

CONTENTS

1. Review of Sets and Functions	4
1.1. Unions, intersections, complements, and products	4
1.2. Functions	5
1.3. finite and countable sets	7
1.4. Exercises	9
1.5. Problems	9
2. The Real Numbers	10
2.1. Field Axioms	10
2.2. Ordered Fields	12
2.3. The least upper bound property	13
2.4. The existence of n -th roots	15
2.5. Vector spaces	16
2.6. Exercises	18
2.7. Problems	19
3. Metric Spaces	21
3.1. Definitions and Examples	21
3.2. Open Sets	22
3.3. Closed Sets	25
3.4. The interior, closure, and boundary of a set	27
3.5. Exercises	28
3.6. Problems	29
4. Sequences	31
4.1. Definitions and examples	31
4.2. Sequences and closed sets	33
4.3. The monotone convergence theorem for real numbers	33
4.4. Limit theorems	36
4.5. Subsequences	38
4.6. The limits superior and inferior	39
4.7. Exercises	40
4.8. Problems	41
5. Cauchy sequences and completeness	44
5.1. Exercises	46
5.2. Problems	47
6. Compact Sets	48
6.1. Definitions and Examples	48
6.2. Compactness and closed sets	50
6.3. Sequential Compactness	52
6.4. The Heine-Borel theorem	54
6.5. Exercises	54
6.6. Problems	54

7. Connected sets	57
7.1. Exercises	59
7.2. Problems	59
8. Continuous Functions	60
8.1. Definitions and Examples	60
8.2. Continuity and Limits	62
8.3. Continuity of Rational Operations	64
8.4. Continuity and Compactness	65
8.5. Uniform Continuity and Compactness	66
8.6. Continuity and Connectedness	66
8.7. Exercises	68
8.8. Problems	69
9. Sequences of Functions and the Metric Space $C(X)$	72
9.1. Sequences of Functions	72
9.2. The Metric Space $C(X)$	74
9.3. Exercises	75
9.4. Problems	76
10. Differentiation	78
10.1. Definitions and Examples	78
10.2. Basic Properties	78
10.3. The Mean Value Theorem	81
10.4. Taylor's Theorem	83
10.5. Vector-Valued Functions	84
10.6. Exercises	84
10.7. Problems	85
11. Riemann Integration	87
11.1. Definition of the Integral	87
11.2. Sufficient Conditions for Integrability	90
11.3. Properties of the Integral	94
11.4. Integration and Differentiation	96
11.5. Integration of vector valued functions	98
11.6. Differentiability of a limit	100
11.7. Exercises	101
11.8. Problems	101
12. Series	104
12.1. Some Numerical Sequences	104
12.2. Numerical Series	106
12.3. The Root Test	109
12.4. Series Squibs	110
12.5. Power Series	112
12.6. Functions as Power Series	115
12.7. Taylor Series	116

12.8. Exercises	117
12.9. Problems	119
13. Complex Numbers and Series*	123
13.1. Complex Numbers	124
13.2. Power Series and Complex Numbers	125
13.3. Dirichlet Series	127
13.4. Problems	131
14. Linear Algebra Review	131
14.1. Matrices and Linear Maps Between Euclidean Spaces	131
14.2. Norms on \mathbb{R}^n	134
14.3. The vector space of $m \times n$ matrices	137
14.4. The set of invertible matrices	138
14.5. Exercises	139
14.6. Problems	140
15. Derivatives of Mappings Between Euclidean Spaces	141
15.1. The Derivative: definition and examples	141
15.2. Properties of the Derivative	143
15.3. Directional Derivatives	144
15.4. Partial derivatives and the derivative	145
15.5. Exercises	148
15.6. Problems	149
16. The Inverse and Implicit Function Theorems	150
16.1. Lipschitz Continuity	150
16.2. The Inverse Function Theorem	151
16.3. The Implicit Function Theorem	154
16.4. Immersions, Embeddings, and Surfaces*	158
16.5. Exercises	160
16.6. Problems	160
17. Mappings Between Matrix Algebras*	162
17.1. The product rule	163
17.2. Exercises	164
17.3. Problems	164
18. Fourier Series*	165
18.1. The Fourier Transform	165
18.2. The L^2 inner product	166
18.3. Fejer's Theorem and L^2 convergence	168
18.4. Uniform Convergence	172
18.5. Pointwise convergence	173
18.6. Problems	175
19. First Order Initial Value Problems*	176
19.1. Proof of Existence	177
19.2. Uniqueness	179

19.3. Problems	180
20. Notes	180
Index	182

1. REVIEW OF SETS AND FUNCTIONS

It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the most basic set constructions and functions and knows the natural numbers \mathbb{N} , the integers \mathbb{Z} , the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} , the real numbers \mathbb{R} , and the complex numbers \mathbb{C} , though we will review carefully the properties which characterize \mathbb{R} .

Familiarity with matrices $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ and $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$, where \mathbb{F} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , is also assumed.

1.1. Unions, intersections, complements, and products.

Definition 1.1. Given sets $X, Y \subset S$, the *union and intersection* of X and Y are

$$\begin{aligned} X \cup Y &= \{z \in S : z \in X \text{ or } z \in Y\} \subset S \\ X \cap Y &= \{z \in S : z \in X \text{ and } z \in Y\} \subset S, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

The *complement* of X , denoted \tilde{X} , is the set

$$\tilde{X} = \{x \in S : x \notin X\}.$$

The *relative complement* of X in Y is

$$Y \setminus X = Y \cap \tilde{X} = \{z \in S : z \in Y \text{ and } z \notin X\}.$$

Note $\tilde{\tilde{X}} = S \setminus X$. ◁

Definition 1.2. Let X and Y be sets. The *Cartesian product* of X and Y is the set

$$X \times Y = \{(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$
◁

Example 1.3. $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is known as the Cartesian plane.

\mathbb{R}^3 is the 3-dimensional Euclidean space of third semester Calculus. △

Definition 1.4. Given a set S , let $P(S)$ denote the *power set* of S , the set of all subsets of S . ◁

Example 1.5. Let $S = \{0, 1\}$. Then,

$$P(S) = \{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}.$$

As we shall see later, $P(\mathbb{N})$ is a very large set. \triangle

Definition 1.6. Given sets I and S and a function $\alpha : I \rightarrow P(S)$, let $A_i = \alpha(i)$. The *union and intersection* of the collection $\alpha(I)$ are

$$\cup_{i \in I} A_i = \{x \in S : \text{there is a } j \in I \text{ such that } x \in A_j\}$$

$$\cap_{i \in I} A_i = \{x \in S : x \in A_j \text{ for every } j \in I\}.$$

respectively. \triangleleft

For an example, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_n = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : m \geq n\}$ and observe that

$$\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \emptyset.$$

Remark 1.7. Given $\mathcal{F} \subset P(S)$, letting \mathcal{F} index itself,

$$\cap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A = \{x \in S : x \in A \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

\diamond

Do Problem 1.1.

1.2. Functions.

Definition 1.8. A *function* f is a triple (f, A, B) where A and B are sets and f is a rule which assigns to each $a \in A$ a unique $b = f(a)$ in B . We write

$$f : A \rightarrow B.$$

- The set A is the *domain* of f .
- The set B is the *codomain* of f .
- The *range* of f , sometimes denoted $\text{rg}(f)$, is the set $\{f(a) : a \in A\}$.
- The function $f : A \rightarrow B$ is *one-one* if $x, y \in A$ and $x \neq y$ implies $f(x) \neq f(y)$.
- The function $f : A \rightarrow B$ is *onto* if for each $b \in B$ there exists an $a \in A$ such that $b = f(a)$; i.e., if $\text{rg}(f) = B$.
- The *graph* of f is the set

$$\text{graph}(f) = \{(a, f(a)) : a \in A\} \subset A \times B.$$

- If $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $Y \subset B$, the *inverse image* of Y under f is the set

$$f^{-1}(Y) = \{x \in A : f(x) \in Y\}.$$

(h) If $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $C \subset A$, the set

$$f(C) = \{f(c) : c \in C\} = \{b \in B : \text{there is an } c \in C \text{ such that } b = f(c)\}$$

is the *image of C under f*.

(i) The *identity function* on a set A is the function $id_A : A \rightarrow A$ with rule $id_A(x) = x$.

◁

Example 1.9. Often one sees functions specified by giving the rule only, leaving the domain implicitly understood (and the codomain unspecified), a practice to be avoided. For example, given $f(x) = x^2$ it is left to the reader to guess that the domain is the set of real numbers. But it could also be \mathbb{C} or even $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, the $n \times n$ matrices with entries from \mathbb{C} . If the domain is taken to be \mathbb{R} , then \mathbb{R} is a reasonable choice of codomain. However, the range of f is $[0, \infty)$ (a fact which will be carefully proved later) and so the codomain could be any set containing $[0, \infty)$. The moral is that it is important to specify both the domain and codomain as well as the rule when defining a function. \triangle

Example 1.10. Define $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = x^2$. Note that f is neither one-one nor onto.

As an illustration of the notion of inverse image, $f^{-1}((4, \infty)) = (-\infty, 2) \cup (2, \infty)$ and $f^{-1}((-2, -1)) = \emptyset$. \triangle

Example 1.11. The function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by $g(x) = x^2$ is not one-one, but it is, as we'll see in Subsection 2.4, onto.

The function $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is both one-one and onto. Note $h^{-1}((4, \infty)) = (2, \infty)$. \triangle

Do Exercises 1.3 and 1.1.

Definition 1.12. Given sets A, B and X, Y and functions $f : A \rightarrow X$ and $g : B \rightarrow Y$, define $f \times g : A \times B \rightarrow X \times Y$ by $f \times g(a, b) = (f(a), g(b))$. \triangleleft

Example 1.13. For example, if $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by $f(n) = 2n$ and $g : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by $g(m) = 3m^2$, then $f \times g : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is given by $f \times g(n, m) = (2n, 3m^2)$. \triangle

Do Problem 1.2.

