is an upper bound for  $\mathbb{N}$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{N}$  has a lub  $\alpha$ . If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $n + 1 \leq \alpha$  and thus  $n \leq \alpha - 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently,  $\alpha - 1$  is an upper bound for  $\mathbb{N}$ , contradicting the least property of  $\alpha$ . Hence  $\mathbb{N}$  is not bounded above and there is an n > x, which proves item (i).

To prove (ii), it suffices to assume that x > 0 (why). The set  $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \ge x\}$  is nonempty and does not contain 0. It has a least element k > 0. Thus  $x - 1 \le k - 1 < x$  and since x - y > 1, it follows that y < k - 1 < x.

Item (iii) is Problem 2.3. As a suggestion, note that, by item (i), there is a positive integer n so that n(x - y) > 1. Proceed.

**Example 2.30.** Suppose 0 < a < 1. Show the set  $A = \{a^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is bounded below and its infimum is 0.

Since  $a \ge 0$  each  $a^n \ge 0$ . Thus A is bounded below by 0. The set A is not empty. It follows that A has an infimum. Let  $\alpha = \inf(A)$  and note  $\alpha \ge 0$ . Since  $\alpha \le a^n$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \alpha \le a^{n+1}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and therefore  $\frac{\alpha}{a} \le a^n$ for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $\frac{\alpha}{a}$  is a lower bound for A. It follows that  $\frac{\alpha}{a} \le \alpha$ . Since a < 1 and  $\alpha \ge 0, \alpha = 0$ .

Do Problems 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9,

2.4. The existence of n-th roots. Here is an outline a proof that positive real numbers have n-th roots for positive integers n.

**Proposition 2.31.** If y > 0 and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , then there is a unique positive real number s such that  $s^n = y$ .

Of course,  $s = y^{\frac{1}{n}}$  is the notation for this *n*-th root.

The uniqueness is straightforward based upon the fact that if 0 < a < b, then  $a^n < b^n$ . It should not come as a shock that existence depends upon the existence of least upper bounds, Theorem 2.25.

Let

$$S = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x \text{ and } x^n < y \}.$$

First show S is non-empty and bounded above. Hence S has a least upper bound, say s.

Show, if 0 < t and  $y < t^n$ , then t is an upper bound for S.

Show if 0 < t and  $y < t^n$ , then there is a v such that 0 < v < t such that  $y < v^n$ . Hence, v < t and v is an upper bound for S. In particular, t does not satisfy the least property of least upper bound. Thus,  $s^n \leq y$ .

Finally, show if 0 < t and  $t^n < y$ , then there exists a v such that 0 < t < v such that  $v^n < y$ . Hence, t is not an upper bound for S. Thus  $s^n \ge y$ . Hence  $s^n = y$ .

It now follows that the mapping  $h: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  defined by  $h(x) = x^n$  is both one-one and onto. Its inverse,  $h^{-1}: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is then the function commonly denoted by  $\sqrt[n]{}$  or  $x^{\frac{1}{n}}$  so that  $h^{-1}(x) = x^{\frac{1}{n}}$ .

2.5. Vector spaces. Recall that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the vector space of *n*-tuples of real numbers. Thus an element  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  has the form,

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Vectors - elements of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  - are added and multiplied by *scalars* (elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ ) entrywise.

The set of polynomials  $\mathcal{P}$  (in one variable with real coefficients) is a vector space under the usual operations of addition and scalar multiplication.

**Definition 2.32.** A *norm* on a vector space V over  $\mathbb{R}$  is a function  $\|\cdot\|$ :  $V \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

- (i)  $||x|| \ge 0$  for all  $x \in V$ ;
- (ii) ||x|| = 0 if and only if x = 0;
- (iii) ||cx|| = |c| ||x|| for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in V$ ; and
- (iv)  $||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$  for all  $x, y \in V$ .

The last condition is known as the *triangle inequality*.

**Example 2.33.** The functions  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  mapping  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|$$

and

$$||x||_{\infty} = \max\{|x_j| : 1 \le j \le n\}$$

respectively are norms on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 2.34.** Let V be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . A function  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ :  $V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  is an *inner product* (or *scalar product*) on V if,

- (i)  $\langle x, x \rangle \ge 0$  for all  $x \in V$ ; (ii)  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$  if and only if x = 0; (iii)  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in V$ ;
- (iv)  $\langle cx + y, z \rangle = c \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$ .

**Example 2.35.** On  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the pairing,

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j y_j$$

is an inner product. In the case of n = 2, 3 it is often called the *dot product*.

On  $\mathcal{P}$ , the space of polynomials, the pairing

$$\langle p,q \rangle = \int_0^1 pq \, dt$$

is an inner product.

**Proposition 2.36.** [Cauchy-Schwartz inequality] Suppose  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an inner product on a vector space V. If  $x, y \in V$ , then

$$|\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \le \langle x, x \rangle \, \langle y, y \rangle.$$

12

 $\triangle$ 

 $\triangle$ 

*Proof.* Given  $x, y \in V$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$0 \leq \langle x + ty, x + ty \rangle$$
  
=  $\langle x, x \rangle + 2t \langle x, y \rangle + t^2 \langle y, y \rangle$ 

Thus, the discriminate satisfies

$$|\langle x, y \rangle|^2 - \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle \le 0.$$

 $\diamond$ 

 $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.37.** If  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an inner product on a vector space V, then the function  $\|\cdot\|: V \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$  is a norm on V.

**Remark 2.38.** In the case that V has an inner product, the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  of Proposition 2.37 is, unless otherwise noted, understood to be *the norm* on V and  $\|x\|$  the norm of a vector  $x \in V$ .

With this notation, the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality says

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \le ||x|| \, ||y||.$$

*Proof.* Verification that  $\|\cdot\|$  satisfies the first three axioms of a norm are straightforward and left to the gentle reader.

To prove the triangle inequality, estimate, using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x+y\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 + 2\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x\|^2 + 2\|x\| \|y\| + \|y\|^2 \\ &= (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 2.39.** On  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the norm arising from the inner product of Example 2.35 is the usual *Euclidean norm*,

$$||x||^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2.$$

Unless otherwise indicated, we take these as the inner product and norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and refer to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as *Euclidean space*.

# 2.6. Exercises.

**Exercise 2.1.** Suppose  $f : \mathbb{F} \to G$  is a field isomorphism.

- (i) Is  $f^{-1}: G \to \mathbb{F}$  a field isomorphism?
- (ii) Show that  $f(0_{\mathbb{F}}) = 0_G$ .
- (iii) What is  $f(1_{\mathbb{F}})$ ?

**Exercise 2.2.** Show that the functions in Example 2.33 are both norms on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Exercise 2.3.** Verify the claims made in Example 2.35.

**Exercise 2.4.** Given a positive real number y and positive integers m and n, show

$$(y^{\frac{1}{n}})^m = (y^m)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

Likewise verify

$$(y^m)^n = (y^n)^m$$
 and  $(y^{\frac{1}{m}})^{\frac{1}{n}} = (y^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\frac{1}{m}}.$ 

Thus,  $y^{\frac{m}{n}}$  is unambiguously defined.

**Exercise 2.5.** Show there is no order on  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  which makes  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  an ordered field.

**Exercise 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \{a+b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . Show that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  is closed under both addition and multiplication (the operations inherited from  $\mathbb{R}$ ). It can be shown that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  is a field. For a nonzero  $a + b\sqrt{2}$  in this field, identify its multiplicative inverse.

## 2.7. Problems.

**Problem 2.1.** Show there is no order on  $\mathbb{C}$  which makes  $\mathbb{C}$  an ordered field.

**Problem 2.2.** Show, if G is field with (exactly) three elements, then there is a field isomorphism  $f : \mathbb{Z}_3 \to G$ .

Problem 2.3. Prove item (iii) of Theorem 2.28.

**Problem 2.4.** Let A be a nonempty set of real numbers which is bounded both above and below. Prove,  $\sup(A) \ge \inf(A)$ .

**Problem 2.5.** Let A be a nonempty set of real numbers which is bounded above. Let  $-A = \{-a : a \in A\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : -x \in A\}$ . Show -A is bounded below and  $-\inf(-A) = \sup(A)$ .

**Problem 2.6.** Prove, if  $A \subset B$  are subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and A is nonempty and B is bounded above, then A and B have least upper bounds and

$$\sup(A) \le \sup(B).$$

**Problem 2.7.** Suppose  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is nonempty and bounded above and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let

$$4 + \beta = \{a + \beta : a \in A\}$$

Prove that  $A + \beta$  has a supremum and

1

$$\sup(A + \beta) = \sup(A) + \beta.$$

**Problem 2.8.** Suppose  $A \subset [0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is nonempty and bounded above and  $\beta > 0$ . Let

$$\beta A = \{a\beta : a \in A\}.$$

Prove  $\beta A$  is nonempty and bounded above and thus has a supremum and

$$\sup(\beta A) = \beta \sup(A).$$

**Problem 2.9.** Suppose  $A, B \subset [0, \infty)$  are nonempty and bounded above. Let

$$AB = \{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Prove that AB is nonempty and bounded above and

$$\sup(AB) = \sup(A)\sup(B)$$

Here is an outline of a proof. The hypotheses on A and B imply that  $\alpha = \sup(A)$  and  $\beta = \sup(B)$  both exist. Argue that AB is nonempty and bounded above by  $\alpha\beta$  and thus

$$\sup(AB) \le \alpha\beta.$$

Fix  $a \in A$ . From an earlier exercise,

$$\sup(aB) = a\sup(B) = a\beta.$$

On the other hand,  $aB \subset AB$  and thus,

$$a\beta \le \sup(AB)$$

for each  $a \in A$ . It follows that  $\beta A$  is bounded above by  $\sup(AB)$  and thus,

$$\alpha\beta = \sup(\beta A) \le \sup(AB).$$

**Problem 2.10.** Suppose  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$  are nonempty and bounded above. Let

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Show A + B has a supremum and moreover,

$$\sup(A+B) = \sup(A) + \sup(B).$$

**Problem 2.11.** Show, if V is a vector space with an inner product, then the norm

(1) 
$$||v|| = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle}$$

satisfies the parallelogram law,

$$||v + w||^2 + ||v - w||^2 = 2(||v||^2 + ||w||^2).$$

Explain why this is called the parallelogram law.

Recall the norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined in Example 2.33. Does this norm come from an inner product?

**Problem 2.12.** Suppose  $f : [a, b] \to [\alpha, \beta]$  and  $\varphi : [\alpha, \beta] \to \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $h = \varphi \circ f$ . Show, if there is a C > 0 such that

$$|\varphi(s) - \varphi(t)| \le C|s - t|$$

for all  $s, t \in [\alpha, \beta]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{h(x) : a \le x \le b\} - \inf\{h(x) : a \le x \le b\} \\ \le C \left[\sup\{f(x) : a \le x \le b\} - \inf\{f(x) : a \le x \le b\}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

#### 3. Metric Spaces

#### 3.1. Definitions and Examples.

**Definition 3.1.** A *metric space* (X, d) consists of a set X and function  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  such that, for  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

- (i)  $d(x,y) \ge 0;$
- (ii) d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y;
- (iii) d(x, y) = d(y, x); and
- (iv)  $d(x, z) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ .

We usually call the metric space X and d the metric, or distance function. Item (iv) is the triangle inequality. Items (i) and (ii) together are sometimes expressed by saying d is positive definite. Evidently (iii) is a symmetry axiom.

**Example 3.2.** Here are some examples of metric spaces.

- (a) Unless otherwise noted,  $\mathbb{R}$  is the metric space with distance function d(x, y) = |x y|.
- (b) Let X be any nonempty set and define d(x, y) = 0 if x = y and d(x, y) = 1 if  $x \neq y$ . This is the *discrete metric*.
- (c) On the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  define,

$$d_1(x,y) = \sum |x_j - y_j|.$$

This is the  $\ell^1$  metric.

(d) On  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , define  $d_{\infty}$  by

$$d_{\infty}(x,y) = \max\{|x_j - y_j| : 1 \le j \le n\}.$$

This metric is the  $\ell^{\infty}$  metric (or worst case metric). In particular  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_1)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_{\infty})$  are different metric spaces.

(e) Define, on the space of polynomials  $\mathcal{P}$ ,

$$d_1(p,q) = \int_0^1 |p-q| dt$$

(f) If (X, d) is a metric space and  $Y \subset X$ , then  $(Y, d|_{Y \times Y})$  is a metric space and is called a *subspace* of X.

 $\triangle$ 

Do Problem 3.1.

**Proposition 3.3.** If  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm on a vector space V, then the function

$$d(x,y) = \|x - y\|,$$

is a metric on V.

**Remark 3.4.** In the case of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with its Euclidean norm, the resulting metric is the *Euclidean distance* which will sometimes be written as  $d_2$ . Note that  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_2)$  is, as a metric space, distinct from both  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_1)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_{\infty})$ .

When we speak of the metric space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we mean with the Euclidean distance, unless we have indicated otherwise.  $\diamond$ 

*Proof.* With the exception of the triangle inequality, it is evident that d satisfies the axioms of a metric.

To prove that d satisfies the triangle inequality, let  $x, y, z \in V$  be given and estimate, using the triangle inequality for the norm,

$$d(x, z) = ||x - z||$$
  
= ||(x - y) + (y - z)||  
 $\leq ||x - y|| + ||y - z||$   
= d(x, y) + d(y, z).

**Proposition 3.5.** Let (X, d) be a metric space.

If  $p, q, r \in X$ , then

$$|d(p,r)-d(q,r)|\leq d(p,q).$$

If  $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in X$ , then

$$d(p_1, p_n) \le \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d(p_j, p_{j+1}),$$

# 3.2. Open Sets.

**Definition 3.6.** Let (X, d) be a metric space. A subset  $U \subset X$  is open if for each  $x \in U$  there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$N_{\epsilon}(x) := \{ p \in X : d(p, x) < \epsilon \} \subset U.$$

The set  $N_{\epsilon}(x)$  is the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of x. More or less synonymously, an open ball is a set of the form  $N_r(y)$  for some  $y \in X$  and r > 0.

**Proposition 3.7.** Neighborhoods are open sets; i.e., if (X, d) is a metric space,  $y \in X$  and r > 0, then the set

$$N_r(y) = \{ p \in X : d(p, y) < r \}$$

is an open set.

*Proof.* We must show, for each  $x \in N_r(y)$  there is an  $\epsilon$  (depending on x) such that  $N_{\epsilon}(x) \subset N_r(y)$ . Accordingly, let  $x \in N_r(y)$  be given. Thus, d(x, y) < r. Choose  $\epsilon = r - d(x, y) > 0$ . Suppose now that  $p \in N_{\epsilon}(x)$  so that  $d(x, p) < \epsilon$ . Estimate, using the triangle inequality,

$$d(y,p) \le d(y,x) + d(x,p) < d(y,x) + \epsilon = d(y,x) + (r - d(y,x)) = r.$$

Thus,  $p \in N_r(y)$ . We have shown  $N_{\epsilon}(x) \subset N_r(y)$  and the proof is complete.

Do Problem 3.2.

**Example 3.8.** In  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the Euclidean distance, show  $E = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_j > 0\}$  is an open set.

**Example 3.9.** The set  $[0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is not an open, since, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , the set  $N_{\epsilon}(0) = (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  contains negative numbers and is thus not a subset of [0,1).

**Proposition 3.10.** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be given. The following are equivalent,

- (i) U is open in  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_1)$ ;
- (ii) U is open in  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_2)$ ;
- (iii) U is open in  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_\infty)$ .

Sketch of proof. Let  $N_{\epsilon}^{j}(x)$  denote the  $\epsilon > 0$  neighborhood of x in the  $j = 1, 2, \infty$  norms respectively.

Suppose U is open in  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_1)$  and let  $x \in U$  be given. There is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N^1_{\epsilon}(x) \subset U$ .