Definition 1.14. Given $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $C \subset A$, the *restriction of f to C* is the function $f|_C : C \rightarrow B$ defined by $f|_C(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in C$. \triangleleft

Definition 1.15. Given $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ the *composition* of f and g is the function $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ with rule $g \circ f(x) = g(f(x))$.

A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *invertible* if there is a function $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}g \circ f &= id_X \\ f \circ g &= id_Y.\end{aligned}$$

We call g the inverse of f (see part (a) of Proposition 1.16 below), written $g = f^{-1}$. \triangleleft

Proposition 1.16. (a) If f is invertible, then the function g in Definition 1.15 is unique.

(b) $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is invertible if and only if f is both one-one and onto. \dagger

Example 1.17. The function $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by $h(x) = x^2$ of Example 1.11 is one-one and onto and thus has an inverse. Of course this inverse $h^{-1} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is commonly denoted as $\sqrt{}$ so that $h^{-1}(x) = \sqrt{x}$. \triangle

Proof. Suppose f is invertible so that there exists $g : Y \rightarrow X$ satisfying the conditions of Definition 1.15. If $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, then $x_1 = g \circ f(x_1) = g \circ f(x_2) = x_2$ and hence f is one-one. Similarly, given $y \in Y$, $f \circ g(y) = y$ so that $y = f(g(y))$ is in the range of f . Hence f is onto.

Suppose f is one-one and $g, h : Y \rightarrow X$ satisfy $f \circ g = id_Y = f \circ h$. Then, for each $y \in Y$, $f(g(y)) = y = f(h(y))$. Since f is one-one, $g(y) = h(y)$, proving that if f is invertible, then g as in Definition 1.15 is unique.

Finally, suppose f is both one-one and onto. Define $g : Y \rightarrow X$ as follows. Given $y \in Y$, there is a unique $x \in X$ so that $f(x) = y$ (why?). Let $g(y) = x$ and note that $f(g(y)) = y$ and $g(f(x)) = x$. \square

See Exercise 1.5 Do Problem 1.3.

1.3. finite and countable sets.

Definition 1.18. Two sets A and B are *equivalent*, denoted $A \sim B$ if there is a one-one onto mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$. \triangleleft

Observe that \sim behaves like an equivalence relation; i.e., $A \sim A$; if $A \sim B$, then $B \sim A$; and finally if $A \sim B$ and $B \sim C$, then $A \sim C$.

Given a positive integer n , let J_n denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. To show that J_n is not equivalent to \mathbb{N} note, if $f : J_n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, then $f(j) \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^n f(\ell)$ for each j and so f is not onto.

Definition 1.19. Let A be a set.

- (a) A is *finite* if it is either empty or there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $A \sim J_n$;

- (b) A is *infinite* if it is not finite;
- (c) A is *countable* if $A \sim \mathbb{N}$;
- (d) A is *at most countable* if either A is finite or countable; and
- (e) A is *uncountable* if it is not at most countable.

Here \mathbb{N}^+ are the positive natural numbers; i.e., $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. ◁

Remark 1.20. Note, by the comments preceding the definition, that \mathbb{N} is infinite. ◇

Proposition 1.21. A set A is at most countable if and only if there is an onto mapping $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$. †

We will not prove this proposition.

Do Problem 1.4.

Proposition 1.22. The sets \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, and \mathbb{Q} are all at most countable. †

Sketch of proof. Define $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $f(2m) = m$ and $f(2m + 1) = -m - 1$. Since f is onto, \mathbb{Z} is at most countable.

To prove $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is countable, consider \mathbb{N} as an array. Explicitly, define $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ by $g(k) = (n - m, m)$ where $\frac{1}{2}n(n + 1) \leq k < \frac{1}{2}(n + 1)(n + 2)$ and $k = \frac{1}{2}n(n + 1) + m$.

Now the composition $(f \times id_{\mathbb{N}}) \circ g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ is onto. Thus, to prove that \mathbb{Q} is at most countable, it suffices to exhibit an onto mapping $h : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, since then $h \circ (f \times id_{\mathbb{N}}) \circ g$ maps \mathbb{N} onto \mathbb{Q} . Define h by $h(m, n) = \frac{m}{n+1}$. □

Do Problems 1.5 and 1.6

Proposition 1.23. The set $P(\mathbb{N})$ is not countable. †

The proof is accomplished using Cantor's diagonalization argument.

Proof. It suffices to prove, if $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{N})$, then f is not onto.

Given such an f , let

$$B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \notin f(n)\}.$$

We claim that B is not in the range of f . Arguing by contradiction, suppose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f(m) = B$. If $m \notin B$, then $m \in f(m) = B$ a contradiction. On the other hand, if $m \in B$, then $m \notin f(m) = B$, also a contradiction. □

Later we will use the proposition to see that \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

Do Problem 1.7.

1.4. Exercises.

Exercise 1.1. Define $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$f(x) = (\cos(x), \sin(x)).$$

Let

$$\mathbb{D} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$$

and

$$S = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + (y - 1)^2 < 1\}.$$

Identify

- (i) $f^{-1}(S)$;
- (ii) $f^{-1}(\mathbb{D})$; and
- (iii) $f^{-1}(f((-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})))$.

Exercise 1.2. Consider the function $h = f \times g$ of Example 1.13 and let $6\mathbb{N}$ denote the set $\{6k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Find the inverse image of the set $\{(j, k) : j \in \{2, 3, 4\} \ k \in 6\mathbb{N}\}$. Find the inverse image of the set $\{(j, k) : j \in \{0, 1, 2\} \ k \text{ is odd}\}$.

Exercise 1.3. Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$. Prove that f is one-one if and only if for each $b \in B$ the set $f^{-1}(\{b\})$ contains at most one element.

Exercise 1.4. Use induction to show, for $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, that $P(J_n) \sim J_{2^n}$.

Exercise 1.5. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is invertible, and $B \subset Y$, $f^{-1}(B)$ could refer to either the inverse image of B under f , or the image of B under the function f^{-1} . Show that, happily, these two sets are the same.

1.5. Problems.

Problem 1.1. Show

$$\widetilde{\cup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A} = \cap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \tilde{A}.$$

Problem 1.2. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow S$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset P(S)$. Show,

$$f^{-1}(\cup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A) = \cup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} f^{-1}(A)$$

$$f^{-1}(\cap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A) = \cap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} f^{-1}(A)$$

Show, if $A, B \subset X$, then $f(A \cap B) \subset f(A) \cap f(B)$. Give an example, if possible, where strict inclusion holds.

Show, if $C \subset X$, then $f^{-1}(f(C)) \supset C$. Give an example, if possible, where strict inclusion holds.

Problem 1.3. If $f : A \rightarrow B$, then $\text{graph}(f)$ is a subset of $A \times B$. Conversely, show, if $S \subset A \times B$ has the property that for each $a \in A$ there is a unique $b \in B$ such that $(a, b) \in S$, then defining $g(a) = b$ produces a function $g : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\text{graph}(g) = S$.

Problem 1.4. Let A be a nonempty set. Prove that A is at most countable if and only if there is a one-one mapping $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

Problem 1.5. Prove that an at most countable union of at most countable sets is at most countable; i.e., if S is a set, $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow P(S)$ is a function such that each $A_j = \alpha(j)$ is at most countable, then

$$T = \cup_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j := \cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j$$

is at most countable.

Suggestion: For each j there is a function $g_j : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A_j$. Define a function $F : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow T$ by $F(j, k) = g_j(k)$. Proceed.

Problem 1.6. Show that the collection $\mathcal{F} \subset P(\mathbb{N})$ of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} is an at most countable set.

Problem 1.7. Suppose A is a non-empty set. Show there does not exist an onto mapping $f : A \rightarrow P(A)$; i.e., show $A \not\approx P(A)$.

Problem 1.8. Let A be a given nonempty set. Show, $2^A = \{f : A \rightarrow \{0, 1\}\}$ is equivalent to $P(A)$.

2. THE REAL NUMBERS

We will take the view that we know what the real numbers are and we will simply *review* some important properties in this section.

Recall the following notations for the *natural numbers*, *integers*, and *rational numbers*, respectively.

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$$

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{m}{n} : m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \right\}.$$

Let \mathbb{N}^+ denote the positive integers and \mathbb{R} the real numbers.

Example 2.1. The square root of 2 is not rational; i.e., there is no rational number $s > 0$ such that $s^2 = 2$. △

2.1. Field Axioms.

Definition 2.2. A *field* \mathbb{F} is a triple, $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$, where \mathbb{F} is a set and

$$+, \cdot : \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

are functions, called addition and multiplication respectively and written $x + y = +(x, y)$ and $xy = \cdot(x, y)$, satisfying the following (long list) of axioms

- (i) $x + y = y + x$ for every $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$;

- (ii) $xy = yx$, for every x, y ;
- (iii) $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ for every x, y, z ;
- (iv) $(xy)z = x(yz)$ for every x, y, z ;
- (v) there is an element $0 \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $0 + w = w$ for every $w \in \mathbb{F}$;
- (vi) there is an element $1 \in \mathbb{F}$, distinct from 0, such that $1w = w$ for every $w \in \mathbb{F}$;
- (vii) for each $x \in \mathbb{F}$ there is an element $u \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $x + u = 0$;
- (viii) for each $x \neq 0$, there is a y such that $xy = 1$; and
- (ix) $(x + y)z = xz + yz$ for every x, y, z .

◁

Proposition 2.3. [Cancellation] Given $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}$, if $x + y = x + z$, then $y = z$. †

Proof. There exists $u \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $x + u = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 y &= 0 + y \\
 &= (u + x) + y \\
 &= u + (x + y) \\
 &= u + (x + z) \\
 &= (u + x) + z \\
 &= 0 + z = z.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.4. It follows that 0 and additive inverses are unique. Hence it makes sense to write $u = -x$ in case $x + u = 0$ so that $x + (-x) = 0$. ◇

Proposition 2.5. Given $x \in \mathbb{F}$, $0x = 0$ and $-x = (-1)x$. †

Proof. Since $0 + 0x = 0x = (0 + 0)x = 0x + 0x$, cancellation gives $0 = 0x$.

Using $0x = 0$, we have $x + (-1)x = 1x + (-1)x = (1 + (-1))x = 0x = 0$. □

Remark 2.6. From here on we will use freely, without proof or further comment, the many routine properties of fields which follow from the axioms. ◇

Example 2.7. The sets $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ are all fields with their usual operations of addition and multiplication. △

Example 2.8. Let $\mathbb{Z}_3 = (\{0, 1, 2\}, +, \cdot)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
 x + y &= x + y \text{ modulo } 3 \\
 xy &= xy \text{ modulo } 3
 \end{aligned}$$

Here the $+$ on the left hand side is addition in \mathbb{Z}_3 , whereas $+$ on the right hand side is addition in \mathbb{N} .

The residue modulo 3 is the remainder after dividing by 3.

\mathbb{Z}_3 is a field with neutral elements 0, 1. △

Definition 2.9. Given fields \mathbb{F} and G , a mapping $f : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow G$ is a *field isomorphism* provided

- (i) f is one-one;
- (ii) f is onto;
- (iii) $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$; and
- (iv) $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$.

◁

Remark 2.10. It follows that $f(0_{\mathbb{F}}) = 0_G$ etc. ◇

Do Problem 2.2.

2.2. Ordered Fields.

Definition 2.11. An *ordered set* $(S, <)$ consists of a (nonempty) set S and a relation $<$ on S which satisfies

- (i) (*trichotomy*) for each $x, y \in S$, exactly one of the following hold,

$$x < y, \quad y < x, \quad x = y;$$

- (ii) (*transitivity*) for $x, y, z \in S$, if $x < y$ and $y < z$, then $x < z$.

◁

Example 2.12. The usual order on \mathbb{R} (and thus on any subset of \mathbb{R}) is an example of an ordered set.

The dictionary order on \mathbb{R}^2 produces an ordered set. △

Definition 2.13. An *ordered field* $\mathbb{F} = (\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, <)$ consists of a field $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$ which is also an ordered set $(\mathbb{F}, <)$ such that,

- (i) if $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}$ and $x < y$, then $x + z < y + z$;
- (ii) if $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ and $x, y > 0$, then $xy > 0$.

If $x > 0$ we call x *positive*. ◁

Example 2.14. \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{Q} with the usual ordering are ordered fields. △

Proposition 2.15. Suppose \mathbb{F} is an ordered field and $x \in \mathbb{F}$.

- (i) If $x < 0$, then $-x > 0$.
- (ii) If $x \neq 0$, then $x^2 > 0$.
- (iii) In particular, $1 > 0$ in any ordered field.

†

Proof. If $x < 0$, then $0 = x - x < 0 - x = -x$.

To prove (ii), note, by trichotomy either $x > 0$ or $x < 0$. If $x > 0$, then $x^2 = xx > 0$. On the other hand, if $x < 0$, then $-x > 0$ and thus $x^2 = (-x)^2 > 0$. □

Remark 2.16. We will not state (much less) prove the usual facts about the order structure in an ordered field, but rather use them without further comment. ◇

Example 2.17. Prove that there is no order on \mathbb{Z}_3 which makes it an ordered field.

We argue by contradiction. Accordingly suppose $<$ is an order on \mathbb{Z}_3 which makes \mathbb{Z}_3 an ordered field. Since $1 = 1^2$, it follows that $1 > 0$ and hence $-1 < 0$. On the other hand, $-1 = 2 = 1 + 1 > 0 + 0 = 0$, a contradiction (of trichotomy). △

Do Problem 2.1.

2.3. The least upper bound property.

Definition 2.18. Let S be a subset of an ordered field \mathbb{F} .

- (i) The set S is *bounded above* if there is a $b \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $b \geq s$ for all $s \in S$.
- (ii) Any $b \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $b \geq s$ for all $s \in S$ is an *upper bound* for S . ◁

Example 2.19. Identify the set of upper bounds for the following subsets of the ordered field \mathbb{R} .

- (a) $[0, 1)$;
- (b) $[0, 1]$;
- (c) \mathbb{Q} ;
- (d) \emptyset . △

Lemma 2.20. Let S be a subset of an ordered field \mathbb{F} and suppose both b and b' are upper bounds for S . If b and b' both have the property that if $c \in \mathbb{F}$ is an upper bound for S , then $c \geq b$ and $c \geq b'$, then $b = b'$. †

Definition 2.21. The *least upper bound* for a subset S of an ordered field \mathbb{F} , if it exists, is a $b \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

- (i) b is an upper bound for S ; and
- (ii) if $c \in \mathbb{F}$ is an upper bound for S , then $c \geq b$. ◁

Remark 2.22. Lemma 2.20 justifies the use of *the* (as opposed to *an*) in describing the least upper bound.

The condition (ii) can be replaced with either of the following conditions

- (ii)' if $c < b$, then there exists an $s \in S$ such that $c < s$; or
- (ii)'' for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $s \in S$ such that $b - \epsilon < s$.

The notions of *bounded below*, *lower bound* and *greatest lower bound* are defined analogously.

Least upper bound is often abbreviated lub. The term *supremum*, often abbreviated *sup*, is synonymous with lub. Likewise *glb* and *inf* for greatest lower bound and infimum. \diamond

Example 2.23. Here is a list of examples.

- (i) The least upper bound of $S = [0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is 1.
- (ii) The least upper bound of $V = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ is also 1.
- (iii) The set $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$ has no upper bound and thus no least upper bound;
- (iv) Every real number is an upper bound for the set $\emptyset \subset \mathbb{R}$. Thus \emptyset has no least upper bound.
- (v) With some effort, it can be shown that if the subset $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : 0 < x, x^2 < 2\}$ of the ordered field \mathbb{R} has a least upper bound s , then $s > 0$ and $s^2 = 2$; i.e., this least upper bound is the square root of two.

\triangle

Example 2.24. Consider the subset $S = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} : 0 < q, q^2 < 2\}$ of the ordered field \mathbb{Q} . Arguing by contradiction, one shows, as in Example 2.23 Item (v), that if S has a least upper bound s , then $s^2 = 2$ contradicting Example 2.1. Thus, there are subsets S of \mathbb{Q} which are nonempty and bounded above but yet do not have least upper bounds (in \mathbb{Q}). \triangle

Theorem 2.25. Every nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} which is bounded above has a least upper bound.

Thus there is a positive real number s with $s^2 = 2$.

Definition 2.26. Let \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} be fields. A mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is an *ordered field isomorphism* if φ is a field isomorphism and $\varphi(x) <_{\mathbb{G}} \varphi(y)$ whenever $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ and $x <_{\mathbb{F}} y$. \triangleleft

Proposition 2.27. If \mathbb{F} is an ordered field with the property that every nonempty subset S of \mathbb{F} which is bounded above has a least upper bound (in \mathbb{F} of course), then there is an ordered field isomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Hence \mathbb{R} is the essentially unique ordered field with the property that every set which could possibly have a least upper bound in fact does. †

Do Problems 2.4 and 2.5.

We will not prove Theorem 2.25 and Theorem 2.27.

Theorem 2.28. [*Archimedean properties*] Suppose $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (i) There is a natural number n so that $n > x$.
- (ii) If $1 < x - y$, then there is an integer m so that $y < m < x$.
- (iii) If $y < x$, then there is a $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $y < q < x$.

Remark 2.29. The last part of the theorem is sometimes expressed as saying \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} . ◊

Proof. We prove (i) by arguing by contradiction. Accordingly, suppose no such natural number exists. In that case x is an upper bound for \mathbb{N} . It follows that \mathbb{N} has a lub α . If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $n + 1 \leq \alpha$ and thus $n \leq \alpha - 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $\alpha - 1$ is an upper bound for \mathbb{N} , contradicting the least property of α . Hence \mathbb{N} is not bounded above and there is an $n > x$, which proves item (i).

To prove (ii), it suffices to assume that $x > 0$ (why). The set $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \geq x\}$ is nonempty and does not contain 0. It has a least element $k > 0$. Thus $x - 1 \leq k - 1 < x$ and since $x - y > 1$, it follows that $y < k - 1 < x$.

Item (iii) is Problem 2.3. As a suggestion, note that, by item (i), there is a positive integer n so that $n(x - y) > 1$. Proceed. □

Example 2.30. Suppose $0 < a < 1$. Show the set $A = \{a^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded below and its infimum is 0.

Since $a \geq 0$ each $a^n \geq 0$. Thus A is bounded below by 0. The set A is not empty. It follows that A has an infimum. Let $\alpha = \inf(A)$ and note $\alpha \geq 0$. Since $\alpha \leq a^n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\alpha \leq a^{n+1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and therefore $\frac{\alpha}{a} \leq a^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\frac{\alpha}{a}$ is a lower bound for A . It follows that $\frac{\alpha}{a} \leq \alpha$. Since $a < 1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, $\alpha = 0$. △

Do Problems 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9,

2.4. The existence of n -th roots. Here is an outline a proof that positive real numbers have n -th roots for positive integers n .

Proposition 2.31. If $y > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, then there is a unique positive real number s such that $s^n = y$. †

Of course, $s = y^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is the notation for this n -th root.