By the C-S inequality,

$$d_1(x, y) = \sum_{1}^{n} |x_j - y_j| 1$$
  
$$\leq \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_j - y_j|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} 1}$$
  
$$= d_2(x, y) \sqrt{n}.$$

It follows that  $N^2_{\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}}(x) \subset N^1_{\epsilon}(x) \subset U$  and thus U is open in  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_2)$ . We have proved, if U is open in  $d_1$ , then it is open in  $d_2$ .

The proof that if U is open in  $d_2$ , then U is open in  $d_{\infty}$  is based on the inequality,

$$d_2(x,y) \le \sqrt{n} \, d_\infty(x,y);$$

and the proof that if U is open in  $d_{\infty}$ , then U is open in  $d_1$  is based on the inequality

$$d_{\infty}(x,y) \le d_1(x,y).$$

The details are left as an exercise.

**Example 3.11.** Returning to the example of the set  $E = \{(x, y) : x, y > 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2\}$  above, it is convenient to use the  $d_\infty$  metric to prove E is open; i.e., show that E is open in  $(\mathbb{R}^2, d_\infty)$  and conclude that E is open in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .  $\triangle$ 

**Proposition 3.12.** Let (X, d) be a metric space.

- (i)  $\emptyset, X \subset X$  are open;
- (ii) if  $\mathcal{F} \subset P(X)$  is a collection of open sets, then

$$\cup_{U\in\mathcal{F}}U$$

is open; and

(iii) if  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $U_1, \ldots, U_n \subset X$  are open, then

$$\cap_{j=1}^{n} U_j$$

is open.

**Example 3.13.** Let  $U_j = (-\frac{1}{j+1}, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . The sets  $U_j$  are open in  $\mathbb{R}$  (they are open balls). However, the set

$$[0,1) = \bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} U_j$$

is not open. Thus it is not possible to improve on the last item in the proposition.  $\triangle$ 

**Example 3.14.** The set  $(-\infty, 0) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (-2n, 0) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} N_n(-n)$  and is therefore open. We could of course easily checked this directly from the definition of open set.

Example 3.15. The set

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \supset E = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_j > 0\} = \{x : x_1 > 0\} \cap \{x_2 > 0\}$$

This provides yet another way to prove E is open. Namely, show that each of the sets on the right hand side above is open.

Do Problem 3.3.

3.2.1. Relatively open sets.

**Definition 3.16.** Suppose (Z, d) is a metric space and  $X \subset Z$  so that  $(X, d|_{X \times X})$  is also a metric space. A subset  $U \subset X$  is open relative to X or is relatively open, if U is open in the metric space X.

**Example 3.17.** Let  $X = [0, \infty) \subset Z = \mathbb{R}$ . The set [0, 1) is open in X, but not in Z.

**Proposition 3.18.** Suppose Z is a metric space and  $U \subset X \subset Z$ . The set U is open in X if and only if there is an open set W in Z such that  $U = W \cap X$ .

*Proof.* First, suppose  $W \subset Z$  is open (in Z) and  $U = W \cap X \subset X$ . Given  $x \in U$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\{y \in Z : d(x, y) < \delta\} \subset W$  since  $x \in W$  and W is open in Z. It follows that  $\{y \in X : d(x, y) < \delta\} \subset W \cap X = U$  and thus U is open in X.

Now suppose  $U \subset X$  is open relative to X. For each  $x \in U$  there is an  $\epsilon_x > 0$  such that  $V_x = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \epsilon_x\} \subset U$ . Let  $W_x = \{y \in Z : d(x, y) < \epsilon_x\}$ , note that  $V_x = W_x \cap X$ , and let

$$W = \cup_{x \in U} W_x.$$

Then W is open in Z and

$$U \subset W \cap X = \bigcup_{x \in U} W_x \cap X = \bigcup_{x \in X} V_x \subset U.$$

3.3. Closed Sets.

**Definition 3.19.** Let (X, d) be a metric space. A subset  $C \subset X$  is closed if  $X \setminus C$  is open.

**Example 3.20.** (a) In  $\mathbb{R}$  the set  $[0,\infty)$  is closed, since its complement,  $(-\infty, 0)$  is open.

- (b) The set  $[0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is neither open nor closed.
- (c) The set  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is neither open nor closed.
- (d) The set  $F = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 x_2 = 0\}$  is closed.
- (e) The sets X and  $\emptyset$  are both open and closed. They are *clopen*.
- (f) Every subset of a discrete metric space is clopen. (See Problem 3.3.)

**Proposition 3.21.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and let  $x \in X$  and  $r \ge 0$ be given. The set

 $\triangle$ 

$$\{p \in X : d(p, x) \le r\}$$

is a closed.  $\label{eq:proof} \textit{Proof. The complement of } \{p \in X: d(p,x) \leq r\} \textit{ is the set }$ 

$$U = \{p : d(p, x) > r\}$$

and it suffices to prove that U is open. Let  $y \in U$  be given. Then d(y, x) > r. Let  $\epsilon = d(y, x) - r > 0$ . If  $z \in N_{\epsilon}(y)$  so that  $d(z, y) < \epsilon$ , then,

$$d(x,z) \ge d(x,y) - d(y,z)$$
$$> d(x,y) - \epsilon$$
$$= r$$

It follows that  $N_{\epsilon}(y) \subset U$  and thus, since  $y \in U$  was arbitrary, U is open.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 3.22.** In a metric space, singleton sets are closed; i.e., if (X, d)is a metric space and  $x \in X$ , then  $\{x\}$  is closed.

**Proposition 3.23.** Let X be a metric space.

- (i) X and  $\emptyset$  are closed;
- (ii) if  $C_1, \ldots, C_n$  are closed subsets of X, then  $\cup_1^n C_j$  is closed; and
- (iii) if  $C_{\alpha}, \alpha \in J$  is a family of closed subsets of X, then

$$C = \cap_{\alpha \in J} C_{\alpha}$$

is closed.

**Corollary 3.24.** A finite set *F* in a metric space *X* is closed.

**Proposition 3.25.** If  $C \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded above, nonempty, and closed, then C has a largest element.

*Proof.* The hypotheses imply  $\alpha = \sup(C)$  exist. Certainly,  $\alpha \geq x$  for all  $x \in C$ . Thus to prove the proposition it suffices to prove  $\alpha \in C$ . We argue by contradiction and accordingly assume  $\alpha \in \hat{C}$ . Since C is closed,  $\hat{C}$  is

open and therefore there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N_{\epsilon}(\alpha) \subset \tilde{C}$  or equivalently  $C \subset \tilde{N}_{\epsilon}(\alpha)$ . Thus, if  $c \in C$ , then  $c \leq \alpha - \epsilon$  (since also  $c \leq \alpha$ ). It follows that  $\alpha - \epsilon$  is an upper bound for C, contradicting the least property of  $\alpha$ . Thus  $\alpha \in C$ .

**Example 3.26.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$  denote the rational numbers in the interval [0, 1]. Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable, so is R. Choose an enumeration  $R = \{r_1, r_2, \ldots\}$  of R. Fix  $1 > \epsilon > 0$  and let

$$V_j = N_{\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha^{j+1}}}(r_j)$$

and  $V = \bigcup V_i$ . Thus V is an open set which contains R.

The set  $\tilde{C} = [0,1] \setminus V$  is closed because it is the intersection of the closed sets [0,1] and  $\tilde{V}$ . On the other hand, its complement contains every rational in the interval [0,1], but is also the union of intervals the sum<sup>1</sup> of whose lengths is at most

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{2^j} = \epsilon < 1.$$

Thus C is a closed subset of [0, 1] which contains no rational number, but is large in the sense that its complement can be covered by open intervals whose lengths sum to at most  $\epsilon$ .

A heuristic is that open sets are nice and closed sets can be strange, while most sets are neither open nor closed.  $\bigtriangleup$ 

Do Problem 3.4.

## 3.4. The interior, closure, and boundary of a set.

**Definition 3.27.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $S \subset X$ . The *closure* of S is

 $\overline{S} := \cap \{ C \subset X : C \supset S, C \text{ is closed} \}.$ 

**Proposition 3.28.** Let S be a subset of a metric space X.

- (i)  $S \subset \overline{S}$ ;
- (ii)  $\overline{S}$  is closed;
- (iii) if K is any other set satisfying (i) and (ii), then  $\overline{S} \subset K$ .

Moreover, S is closed if and only if  $S = \overline{S}$ .

**Definition 3.29.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $S \subset X$ . The *interior* of S is the set

$$S^{\circ} := \bigcup \{ U \subset X : U \subset S \text{ is open} \}.$$

**Proposition 3.30.** Let S be a subset of a metric space X.

- (i)  $S^{\circ} \subset S$ ;
- (ii)  $S^{\circ}$  is open;
- (iii) if  $V \subset S$  is an open set, then  $V \subset S^{\circ}$ .

<sup>1</sup>Series are introduced in Problem 4.17 in the next section and will be treated in detail later, but this particular sum should be familiar from Calculus II.

Moreover, S is open if and only if  $S = S^{\circ}$ .

**Definition 3.31.** A point  $x \in X$  is an *interior point* of S if there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N_{\epsilon}(x) \subset S$ .

Do Problems 3.5 and 3.6.

**Definition 3.32.** The *boundary* of a set S in a metric space X is  $\partial S = \overline{S} \cap \overline{\tilde{S}}$ .

Do Problem 3.7

3.5. Exercises.

**Exercise 3.1.** Show, if  $a, b, c \ge 0$  and  $a + b \ge c$ , then

$$\frac{a}{1+a} + \frac{b}{1+b} \ge \frac{c}{1+c}.$$

Show if (X, d) is a metric space, then

$$d_*(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)}$$

is a metric on X too.

**Exercise 3.2.** Show that the subset  $S = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \neq y\}$  is open.

Exercise 3.3. Verify that the discrete metric is indeed a distance function.

**Exercise 3.4.** Let X be a nonempty set and d the discrete metric. Fix a point  $z \in X$ . Is the closure of the set  $N_1(z)$  equal to  $\{x \in X : d(x, z) \leq 1\}$ ?

Exercise 3.5. Show that the set

$$\{(x_1, x_2) : x_1, x_2 \ge 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

is closed.

Show that the set

$$\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 x_2 = 1\}$$

is closed.

Exercise 3.6. By Proposition 3.3,

$$d(f,g) = (\int_0^1 |f-g|^2 dt)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

defines a metric on the space of polynomials  $\mathcal{P}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$p_n(t) = \sqrt{2n+1} t^n$$

Find  $d(p_n, p_m)$ .

**Exercise 3.7.** Determine the boundary of an interval (a, b] in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## 3.6. Problems.

**Problem 3.1.** Suppose  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  are metric spaces. Define  $d : (X \times Y) \times (X \times Y) \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$d((x, y), (a, b)) = d_X(x, a) + d_Y(y, b).$$

Prove d is a metric on  $X \times Y$ .

**Problem 3.2.** Describe the neighborhoods in a discrete metric space (X, d).

**Problem 3.3.** Determine, with proof, the open subsets of the discrete metric space (X, d).

**Problem 3.4.** Given a metric space Z and  $F \subset X \subset Z$  define F is *relatively closed* in X. Show, F is relatively closed in X if and only if there is a closed set  $C \subset Z$  such that  $F = C \cap X$ .

Prove that the closure of  $C \subset X$ , as a subset of X, is  $X \cap \overline{C}$ , where  $\overline{C}$  is the closure of C in Z. Conclude, if C is relatively closed, then  $C = \overline{C} \cap X$ . Finally, show, if

i many, snow, i

- (i)  $A, B \subset Z;$
- (ii)  $\underline{Z} = A \cup B$ ; and
- (iii)  $\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset$ ,

then  $B = \overline{\overline{A}} \cap Z$  and hence is open relative to Z.

Problem 3.5. Show,

 $I(S) = \{s \in S : s \text{ is an interior point of } S\} = S^{\circ}.$ 

Here is an outline of a solution: First show

 $I(S) = \{s \in S : s \text{ is an interior point of } S\}$ 

is an open set (mostly easily done by writing it as a union of neighborhoods), from which it will then follow that  $I(S) \subset S^{\circ}$ . The inclusion  $S^{\circ} \subset I(S)$  is straightforward.

Problem 3.6. Prove,

$$\overline{S} = \widetilde{(\tilde{S})^{\circ}};$$

i.e.,  $\overline{S}$  consists of those points  $x \in X$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $N_{\epsilon}(x) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ .

Suggestion: Use the properties of closure and interior. For instance, note that  $\overline{S}$  is open and contained in  $\tilde{S}$ .

**Problem 3.7.** Prove that  $x \in \partial S$  if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $s \in S, t \in \tilde{S}$  such that  $d(x, s), d(x, t) < \epsilon$ .

Prove S is closed if and only if S contains its boundary; and S is open if and only if S is disjoint from its boundary.

**Problem 3.8.** Show, in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , if  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and r > 0, then the closure of

$$N_r(x) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : d(x, y) = ||x - y|| < r \}$$

is the set

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : d(x, y) = ||x - y|| \le r\}.$$

Is the corresponding statement true in all metric spaces?

**Problem 3.9.** Let S be a non-empty subset of a metric space X. Show, x is in  $\overline{S}$  if and only if

$$\inf\{d(x,s) : s \in S\} = 0.$$

Problem 3.10. Prove Proposition 3.30.

**Problem 3.11.** Show that the closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is all of  $\mathbb{R}$ . (Suggestion: Use Problem 3.6 and Theorem 2.28 item iii). Compare with Remark 2.29.

**Problem 3.12.** Show that the closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (the irrationals) in  $\mathbb{R}$  is all of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Combine this problem and Problem 3.11 to determine the boundary of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (in  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

**Problem 3.13.** Suppose (X, d) is a metric space and  $x \in X$  and r > 0 are given. Show that the closure of  $N_r(x)$  is a subset of the set

$$\{y \in X : d(x,y) \le r\}.$$

Give an example of a metric space X, an  $x \in X$ , and an r > 0 such that the closure of  $N_r(x)$  is **not** the set

$$\{y \in X : d(x,y) \le r\}.$$

Compare with Problem 3.8.

**Problem 3.14.** Let (X, d) and  $d_*$  be as in Exercise 3.1. Do the metric spaces (X, d) and  $(X, d_*)$  have the same open sets?

**Problem 3.15.** Suppose d and d' are metrics on the set X and there is a constant C such that, for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

 $d(x,y) \le Cd'(x,y).$ 

Prove, if U is open in (X, d), then U is open in (X, d').

Thus, if there is also a constant C' such that

$$d'(x,y) \le C'd(x,y),$$

then the metric spaces (X, d) and (X, d') have the same open sets.

#### 4. Sequences

## 4.1. Definitions and examples.

**Definition 4.1.** A sequence is a function a with domain  $\mathbb{N}$ . It is customary to write  $a_n = a(n)$  and  $(a_n)_n$  or  $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  for this function.

If the  $a_n$  lie in the set X, then  $(a_n)$  is a sequence from X.

If (X, d) is a metric space and  $L \in X$ . The sequence  $(a_n)$  (from X) converges to L if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge N$ ,  $d(a_n, L) < \epsilon$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = l$$

and L is said to be **the** *limit* of the sequence.

The sequence  $(a_n)$  converges if there exists an  $L \in X$  such that  $(a_n)$  converges to L. A sequence which does not converge is said to diverge.

It is often convenient to relax the definition of sequence, allowing the domain to be a set of the form  $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \geq n_0\}$  for some integer  $n_0$ . In this case, we may write  $(a_n)_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$ .

**Remark 4.2.** From the (positive definite) axioms (items (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.1) of a metric, if x, y are points in a metric space (X, d) and if  $d(x, y) < \epsilon$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , then x = y.

The following proposition list some of the most basic properties of limits. The first justifies the terminology *the limit* (as opposed to *a limit*) above.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $(a_n)_{n=k}^{\infty}$  and  $(b_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  be sequences from the metric space X.