The uniqueness is straightforward based upon the fact that if $0 < a < b$, then $a^n < b^n$. It should not come as a shock that existence depends upon the existence of least upper bounds, Theorem 2.25.

Let

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x \text{ and } x^n < y\}.$$

First show S is non-empty and bounded above. Hence S has a least upper bound, say s .

Show, if $0 < t$ and $y < t^n$, then t is an upper bound for S .

Show if $0 < t$ and $y < t^n$, then there is a v such that $0 < v < t$ such that $y < v^n$. Hence, $v < t$ and v is an upper bound for S . In particular, t does not satisfy the least property of least upper bound. Thus, $s^n \leq y$.

Finally, show if $0 < t$ and $t^n < y$, then there exists a v such that $0 < t < v$ such that $v^n < y$. Hence, t is not an upper bound for S . Thus $s^n \geq y$. Hence $s^n = y$.

It now follows that the mapping $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by $h(x) = x^n$ is both one-one and onto. Its inverse, $h^{-1} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is then the function commonly denoted by $\sqrt[n]{\cdot}$ or $x^{\frac{1}{n}}$ so that $h^{-1}(x) = x^{\frac{1}{n}}$.

2.5. Vector spaces. Recall that \mathbb{R}^n is the vector space of n -tuples of real numbers. Thus an element $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has the form,

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Vectors - elements of \mathbb{R}^n - are added and multiplied by *scalars* (elements of \mathbb{R}) entrywise.

The set of polynomials \mathcal{P} (in one variable with real coefficients) is a vector space under the usual operations of addition and scalar multiplication.

Definition 2.32. A *norm* on a vector space V over \mathbb{R} is a function $\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

- (i) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in V$;
- (ii) $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
- (iii) $\|cx\| = |c| \|x\|$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in V$; and
- (iv) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in V$.

The last condition is known as the *triangle inequality*. ◁

Example 2.33. The functions $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ mapping \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} defined by

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|$$

and

$$\|x\|_\infty = \max\{|x_j| : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

respectively are norms on \mathbb{R}^n . △

Definition 2.34. Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{R} . A function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an *inner product* (or *scalar product*) on V if,

- (i) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in V$;
- (ii) $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
- (iii) $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$ for all $x, y \in V$;
- (iv) $\langle cx + y, z \rangle = c\langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$.

△

Example 2.35. On \mathbb{R}^n , the pairing,

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j$$

is an inner product. In the case of $n = 2, 3$ it is often called the *dot product*.

On \mathcal{P} , the space of polynomials, the pairing

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \int_0^1 pq \, dt$$

is an inner product. △

Proposition 2.36. [Cauchy-Schwartz inequality] Suppose $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on a vector space V . If $x, y \in V$, then

$$|\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle.$$

†

Proof. Given $x, y \in V$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle x + ty, x + ty \rangle \\ &= \langle x, x \rangle + 2t\langle x, y \rangle + t^2\langle y, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the discriminate satisfies

$$|\langle x, y \rangle|^2 - \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle \leq 0.$$

□

Proposition 2.37. If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on a vector space V , then the function $\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ is a norm on V . †

Remark 2.38. In the case that V has an inner product, the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of Proposition 2.37 is, unless otherwise noted, understood to be *the norm* on V and $\|x\|$ the norm of a vector $x \in V$.

With this notation, the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality says

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|.$$

◇

Proof. Verification that $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies the first three axioms of a norm are straightforward and left to the gentle reader.

To prove the triangle inequality, estimate, using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 + 2\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x\|^2 + 2\|x\| \|y\| + \|y\|^2 \\ &= (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 2.39. On \mathbb{R}^n the norm arising from the inner product of Example 2.35 is the usual *Euclidean norm*,

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2.$$

Unless otherwise indicated, we take these as the inner product and norm on \mathbb{R}^n and refer to \mathbb{R}^n as *Euclidean space*. △

2.6. Exercises.

Exercise 2.1. Suppose $f : \mathbb{F} \mapsto G$ is a field isomorphism.

- (i) Is $f^{-1} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ a field isomorphism?
- (ii) Show that $f(0_{\mathbb{F}}) = 0_G$.
- (iii) What is $f(1_{\mathbb{F}})$?

Exercise 2.2. Show that the functions in Example 2.33 are both norms on \mathbb{R}^n .

Exercise 2.3. Verify the claims made in Example 2.35.

Exercise 2.4. Given a positive real number y and positive integers m and n , show

$$(y^{\frac{1}{n}})^m = (y^m)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Likewise verify

$$(y^m)^n = (y^n)^m \text{ and } (y^{\frac{1}{m}})^{\frac{1}{n}} = (y^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

Thus, $y^{\frac{m}{n}}$ is unambiguously defined.

Exercise 2.5. Show there is no order on \mathbb{Z}_2 which makes \mathbb{Z}_2 an ordered field.

Exercise 2.6. Let $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \{a + b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. Show that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is closed under both addition and multiplication (the operations inherited from \mathbb{R}). It can be shown that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is a field. For a nonzero $a + b\sqrt{2}$ in this field, identify its multiplicative inverse.

2.7. Problems.

Problem 2.1. Show there is no order on \mathbb{C} which makes \mathbb{C} an ordered field.

Problem 2.2. Show, if G is field with (exactly) three elements, then there is a field isomorphism $f : \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow G$.

Problem 2.3. Prove item (iii) of Theorem 2.28.

Problem 2.4. Let A be a nonempty set of real numbers which is bounded both above and below. Prove, $\sup(A) \geq \inf(A)$.

Problem 2.5. Let A be a nonempty set of real numbers which is bounded above. Let $-A = \{-a : a \in A\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : -x \in A\}$. Show $-A$ is bounded below and $-\inf(-A) = \sup(A)$.

Problem 2.6. Prove, if $A \subset B$ are subsets of \mathbb{R} and A is nonempty and B is bounded above, then A and B have least upper bounds and

$$\sup(A) \leq \sup(B).$$

Problem 2.7. Suppose $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is nonempty and bounded above and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$A + \beta = \{a + \beta : a \in A\}$$

Prove that $A + \beta$ has a supremum and

$$\sup(A + \beta) = \sup(A) + \beta.$$

Problem 2.8. Suppose $A \subset [0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is nonempty and bounded above and $\beta > 0$. Let

$$\beta A = \{a\beta : a \in A\}.$$

Prove βA is nonempty and bounded above and thus has a supremum and

$$\sup(\beta A) = \beta \sup(A).$$

Problem 2.9. Suppose $A, B \subset [0, \infty)$ are nonempty and bounded above. Let

$$AB = \{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Prove that AB is nonempty and bounded above and

$$\sup(AB) = \sup(A) \sup(B).$$

Here is an outline of a proof. The hypotheses on A and B imply that $\alpha = \sup(A)$ and $\beta = \sup(B)$ both exist. Argue that AB is nonempty and bounded above by $\alpha\beta$ and thus

$$\sup(AB) \leq \alpha\beta.$$

Fix $a \in A$. From an earlier exercise,

$$\sup(aB) = a \sup(B) = a\beta.$$

On the other hand, $aB \subset AB$ and thus,

$$a\beta \leq \sup(AB)$$

for each $a \in A$. It follows that βA is bounded above by $\sup(AB)$ and thus,

$$\alpha\beta = \sup(\beta A) \leq \sup(AB).$$

Problem 2.10. Suppose $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$ are nonempty and bounded above. Let

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Show $A + B$ has a supremum and moreover,

$$\sup(A + B) = \sup(A) + \sup(B).$$

Problem 2.11. Show, if V is a vector space with an inner product, then the norm

$$(1) \quad \|v\| = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle}$$

satisfies the *parallelogram law*,

$$\|v + w\|^2 + \|v - w\|^2 = 2(\|v\|^2 + \|w\|^2).$$

Explain why this is called the parallelogram law.

Recall the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ on \mathbb{R}^n defined in Example 2.33. Does this norm come from an inner product?

Problem 2.12. Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [\alpha, \beta]$ and $\varphi : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $h = \varphi \circ f$. Show, if there is a $C > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi(s) - \varphi(t)| \leq C|s - t|$$

for all $s, t \in [\alpha, \beta]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{h(x) : a \leq x \leq b\} - \inf\{h(x) : a \leq x \leq b\} \\ \leq C [\sup\{f(x) : a \leq x \leq b\} - \inf\{f(x) : a \leq x \leq b\}]. \end{aligned}$$

3. METRIC SPACES

3.1. Definitions and Examples.

Definition 3.1. A *metric space* (X, d) consists of a set X and function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for $x, y, z \in X$,

- (i) $d(x, y) \geq 0$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (iii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$; and
- (iv) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$.

We usually call the metric space X and d the *metric*, or *distance function*. Item (iv) is the *triangle inequality*. Items (i) and (ii) together are sometimes expressed by saying d is *positive definite*. Evidently (iii) is a symmetry axiom. \triangleleft

Example 3.2. Here are some examples of metric spaces.

- (a) Unless otherwise noted, \mathbb{R} is the metric space with distance function $d(x, y) = |x - y|$.
- (b) Let X be any nonempty set and define $d(x, y) = 0$ if $x = y$ and $d(x, y) = 1$ if $x \neq y$. This is the *discrete metric*.
- (c) On the vector space \mathbb{R}^n define,

$$d_1(x, y) = \sum |x_j - y_j|.$$

This is the ℓ^1 *metric*.

- (d) On \mathbb{R}^n , define d_∞ by

$$d_\infty(x, y) = \max\{|x_j - y_j| : 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

This metric is the ℓ^∞ *metric* (or worst case metric). In particular (\mathbb{R}^n, d_1) and (\mathbb{R}^n, d_∞) are different metric spaces.

- (e) Define, on the space of polynomials \mathcal{P} ,

$$d_1(p, q) = \int_0^1 |p - q| dt.$$

- (f) If (X, d) is a metric space and $Y \subset X$, then $(Y, d|_{Y \times Y})$ is a metric space and is called a *subspace* of X .

\triangle

Do Problem 3.1.

Proposition 3.3. If $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on a vector space V , then the function

$$d(x, y) = \|x - y\|,$$

is a metric on V . †

Remark 3.4. In the case of \mathbb{R}^n with its Euclidean norm, the resulting metric is the *Euclidean distance* which will sometimes be written as d_2 . Note that (\mathbb{R}^n, d_2) is, as a metric space, distinct from both (\mathbb{R}^n, d_1) and (\mathbb{R}^n, d_∞) .

When we speak of the metric space \mathbb{R}^n we mean with the Euclidean distance, unless we have indicated otherwise. ◇

Proof. With the exception of the triangle inequality, it is evident that d satisfies the axioms of a metric.

To prove that d satisfies the triangle inequality, let $x, y, z \in V$ be given and estimate, using the triangle inequality for the norm,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, z) &= \|x - z\| \\ &= \|(x - y) + (y - z)\| \\ &\leq \|x - y\| + \|y - z\| \\ &= d(x, y) + d(y, z). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.5. Let (X, d) be a metric space.

If $p, q, r \in X$, then

$$|d(p, r) - d(q, r)| \leq d(p, q).$$

If $p_1, \dots, p_n \in X$, then

$$d(p_1, p_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d(p_j, p_{j+1}).$$

†

3.2. Open Sets.

Definition 3.6. Let (X, d) be a metric space. A subset $U \subset X$ is *open* if for each $x \in U$ there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$N_\epsilon(x) := \{p \in X : d(p, x) < \epsilon\} \subset U.$$

The set $N_\epsilon(x)$ is the ϵ -neighborhood of x . More or less synonymously, an *open ball* is a set of the form $N_r(y)$ for some $y \in X$ and $r > 0$. ◁

Proposition 3.7. Neighborhoods are open sets; i.e., if (X, d) is a metric space, $y \in X$ and $r > 0$, then the set

$$N_r(y) = \{p \in X : d(p, y) < r\}$$

is an open set. †

Proof. We must show, for each $x \in N_r(y)$ there is an ϵ (depending on x) such that $N_\epsilon(x) \subset N_r(y)$. Accordingly, let $x \in N_r(y)$ be given. Thus, $d(x, y) < r$. Choose $\epsilon = r - d(x, y) > 0$. Suppose now that $p \in N_\epsilon(x)$ so that $d(x, p) < \epsilon$. Estimate, using the triangle inequality,

$$d(y, p) \leq d(y, x) + d(x, p) < d(y, x) + \epsilon = d(y, x) + (r - d(y, x)) = r.$$

Thus, $p \in N_r(y)$. We have shown $N_\epsilon(x) \subset N_r(y)$ and the proof is complete. □

Do Problem 3.2.

Example 3.8. In \mathbb{R}^2 with the Euclidean distance, show $E = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_j > 0\}$ is an open set. △

Example 3.9. The set $[0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is not an open, since, for every $\epsilon > 0$, the set $N_\epsilon(0) = (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ contains negative numbers and is thus not a subset of $[0, 1)$. △

Proposition 3.10. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be given. The following are equivalent,

- (i) U is open in (\mathbb{R}^n, d_1) ;
- (ii) U is open in (\mathbb{R}^n, d_2) ;
- (iii) U is open in (\mathbb{R}^n, d_∞) .

†

Sketch of proof. Let $N_\epsilon^j(x)$ denote the $\epsilon > 0$ neighborhood of x in the $j = 1, 2, \infty$ norms respectively.

Suppose U is open in (\mathbb{R}^n, d_1) and let $x \in U$ be given. There is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $N_\epsilon^1(x) \subset U$.

By the C-S inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(x, y) &= \sum_1^n |x_j - y_j| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_1^n |x_j - y_j|^2} \sqrt{\sum_1^n 1} \\ &= d_2(x, y) \sqrt{n}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $N_{\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}}^2(x) \subset N_\epsilon^1(x) \subset U$ and thus U is open in (\mathbb{R}^n, d_2) . We have proved, if U is open in d_1 , then it is open in d_2 .

The proof that if U is open in d_2 , then U is open in d_∞ is based on the inequality,

$$d_2(x, y) \leq \sqrt{n} d_\infty(x, y);$$

and the proof that if U is open in d_∞ , then U is open in d_1 is based on the inequality

$$d_\infty(x, y) \leq d_1(x, y).$$

The details are left as an exercise. \square

Example 3.11. Returning to the example of the set $E = \{(x, y) : x, y > 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ above, it is convenient to use the d_∞ metric to prove E is open; i.e., show that E is open in (\mathbb{R}^2, d_∞) and conclude that E is open in \mathbb{R}^2 . \triangle

Proposition 3.12. Let (X, d) be a metric space.

- (i) $\emptyset, X \subset X$ are open;
- (ii) if $\mathcal{F} \subset P(X)$ is a collection of open sets, then

$$\bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U$$

is open; and

- (iii) if $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $U_1, \dots, U_n \subset X$ are open, then

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^n U_j$$

is open. \dagger

Example 3.13. Let $U_j = (-\frac{1}{j+1}, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The sets U_j are open in \mathbb{R} (they are open balls). However, the set

$$[0, 1) = \bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} U_j$$

is not open. Thus it is not possible to improve on the last item in the proposition. \triangle

Example 3.14. The set $(-\infty, 0) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (-2n, 0) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} N_n(-n)$ and is therefore open. We could of course easily checked this directly from the definition of open set. \triangle

Example 3.15. The set

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \supset E = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_j > 0\} = \{x : x_1 > 0\} \cap \{x_2 > 0\}.$$

This provides yet another way to prove E is open. Namely, show that each of the sets on the right hand side above is open. \triangle

Do Problem 3.3.

3.2.1. Relatively open sets.

Definition 3.16. Suppose (Z, d) is a metric space and $X \subset Z$ so that $(X, d|_{X \times X})$ is also a metric space. A subset $U \subset X$ is *open relative to* X or is *relatively open*, if U is open in the metric space X . \triangleleft

Example 3.17. Let $X = [0, \infty) \subset Z = \mathbb{R}$. The set $[0, 1)$ is open in X , but not in Z . \triangle

Proposition 3.18. Suppose Z is a metric space and $U \subset X \subset Z$. The set U is open in X if and only if there is an open set W in Z such that $U = W \cap X$. \dagger

Proof. First, suppose $W \subset Z$ is open (in Z) and $U = W \cap X \subset X$. Given $x \in U$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\{y \in Z : d(x, y) < \delta\} \subset W$ since $x \in W$ and W is open in Z . It follows that $\{y \in X : d(x, y) < \delta\} \subset W \cap X = U$ and thus U is open in X .

Now suppose $U \subset X$ is open relative to X . For each $x \in U$ there is an $\epsilon_x > 0$ such that $V_x = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \epsilon_x\} \subset U$. Let $W_x = \{y \in Z : d(x, y) < \epsilon_x\}$, note that $V_x = W_x \cap X$, and let

$$W = \bigcup_{x \in U} W_x.$$

Then W is open in Z and

$$U \subset W \cap X = \bigcup_{x \in U} W_x \cap X = \bigcup_{x \in X} V_x \subset U.$$

\square

3.3. Closed Sets.

Definition 3.19. Let (X, d) be a metric space. A subset $C \subset X$ is *closed* if $X \setminus C$ is open. \triangleleft

Example 3.20. (a) In \mathbb{R} the set $[0, \infty)$ is closed, since its complement, $(-\infty, 0)$ is open.

(b) The set $[0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is neither open nor closed.

(c) The set $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is neither open nor closed.

(d) The set $F = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 x_2 = 0\}$ is closed.

(e) The sets X and \emptyset are both open and closed. They are *clopen*.

(f) Every subset of a discrete metric space is clopen. (See Problem 3.3.)

\triangle

Proposition 3.21. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $x \in X$ and $r \geq 0$ be given. The set

$$\{p \in X : d(p, x) \leq r\}$$

is a closed.

\dagger

Proof. The complement of $\{p \in X : d(p, x) \leq r\}$ is the set

$$U = \{p : d(p, x) > r\}$$

and it suffices to prove that U is open. Let $y \in U$ be given. Then $d(y, x) > r$. Let $\epsilon = d(y, x) - r > 0$. If $z \in N_\epsilon(y)$ so that $d(z, y) < \epsilon$, then,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, z) &\geq d(x, y) - d(y, z) \\ &> d(x, y) - \epsilon \\ &= r. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $N_\epsilon(y) \subset U$ and thus, since $y \in U$ was arbitrary, U is open. \square

Corollary 3.22. In a metric space, singleton sets are closed; i.e., if (X, d) is a metric space and $x \in X$, then $\{x\}$ is closed. \dagger

Proposition 3.23. Let X be a metric space.

- (i) X and \emptyset are closed;
- (ii) if C_1, \dots, C_n are closed subsets of X , then $\cup_1^n C_j$ is closed; and
- (iii) if $C_\alpha, \alpha \in J$ is a family of closed subsets of X , then

$$C = \cap_{\alpha \in J} C_\alpha$$

is closed. \dagger

Corollary 3.24. A finite set F in a metric space X is closed. \dagger

Proposition 3.25. If $C \subset \mathbb{R}$ is bounded above, nonempty, and closed, then C has a largest element. \dagger

Proof. The hypotheses imply $\alpha = \sup(C)$ exist. Certainly, $\alpha \geq x$ for all $x \in C$. Thus to prove the proposition it suffices to prove $\alpha \in C$. We argue by contradiction and accordingly assume $\alpha \in \tilde{C}$. Since C is closed, \tilde{C} is open and therefore there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $N_\epsilon(\alpha) \subset \tilde{C}$ or equivalently $C \subset \tilde{N}_\epsilon(\alpha)$. Thus, if $c \in C$, then $c \leq \alpha - \epsilon$ (since also $c \leq \alpha$). It follows that $\alpha - \epsilon$ is an upper bound for C , contradicting the least property of α . Thus $\alpha \in C$. \square

Example 3.26. Let $R = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ denote the rational numbers in the interval $[0, 1]$. Since \mathbb{Q} is countable, so is R . Choose an enumeration $R = \{r_1, r_2, \dots\}$ of R . Fix $1 > \epsilon > 0$ and let

$$V_j = N_{\frac{\epsilon}{2^{j+1}}}(r_j)$$

and $V = \cup V_j$. Thus V is an open set which contains R .