- (i) If  $(a_n)$  converges, then its limit is unique;
- (ii) if there is an N and an  $\ell$  such that for  $n \ge N$ ,  $b_n = a_{n+\ell}$ , then  $(a_n)$  converges if and only if  $(b_n)$  converges and moreover in this case the sequences have the same limit; and
- (iii) if  $(a_n)_n$  is a sequence from  $\mathbb{R}$   $(X = \mathbb{R})$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $(a_n)$  converges to L, then  $(ca_n)$  converges to cL.

The items (ii) and (iii) together say that we need not be concerned with keeping close track of k.

**Example 4.4.** The sequence  $(\frac{1}{n+1})_n$  converges to 0 in  $\mathbb{R}$ ; however it does not converge in the metric space  $((0,1], |\cdot|)$ , as can be proved using the previous proposition and the fact that the sequence converges to 0 in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The sequence  $(\frac{n}{n+1})_n$  converges to 1 (in  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

**Example 4.5.** If  $0 \le a < 1$ , then the sequence  $(a^n)$  converges to 0.

To prove this last statement, recall that we have already shown that  $\inf(\{a^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) = 0$ . Thus, given  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an N such that  $0 \le a^N < \epsilon$ . It follows that, for all  $n \ge N$ ,  $|a^n - 0| \le a^N < \epsilon$ .

Do Problems 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and Exercise 4.2.

We will make repeated use of the following simple identity, valid for all real r and positive integers m,

(2) 
$$1 - r^m = (1 - r)(1 + r + r^2 + \dots r^{m-1})$$

**Proposition 4.6.** In (the metric space)  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

(a) if  $\rho > 0$ , then the sequence  $(\rho^{\frac{1}{n}})$  converges to 1; and

(b) the sequence  $(n^{\frac{1}{n}})$  converges to 1.

*Proof.* To prove (a), first suppose  $\rho > 1$ . Using Equation (2) with m = n and  $r = \rho^{\frac{1}{n}}$  gives

$$\rho^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1 = \frac{\rho - 1}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \rho^{\frac{j}{n}}}.$$

26

Thus

$$|\rho^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1| < \frac{\rho - 1}{n}.$$

Now, given  $\epsilon > 0$  there is, by Theorem 2.28(i) there is an N such that if  $n \ge N$ , then

$$\frac{1}{n} < \frac{\epsilon}{\rho - 1}$$

Thus, for  $n \geq N$ ,

$$|\rho^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1| < \frac{\rho - 1}{n} < \epsilon$$

Hence  $(\rho^{\frac{1}{n}})$  converges to 1.

If  $0 < \rho < 1$ , then  $\sigma = \frac{1}{\rho} > 1$  and  $(\sigma^{\frac{1}{n}})$  converges to 1. On the other hand,

$$|1 - \rho^{\frac{1}{n}}| = \rho^{\frac{1}{n}} |\sigma^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1| \le |\sigma^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1|,$$

from which the result follows.

To prove (b) note that the Binomial Theorem gives, for x > 0,

$$(1+x)^n = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} x^j \ge \frac{n(n-1)}{2} x^2.$$

Thus, with  $x = n^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1$ ,

$$n \ge \frac{n(n-1)}{2}x^2.$$

Hence, for  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1}} \ge n^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1 \ge 0,$$

from which it follows that  $(n^{\frac{1}{n}})$  converges to 1. Indeed, given  $\epsilon > 0$  choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such that  $N \geq \frac{2}{\epsilon^2} + 1$  and observe if  $n \geq N$ , then  $N \geq 2$  and

$$\epsilon > \sqrt{\frac{2}{N-1}} \ge \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \ge |n^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1|.$$

**Remark 4.7.** The limit of a sequence depends only upon the notion of open sets. See Problem 4.4.

#### 4.2. Sequences and closed sets.

**Proposition 4.8.** A subset S of a metric space X is closed if and only if every sequence  $(a_n)$  from S which converges in X actually converges in S.

*Proof.* Suppose S is closed and  $(a_n)$  is a sequence from S which converges to  $L \in X$ . Since  $\tilde{S}$  is open, if  $y \notin S$ , then there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N_{\epsilon}(y) \cap S = \emptyset$ . In particular,  $d(a_n, y) \geq \epsilon$  for all n and  $(a_n)$  does not converge to y. Hence  $L \in S$ .

Now suppose that S is not closed, equivalently  $\tilde{S}$  is not open. In this case, there exists an  $L \in \tilde{S}$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an  $s_n$  such that

$$s_n \in S \cap N_{\frac{1}{n+1}}(L).$$

It is straightforward to verify that  $(s_n)$  is a sequence from S which converges to  $L \notin S$ .

Do Problems 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7.

4.3. The monotone convergence theorem for real numbers. For *numerical sequences*, that is sequences from  $\mathbb{R}$ , limits are compatible with the order structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** Suppose  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are sequences from  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $a_n \leq b_n + c$  for all n and if both sequences converge, then

$$\lim_{n} a_n \le \lim_{n} b_n + c.$$

Further, if  $(a_n)$ ,  $(b_n)$ , and  $(c_n)$  are all sequences from  $\mathbb{R}$ , if there is an N so that for  $n \geq N$ ,

$$a_n \le b_n \le c_n$$

and if  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  converge to the same limit L, then  $(b_n)$  also converges to L.

The second part of the Proposition is a version of the squeeze theorem and in Problem 4.8 you are asked to provide a proof.

*Proof.* Let A and B denote the limits of  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  respectively. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. There is an N so that for  $n \ge N$  both  $|a_n - A| < \epsilon$  and  $|b_n - B| < \epsilon$ . Hence,  $A - B - c = (A - a_n) + (a_n - b_n - c) + (b_n - B) < 2\epsilon$ .  $\Box$ 

**Definition 4.10.** A sequence  $(a_n)$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  is increasing (synonymously *non-decreasing*) if  $a_n \leq a_{n+1}$  for all n. The sequence is strictly increasing if  $a_n < a_{n+1}$  for all n.

A sequence is *eventually increasing* if there is an N so that the sequence  $(a_n)_{n=N}^{\infty}$  is increasing.

The notion of a *decreasing sequence* is defined analogously. A *monotone* sequence is a sequence which is either increasing or decreasing.

**Theorem 4.11.** If  $(a_n)$  is an increasing sequence from  $\mathbb{R}$  which is bounded above, then  $(a_n)$  converges.

**Remark 4.12.** Generally, results stated for increasing sequences hold for eventually increasing sequences in view of Proposition 4.3(ii).

*Proof.* The set  $R = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  (the range of the sequence) is nonempty and bounded above and therefore has a least upper bound. Let  $A = \sup(R)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $r \in R$  such that  $A - \epsilon < r$ . There is an N so that  $r = a_N$ . If  $n \ge N$ , then, since the sequence is increasing,  $0 \le A - a_n \le A - a_N < \epsilon$ . Hence  $(a_n)$  converges to A. **Proposition 4.13.** In the metric space  $\mathbb{R}$ , if  $0 \leq r < 1$ , then both  $(r^n)$  and  $(nr^n)$  converge to 0.

The proof uses the easily proved special case of Proposition 4.20(i) that if  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are sequences of real numbers which converge to A and B respectively, then  $(a_n + b_n)$  converges to A + B.

*Proof.* That  $(r^n)$  converges to 0 is Example 4.5.

To prove that  $(nr^n)$  converges to 0, note that, by Example 4.4, for n sufficiently large

$$\frac{n}{n+1} > r$$

It follows that there is an N such that for  $n \ge N$  the sequence  $(nr^n)$  is decreasing. Since it also bounded below by 0 it converges to some L. Hence, using  $(r^n)$  converges to 0,

$$rL = rL + 0 = r \lim nr^n + \lim r^{n+1} = \lim(n+1)r^{n+1} = L.$$

Since  $r \neq 1$ , it follows that L = 0.

Do Problems 4.9 and 4.10.

4.3.1. The real numbers as infinite decimals. Here is an informal discussion of infinite decimal (base ten) expansions. An infinite decimal expansion (base 10) is an expression of the form

 $a = a_0.a_1a_2a_3\cdots,$ 

where  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_j \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., 9\}$ . Let

$$s_n = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j}{10^j}$$

and note that the sequence  $(s_n)$  is increasing and bounded above by  $a_0 + 1$ . Thus the sequence  $(s_n)$  converges to some real number s and we identify a with this real number.

Conversely, given a real number s there is a smallest integer m > s. Let  $a_0 = m - 1$ . Recursively choose  $a_j$  so that, with  $s_n = a_0.a_1 \cdots a_n$ , we have  $0 \le s - s_n \le \frac{1}{10^n}$ . In this case  $(s_n)$  converges to s and we can identify s with an infinite decimal expansion.

Note that a real number can have more than one decimal expansion. For example both  $0.999\cdots$  and  $1.000\cdots$  represent the real number 1.

**Remark 4.14.** Note too it makes sense to talk of expansions with other bases, not just base 10. Base two, called *binary*, is common. Base three is called *ternary*. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq 2$ , expansions base n are called *n-ary*.

**Remark 4.15.** Here is an informal argument that a rational number has a repeating infinite decimal expansion.

Suppose x is rational,  $x = \frac{m}{n}$ . Note that the Euclidean division algorithm produces a decimal representation of x. At each stage there are at most

28

n choices of remainder. Hence, after at most n steps of the algorithm, we must have a repeat remainder. From there the decimal repeats.

4.3.2. An abundance of real numbers.

**Proposition 4.16.** The set  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable; i.e., there are uncountably many real numbers.

*Proof.* It suffices to show if  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ , then f is not onto. For notational ease, let  $x_j = f(j)$ .

Choose  $b_0 > a_0$  such that  $x_0 \notin I_0 := [a_0, b_0]$ . Next choose  $a_1 < b_1$  such that  $a_0 \leq a_1 < b_1 \leq b_0$  and  $x_1 \notin I_1 = [a_1, b_1]$ . Continuing in this fashion, construct, by the principle of recursion, a sequence of intervals  $I_j = [a_j, b_j]$  such that

(1) 
$$I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots;$$

(2) 
$$b_j - a_j > 0$$
; and

(3)  $x_j \notin I_k$  for  $j \leq k$ .

Observe that the recursive construction of the sequences of endpoints  $(a_j)$  and  $(b_j)$  implies that  $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 < \cdots < b_2 \leq b_1 \leq b_0$ ; i.e.,  $(a_j)$  is increasing and is bounded above by each  $b_m$ . By Theorem 4.11  $(a_j)$  converges to

$$y = \sup\{a_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

In particular,  $a_m \leq y \leq b_m$  for each m. Thus  $y \in I_m$  for all m. On the other hand, for each k,

$$x_k \notin I$$

and so  $y \neq x_k$ . Hence y is not in the set  $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  which is the range of f.

Do Problem 4.11.

4.4. Limit theorems.

**Proposition 4.17.** Let  $(a(n))_n$  be a sequence from  $\mathbb{R}^g$  and write  $a(n) = (a_1(n), \ldots, a_g(n))$ . The sequence converges to  $L = (L_1, \ldots, L_g) \in \mathbb{R}^g$  if and only if

$$\lim_{n} a_j(n) = L_j$$

for each  $1 \leq j \leq g$ .

**Definition 4.18.** A sequence  $(a_n)$  from a metric space X is *bounded* if there exists an  $x \in X$  and R > 0 such that  $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset N_R(x)$ .

Proposition 4.19. Convergent sequences are bounded.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(a_n)$  converges to L in the metric space X. Observe, with  $\epsilon = 1$  there is an N such that if  $n \ge N$ , then  $d(a_n, L) < 1$ . Choosing

$$R = \max(\{d(a_j, L) : 0 \le j < N\} \cup \{1\}) + 1$$

gives  $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset N_R(L)$ . Hence  $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is bounded.

**Proposition 4.20.** Let  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  be sequences from  $\mathbb{R}^g$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $(a_n)$  converges to A and  $(b_n)$  converges to B, then

- (i)  $(a_n + b_n)$  converges to A + B;
- (ii)  $(ca_n)$  converges to cA;
- (iii)  $(a_n \cdot b_n)$  converges to  $A \cdot B$ ; and
- (iv) if g = 1 and  $b_n \neq 0$  for each n and  $B \neq 0$ , then  $\frac{a_n}{b_n}$  converges to  $\frac{A}{B}$ .

*Proof.* Proofs of the first two items are routine and left to the reader.

To prove the third item, let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since the sequence  $(b_n)$  converges, it is bounded by say M. Since  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  converge to A and B respectively, there exists  $N_a$  and  $N_b$  such that if  $n \ge N_a$ , then

$$\|A - a_n\| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2(M+1)}$$

and likewise if  $n \geq N_b$ , then

$$||B - b_n|| < \frac{\epsilon}{2(||A|| + 1)}.$$

Choose  $N = \max\{N_a, N_b\}$ . If  $n \ge N$ , then

$$\|A \cdot B - a_n \cdot b_n\| = \|A \cdot (B - b_n) + (A - a_n) \cdot b_n\|$$
  

$$\leq \|A\| \|B - b_n\| + \|A - a_n\| \|b_n\|$$
  

$$\leq \|A\| \|B - b_n\| + \|A - a_n\| M$$

To prove the last statement, it suffices to prove it under the assumption that  $a_n = 1$  for all n. Since  $(|b_n|)$  converges to |B| > 0, with  $\epsilon = \frac{|B|}{2}$  there is an M such that if  $n \ge M$ , then  $|b_n| \ge \frac{|B|}{2}$ . For such n

$$\left|\frac{1}{B} - \frac{1}{b_n}\right| = \frac{|B - b_n|}{|B| |b_n|} \le |B - b_n| \frac{2}{|B|^2}.$$

The remaining details are left to the gentle reader.

**Proposition 4.21.** Suppose  $(a_n)$  is a sequence of nonnegative numbers,  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $r = \frac{p}{q}$ . If  $(a_n)$  converges to L, then  $(a_n^r)$  converges to  $L^r$ .

*Proof.* Item (iii) of Proposition 4.20 with g = 1 and  $b_n = a_n$  shows that  $(a_n^2)$  converges to  $L^2$ . An induction argument now shows that  $(a_n^p)$  converges to  $L^p$ .

To show  $(a_n^{\frac{1}{q}})$  converges to  $L^{\frac{1}{q}}$ , first observe that  $L \ge 0$ . Suppose L > 0. In this case, the identity,

$$(x^{q} - y^{q}) = (x - y) \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} x^{j} y^{q-1-j}$$

$$\square$$

applied to  $x = a_n^{\frac{1}{q}}$  and  $y = L^{\frac{1}{q}}$  gives,

$$|a_n - L| = |a_n^{\frac{1}{q}} - L^{\frac{1}{q}}| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} a_n^{\frac{j}{q}} L^{\frac{q-1-j}{q}} \ge |a_n^{\frac{1}{q}} - L^{\frac{1}{q}}| L^{\frac{q-1}{q}}$$

From here the remainder of the argument is easy and left to the gentle reader.  $\hfill \Box$ 

Have another look at Problem 4.10.

#### 4.5. Subsequences.

**Definition 4.22.** Given a sequence  $(a_n)$  and an increasing sequence  $n_1 < n_2 < \ldots$  of natural numbers, the sequence  $(a_{n_i})_j$  is a subsequence of  $(a_n)$ .

Alternately, a sequence  $(b_m)$  is a subsequence of  $(a_n)$  if there is a strictly increasing function  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $b_m = a_{\sigma(m)}$ .

**Example 4.23.** The sequence  $(\frac{1}{j^2})$  is a subsequence of  $(\frac{1}{n})$  (choosing  $n_j = j^2$  for  $j \ge 1$ ).

The constant sequences (-1) and (1) are both subsequences of  $((-1)^n)$ .

**Proposition 4.24.** Suppose  $(a_n)$  is sequence in a metric space X. If  $(a_n)$  converges to  $L \in X$ , then every subsequence of  $(a_n)$  converges to L.