The set $C = [0, 1] \setminus V$ is closed because it is the intersection of the closed sets $[0, 1]$ and \tilde{V} . On the other hand, its complement contains every rational in the interval $[0, 1]$, but is also the union of intervals the sum¹ of whose lengths is at most

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{2^j} = \epsilon < 1.$$

Thus C is a closed subset of $[0, 1]$ which contains no rational number, but is large in the sense that its complement can be covered by open intervals whose lengths sum to at most ϵ .

A heuristic is that open sets are nice and closed sets can be strange, while most sets are neither open nor closed. \triangle

Do Problem 3.4.

3.4. The interior, closure, and boundary of a set.

Definition 3.27. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $S \subset X$. The *closure* of S is

$$\bar{S} := \cap \{C \subset X : C \supset S, C \text{ is closed}\}.$$

\triangleleft

Proposition 3.28. Let S be a subset of a metric space X .

- (i) $S \subset \bar{S}$;
- (ii) \bar{S} is closed;
- (iii) if K is any other set satisfying (i) and (ii), then $\bar{S} \subset K$.

Moreover, S is closed if and only if $S = \bar{S}$. \dagger

Definition 3.29. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $S \subset X$. The *interior* of S is the set

$$S^\circ := \cup \{U \subset X : U \subset S \text{ is open}\}.$$

\triangleleft

Proposition 3.30. Let S be a subset of a metric space X .

- (i) $S^\circ \subset S$;
- (ii) S° is open;
- (iii) if $V \subset S$ is an open set, then $V \subset S^\circ$.

Moreover, S is open if and only if $S = S^\circ$. \dagger

Definition 3.31. A point $x \in X$ is an *interior point* of S if there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $N_\epsilon(x) \subset S$. \triangleleft

¹Series are introduced in Problem 4.17 in the next section and will be treated in detail later, but this particular sum should be familiar from Calculus II.

Do Problems 3.5 and 3.6.

Definition 3.32. The *boundary* of a set S in a metric space X is $\partial S = \bar{S} \cap \tilde{S}$. ◁

Do Problem 3.7

3.5. Exercises.

Exercise 3.1. Show, if $a, b, c \geq 0$ and $a + b \geq c$, then

$$\frac{a}{1+a} + \frac{b}{1+b} \geq \frac{c}{1+c}.$$

Show if (X, d) is a metric space, then

$$d_*(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)}$$

is a metric on X too.

Exercise 3.2. Show that the subset $S = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \neq y\}$ is open.

Exercise 3.3. Verify that the discrete metric is indeed a distance function.

Exercise 3.4. Let X be a nonempty set and d the discrete metric. Fix a point $z \in X$. Is the closure of the set $N_1(z)$ equal to $\{x \in X : d(x, z) \leq 1\}$?

Exercise 3.5. Show that the set

$$\{(x_1, x_2) : x_1, x_2 \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

is closed.

Show that the set

$$\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 x_2 = 1\}$$

is closed.

Exercise 3.6. By Proposition 3.3,

$$d(f, g) = \left(\int_0^1 |f - g|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

defines a metric on the space of polynomials \mathcal{P} . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$p_n(t) = \sqrt{2n+1} t^n.$$

Find $d(p_n, p_m)$.

Exercise 3.7. Determine the boundary of an interval $(a, b]$ in \mathbb{R} .

3.6. Problems.

Problem 3.1. Suppose (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) are metric spaces. Define $d : (X \times Y) \times (X \times Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$d((x, y), (a, b)) = d_X(x, a) + d_Y(y, b).$$

Prove d is a metric on $X \times Y$.

Problem 3.2. Describe the neighborhoods in a discrete metric space (X, d) .

Problem 3.3. Determine, with proof, the open subsets of the discrete metric space (X, d) .

Problem 3.4. Given a metric space Z and $F \subset X \subset Z$ define F is *relatively closed* in X . Show, F is relatively closed in X if and only if there is a closed set $C \subset Z$ such that $F = C \cap X$.

Prove that the closure of $C \subset X$, as a subset of X , is $X \cap \overline{C}$, where \overline{C} is the closure of C in Z . Conclude, if C is relatively closed, then $C = \overline{C} \cap X$.

Finally, show, if

- (i) $A, B \subset Z$;
- (ii) $Z = A \cup B$; and
- (iii) $\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset$,

then $B = \widetilde{\overline{A}} \cap Z$ and hence is open relative to Z .

Problem 3.5. Show,

$$I(S) = \{s \in S : s \text{ is an interior point of } S\} = S^\circ.$$

Here is an outline of a solution: First show

$$I(S) = \{s \in S : s \text{ is an interior point of } S\}$$

is an open set (mostly easily done by writing it as a union of neighborhoods), from which it will then follow that $I(S) \subset S^\circ$. The inclusion $S^\circ \subset I(S)$ is straightforward.

Problem 3.6. Prove,

$$\overline{S} = (\widetilde{\overline{S}})^\circ;$$

i.e., \overline{S} consists of those points $x \in X$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$, $N_\epsilon(x) \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

Suggestion: Use the properties of closure and interior. For instance, note that $\widetilde{\overline{S}}$ is open and contained in \widetilde{S} .

Problem 3.7. Prove that $x \in \partial S$ if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $s \in S$, $t \in \tilde{S}$ such that $d(x, s), d(x, t) < \epsilon$.

Prove S is closed if and only if S contains its boundary; and S is open if and only if S is disjoint from its boundary.

Problem 3.8. Show, in \mathbb{R}^2 , if $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $r > 0$, then the closure of

$$N_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : d(x, y) = \|x - y\| < r\}$$

is the set

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : d(x, y) = \|x - y\| \leq r\}.$$

Is the corresponding statement true in all metric spaces?

Problem 3.9. Let S be a non-empty subset of a metric space X . Show, x is in \bar{S} if and only if

$$\inf\{d(x, s) : s \in S\} = 0.$$

Problem 3.10. Prove Proposition 3.30.

Problem 3.11. Show that the closure of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} is all of \mathbb{R} . (Suggestion: Use Problem 3.6 and Theorem 2.28 item iii). Compare with Remark 2.29.

Problem 3.12. Show that the closure of $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ (the irrationals) in \mathbb{R} is all of \mathbb{R} . Combine this problem and Problem 3.11 to determine the boundary of \mathbb{Q} (in \mathbb{R}).

Problem 3.13. Suppose (X, d) is a metric space and $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ are given. Show that the closure of $N_r(x)$ is a subset of the set

$$\{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq r\}.$$

Give an example of a metric space X , an $x \in X$, and an $r > 0$ such that the closure of $N_r(x)$ is **not** the set

$$\{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq r\}.$$

Compare with Problem 3.8.

Problem 3.14. Let (X, d) and d_* be as in Exercise 3.1. Do the metric spaces (X, d) and (X, d_*) have the same open sets?

Problem 3.15. Suppose d and d' are metrics on the set X and there is a constant C such that, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$d(x, y) \leq C d'(x, y).$$

Prove, if U is open in (X, d) , then U is open in (X, d') .

Thus, if there is also a constant C' such that

$$d'(x, y) \leq C' d(x, y),$$

then the metric spaces (X, d) and (X, d') have the same open sets.

4. SEQUENCES

4.1. Definitions and examples.

Definition 4.1. A *sequence* is a function a with domain \mathbb{N} . It is customary to write $a_n = a(n)$ and $(a_n)_n$ or $(a_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ for this function.

If the a_n lie in the set X , then (a_n) is a *sequence from X* .

If (X, d) is a metric space and $L \in X$. The sequence (a_n) (from X) *converges to L* if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$, $d(a_n, L) < \epsilon$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$$

and L is said to be **the limit** of the sequence.

The sequence (a_n) *converges* if there exists an $L \in X$ such that (a_n) converges to L . A sequence which does not converge is said to *diverge*. \triangleleft

It is often convenient to relax the definition of sequence, allowing the domain to be a set of the form $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \geq n_0\}$ for some integer n_0 . In this case, we may write $(a_n)_{n=n_0}^\infty$.

Remark 4.2. From the (positive definite) axioms (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.1) of a metric, if x, y are points in a metric space (X, d) and if $d(x, y) < \epsilon$ for every $\epsilon > 0$, then $x = y$. \diamond

The following proposition list some of the most basic properties of limits. The first justifies the terminology *the limit* (as opposed to *a limit*) above.

Proposition 4.3. Let $(a_n)_{n=k}^\infty$ and $(b_n)_{n=m}^\infty$ be sequences from the metric space X .

- (i) If (a_n) converges, then its limit is unique;
- (ii) if there is an N and an ℓ such that for $n \geq N$, $b_n = a_{n+\ell}$, then (a_n) converges if and only if (b_n) converges and moreover in this case the sequences have the same limit; and
- (iii) if $(a_n)_n$ is a sequence from \mathbb{R} ($X = \mathbb{R}$), $c \in \mathbb{R}$, and (a_n) converges to L , then (ca_n) converges to cL .

†

The items (ii) and (iii) together say that we need not be concerned with keeping close track of k .