This proposition is an immediate consequence of Problem 4.1. Do Problem 4.12.

**Proposition 4.25.** Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence from a metric space X and let  $y \in X$  be given. If for every  $\epsilon > 0$  the set

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(y, x_n) < \epsilon\}$$

is infinite, then there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $(x_{n_k})_k$  converges to y.

*Proof.* With  $\epsilon = 1$  there is an  $n_1$  such that  $d(y, x_{n_1}) < 1$ . Suppose now that  $n_1 < n_2 \cdots < n_k$  have been constructed so that  $d(y, x_{n_j}) < \frac{1}{j}$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Since the set  $\{n : d(y, a_n) < \frac{1}{k+1}\}$  is infinite, there exists a  $n_{k+1} > n_k$  such that  $d(y, a_{n_{k+1}}) < \frac{1}{k+1}$ . Thus, by recursion, we have constructed a subsequence  $(a_{n_k})$  which converges to y.

4.6. The limits superior and inferior.

**Proposition 4.26.** Given a bounded sequence  $(a_n)$  of real numbers, let

$$\alpha_n = \sup\{a_j : j \ge n\}.$$

The sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  is decreasing and bounded below and hence converges.

The proof of the Proposition is left as an exercise (see Problem 4.13.)

**Definition 4.27.** The limit of the sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  is called the *limsup* or *limit superior* of the sequence  $(a_n)$ . The *liminf* is defined analogously.

Observe that  $\inf\{a_j : j \ge n\} \le a_n \le \sup\{a_j : j \ge n\}$  for each n. Do Problem 4.14.

**Example 4.28.** Here are some simple examples.

- (i) The lim sup and lim inf of  $(\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}n))$  are 1 and -1 respectively.
- (ii) The lim sup and lim inf of the sequence  $((-1)^n(1+\frac{1}{n}))$  are also 1 and -1 respectively.
- (iii) The lim inf of the sequence  $((1 (-1)^n)n)$  is 0. It has no lim sup. Alternately, the lim sup could be interpreted as  $\infty$ .

 $\triangle$ 

**Proposition 4.29.** A bounded sequence  $(a_n)$  converges if and only if

$$\limsup a_n = \liminf a_n$$

and in this case  $(a_n)$  converges to this common value.

*Proof sketch.* For notational purposes, let  $\alpha_n = \sup\{a_j : j \ge n\}$  and let  $\gamma_n = \inf\{a_j : j \ge n\}$ .

Suppose  $(a_n)$  converges to a. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an N such that if  $j \ge N$ , then  $|a_j - a| < \epsilon$ . In particular, for  $j \ge N$ , we have  $a_j \le a + \epsilon$  and thus  $\alpha_N \le a + \epsilon$ . Consequently, if  $n \ge N$ , then

$$a - \epsilon < a_n \le \alpha_n \le \alpha_N \le a + \epsilon$$

and therefore  $|\alpha_n - a| \leq \epsilon$ . It follows that  $(\alpha_n)$  converges to a and therefore

$$\limsup a_n = a$$

By symmetry,

 $\liminf a_n = a.$ 

Now suppose

$$\limsup a_n = \liminf a_n$$

and let A denote this common value.

Observe that  $\gamma_n \leq a_n \leq \alpha_n$  for all n. Hence, by the Squeeze Theorem, Problem 4.8,  $(a_n)$  converges to A.

Do Problem 4.15.

**Proposition 4.30.** Suppose  $(a_n)$  is a bounded sequence of real numbers. Given  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $J_x = \{n : a_n > x\}$  and let

$$S = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : J_x \text{ is infinite} \}.$$

Then,

$$\limsup a_n = \sup(S).$$

33

*Proof.* For notational ease, let  $\alpha_m = \sup\{a_n : n \ge m\}$  and let  $\alpha = \limsup a_n$ Observe that  $J_x$  is infinite if and only if for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an  $m \ge n$ such  $m \in J_x$ ; i.e., there is an  $m \ge n$  such that that  $a_m > x$ .

To prove  $\alpha$  is an upper bound for S, let  $x \in S$  be given. Given an integer n there is an  $m \geq n$  such that  $a_m > x$  Hence  $\alpha_n > x$ . It follows that  $\alpha \geq x$ .

To prove that  $\alpha$  is the least upper bound of S, suppose  $x < \alpha$ . Given n, it follows that  $x < \alpha_n$ . Hence, x is not an upper bound for the set  $\{a_j : j \ge n\}$  which means there is an  $m \ge n$  such that  $x < a_m \le \alpha_n$ . This shows  $J_x$  is infinite. Thus  $x \in S$ . It follows that  $(-\infty, \alpha) \supset S$  and thus if  $\beta$  is an upper bound for S, then  $\beta \ge \alpha$ . Hence  $\alpha$  is the least upper bound of S.  $\Box$ 

Do Problem 4.16.

#### 4.7. Exercises.

**Exercise 4.1.** Show, arguing directly from the definitions, that the numerical sequences

$$a_n = \frac{2n-3}{n+5}, \quad n \ge 0;$$
  
 $b_n = \frac{n+3}{n^2 - n - 1} \quad n \ge 2$ 

converge.

**Exercise 4.2.** By negating the definition of convergence of a sequence, state carefully what it means for the sequence  $(a_n)$  from the metric space X to not converge.

Show that the sequence (from  $\mathbb{R}$ )  $(a_n = (-1)^n)$  does not converge. Suggestion, show if  $L \neq 1$ , then  $(a_n)$  does not converge to L; and if  $L \neq -1$ , then  $(a_n)$  does not converge to L.

**Exercise 4.3.** Consider the sequence  $(s_n)$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$s_n = \sum_{j=1}^n j^{-2}.$$

Show by induction that

$$s_n \le 2 - \frac{1}{n}.$$

Prove that the sequence  $(s_n)$  converges.

**Exercise 4.4.** Define a sequence from  $\mathbb{R}$  as follows. Fix r > 1. Let  $a_1 = 1$  and define recursively,

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{1}{r}(a_n + r + 1).$$

Show, by induction, that  $(a_n)$  is increasing and bounded above by  $\frac{r+1}{r-1}$ . Does the sequence converge?

**Exercise 4.5.** Return to Exercise 4.1, but now verify the limits using Theorem 4.20 together with a little algebra.

**Exercise 4.6.** Find the limit in Exercise 4.4.

**Exercise 4.7.** Let  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$  be a bijection. What are the subsequential limits of the sequence  $(\sigma(n))$ ?

**Exercise 4.8.** Suppose  $(a_n)$  is a sequence from a metric space X and  $L \in X$ . Show, if there is a sequence  $(r_n)$  of real numbers which converges to 0, a real number C, and positive integer M such that, for  $m \ge M$ ,

$$d(a_m, L) \le Cr_m,$$

then  $(a_n)$  converges to L.

4.8. Problems.

**Problem 4.1.** Suppose  $(a_n)$ , a sequence in a metric space X, converges to  $L \in X$ . Show, if  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  is one-one, then the sequence  $(b_n = a_{\sigma(n)})_n$  also converges to L.

**Problem 4.2.** Suppose  $(a_n)$  is a sequence from  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show, if  $(a_n)$  converges to L, then the sequence (of Cesaro means)  $(s_n)$  defined by

$$s_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n a_j$$

also converges to L. Is the converse true?

**Problem 4.3.** Suppose  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are sequences from a metric space X. Show, if both sequences converge to  $L \in X$ , then  $(c_n)$ , defined by  $c_{2n} = a_n$  and  $c_{2n+1} = b_n$ , also converges to L.

**Problem 4.4.** Suppose d and d' are both metrics on X and that the metric spaces (X, d) and (X, d') have the same open sets. Show, the sequence  $(a_n)$  from X converges in (X, d) if and only if it converges in (X, d') and then to the same limit.

**Problem 4.5.** Let S be a subset of a metric space X. A point  $y \in X$  is a *limit point* of S if there is a sequence  $(s_n)$  from  $S \setminus \{y\}$ , which converges to y.

Prove that S is closed if and only if S contains all its limit points. (Often this limit point criteria is taken as the definition of closed set.)

**Problem 4.6.** Let S' denote the set of limit points of a subset S of a metric space X. (See Problem 4.5.) Prove that S' is closed.

**Problem 4.7.** Show, if C is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  which has a supremum, say  $\alpha$ , then there is a sequence  $(c_n)$  from C which converges to  $\alpha$ . Use this fact, plus Proposition 4.8, to give another proof of Proposition 3.25.

**Problem 4.8.** [A squeeze theorem] Suppose  $(a_n)$ ,  $(b_n)$ , and  $(c_n)$  are sequences of real numbers. Show, if  $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$  for all n and both  $(a_n)$  and  $(c_n)$  converge to L, then  $(b_n)$  converges to L.

**Problem 4.9.** Suppose  $(a_n)$  is a sequence of positive real numbers and assume

$$L = \lim \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$$

exists. Show, if L < 1, then  $(a_n)$  converges to 0 by completing the following outline (or otherwise).

- (a) Choose  $L < \rho < 1$ .
- (b) Show there is an M so that if  $m \ge M$ , then  $a_{m+1} \le \rho a_m$ ;
- (c) Show  $a_{M+k} \leq \rho^k a_M$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (d) Show  $a_n \leq \rho^n \frac{a_M}{\rho^M}$  for  $n \geq M$ ;
- (e) Complete the proof.

Give an example where  $(a_n)$  converges to 0 and L = 1; and give an example where  $(a_n)$  does not go to 0, but L = 1.

Prove, if  $0 \le L < 1$ , and p is a positive integer, then  $(n^p a_n)$  converges to 0 too.

**Problem 4.10.** Let  $a_0 = \sqrt{2}$  and define, recursively,  $a_{n+1} = \sqrt{a_n + 2}$ . Prove, by induction, that the sequence  $(a_n)$  is increasing and is bounded above by 2. Does the sequence converge? If so, what should the limit be?

**Problem 4.11.** Use Theorem 2.28 to prove for each real number r there is a sequence  $(q_n)$  of rational numbers converging to r. Use Proposition 4.8 to conclude that the closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (in  $\mathbb{R}$ ) is  $\mathbb{R}$ . (See Remark 2.29.)

**Problem 4.12.** Suppose  $(a_n)$  is a sequence in a metric space X. Show, if there is an  $L \in X$  such that every subsequence of  $(a_n)$  has a further subsequence which converges to L, then  $(a_n)$  converges to L.

Problem 4.13. Prove Proposition 4.26.

**Problem 4.14.** Suppose  $(a_n)$  is a bounded sequence of real numbers. Prove

 $\liminf a_n \le \limsup a_n.$ 

Give an example which shows the inequality can be strict.

**Problem 4.15.** Suppose both  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are bounded sequences of real numbers. Prove,

 $\limsup(a_n + b_n) \le \limsup a_n + \limsup b_n.$ 

[Hint: Observe  $\{a_j + b_j : j \ge n\} \subset \{a_j + b_k : j, k \ge n\}$  from this, and the fact that  $\sup(S + T) = \sup(S) + \sup(T)$ , it will follow that

 $\sup(\{a_j + b_j : j \ge n\}) \le \sup(\{a_j + b_k : j, k \ge n\}) = \sup\{a_j : j \ge n\} + \sup\{b_k : k \ge n\}.$ 

Give an example which shows the inequality can be strict.

**Problem 4.16.** Let  $(a_n)$  be a bounded sequence of real numbers. Prove there is a subsequence  $(a_{n_j})_j$  which converges to  $y = \limsup a_n$ . Here is one way to proceed. Show, either directly or using Proposition 4.30, that for each  $\epsilon > 0$  the set  $\{n : |y - a_n| < \epsilon\}$  is infinite and then apply Proposition 4.25. **Problem 4.17.** Given a sequence  $(a_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$  of real numbers, let

$$s_m = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j.$$

The expression  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is called a *series* and the sequence  $(s_n)$  is its *sequence of partial sums*. If the sequence  $(s_n)$  converges, then the series is said to *converge* and if moreover,  $(s_n)$  converges to L, then the series converges to L written

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = L = \lim_{m \to \infty} s_m.$$

In particular, the expression  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is used both for the sequence  $(s_n)$  and the limit of this sequence, if it exists.

Show, if  $a_n \ge 0$ , then the series either converges or diverges to  $\infty$  depending on whether the partial sums form a bounded sequence or not.

Show, if  $0 \le r < 1$ , then, for each m,

$$(1-r)\sum_{n=0}^{m}r^{n} = 1 - r^{m+1}$$

and thus,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n = \frac{1}{1-r}.$$

# 5. CAUCHY SEQUENCES AND COMPLETENESS

**Definition 5.1.** A sequence  $(a_n)$  in a metric space (X, d) is *Cauchy* if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an N such that for all  $n, m \ge N$ ,  $d(a_n, a_m) < \epsilon$ .

Do Problem 5.1.

**Proposition 5.2.** Convergent sequences are Cauchy; i.e., if  $(a_n)$  is a convergent sequence in a metric space X, then  $(a_n)$  is Cauchy.

Proposition 5.3. Cauchy sequences are bounded.

**Definition 5.4.** A metric space X is *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in X converges (in X).

**Example 5.5.** Cauchy sequences in a discrete metric space are eventually constant and hence converge. Thus, a discrete metric space is complete.  $\triangle$ 

**Example 5.6.** The metric space  $\mathbb{Q}$  is an example of an incomplete space. Exercise 5.2 gives further examples of incomplete spaces.

**Theorem 5.7.**  $\mathbb{R}$  is a complete metric space.

*Proof.* Let  $(a_n)$  be a given Cauchy sequence from  $\mathbb{R}$ . By Proposition 5.3, this sequence is bounded. Hence it has a limsup; i.e., with

$$\alpha_n = \sup\{a_k : k \ge n\}$$

the sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  is decreasing and bounded below and converges to  $\alpha = \limsup a_n$ .

It suffices to show that  $(a_n)$  converges to  $\alpha$ . To this end, let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Because  $(\alpha_n)$  converges to  $\alpha$ , there is an M so that if  $m \ge M$ , then

$$(3) \qquad \qquad \alpha \le \alpha_m < \alpha + \epsilon$$

Since  $(a_n)$  is Cauchy, there is a K such that for  $n, k \ge K$ ,

$$|a_k - a_n| < \epsilon.$$

In particular, for  $k \ge n \ge K$ ,

$$a_k \le a_n + \epsilon.$$

Hence  $a_n + \epsilon$  is an upper bound for  $\{a_j : j \ge n\}$  and therefore,

(4) 
$$\alpha_n \le a_n + \epsilon.$$

Let  $N = \max\{M, K\}$ . If  $n \ge N$ , then, by combining Equations (3) and (4),

$$\alpha + \epsilon > \alpha_n \ge a_n \ge \alpha_n - \epsilon \ge \alpha - \epsilon.$$

Thus, if  $n \ge N$ , then

$$|\alpha - a_n| < \epsilon$$

and the proof is complete.

*Proof.* Apply Proposition 4.8.

Proposition 5.9. A complete subset of a metric space is closed.

*Proof.* Apply Proposition 4.8.

**Definition 5.10.** A sequence  $(x_n)$  from a metric space X is super Cauchy if there exists a  $0 \le k < 1$  such that

(5) 
$$d(a_{n+1}, a_n) \le kd(a_n, a_{n-1})$$

for all  $n \ge 1$ .

The following result is a version of the *contraction mapping principle*.

**Proposition 5.11.** If  $(a_n)$  is super Cauchy, then  $(a_n)$  is Cauchy. In particular, super Cauchy sequences in a complete metric space converge.

*Proof.* First observe, by Equation (2),

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} k^j \le \frac{1}{k-1}.$$

Next note that, by iterating the inequality of Equation (5),

$$d(a_{m+1}, a_m) \le k^m d(a_1, a_0)$$

for all *m*. Thus, for  $\ell \geq 0$ ,

$$d(a_{n+\ell}, a_n) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} d(a_{n+j+1}, a_{n+j})$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} k^{n+j} d(a_1, a_0)$$
$$= k^n d(a_1, a_0) \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} k^j$$
$$\leq k^n \frac{d(a_1, a_0)}{1-k}.$$

The remainder of the proof is a straightforward exercise based on the fact that  $(k^n)$  converges to 0.

Note that Proposition 5.11 holds under the weaker assumption that there is an N such that the inequality of Equation (5) holds just for all  $n \ge N+1$ ; i.e.,  $(a_n)$  just need be eventually super Cauchy.

**Example 5.12.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , let

and thus  $(s_n)$  is not a bounded sequence and is therefore not Cauchy. On the other hand,

$$|s_{n+2} - s_{n+1}| = \frac{1}{n+2} < \frac{1}{n+1} = |s_{n+1} - s_n|.$$

 $\frac{n}{2}$ 

 $\triangle$ 

## 5.1. Exercises.

Note that

**Exercise 5.1.** Define a sequence of real numbers recursively as follows. Let  $a_1 = 1$  and

$$a_{n+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{1+a_n}$$

Show  $(a_n)$  is not monotonic (that is neither increasing or decreasing). Show that  $a_n \ge 1$  for all n and then use Proposition 5.11 to show that  $(a_n)$  is Cauchy. Conclude that the sequences converges and find its limit.

**Exercise 5.2.** Suppose y is a limit point (see Problem 4.5) of the metric space X. Show  $Y = X \setminus \{y\}$  is not complete.

**Exercise 5.3.** Show directly that the sequence  $((-1)^n)$  is not Cauchy and conclude that it doesn't converge. Compare with Exercise 4.2.

#### 5.2. Problems.

**Problem 5.1.** Suppose  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in a metric space X. Show, if  $(x_n)$  has a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  which converges to some  $y \in X$ , then  $(x_n)$  converges to y.

**Problem 5.2.** Fix A > 0 and define a sequence from  $\mathbb{R}$  as follows. Let  $a_0 = 1$ . For  $n \ge 1$ , recursively define

$$a_{n+1} = A + \frac{1}{a_n}.$$

Show, for all  $n \ge 1$ ,  $a_n \ge A$  and  $a_n a_{n+1} \ge 1 + A^2$ . Use Proposition 5.11 to prove that  $(a_n)$  converges. What is the limit?

**Problem 5.3.** The *diameter* of a set S in a metric space X is

$$\operatorname{diam}(S) = \sup\{d(s,t) : s, t \in S\}.$$

(In the case that the set of values d(s, t) is not bounded above this supremum is interpreted as plus infinity.)

Prove, if X is a complete metric space,  $S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \ldots$  is a nested decreasing sequence of nonempty closed subsets of X, and the sequence  $(\operatorname{diam}(S_n))_n$ converges to 0, then

contains exactly one point.

Show that this result fails if any of the hypotheses - completeness, closedness of the  $S_n$ , or that the diameters tend to 0 - are omitted.

**Problem 5.4.** Suppose  $U_1, U_2, \ldots$  is a sequence of open sets in a nonempty complete metric space X. Show, if, for each j, the closure of  $U_j$  is all of X, then

$$\cap_1^\infty U_j \neq \emptyset.$$

This is a version of the Baire Category Theorem.

Here is an outline of a proof. Observe that for each  $x \in X$ , r > 0, and j, that  $N_r(x) \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$  and let  $B_r(x) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq r\}$  (the closed ball of radius r with center x).

Pick a point  $x_1 \in U_1$ . There is an  $r_1 \leq 1$  such that  $B_{r_1}(x_1) \subset U_1$ . There is a point  $x_2 \in N_{r_1}(x) \cap U_2$ . There is an  $0 < r_2 < \frac{r_1}{2}$  such that  $B_{r_2}(x_2) \subset U_2$ . Continuing in this fashion constructs a sequence of sets  $B_{r_j}(x_j)$ . Apply an earlier problem to complete the proof.

**Problem 5.5.** Complete the following outline that  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete. Let  $(a_n)$  be a given Cauchy sequence from  $\mathbb{R}$ . Explain why

$$\alpha = \limsup a_n$$

exists. There is a subsequence  $(a_{n_j})$  of  $(a_n)$  which converges to  $\alpha$  (by Problem 4.16); and thus the sequence itself converges to  $\alpha$  (by Problem 5.1).

**Problem 5.6.** Given metric spaces  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  let Z denote the metric space built from X and Y as in Problem 3.1. Show, if X and Y are complete, then so is  $X \times Y$ .

**Problem 5.7.** Show that the sequence  $(a_n)$  from Exercise 5.1 is not eventually monotone. As a suggestion, first show that, for each n,  $a_{n+1} \neq a_n$  as otherwise  $a_n$  would be irrational.

#### 6. Compact Sets

### 6.1. Definitions and Examples.

**Definition 6.1.** An open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of a subset S of a metric space X is a subset of P(X) such that each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  is open and

$$S \subset \cup \{U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} = \cup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} U.$$

A subcover of the open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  is a subset  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$  which is also an open cover of S.

A subset K of a metric space X is *compact* provided every open cover of K has a finite subcover.

**Remark 6.2.** Often it is convenient to view covers as an indexed family of sets, rather than a subset of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ . In this case an open cover of S consists of an index set  $\mathcal{J}$  and a collection of open sets  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_j : j \in \mathcal{J}\}$  whose union contains S. A subcover is then a collection  $\mathcal{V} = \{U_k : k \in K\}$ , for some subset K of J. A set K is compact if for each collection  $\{U_j : j \in J\}$  such that

$$K \subset \cup_{j \in J} U_j$$

there is a finite subset  $K \subset J$  such that

 $K \subset \cup_{k \in K} U_k.$ 

 $\diamond$ 

**Example 6.3.** Consider the set  $K = \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, ...\}$  as a subset of the metric space  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a given open cover of K. There is then a  $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $0 \in U_0$ . Since  $U_0$  is open, there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N_{\epsilon}(0) \subset U_0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{n}$  converges to 0, there is an N such that if  $n \geq N$ , then  $\frac{1}{n} \in N_{\epsilon}(0)$ . For each  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1$  there is a  $U_j \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\frac{1}{j} \in U_j$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{V} = \{U_0, \ldots, U_{N-1}\} \subset \mathcal{U}$  is a finite subcover (of K). Thus K is compact.  $\Delta$ 

Do Problems 6.1 and 6.2.

**Example 6.4.** Let  $S = (0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and consider the indexed family of sets  $U_j = (\frac{1}{j}, 2)$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . It is readily checked that

$$S \subset \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j$$

and of course each  $U_j$  is open. Thus  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_j : j \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$  is an open cover of S.

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a given finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}$ . In particular, there is an N such that  $\mathcal{V} \subset \{U_j : 1 \leq j \leq N\}$  and therefore,

$$\bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N U_j = (\frac{1}{N}, 2).$$

Thus  $\mathcal{V}$  is not a cover of S and hence  $\mathcal{U}$  contains no finite subset which covers S. Thus S is not compact.  $\bigtriangleup$ 

**Theorem 6.5.** Closed bounded intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$  are compact.

*Proof.* Let [a, b] be a given closed bounded interval and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a given open cover of [a, b].

Let

 $S = \{x \in [a, b] : [a, x] \text{ has a finite subcover from } \mathcal{U}\}.$ 

There is a  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $a \in U$  and hence  $[a, a] \subset U$ . It follows that  $a \in S$  and thus S is nonempty. It is also bounded above by b. It follows that  $\sup(S)$  exists and is at most b.

To prove that  $b \in S$ , observe that there is a  $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\sup(S) \in U_0$ since  $\sup(S) \in [a, b]$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of [a, b]. Because  $U_0$  is open, there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N_{\epsilon}(\sup(S)) \subset U_0$ . There is an  $s \in S$  such that  $\sup(S) - \epsilon < s \leq \sup(S)$ . Since  $s \in S$ , there is a finite subcover  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$  of [a, s]; i.e.,  $\mathcal{V}$  is finite and

$$[a,s] \subset \cup \{U : U \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

It follows that

$$[a, \sup(S) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}] \subset [a, s] \cup [\sup(S) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \sup(S) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}] \subset \cup \{U : U \in \mathcal{V}\} \cup \{U_0\}.$$

Thus, for each  $t \in [a, b] \cap [\sup(S), \sup(S) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}]$ , the collection  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V} \cup \{U_0\}$ is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}$  which covers [a, t]. Thus, each such t is in S. In particular,  $\sup(S) \in S$ . On the other hand, if  $\sup(S) < b$ , then there is a  $t \in s \in [a, b] \cap (\sup(S), \sup(S) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}]$  in violation of the least property of  $\sup(S)$ . Thus,  $\sup(S) = b$  and moreover

$$[a,b] \subset \{U: U \in \mathcal{V}\} \cup \{U_0\}.$$

Thus [a, b] is compact.

Do Problem 6.3 which says that a subset K of a discrete metric space X is compact if and only if K is finite. In particular, if the set K in Example 6.3 is considered with the discrete metric, then it is not Compact.

**Theorem 6.6.** If Y is a metric space and  $K \subset X \subset Y$ , then K is compact in X if and only if K is compact in Y.

**Remark 6.7.** The proposition says that compactness is intrinsic and thus, unlike for open and closed sets, we we can speak of compact sets without reference to a larger ambient metric space.

*Proof.* First suppose K is compact in X. To prove K is compact in Y, let  $\mathcal{U} \subset P(Y)$  an open (in Y) cover of K be given. Let  $\mathcal{W} = \{U \cap X : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W} \subset P(X)$  is an open (in X) cover of K. Hence there is a finite subset  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\{U \cap X : U \in \mathcal{V}\}$  covers K. It follows that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}$  which covers K and hence K is compact as a subset of Y.

Conversely, suppose K is compact in Y. To prove that K is compact in X, let Let  $\mathcal{U} \subset P(X)$  be a given open (in X) cover of K. For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists an open in Y set  $W_U$  such that  $U = X \cap W_U$ . The collection  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \subset P(Y)$  is an open cover of X. Hence there is a finite subset  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\{W_U : U \in \mathcal{V}\}$  covers K. It follows that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}$  which covers K. Hence K is compact in X.

Do Problems 6.4 and 6.5.

# 6.2. Compactness and closed sets.

**Definition 6.8.** A subset B of a metric space X is *bounded* if there exists  $x \in X$  and R > 0 such that  $B \subset N_R(x)$ .

Equivalently, B is bounded if for every  $y \in X$  there is a C > 0 such that  $B \subset N_C(y)$ .

Proposition 6.9. Compact sets are closed and bounded.

Proof. Suppose K is a compact subset of a metric space X. If K is empty, then it is open and K is closed. Suppose now that  $\tilde{K}$  is not empty. Let  $y \notin K$  be given. Let  $V_n = \{x \in X : d(x,y) > \frac{1}{n}\}$ . The sets  $V_n$  are open and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n \supset X \setminus \{y\} \supset K$ .

Since K is compact, there is an N so that

$$V_N = \bigcup_{n=1}^N V_n \supset K.$$

It follows that, for each  $x \in K$ ,  $d(x, y) > \frac{1}{N}$ . Hence  $N_{\frac{1}{N}}(y) \subset \tilde{K}$  and so  $\tilde{K}$  is open and K is closed.

To prove that K is bounded, fix  $x_0 \in X$  and let  $W_n = \{x \in X : d(x_0, x) < n\}$ . Then

$$K \subset X = \cup W_n$$

By compactness of K, there is an N so that  $K \subset W_N$  and thus K is bounded.

**Proposition 6.10.** A closed subset of a compact set is compact.

*Proof.* Suppose X is a metric space,  $C \subset K \subset X$ , K is compact, and C is closed.

To prove C is compact, let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a given open cover of C. Then  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \cup \{\tilde{C}\}$  is an open cover of K. Hence some finite subset of  $\mathcal{W}$  covers K; but then a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}$  covers C.

**Corollary 6.11.** Closed bounded subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  are compact. Thus a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded.

*Proof.* Suppose  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  is both closed and bounded. Since K is bounded, there is a positive real M such that  $K \subset [-M, M]$ . Now K is a closed subset of the compact set [-M, M] and is hence itself compact.  $\Box$ 

It turns out that this corollary is true with  $\mathbb{R}$  replaced by  $\mathbb{R}^{g}$ , a result which is called the Heine-Borel Theorem. A proof, based upon the Lebesgue number Lemma, and the concomitant fact that compactness and sequential compactness are the same for a metric space, is in Subsection 6.4 below.

**Remark 6.12.** If X is an infinite set with the discrete metric, then X is closed and bounded, but not compact. Hence, in general, closed and bounded does not imply compact. While this example may seem a bit contrived, we will encounter other more natural metric spaces for which closed and bounded is not the same as compact. (See for instance Problem 6.7.)  $\diamond$ 

# 6.3. Sequential Compactness.

**Definition 6.13.** A subset K of a metric space X is sequentially compact if every sequence in K has a subsequence which converges in K; i.e., if  $(a_n)$ is a sequence from K, then there exists  $p \in K$  and a subsequence  $(a_{n_j})_j$  of  $(a_n)$  which converges to p.

**Remark 6.14.** The notion of sequentially compact does not actually depend upon the larger metric space X, just the metric space K.

**Proposition 6.15.** If X is sequentially compact, then X is complete.

Problem 6.8 asks you to provide a proof of this Proposition.

**Proposition 6.16.** Let X be a metric space. If X is compact, then X is sequentially compact.

*Proof.* Let  $(s_n)$  be a given sequence from X. If there is an  $s \in X$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  the set  $J_{\epsilon}(s) = \{n : s_n \in N_{\epsilon}(s)\}$  is infinite, then, by Proposition 4.25,  $(s_n)$  has a convergent subsequence (namely one that converges to s).

Arguing by contradiction, suppose for each  $s \in X$  there is an  $\epsilon_s > 0$  such that  $J(s) = \{n : s_n \in N_{\epsilon_s}(s)\}$  is a finite set. The collection  $\{N_{\epsilon_s}(s) : s \in X\}$  is an open cover of X. Since X is compact there is a finite subset  $F \subset X$  such that  $\mathcal{V} = \{N_{\epsilon_t}(t) : t \in F\}$  is a cover of X; i.e.,

$$X \subset \bigcup \{ N_{\epsilon_t}(t) : t \in F \}.$$

For each *n* there is a  $t \in F$  such that  $s_n \in N_{\epsilon_t}(t)$  and thus  $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{t \in F} J_{\epsilon_t}(t)$ . But then, for some  $u \in F$ , the set  $J_{\epsilon_u}(u)$  is infinite, a contradiction.

Do Problem 6.9.

**Proposition 6.17.** If X is compact, then X is complete.

Corollary 6.18. The metric space  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(a_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence from  $\mathbb{R}$ . It follows that  $(a_n)$  is bounded and hence there is a number R > 0 such that each  $a_n$  is in the interval I = [-R, R]. Since I is compact, it is complete. Hence  $(a_n)$  converges in I and thus in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The remainder of this section is devoted to proving the converse of Proposition 6.16.

**Lemma 6.19.** [Lebesgue number lemma] If K is a sequentially compact metric space and if  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of K, then there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $x \in K$  there is a  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $N_{\delta}(x) \subset U$ .

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Accordingly, suppose for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  there is an  $x_n \in K$  such that, for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $N_{\frac{1}{n}}(x_n)$  is not a subset of U. The sequence  $(x_n)$  has a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_k$  which converges to some  $w \in K$  because K is sequentially compact. There is a  $W \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $w \in W$ . Hence there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N_{\epsilon}(w) \subset W$ . Choose k so that  $\frac{1}{n_k} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and also so that  $d(x_{n_k}, w) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Then  $N_{\frac{1}{n_k}}(x_{n_k}) \subset N_{\epsilon}(w) \subset W$ , a contradiction.