Example 4.4. The sequence $(\frac{1}{n+1})_n$ converges to 0 in \mathbb{R} ; however it does not converge in the metric space $((0, 1], |\cdot|)$, as can be proved using the previous proposition and the fact that the sequence converges to 0 in \mathbb{R} .

The sequence $(\frac{n}{n+1})_n$ converges to 1 (in \mathbb{R}). \triangle

Example 4.5. If $0 \leq a < 1$, then the sequence (a^n) converges to 0.

To prove this last statement, recall that we have already shown that $\inf(\{a^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) = 0$. Thus, given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an N such that $0 \leq a^N < \epsilon$. It follows that, for all $n \geq N$, $|a^n - 0| \leq a^N < \epsilon$. \triangle

Do Problems 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and Exercise 4.2.

We will make repeated use of the following simple identity, valid for all real r and positive integers m ,

$$(2) \quad 1 - r^m = (1 - r)(1 + r + r^2 + \dots + r^{m-1})$$

Proposition 4.6. In (the metric space) \mathbb{R} ,

- (a) if $\rho > 0$, then the sequence $(\rho^{\frac{1}{n}})$ converges to 1; and
- (b) the sequence $(n^{\frac{1}{n}})$ converges to 1.

†

Proof. To prove (a), first suppose $\rho > 1$. Using Equation (2) with $m = n$ and $r = \rho^{\frac{1}{n}}$ gives

$$\rho^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1 = \frac{\rho - 1}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \rho^{\frac{j}{n}}}.$$

Thus

$$|\rho^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1| < \frac{\rho - 1}{n}.$$

Now, given $\epsilon > 0$ there is, by Theorem 2.28(i) there is an N such that if $n \geq N$, then

$$\frac{1}{n} < \frac{\epsilon}{\rho - 1}.$$

Thus, for $n \geq N$,

$$|\rho^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1| < \frac{\rho - 1}{n} < \epsilon.$$

Hence $(\rho^{\frac{1}{n}})$ converges to 1.

If $0 < \rho < 1$, then $\sigma = \frac{1}{\rho} > 1$ and $(\sigma^{\frac{1}{n}})$ converges to 1. On the other hand,

$$|1 - \rho^{\frac{1}{n}}| = \rho^{\frac{1}{n}} |\sigma^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1| \leq |\sigma^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1|,$$

from which the result follows.

To prove (b) note that the Binomial Theorem gives, for $x > 0$,

$$(1 + x)^n = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} x^j \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} x^2.$$

Thus, with $x = n^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1$,

$$n \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} x^2.$$

Hence, for $n \geq 2$,

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1}} \geq n^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1 \geq 0,$$

from which it follows that $(n^{\frac{1}{n}})$ converges to 1. Indeed, given $\epsilon > 0$ choose $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $N \geq \frac{2}{\epsilon^2} + 1$ and observe if $n \geq N$, then $N \geq 2$ and

$$\epsilon > \sqrt{\frac{2}{N-1}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \geq |n^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1|.$$

□

Remark 4.7. The limit of a sequence depends only upon the notion of open sets. See Problem 4.4. ◇

4.2. Sequences and closed sets.

Proposition 4.8. A subset S of a metric space X is closed if and only if every sequence (a_n) from S which converges in X actually converges in S . †

Proof. Suppose S is closed and (a_n) is a sequence from S which converges to $L \in X$. Since \tilde{S} is open, if $y \notin S$, then there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $N_\epsilon(y) \cap S = \emptyset$. In particular, $d(a_n, y) \geq \epsilon$ for all n and (a_n) does not converge to y . Hence $L \in S$.

Now suppose that S is not closed, equivalently \tilde{S} is not open. In this case, there exists an $L \in \tilde{S}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an s_n such that

$$s_n \in S \cap N_{\frac{1}{n+1}}(L).$$

It is straightforward to verify that (s_n) is a sequence from S which converges to $L \notin S$. □

Do Problems 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7.

4.3. The monotone convergence theorem for real numbers. For *numerical sequences*, that is sequences from \mathbb{R} , limits are compatible with the order structure on \mathbb{R} .

Proposition 4.9. Suppose (a_n) and (b_n) are sequences from \mathbb{R} and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If $a_n \leq b_n + c$ for all n and if both sequences converge, then

$$\lim_n a_n \leq \lim_n b_n + c.$$

Further, if (a_n) , (b_n) , and (c_n) are all sequences from \mathbb{R} , if there is an N so that for $n \geq N$,

$$a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$$

and if (a_n) and (b_n) converge to the same limit L , then (b_n) also converges to L . †

The second part of the Proposition is a version of the *squeeze theorem* and in Problem 4.8 you are asked to provide a proof.

Proof. Let A and B denote the limits of (a_n) and (b_n) respectively. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. There is an N so that for $n \geq N$ both $|a_n - A| < \epsilon$ and $|b_n - B| < \epsilon$. Hence, $A - B - c = (A - a_n) + (a_n - b_n - c) + (b_n - B) < 2\epsilon$. □

Definition 4.10. A sequence (a_n) from \mathbb{R} is increasing (synonymously *non-decreasing*) if $a_n \leq a_{n+1}$ for all n . The sequence is strictly increasing if $a_n < a_{n+1}$ for all n .

A sequence is *eventually increasing* if there is an N so that the sequence $(a_n)_{n=N}^{\infty}$ is increasing.

The notion of a *decreasing sequence* is defined analogously. A *monotone* sequence is a sequence which is either increasing or decreasing. ◁

Theorem 4.11. If (a_n) is an increasing sequence from \mathbb{R} which is bounded above, then (a_n) converges.

Remark 4.12. Generally, results stated for increasing sequences hold for eventually increasing sequences in view of Proposition 4.3(ii). ◇

Proof. The set $R = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (the range of the sequence) is nonempty and bounded above and therefore has a least upper bound. Let $A = \sup(R)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $r \in R$ such that $A - \epsilon < r$. There is an N so that $r = a_N$. If $n \geq N$, then, since the sequence is increasing, $0 \leq A - a_n \leq A - a_N < \epsilon$. Hence (a_n) converges to A . □

Proposition 4.13. In the metric space \mathbb{R} , if $0 \leq r < 1$, then both (r^n) and (nr^n) converge to 0. †

The proof uses the easily proved special case of Proposition 4.20(i) that if (a_n) and (b_n) are sequences of real numbers which converge to A and B respectively, then $(a_n + b_n)$ converges to $A + B$.

Proof. That (r^n) converges to 0 is Example 4.5.

To prove that (nr^n) converges to 0, note that, by Example 4.4, for n sufficiently large

$$\frac{n}{n+1} > r.$$

It follows that there is an N such that for $n \geq N$ the sequence (nr^n) is decreasing. Since it also bounded below by 0 it converges to some L . Hence, using (r^n) converges to 0,

$$rL = rL + 0 = r \lim nr^n + \lim r^{n+1} = \lim(n+1)r^{n+1} = L.$$

Since $r \neq 1$, it follows that $L = 0$. □

Do Problems 4.9 and 4.10.

4.3.1. *The real numbers as infinite decimals.* Here is an informal discussion of infinite *decimal* (base ten) expansions. An *infinite decimal expansion* (base 10) is an expression of the form

$$a = a_0.a_1a_2a_3\cdots,$$

where $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 9\}$. Let

$$s_n = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j}{10^j}$$

and note that the sequence (s_n) is increasing and bounded above by $a_0 + 1$. Thus the sequence (s_n) converges to some real number s and we identify a with this real number.

Conversely, given a real number s there is a smallest integer $m > s$. Let $a_0 = m - 1$. Recursively choose a_j so that, with $s_n = a_0.a_1\cdots a_n$, we have $0 \leq s - s_n \leq \frac{1}{10^n}$. In this case (s_n) converges to s and we can identify s with an infinite decimal expansion.

Note that a real number can have more than one decimal expansion. For example both $0.999\cdots$ and $1.000\cdots$ represent the real number 1.

Remark 4.14. Note too it makes sense to talk of expansions with other bases, not just base 10. Base two, called *binary*, is common. Base three is called *ternary*. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$, expansions base n are called *n-ary*. ◇

Remark 4.15. Here is an informal argument that a rational number has a repeating infinite decimal expansion.

Suppose x is rational, $x = \frac{m}{n}$. Note that the Euclidean division algorithm produces a decimal representation of x . At each stage there are at most n choices of remainder. Hence, after at most n steps of the algorithm, we must have a repeat remainder. From there the decimal repeats. ◇

4.3.2. *An abundance of real numbers.*

Proposition 4.16. The set \mathbb{R} is uncountable; i.e., there are uncountably many real numbers. †

Proof. It suffices to show if $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then f is not onto. For notational ease, let $x_j = f(j)$.

Choose $b_0 > a_0$ such that $x_0 \notin I_0 := [a_0, b_0]$. Next choose $a_1 < b_1$ such that $a_0 \leq a_1 < b_1 \leq b_0$ and $x_1 \notin I_1 = [a_1, b_1]$. Continuing in this

fashion, construct, by the principle of recursion, a sequence of intervals $I_j = [a_j, b_j]$ such that

- (1) $I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots$;
- (2) $b_j - a_j > 0$; and
- (3) $x_j \notin I_k$ for $j \leq k$.

Observe that the recursive construction of the sequences of endpoints (a_j) and (b_j) implies that $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 < \cdots < b_2 \leq b_1 \leq b_0$; i.e., (a_j) is increasing and is bounded above by each b_m . By Theorem 4.11 (a_j) converges to

$$y = \sup\{a_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

In particular, $a_m \leq y \leq b_m$ for each m . Thus $y \in I_m$ for all m . On the other hand, for each k ,

$$x_k \notin I_k$$

and so $y \neq x_k$. Hence y is not in the set $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which is the range of f . \square

Do Problem 4.11.