**Definition 6.20.** A metric space X is *totally bounded* if, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite set  $F \subset X$  such that

$$X = \cup_{x \in F} N_{\epsilon}(x).$$

**Proposition 6.21.** If X is sequentially compact, then X is totally bounded.

*Proof.* We prove the contrapositive. Accordingly, suppose X is not totally bounded. Then there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for every finite subset F of X,

$$X \neq \bigcup_{x \in F} N_{\epsilon}(x).$$

Choose  $x_1 \in X$ . Choose  $x_2 \notin N_{\epsilon}(x_1)$ . Recursively choose,

$$x_{n+1} \notin \bigcup_{1}^{n} N_{\epsilon}(x_j)$$

The sequence  $(x_n)$  has no convergent subsequence since, for  $j \neq k$ ,  $d(x_k, x_j) \geq \epsilon$ . Thus X is not sequentially compact.

**Proposition 6.22.** If X is sequentially compact, then X is compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a given open cover of X. From the Lebesgue Number Lemma, there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $x \in X$  there is a  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $N_{\delta}(x) \subset U$ .

Since X is totally bounded, there exists a finite set  $F \subset X$  so that

$$X = \bigcup_{x \in F} N_{\delta}(x).$$

For each  $x \in F$ , there is a  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $N_{\delta}(x) \subset U_x$ . Hence,

$$X = \cup_{x \in F} U_x;$$

i.e.,  $\{U_x : x \in F\} \subset \mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of X. Hence X is compact.

## 6.4. The Heine-Borel theorem.

**Lemma 6.23.** Cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^{g}$  are compact.

Proof for the case g = 2. Either an induction argument or an argument similar to the proof below for g = 2 handles the case of general d.

Consider the cube  $C = [a, b] \times [c, d]$ . It suffices to prove that every sequence  $(z_n)$  from C has a subsequence which converges in C; i.e., that C is sequentially compact. To this end, let  $(z_n) = (x_n, y_n)$  be a given sequence from C. Since [a, b] is compact, there is a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_k$  of  $(x_n)$  which converges to some  $x \in [a, b]$ . Similarly, since [c, d] is compact the sequence  $(y_{n_k})_k$  has a subsequence  $(y_{n_{k_j}})_j$  which converges to a  $y \in [c, d]$ . It follows that  $(z_{n_{k_i}})_j$  converges to  $z = (x, y) \in C$ .

**Theorem 6.24.** [Heine-Borel] A subset K of  $\mathbb{R}^{g}$  is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded.

*Proof.* We have already seen that compact implies closed and bounded in any metric space.

Suppose now that K is closed and bounded. There is a cube C such that  $K \subset C \subset \mathbb{R}^{g}$ . The cube C is compact and K is a closed subset of C and is therefore compact.

Do Problem 6.12.

Corollary 6.25.  $\mathbb{R}^g$  is complete.

The proof is similar to that of Corollary 6.18. The details are left as an exercise for the gentle reader.

## 6.5. Exercises.

**Exercise 6.1.** Let X be a metric space. Show, if there is an r > 0 and sequence  $(x_n)$  from X such that  $d(x_n, x_m) \ge r$  for  $n \ne m$ , then X is not compact.

**Exercise 6.2.** Suppose X has the property that each closed bounded subset of X is compact. Show X is complete.

**Exercise 6.3.** Show, if X is totally bounded, then X is bounded. Give an example of a bounded metric space X which is not totally bounded.

#### 6.6. Problems.

**Problem 6.1.** Prove, if X is a metric space and  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence in X which converges to A, then  $\{A, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$  is compact.

**Problem 6.2.** Prove a finite subset of a metric space X is compact. More generally, prove a finite union of compact sets is compact.

**Problem 6.3.** Show, a subset K of a discrete metric space X is compact if and only if it is finite. In particular, if X is infinite, then X is closed and bounded, but not compact.

46

**Problem 6.4.** [*The finite intersection property (fip)*] Suppose X is a compact metric space and  $\mathcal{F} \subset P(X)$ . Show, if each  $C \in \mathcal{F}$  is closed and for each finite subset  $F \subset \mathcal{F}$  the set

$$\cap_{C \in F} C \neq \emptyset,$$

then in fact

 $\cap_{C\in\mathcal{F}}C\neq\emptyset.$ 

As a corollary, show if  $C_1 \supset C_2 \supset$  is a nested decreasing sequence of non-empty compact sets in a metric space X, then  $\cap C_j$  is non-empty too.

Show the result fails if X is not assumed compact. On the other hand, even if X is not compact, the result is true if it assumed that there is a  $D \in \mathcal{F}$  which is compact. Compare with Problem 5.3.

**Problem 6.5.** Prove that any open cover of  $\mathbb{R}$  has an *at most countable subcover*.

More generally, prove, if there exists a sequence  $K_1, K_2, \ldots$  of compact subsets of a metric space X such that  $X = \bigcup K_j$ , then every open cover of X has an at most countable subcover.

**Problem 6.6.** Let  $\ell^{\infty}$  denote the set of bounded sequences a = (a(n)) of real numbers. The function  $d : \ell^{\infty} \times \ell^{\infty} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$d(a,b) = \sup\{|a(n) - b(n)| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a metric on  $\ell^{\infty}$ .

Let  $e_j$  denote the sequence from  $\ell^{\infty}$  (so a sequence of sequences) with  $e_j(j) = 1$  and  $e_j(k) = 0$  if  $k \neq j$ . Find,  $d(e_j, e_\ell)$ .

Let 0 denote the zero sequence in  $\ell^{\infty}$ . Is

$$B = \{a \in \ell^{\infty} : d(a,0) \le 1\}$$

closed? Is it bounded? Is it compact?

As a challenge, show  $\ell^{\infty}$  is complete.

**Problem 6.7.** This problem assumes Problem 4.17. Let  $\ell^2$  denote the set of sequences (a(n)) of real numbers such that

$$\sum_{0}^{\infty} |a(n)|^2$$

converges (to a finite number). Use the Cauchy Schwartz inequality to show, if  $a, b \in \ell^2$ , then

$$\langle a,b\rangle:=\sum_0^\infty a(j)b(j)$$

converges and that  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is an inner product on  $\ell^2$ . Let

$$d(x,y) = \|x - y\| = \sqrt{\langle x - y, x - y \rangle}$$

denote the resulting metric.

Let  $e_j$  denote the sequence with  $e_j(j) = 1$  and  $e_j(k) = 0$  if  $j \neq k$ . What is  $d(e_j, e_k)$ ? Does the sequence (of sequences)  $(e_j)$  have a convergent subsequence? Let 0 denote the zero sequence. Is the set

$$B = \{ x \in \ell^2 : d(x, 0) \le 1 \}$$

closed? Is it bounded? Is it compact?

As a challenge, prove that  $\ell^2$  is complete.

**Problem 6.8.** Prove Proposition 6.15. (See Problem 5.1.)

**Problem 6.9.** Suppose K is a nonempty compact subset of a metric space X and  $x \in X$ . Show, there is a point  $p \in K$  such that, for all other  $q \in K$ ,

 $d(p, x) \le d(q, x).$ 

[Suggestion: Let  $S = \{d(x, y) : y \in K\}$  and show there is a sequence  $(q_n)$  from K such that  $(d(x, q_n))$  converges to  $\inf(S)$ .]

Give an example where this conclusion fails if the hypothesis that K is compact is replaced by K is closed and bounded.

**Problem 6.10.** Suppose *B* is a compact subset of a metric space *X* and  $a \notin B$ . Show there exists disjoint open sets *U* and *V* such that  $a \in U$  and  $B \subset V$ . Suggestion, first use Problem 6.9 to show, for each  $b \in B$  there is an  $\epsilon_b > 0$  such that  $N_{\epsilon_b}(b) \cap N_{\epsilon_b}(a) = \emptyset$ .

**Problem 6.11.** Show if A and B are disjoint compact sets in a metric space X, then there exists disjoint open sets U and V such that  $A \subset U$  and  $B \subset V$ . Suggestion, by the previous problem, for each  $a \in A$  there exists disjoint open sets  $U_a$  and  $V_a$  such that  $a \in U_a$  and  $B \subset V_b$ .

**Problem 6.12.** Show that K compact can be replaced by K closed in Problem 6.9 in the case that  $X = \mathbb{R}^{g}$ .

**Problem 6.13.** Given metric spaces  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  let Z denote the metric space built from X and Y as in Problem 3.1. Show, if X and Y are compact, then so is  $X \times Y$ .

#### 7. Connected sets

**Definition 7.1.** A metric space X is *disconnected* if there exists sets  $U, V \subset X$  such that

- (i) U and V are open;
- (ii)  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ;
- (iii)  $X = U \cup V$ ; and
- (iv)  $U \neq \emptyset \neq V$ ;

The metric space X is *connected* if it is not disconnected. A *subset* S *of* X *is connected* if the metric space (subspace) S is connected.

Do Problem 7.1.

**Remark 7.2.** A metric space X is connected if and only if the only subsets of X which are both open and closed are X and  $\emptyset$ .

By Proposition 3.18, subsets  $U_0$  and  $V_0$  of S are open relative to S if and only if there exists subsets U, V of X which are open (in X) such that  $U_0 = U \cap S$  and  $V_0 = V \cap S$ . Thus, a subset S of a metric space X is connected if and only if given subsets U and V of X such that

- (i) U and V are open;
- (ii)  $U \cap S \cap V = \emptyset$ ; and
- (iii)  $S \subset U \cup V$
- it follows that either  $U \cap S$  or  $V \cap S$  is empty.

Note, if U, V satisfy (ii) and (iii), then  $V \cap S = U \cap S$ .

 $\diamond$ 

Problem 7.2 gives an alternate condition for a subset S of a metric space X to be connect in terms of subsets of X. Do also Problem 7.3.

**Proposition 7.3.** A nonempty subset I of  $\mathbb{R}$  is connected if and only if  $x, y \in I$  and x < z < y implies  $z \in I$ .

In particular, intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$  are connected.

Proof. Suppose I has the property that  $x, y \in I$  and x < z < y implies  $z \in I$ . To prove that I is connected, it suffices to show, if  $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}$  satisfy condition (i), (ii), and (iii) in Remark 7.2, then either  $U \cap I$  or  $V \cap I$  is empty. Arguing by contradiction, suppose  $U \cap I$  and  $V \cap I$  are both nonempty and choose  $u \in U \cap I$  and  $v \in V \cap I$ . Without loss of generality, u < v. By hypothesis  $[u, v] \subset I$ . Consider  $A = U \cap [u, v]$  and  $B = V \cap [u, v]$  and observe that  $A \cup B = [u, v]$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\tilde{B} \cap [u, v] = A$  and therefore, as  $\tilde{B} = \tilde{V} \cup [u, v]$ ,  $A = \tilde{V} \cap [u, v]$ . In particular, A is closed and bounded. It follows that A has a largest element  $a \in A$ . Since  $v \in B$ , we find a < v. Since U is open, there is an  $\epsilon$  such that  $v - a > \epsilon > 0$  and  $N_{\epsilon}(a) \subset U$ . In particular,  $(a, a+\epsilon) \subset U \cap [u, v] = A$ . But then say  $a + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \in A$ , a contradiction.

To prove the converse, suppose there exists  $x, y \in I$  and  $z \notin I$  such that x < z < y. In this case, let  $U = (-\infty, z)$  and  $V = (z, \infty)$ . Then  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , U and V are open,  $U \cap I$  and  $V \cap I$  are nonempty, and  $I \subset U \cup V$ , thus I is not connected.

Do Problem 7.4.

**Proposition 7.4.** If C is a nonempty collection of connected subsets of a metric space X and if

 $\cap \{C: C \in \mathcal{C}\} \neq \emptyset,$ 

then  $\Gamma = \bigcup \{ C : C \in \mathcal{C} \}$  is connected.

*Proof.* Suppose  $U, V \subset X$  are open,  $U \cap \Gamma \cap V = \emptyset$ , and  $\Gamma \subset U \cup V$ . It suffices to show that either  $\Gamma \cap U = \emptyset$  or  $\Gamma \cap V = \emptyset$ . Arguing by contradiction, suppose both are not empty. Then there exists  $C_U, C_V \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $C_U \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and  $C_V \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Now U, V are open;  $C_U \subset U \cup V$ ; and  $U \cap C_U \cap V \subset U \cap \Gamma \cap V = \emptyset$ .

Thus, since  $C_U$  is connected, either  $C_U \cap U = \emptyset$  or  $C_U \cap V = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $C_U \cap V = \emptyset$  and hence  $C_U \subset U$ . By symmetry,  $C_V \subset V$  and thus,

$$C_U \cap C_V \subset U \cap \Gamma \cap V = \emptyset,$$

contradicting the assumption that the intersection of the sets C in C is nonempty.  $\Box$ 

Do Problems 7.5 and 7.6.

**Corollary 7.5.** Given a point x in a subset S of a metric space X there is a largest connected set  $C_x$  containing x and contained in S; i.e.,

- (i)  $x \in C_x \subset S$ ,
- (ii)  $C_x \subset X$  is connected; and
- (iii) if  $x \in D \subset S$  and  $D \subset X$  is connected, then  $D \subset C_x$ .

The set  $C_x$  of the Corollary is called the *connected component* containing x.

*Proof.* Note that  $\{x\}$  is connected. Let C denote the collection of connected sets containing x and contained in S and apply the previous proposition to conclude that  $\Gamma = \bigcup \{C : C \in C\}$  is connected. By construction, if D is connected and  $x \in D$ , then  $D \subset \Gamma$ .

Do Problems 7.7, 7.8 and 7.9.

## 7.1. Exercises.

Exercise 7.1. Determine the connected subsets of a discrete metric space.

**Exercise 7.2.** Let  $I = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ . If 0 < x < 1, is  $I \setminus \{x\}$  connected? Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  denote the unit circle,  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ . If  $x \in S$ ,

is  $S \setminus \{x\}$  connected? If  $x \neq y$  are both in S, is  $S \setminus \{x, y\}$  connected?

Let  $R \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  denote the unit square  $R = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . If  $F \subset R$  is finite, is  $R \setminus F$  connected?

**Exercise 7.3.** Let  $S = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}^+\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and let

$$C = (K \times [0,1]) \cup ([0,1] \times \{0\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Draw a picture of C. Is it connected?

Let  $D = C \cup \{(0,1)\}$ . Is D connected? Can you draw a path from (0,0) to (0,1) without leaving D?

**Exercise 7.4.** Show if A, B, C are connected subsets of X and  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  and  $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$ , then  $A \cup B \cup C$  is connected. A more general statement, requiring a more elaborate proof, can be found in Problem 7.5.

#### 7.2. Problems.

**Problem 7.1.** Show singleton sets are connected, but finite sets with more than one element are not.

**Problem 7.2.** Prove,  $S \subset X$  is disconnected if and only if there exists subsets  $A, B \subset X$  such that

- (i) both A and B are nonemtpy;
- (ii)  $A \cup B = S$ ;
- (iii)  $\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset$ ; and
- (iv)  $A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$ .

(Here the closures are taken with respect to X.) You may wish to use Problem 3.4.

**Problem 7.3.** Show, if S is a connected subset of a metric space X, then  $\overline{S}$  is also connected. In fact, each  $S \subset T \subset \overline{S}$  is connected.

**Problem 7.4.** Suppose  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  is open. Prove that I is also connected if and only if either

- (i) I is an open interval;
- (ii) there is an  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $I = (a, \infty)$ ;
- (iii) there is a  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $I = (-\infty, b)$ ; or
- (iv) I is empty or all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The term *open interval* is expanded to refer to a set of any of the above forms.

**Problem 7.5.** Prove the following stronger variant of Proposition 7.4. Suppose C is a nonempty collection of connected subsets of a metric space X and  $B \in C$ , and if, for each  $A \in C$ ,  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\Gamma = \bigcup \{C : C \in C\}$  is connected.

Problem 7.6. Must the intersection of two connected sets be connected?

**Problem 7.7.** Let X be a metric space. For each  $x \in X$ , let  $C_x$  denote the connected component containing x. Prove that the collection  $\{C_x : x \in X\}$  is a partition of X; i.e., if  $x, y \in X$  then either  $C_x = C_y$  or  $C_x \cap C_y = \emptyset$  and  $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} C_x$ .

**Problem 7.8.** Prove, if  $O \subset \mathbb{R}$  is open, then each connected component of O is open; i.e., if  $U \subset O$  is connected in  $\mathbb{R}$  and if  $U \subset V \subset O$  is connected implies U = V, then U is open.

**Problem 7.9.** Prove that every open subset O of  $\mathbb{R}$  is a disjoint union of open intervals (in the sense of Problem 7.4). Further show that this union is at most countable by noting that each component must contain a rational.

## 8. Continuous Functions

## 8.1. Definitions and Examples.

**Definition 8.1.** Suppose X, Y are metric spaces,  $a \in X$  and  $f : X \to Y$ . The function f is *continuous at* a if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $d_X(a, x) < \delta$ , then  $d_Y(f(a), f(x)) < \epsilon$ .

If f is continuous at every point  $a \in X$ , then f is said to be *continuous*.

**Example 8.2.** (a) Constant functions are continuous.

- (b) For a metric space X, the identity function  $id : X \to X$  given by id(x) = x is continuous.
- (c) If  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous and  $Z \subset X$ , then  $f|_Z: Z \to Y$  is continuous.
- (d) The function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by f(x) = 1 if  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  and f(x) = 0 if  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$  is nowhere continuous.

To prove this last statement, given  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , choose  $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

(e) The function  $f: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by f(x) = 0 if  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$  and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{q}$ , where  $x = \frac{p}{q}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , and gcd(p,q) = 1, is continuous precisely at the irrational points.

Lets prove that f is continuous at irrational points, leaving the fact that it is not continuous at each rational point as an easy exercise.

Suppose  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$   $(x \in [0,1])$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$  so that  $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$ . Let

$$\delta = \min\{|x - \frac{m}{n}| : m, n \le N, m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}.$$

This minimum exists and is positive since it is a minimum over a finite set and 0 is not an element of the set (since  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ). If  $|x - y| < \delta$  and  $y \in [0, 1]$ , then either  $y \notin \mathbb{Q}$  in which case |f(x) - f(y)| = |0 - 0| = 0; or  $y \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $y = \frac{p}{q}$  (in reduced form) where q > N in which case  $|f(x) - f(y)| = \frac{1}{q} < \epsilon$ .

(f) If X is a metric space and  $a \in X$ , then the function  $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$  given by f(x) = d(a, x) is continuous.

Fix x and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $\delta = \epsilon$ . If  $d(x, y) < \delta$ , then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |d(x,a) - d(a,y)| \le d(x,y) < \delta = \epsilon.$$

(g) Given  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^g$ , the function  $p_\gamma : \mathbb{R}^g \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$p_{\gamma}(x) = \langle x, \gamma \rangle$$

is continuous.

Do Problems 8.1 and 8.2.

**Proposition 8.3.** A function  $f : X \to Y$  is continuous if and only if  $f^{-1}(U) \subset X$  is open for every open set  $U \subset Y$ .

Note that the result doesn't change if Y is replaced by any Z with  $f(X) \subset Z \subset Y$ .

*Proof.* Suppose f is continuous and  $U \subset Y$  is open. To prove  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open, let  $x \in f^{-1}(U)$  be given. Since U is open and  $f(x) \in U$ , there is an

 $\triangle$ 

 $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N_{\epsilon}(f(x)) \subset U$ . Since f is continuous at x, there is a  $\delta > 0$ such that if  $d_X(x,z) < \delta$ , then  $d_Y(f(x), f(z)) < \epsilon$ . Thus, if  $z \in N_{\delta}(x)$ , then  $f(z) \in N_{\epsilon}(f(x)) \subset U$  and thus  $z \in f^{-1}(U)$ . Hence  $N_{\delta}(x) \subset f^{-1}(U)$ . We have proved that  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open.

Conversely, suppose that  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open in X whenever U is open in Y. Let  $x \in X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. The set  $U = N_{\epsilon}(f(x))$  is open and thus  $f^{-1}(U)$  is also open. Since  $x \in f^{-1}(U)$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $N_{\delta}(x) \subset f^{-1}(U)$ ; i.e., if  $d_X(x,z) < \delta$ , then  $f(z) \in U$  which means  $d_Y(f(x), f(z)) < \epsilon$ . Hence f is continuous at x; and thus f is continuous.

**Corollary 8.4.** A function  $f : X \to Y$  is continuous if and only if  $f^{-1}(C)$  is closed (in X) for every closed set C (in Y).

Do Problems 8.3 and 8.4. See also Problem 3.9.

**Proposition 8.5.** Suppose X, Y, Z are metric spaces,  $f : X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$ . If both f and g are continuous, then so is  $h = g \circ f : X \to Z$ .

*Proof.* Let V an open subset of Z be given. Since g is continuous,  $U = g^{-1}(V)$  is open in Y. Since f is continuous,  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open in X. Thus,  $h^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(U)$  is open and hence h is continuous.

There are local versions of Propositions 8.5 and 8.3 (See Problems 8.6 and 8.5). Here is a sample whose proof is left to the reader.

**Proposition 8.6.** Suppose X, Y, Z are metric spaces,  $f : X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$ . If f is continuous at a and g is continuous at b = f(a), then  $h = g \circ f$  is continuous at a.

## 8.2. Continuity and Limits.

**Definition 8.7.** Let S be a subset of a metric space X. A point  $p \in X$  is a *limit point* of S if, for every  $\delta > 0$ , the set  $S \cap N_{\delta}(p)$  is infinite.

A point  $p \in S$  is an *isolated point* of S if p is not a limit point of S.

Do Exercise 8.1 and compare with Problem 4.5.

- **Example 8.8.** (a) If  $S \neq \emptyset$  is an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^{g}$ , then every point of S is a limit point of S. In fact, as an exercise, show in this case the set of limit points of S is the closure of S.
- (b) The set  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  has no limit points.
- (c) The only limit point of the set  $\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$  is 0.

 $\triangle$ 

**Definition 8.9.** Let X and Y be metric spaces and let  $a \in X$  and  $b \in Y$ . Suppose a is a limit point of X and either  $f: X \to Y$  or  $f: X \setminus \{a\} \to Y$ . Then f has *limit b as x approaches a*, written

$$\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = b$$

if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta$  such that if  $0 < d_X(a, x) < \delta$ , then  $d_Y(b, f(x)) < \epsilon$ .

**Remark 8.10.** The limit *b*, if it exists, is unique.

**Proposition 8.11.** Suppose  $f : X \to Y$  and  $a \in X$  is a limit point of X. The function f is continuous at a if and only if  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x)$  exists and equals f(a).

If  $f: X \setminus \{a\} \to Y$  and  $\lim_{x \to a} f(x)$  exists and equals b, then the function  $g: X \to Y$  defined by g(x) = f(x) for  $x \neq a$  and g(a) = b is continuous at a.

If a is not a limit point of X and  $h: X \to Y$ , then h is continuous at a.

**Proposition 8.12.** Suppose  $a \in X$  and  $f: W \to Y$ , where W = X or  $W = X \setminus \{a\}$ . If  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) = b$  and if  $g: Y \to Z$  is continuous at b, then  $\lim_{x\to a} g \circ f(x) = g(b)$ . In particular, if f is continuous at a and g is continuous at f(a), then  $g \circ f$  is continuous at a.

*Proof.* The function  $h: X \to Y$  defined by h(x) = f(x) if  $x \neq a$  and h(a) = b is continuous at a by Proposition 8.11. Hence  $g \circ h$  is continuous at a by Proposition 8.5. It follows that

$$\lim_{x \to a} g \circ f(x) = \lim_{x \to a} g \circ h(x) = g(h(a)) = g(b).$$

For a variation on this composition law for limits, see Problem 8.7. The following Proposition gives a sequential formulation of limit.

**Proposition 8.13.** Suppose X is a metric space, a is a limit point of X, and  $f: Z \setminus \{a\} \to Y$  where Z is either X or  $X \setminus \{a\}$ . The limit  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x)$  exists and equals  $b \in Y$  if and only if for every sequence  $(a_n)$  from Z which converges to  $a, (f(a_n))$  converges to b.

If  $f: X \to Y$ , then f is continuous at a if and only if for every sequence  $(a_n)$  from  $X \setminus \{a\}$  converging to a,  $(f(a_n))$  converges to f(a).

*Proof.* To prove the first part of the lemma in the case  $Z = X \setminus \{a\}$ , first suppose  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) = b$  and  $(a_n)$  converges to a. To see that  $(f(a_n))$  converges to b, let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. There is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $0 < d_X(a, x) < \delta$ , then  $d_Y(b, f(x)) < \epsilon$ . There is an N so that if  $n \ge N$ , then  $0 < d_X(a, a_n) < \delta$ . Hence, if  $n \ge N$ , then  $d_Y(b, f(a_n)) < \epsilon$  and thus  $(f(a_n))$  converges to b.

Conversely, suppose  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) \neq b$ . Then there is an  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for each *n* there exists  $a_n$  such that  $d_X(a, a_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ , but  $d_Y(b, f(a_n)) \geq \epsilon_0$ . The sequence  $(a_n)$  converges to *a*, but  $(f(a_n))$  does not converge to *b*.

The second part of the proposition follows readily from the first part.  $\Box$ 

## 8.3. Continuity of Rational Operations.

**Proposition 8.14.** Let X be a metric space and  $a \in X$  be a limit point of X. Suppose  $f: Y \to \mathbb{R}^k$  where Y is either X or  $X \setminus \{a\}$ . Write  $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$  with  $f_j: X \to \mathbb{R}$ .

 $\diamond$ 

The limit  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x)$  exists and equals  $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  if and only if, for each j, the limit  $\lim_{x\to a} f_j(x)$  exists and equals  $A_j$ . In particular, if  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^k$ , then f is continuous at a if and only if each  $f_j$  is continuous at a.

*Proof.* Let  $(a_n)$  be a given sequence from  $X \setminus \{a\}$  which converges to a. By Proposition 4.17, the sequence  $A_n = f(a_n)$  converges to A if and only if  $(f_j(a_n))_n$  converges to  $A_j$  for each j. An application of Proposition 8.13 thus completes the proof. 

**Proposition 8.15.** Suppose  $a \in X$  is a limit point of the metric space X, W is either X or  $X \setminus \{a\}$  and  $f, g: W \to \mathbb{R}^k$ . If  $\lim_{x \to a} f(x)$  and  $\lim_{x \to a} g(x)$ exist and equal A and B respectively, then

- (i)  $\lim_{x \to a} f(x) \cdot g(x) = A \cdot B;$
- (ii)  $\lim_{x \to a} (f+g)(x) = A + B;$
- (iii) if k = 1, g is never 0 and  $B \neq 0$ , then  $\lim_{x \to a} \frac{1}{g(x)} = \frac{1}{B}$

*Proof.* To prove item (i), suppose  $(a_n)$  is a sequence in  $X \setminus \{a\}$  which converges to a. From Proposition 8.13,  $(f(a_n))$  and  $(g(a_n))$  converge to A and B respectively. Hence  $(f(a_n) \cdot g(a_n))$  converges to  $A \cdot B$ , by Proposition 4.20. Finally, another application of Proposition 8.13 completes the proof.

The proofs of the other items are similar.

**Corollary 8.16.** If  $f, g: X \to \mathbb{R}^k$  are continuous at a, then so are  $f \cdot g$  and f + g. If k = 1 and g is never 0, then  $\frac{1}{g}$  is continuous at a.

**Example 8.17.** For each j, the function  $\pi_j : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\pi_j(x) = x_j$ is continuous since it can be expressed as

$$\pi_j(x) = \langle x, e_j \rangle = x \cdot e_j,$$

where  $e_j$  is the *j*-th standard basis vector of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ; i.e.,  $e_j$  has a 1 in the *j*-th entry and 0 elsewhere.

If  $p(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$  and  $q(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$  are polynomials, then the rational function

$$r(x) = \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$$

is continuous (wherever it is defined).

Do Problems 8.8 and 8.9.

#### 8.4. Continuity and Compactness.

**Proposition 8.18.** If  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous and X is compact, then f(X) is compact; i.e., the continuous image of a compact set is compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a given open cover of f(X). Then,

$$\mathcal{U} = \{ f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{W} \}$$

is an open cover of X. Hence there is a finite subset  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{W}$  such that  $\{f^{-1}(U): U \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a cover of X.

54

 $\triangle$ 

Using the fact that  $f(f^{-1}(B)) \subset B$ , it follows that

 $\cup \{U : U \in \mathcal{F}\} \supset \cup \{f(f^{-1}(U)) : U \in \mathcal{F}\} = f(\cup \{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{F}\}) \supset f(X).$ Thus,  $\{U : U \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a finite subcover of f(X).  $\Box$ 

Do Problem 8.10.

**Corollary 8.19** (Extreme Value Theorem). If  $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and X is non-empty and compact, then there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $f(x_0) \ge f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ ; i.e., f has a maximum on X.

*Proof.* By the previous proposition, the set f(X) is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . It is also non-empty. In view of Proposition 3.25, non-empty compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  have a largest element; i.e., there is an  $M \in f(X)$  such that  $M \geq f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $M \in f(X)$ , there is an  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $M = f(x_0)$ .

Return to Problem 6.9.

**Corollary 8.20.** If X is compact, and if  $f: X \to Y$  is one-one, onto and continuous, then  $f^{-1}$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $C \subset X$ , a closed set, be given. Since X is compact, so is C. Hence f(C) is compact and thus closed in Y. Thus  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(C) = f(C)$  is closed. It follows, from Corollary 8.4 that  $f^{-1}$  is continuous.

**Example 8.21.** Let  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ and define  $f : [0, 2\pi) \to \mathbb{T}$  by  $f(t) = \exp(it) = (\cos(t), \sin(t))$ . Then f is continuous and invertible, but  $f^{-1}$  is not continuous at 1.

In fact, if  $g: \mathbb{T} \to [0, 2\pi)$  is continuous, then it is not onto since its image  $g(\mathbb{T})$  will then be a compact, and hence proper, subset of  $[0, 2\pi)$ .

8.5. Uniform Continuity and Compactness.

**Definition 8.22.** A function  $f : X \to Y$  is uniformly continuous if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x, y \in X$  and  $d_X(x, y) < \delta$ , then  $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$ .

Given  $S \subset X$ , f is uniformly continuous on S if  $f|_S : S \to Y$  is uniformly continuous.

**Proposition 8.23.** If  $f : X \to Y$  is continuous on X and if X is compact, then f is uniformly continuous on X.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. For each  $x \in X$  there is a  $r_x > 0$  such that if  $d_X(x,y) < r_x$ , then  $d(f(x), f(y)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

The collection  $\mathcal{U} = \{N_{\frac{r_x}{2}}(x) : x \in X\}$  is an open cover of X. Since X is compact, there is a finite subset  $F \subset X$  such that  $\mathcal{V} = \{N_{\frac{r_x}{2}}(s) : s \in F\}$  is a cover of X.

Let  $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \min\{r_x : x \in F\}$ . Suppose  $y, z \in X$  and  $d_X(y, z) < \delta$ . There is an  $x \in F$  such that  $y \in N_{\frac{r_x}{2}}(x)$ ; i.e.,  $d_X(x, y) < \frac{r_x}{2}$ . Hence

$$d_X(x,z) \le d_X(x,y) + d_X(y,z) < \frac{r_x}{2} + \delta \le r_x.$$

Consequently,

$$d_Y(f(y), f(z)) \le d_Y(f(y), f(x)) + d_Y(f(x), f(z)) < \epsilon.$$

**Example 8.24.** The function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f(x) = x^2$  is not uniformly continuous.

Choose  $\epsilon_0 = 1$ . Given  $\delta > 0$ , let  $x = \frac{2}{\delta}$  and  $y = \frac{2}{\delta} + \frac{\delta}{2}$ . Then  $|x - y| < \delta$ , but,

$$|f(y) - f(x)| = 2 + \frac{\delta^2}{4} \ge \epsilon_0 = 1.$$

On the other hand, the function from Problem 8.1 is uniformly continuous.

 $\triangle$ 

Do Problems 8.12 and 8.11.

# 8.6. Continuity and Connectedness.

**Proposition 8.25.** If  $f : X \to Y$  is continuous and X is connected, then f(X) is connected.

*Proof.* Suppose U and V are open subsets of f(X) such that  $f(X) = U \cup V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

The sets  $A = f^{-1}(U)$  and  $B = f^{-1}(V)$  are open,  $X = A \cup B$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ (since if  $x \in A \cap B$ , then  $f(x) \in U \cap V$ ). Hence, without loss of generality, A = X. Hence,  $f(A) = f(X) = f(f^{-1}(U)) \subset U$  and  $V = \emptyset$ . It follows that f(X) is connected.

**Example 8.26.** Returning to Example 8.21, there does not exist a one-one onto continuous mapping  $f : [0, 2\pi] \to \mathbb{T}$ . If there were, then  $g = f^{-1}$  would be a continuous one-one mapping of  $\mathbb{T}$  onto  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Let  $z = f(\pi)$  and  $Z = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{z\}$ . Now Z is connected and  $g|_Z : Z \to [0, \pi) \cup (\pi, 2\pi]$  is one-one and onto. But then  $g|_Z(Z) = [0, \pi) \cup (\pi, 2\pi]$  is connected which is a contradiction.

Do Problems 8.13, 8.14, and 8.15.

**Corollary 8.27.** [Intermediate Value Theorem] If  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and f(a) < 0 < f(b), then there is a point a < c < b, such that f(c) = 0.

**Definition 8.28.** Let S denote a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . A function  $f : S \to \mathbb{R}$  is increasing (synonymously *non-decreasing*) if  $x, y \in S$  and  $x \leq y$  implies  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ . The function is strictly increasing if  $x, y \in S$  and x < y implies f(x) < f(y).

**Corollary 8.29.** If  $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and increasing, then f([a,b]) = [f(a), f(b)].

**Example 8.30.** Returning to the discussion in Subsection 2.4, fix a positive integer n and let  $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  denote the function with rule  $f(x) = x^n$ . To show that f is onto, let  $y \in [0, \infty)$  be given. With b the larger of 1 and y, consider  $g = f|_{[0,b]} : [0,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $f(b) \ge y$ , it follows that y is in the interval [0,g(b)]. By Corollary 8.29, y is in the range of g and hence in the range of f. The conclusion is then that positive numbers have n-th roots.

8.6.1. More on connectedness - optional. The following property of a metric space X is sometimes expressed by saying that X is completely normal. It is evidently stronger than the statement that disjoint closed sets can be separated by disjoint open sets, a property known as normality. Compare with Problem 6.11.

**Proposition 8.31.** If A, B are subset of a metric space such that  $\overline{A} \cap B \neq \emptyset$  and  $A \cap \overline{B} \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $U, V \subset X$  such that

- (i) U and V are open;
- (ii)  $A \subset U, B \subset V$ ; and
- (iii)  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* If either A or B is empty, then the result is immediate. Accordingly, suppose that  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $B \neq \emptyset$  and of course that  $\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $\overline{B} \cap A = \emptyset_{\dot{c}}$ . By Problem 8.1, the function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f(x) = d(x; B) - d(x; A)$$

is continuous. Observe, if  $x \in A$ , then  $x \notin \overline{B}$  and hence d(x; A) = 0, but d(x; B) > 0 by Problem 3.9. Thus, f(x) > 0 for  $x \in A$ . Similarly, f(x) < 0 for  $x \in B$ . Let  $U = f^{-1}(0, \infty)$  and  $V = f^{-1}(-\infty, 0)$ . It follows that U and V are open,  $A \subset U$ ,  $B \subset V$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Thus U and V satisfy conditions (i)–(iv).

**Remark 8.32.** Proposition 8.31 gives another characterization of connected subsets S of a metric space X. Namely, S is not connected if and only if there exist nonempty, open, disjoint subsets U, V of X such that  $S \subset U \cup V$ .

#### 8.7. Exercises.

**Exercise 8.1.** Let S be a subset of the metric space X and suppose  $p \in X$ . Explain why the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) p is a limit point of S;
- (ii) For every  $\delta > 0$  the set  $(S \setminus \{p\}) \cap N_{\delta}(p) \neq \emptyset$ ; and
- (iii) There is a sequence  $(s_n)$  from  $S \setminus \{p\}$  which converges to p.

Explain why  $p \in S$  is an isolated point of S if and only if the set  $\{p\}$  is an open set in S; i.e., open relative to S.

**Exercise 8.2.** Show that  $f: (0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  is continuous, but not uniformly so.

**Exercise 8.3.** Use the Intermediate Value Theorem 8.27 along with Corollary 8.20 to argue that the function  $\frac{n}{2}$  is continuous.

**Exercise 8.4.** Use Exercise 8.3 to show if the sequence  $(a_n)$  of nonnegative real numbers converges to A, and  $r = \frac{m}{n}$   $(m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+)$  is a rational number, then  $(a_n^r)$  converges to  $A^r$ .

**Exercise 8.5.** Give an alternate proof of the statement of Problem 6.9 using Example 8.2(f) and Corollary 8.19.

8.8. Problems.

**Problem 8.1.** Let A be a nonempty subset of a metric space X. Define  $f: X \to [0, \infty)$  by  $f(x) = \inf\{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$ . Prove that f is continuous.

**Problem 8.2.** Let X be a metric space and Y a discrete metric space.

- (i) Determine all continuous functions  $f: Y \to X$ .
- (ii) Determine all continuous functions  $g : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ ;

Problem 8.3. Prove Corollary 8.4.

**Problem 8.4.** Show, if  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then the zero set of f,

$$Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$$

is a closed set.

Show that the set

$$\{(x,y): xy=1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

is a closed set.

**Problem 8.5.** Prove the following local version of Proposition 8.3.

Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  and  $a \in X$ . The function f is continuous at a if and only if for every open set U containing b = f(a), there is an open set Vcontaining a so that  $V \subset f^{-1}(U)$ .

Problem 8.6. Prove Proposition 8.6.

**Problem 8.7.** Suppose X is a metric space,  $a \in X$  is a limit point of X and  $f: X \setminus \{a\} \to Y$ . Show, if

(a)  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x)$  exists and equals b;

- (b)  $g: Z \to X$  is continuous at c;
- (c) g(c) = a; and
- (d)  $g(z) \neq a$  for  $z \neq c$ ,

then

$$\lim_{z \to c} f \circ g(z) = b.$$

**Problem 8.8.** Define  $f : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x) = \sin(\frac{1}{x})$ . Show

- (i) f does not have a limit at 0;
- (ii) does g(x) = xf(x) have a limit at 0;

(iii) more generally, show if  $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at 0 and h(0) = 0, then hf has a limit at 0.

**Problem 8.9.** Define  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} & (x,y) \neq (0,0) \\ 0 & (x,y) = (0,0) \end{cases}$$

Is f continuous at 0 = (0, 0)? Define  $q : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy}{x^2 + y^2} & (x,y) \neq (0,0) \\ 0 & (x,y) = (0,0) \end{cases}$$

Is g continuous at 0 = (0, 0)?

**Problem 8.10.** Suppose X is compact and  $f: X \to Y$ . Let Z denote the metric space  $Z = X \times Y$  with distance function

$$d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \max\{d_X(x_1, x_2), d_Y(y_1, y_2)\}.$$

Prove, if  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous, then  $F: X \to Z$  defined by F(x) = (x, f(x)) is also continuous.

Prove, if f is continuous, then the graph of f,

$$graph(f) = \{(x, f(x)) \in Z : x \in X\} \subset Z$$

is compact.

As a challenge, show, if the graph of f is compact, then f is continuous. As a suggestion, consider the function  $H : \operatorname{graph}(f) \to X$  defined by H(x, f(x)) = x.

**Problem 8.11.** Prove if  $f : X \to Y$  is uniformly continuous and  $(a_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence from X, then  $(f(a_n))$  is Cauchy in Y.

**Problem 8.12.** Given a metric space Y, a point  $L \in Y$ , and  $f : [0, \infty) \to Y$ , f has limit  $L \in Y$  at infinity, written,

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = L_z$$

if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a C > 0 such that if x > C, then  $d_Y(f(x), L) < \epsilon$ .

Prove, if  $f:[0,\infty) \to Y$  is continuous and has a limit at infinity, then f is uniformly continuous.

**Problem 8.13.** A function  $f : X \to Y$  is a *homeomorphism* if it is one-one and onto and both f and  $f^{-1}$  are continuous.

Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  is a homeomorphism. Show, if  $Z \subset X$ , then  $f|_Z : Z \to f(Z)$  is also a homeomorphism. In particular, if Z is connected, then so is f(Z).

**Problem 8.14.** Does there exist a continuous onto function  $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ ? Does there exist a continuous onto function  $f : (0,1) \to (-1,0) \cup (0,1)$ ? **Problem 8.15.** Suppose  $f : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Prove, if f is continuous, then f is not one-one.

**Problem 8.16.** Let I = (c, d) be an interval and suppose  $a \in I$ . Let E denote either I or  $I \setminus \{a\}$  and suppose  $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$ . We say f has a limit as x approaches a from the right (above) if the function  $f|_{(a,d)} : (a, d) \to \mathbb{R}$  has a limit at a. The limit, if it exists, is denoted,

$$\lim_{x \to a^+} f(x) = \lim_{a < x \to a} f(x).$$

The limit from the left (below) is defined similarly.

Show f has a limit at a if and only if both the limits from the right and left at a exist and are equal.

**Problem 8.17.** Suppose  $f : (c, d) \to \mathbb{R}$  is monotone increasing and c < a < d. Show, f has a limit from the left at a and this limit is

$$\sup\{f(t) : c < t < a\}.$$

**Problem 8.18.** Suppose  $f : [a, b] \to [c, d]$  is one-one and onto and (strictly) monotone increasing. Prove f is continuous.

**Problem 8.19.** A function  $f: X \to X$  is a *contraction mapping* if there is an  $0 \le r < 1$  such that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \le rd(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

A point p is a fixed point of f if f(p) = p.

Prove that a contraction mapping can have at most one fixed point.

Prove, if f is a contraction mapping and X is complete, then f has a (unique) fixed point. In fact, show, for any point  $x \in X$ , the sequence  $(x_n)$  defined recursively by  $x_0 = x$  and  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$  converges to this fixed point. (See Proposition 5.11.)

**Problem 8.20.** Suppose K is compact and  $f : K \to K$ . Show, if f is continuous, then the function  $g : K \to [0, \infty)$ 

$$g(x) = d(f(x), x)$$

attains its infimum (achieves a minimum). Show further that if g(z) is the minimum value, then

$$d(f(f(z)), f(z)) \ge d(f(z), z).$$

Show that x is a fixed point of f if and only if g(x) = 0. Suppose now that f satisfies

$$d(f(x), f(y)) < d(x, y)$$

for all  $x \neq y$  in K.

Prove f has a unique fixed point.

Show by example, that the hypothesis that K is compact can not be dropped.

**Problem 8.21.** Suppose  $f : X \to Y$  maps convergent sequences to convergent sequences; i.e., if  $(a_n)$  converges in X, then  $(f(a_n))$  converges in Y.

Show, if  $(a_n)$  converges to a, and  $(b_n)$  is the sequence defined by  $b_{2n} = a_n$ and  $b_{2n+1} = a$ , then  $(b_n)$  converges to a. Now prove that  $f(b_n)$  converges to f(a).

Prove f is continuous.

**Problem 8.22** (Pasting Lemma). Suppose  $f : X \to Y$  and  $X = S \cup T$ , where S and T are closed. Show, if the restriction of f to both S and T is continuous, then f is continuous. The same is true if both S and T are open.

**Problem 8.23.** Show, if  $f : X \to X$  is continuous, X is compact, and f does not have a fixed point, then there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $d(x, f(x)) \ge \epsilon$  for all  $x \in X$ .

INDEX

 $2^{A}, 6$  $N_{\epsilon}(x), 17$ P(S), 1 $X \times Y$ , 1  $\cup_{A\in\mathcal{F}}A, 2$  $\ell^1$  metric, 16  $\ell^{\infty}$  metric, 16  $\mathbb{N}, 1$  $\mathbb{C}, 1$  $\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{6}$  $\mathbb{N}^+, 4, 6$  $\mathbb{Q}, 1, 6$  $\mathbb{R}, 6$  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , 1  $\mathbb{Z}, 1$  $\operatorname{graph}(f), 2$  $\sim, 4$ f(C), 2 $f \circ g, \mathbf{3}$  $\begin{array}{c} f \times g, \, {\color{black}{5}} \\ f^{-1}, \, {\color{black}{2}} \end{array}$  $f^{-1}(Y), 2$ 

at most countable, 4

boundary of a set, 22 bounded above, 9 bounded below, 9 bounded sequence, 29 bounded set, 42

Cartesian product, 1 Cauchy, 36 Cauchy sequence, 36 Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, 12 clopen set, 20closed set, 20closure, 21 codomain, 2compact, 40complement, 1complete, 36 completely normal, 57 composition, 3connected component, 49, 50 connected set, 47continuous, 51 continuous at a point, 51 contraction mapping, 60 contraction mapping principle, 37 converge, 36

convergent sequence, 25converges to L, 24 countable, 4decreasing sequence, 27 diameter of a set, 39discrete metric, 16, 20 discrete metric space, 23, 43, 45, 58 distance function, 16diverge, 25 domain, 2 dot product, 12 equivalent sets, 4 Euclidean distance, 16 Euclidean norm, 13Euclidean space, 13 eventually increasing, 27 field. 7 field isomorphism, 8 finite, 4 fixed point, 60glb, 9  $\mathrm{graph},\, 2,\, 6$ greatest lower bound, 9 identity function, 2image of C under  $f,\,\mathbf{2}$ increasing, 27, 56 increasing, eventually, 27 increasing, strictly, 27 inf, 9 infimum, 9 infinite, 4infinite decimal expansion, 28 inner product, 12integers, 6interior of a set, 21 interior point, 22 intersection, 1, 2

interval, 50 inverse image, 2 invertible function, 3 isolated point, 52 least upper bound, 9 limit, 25 limit at infinity, 59 limit of a function, 52 limit point, 34, 52 limit superior, 32 limsup, 32 lower bound, 9 lub, 9

metric, 16 metric space, 16 monotone, 27 monotone convergence theorem, 27

natural numbers, 6 neighborhood, 17 non-decreasing, 27, 56 norm, 12 normality, 57 numerical sequences, 27

one-one, 2 onto, 2 open ball, 17 open cover, 40 open set, 17 ordered field, 8 ordered field isomorphism, 10 ordered set, 8

parallelogram law, 15 Pasting Lemma, 61 positive definite, 16 power set, 1

range, 2 rational numbers, 6 relative complement, 1 relatively open, 19 restriction, 3

scalar product, 12scalars, 12sequence, 24 sequence from X, 24sequence of partial sums, 36 sequential compactness, 43 sequentially compact, 43series, 36 set difference, 1 squeeze theorem, 27, 34 strictly increasing, 27, 56 subcover, 40subcover, countable, 46 subsequence, 31 subspace, 16  $\sup, 9$ super Cauchy, 37

supremum, 9

The finite intersection property (fip), 46 totally bounded, 44 transitivity, 8 triangle inequality, 12, 16 trichotomy, 8

uncountable, 4, 29 uniformly continuous, 55 union, 1, 2 upper bound, 9

vector space, 11, 12

zero set, 58