4.4. Limit theorems.

Proposition 4.17. Let $(a(n))_n$ be a sequence from \mathbb{R}^g and write $a(n) = (a_1(n), \dots, a_g(n))$. The sequence converges to $L = (L_1, \dots, L_g) \in \mathbb{R}^g$ if and only if

$$\lim_n a_j(n) = L_j$$

for each $1 \leq j \leq g$. \dagger

Definition 4.18. A sequence (a_n) from a metric space X is *bounded* if there exists an $x \in X$ and $R > 0$ such that $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset N_R(x)$. \triangleleft

Proposition 4.19. Convergent sequences are bounded. \dagger

Proof. Suppose (a_n) converges to L in the metric space X . Observe, with $\epsilon = 1$ there is an N such that if $n \geq N$, then $d(a_n, L) < 1$. Choosing

$$R = \max(\{d(a_j, L) : 0 \leq j < N\} \cup \{1\}) + 1$$

gives $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset N_R(L)$. Hence $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded. \square

Proposition 4.20. Let (a_n) and (b_n) be sequences from \mathbb{R}^g and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If (a_n) converges to A and (b_n) converges to B , then

- (i) $(a_n + b_n)$ converges to $A + B$;
- (ii) (ca_n) converges to cA ;
- (iii) $(a_n \cdot b_n)$ converges to $A \cdot B$; and

(iv) if $g = 1$ and $b_n \neq 0$ for each n and $B \neq 0$, then $\frac{a_n}{b_n}$ converges to $\frac{A}{B}$.

†

Proof. Proofs of the first two items are routine and left to the reader.

To prove the third item, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since the sequence (b_n) converges, it is bounded by say M . Since (a_n) and (b_n) converge to A and B respectively, there exists N_a and N_b such that if $n \geq N_a$, then

$$\|A - a_n\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2(M+1)}$$

and likewise if $n \geq N_b$, then

$$\|B - b_n\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2(\|A\| + 1)}.$$

Choose $N = \max\{N_a, N_b\}$. If $n \geq N$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|A \cdot B - a_n \cdot b_n\| &= \|A \cdot (B - b_n) + (A - a_n) \cdot b_n\| \\ &\leq \|A\| \|B - b_n\| + \|A - a_n\| \|b_n\| \\ &\leq \|A\| \|B - b_n\| + \|A - a_n\| M \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the last statement, it suffices to prove it under the assumption that $a_n = 1$ for all n . Since $(|b_n|)$ converges to $|B| > 0$, with $\epsilon = \frac{|B|}{2}$ there is an M such that if $n \geq M$, then $|b_n| \geq \frac{|B|}{2}$. For such n

$$\left| \frac{1}{B} - \frac{1}{b_n} \right| = \frac{|B - b_n|}{|B| |b_n|} \leq |B - b_n| \frac{2}{|B|^2}.$$

The remaining details are left to the gentle reader. \square

Proposition 4.21. Suppose (a_n) is a sequence of nonnegative numbers, $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $r = \frac{p}{q}$. If (a_n) converges to L , then (a_n^r) converges to L^r . †

Proof. Item (iii) of Proposition 4.20 with $g = 1$ and $b_n = a_n$ shows that (a_n^2) converges to L^2 . An induction argument now shows that (a_n^p) converges to L^p .

To show $(a_n^{\frac{1}{q}})$ converges to $L^{\frac{1}{q}}$, first observe that $L \geq 0$. Suppose $L > 0$. In this case, the identity,

$$(x^q - y^q) = (x - y) \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} x^j y^{q-1-j}$$

applied to $x = a_n^{\frac{1}{q}}$ and $y = L^{\frac{1}{q}}$ gives,

$$|a_n - L| = |a_n^{\frac{1}{q}} - L^{\frac{1}{q}}| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} a_n^{\frac{j}{q}} L^{\frac{q-1-j}{q}} \geq |a_n^{\frac{1}{q}} - L^{\frac{1}{q}}| L^{\frac{q-1}{q}}.$$

From here the remainder of the argument is easy and left to the gentle reader. \square

Have another look at Problem 4.10.

4.5. Subsequences.

Definition 4.22. Given a sequence (a_n) and an increasing sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ of natural numbers, the sequence $(a_{n_j})_j$ is a *subsequence* of (a_n) .

Alternately, a sequence (b_m) is a subsequence of (a_n) if there is a strictly increasing function $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_m = a_{\sigma(m)}$. \triangleleft

Example 4.23. The sequence $(\frac{1}{j^2})$ is a subsequence of $(\frac{1}{n})$ (choosing $n_j = j^2$ for $j \geq 1$).

The constant sequences (-1) and (1) are both subsequences of $((-1)^n)$. \triangle

Proposition 4.24. Suppose (a_n) is sequence in a metric space X . If (a_n) converges to $L \in X$, then every subsequence of (a_n) converges to L . \dagger

This proposition is an immediate consequence of Problem 4.1.
Do Problem 4.12.

Proposition 4.25. Let (x_n) be a sequence from a metric space X and let $y \in X$ be given. If for every $\epsilon > 0$ the set

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(y, x_n) < \epsilon\}$$

is infinite, then there exists a subsequence (x_{n_k}) of (x_n) such that $(x_{n_k})_k$ converges to y . \dagger

Proof. With $\epsilon = 1$ there is an n_1 such that $d(y, x_{n_1}) < 1$. Suppose now that $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k$ have been constructed so that $d(y, x_{n_j}) < \frac{1}{j}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq k$. Since the set $\{n : d(y, x_n) < \frac{1}{k+1}\}$ is infinite, there exists a $n_{k+1} > n_k$ such that $d(y, x_{n_{k+1}}) < \frac{1}{k+1}$. Thus, by recursion, we have constructed a subsequence (x_{n_k}) which converges to y . \square

4.6. The limits superior and inferior.

Proposition 4.26. Given a bounded sequence (a_n) of real numbers, let

$$\alpha_n = \sup\{a_j : j \geq n\}.$$

The sequence (α_n) is decreasing and bounded below and hence converges. †

The proof of the Proposition is left as an exercise (see Problem 4.13.)

Definition 4.27. The limit of the sequence (α_n) is called the *limsup* or *limit superior* of the sequence (a_n) . The *liminf* is defined analogously. ◁

Observe that $\inf\{a_j : j \geq n\} \leq a_n \leq \sup\{a_j : j \geq n\}$ for each n . Do Problem 4.14.

Example 4.28. Here are some simple examples.

- (i) The lim sup and lim inf of $(\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}n))$ are 1 and -1 respectively.
- (ii) The lim sup and lim inf of the sequence $((-1)^n(1 + \frac{1}{n}))$ are also 1 and -1 respectively.
- (iii) The lim inf of the sequence $((1 - (-1)^n)n)$ is 0. It has no lim sup. Alternately, the lim sup could be interpreted as ∞ .

△

Proposition 4.29. A bounded sequence (a_n) converges if and only if

$$\limsup a_n = \liminf a_n$$

and in this case (a_n) converges to this common value. †

Proof sketch. For notational purposes, let $\alpha_n = \sup\{a_j : j \geq n\}$ and let $\gamma_n = \inf\{a_j : j \geq n\}$.

Suppose (a_n) converges to a . Given $\epsilon > 0$, there is an N such that if $j \geq N$, then $|a_j - a| < \epsilon$. In particular, for $j \geq N$, we have $a_j \leq a + \epsilon$ and thus $\alpha_N \leq a + \epsilon$. Consequently, if $n \geq N$, then

$$a - \epsilon < a_n \leq \alpha_n \leq \alpha_N \leq a + \epsilon$$

and therefore $|\alpha_n - a| \leq \epsilon$. It follows that (α_n) converges to a and therefore

$$\limsup a_n = a.$$

By symmetry,

$$\liminf a_n = a.$$

Now suppose

$$\limsup a_n = \liminf a_n$$

and let A denote this common value.

Observe that $\gamma_n \leq a_n \leq \alpha_n$ for all n . Hence, by the Squeeze Theorem, Problem 4.8, (a_n) converges to A . \square

Do Problem 4.15.

Proposition 4.30. Suppose (a_n) is a bounded sequence of real numbers. Given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $J_x = \{n : a_n > x\}$ and let

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : J_x \text{ is infinite}\}.$$

Then,

$$\limsup a_n = \sup(S).$$

†

Proof. For notational ease, let $\alpha_m = \sup\{a_n : n \geq m\}$ and let $\alpha = \limsup a_n$

Observe that J_x is infinite if and only if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an $m \geq n$ such $m \in J_x$; i.e., there is an $m \geq n$ such that $a_m > x$.

To prove α is an upper bound for S , let $x \in S$ be given. Given an integer n there is an $m \geq n$ such that $a_m > x$. Hence $\alpha_n > x$. It follows that $\alpha \geq x$.

To prove that α is the least upper bound of S , suppose $x < \alpha$. Given n , it follows that $x < \alpha_n$. Hence, x is not an upper bound for the set $\{a_j : j \geq n\}$ which means there is an $m \geq n$ such that $x < a_m \leq \alpha_n$. This shows J_x is infinite. Thus $x \in S$. It follows that $(-\infty, \alpha) \supset S$ and thus if β is an upper bound for S , then $\beta \geq \alpha$. Hence α is the least upper bound of S . \square

Do Problem 4.16.

4.7. Exercises.

Exercise 4.1. Show, arguing directly from the definitions, that the numerical sequences

$$a_n = \frac{2n - 3}{n + 5}, \quad n \geq 0;$$

$$b_n = \frac{n + 3}{n^2 - n - 1} \quad n \geq 2$$

converge.

Exercise 4.2. By negating the definition of convergence of a sequence, state carefully what it means for the sequence (a_n) from the metric space X to not converge.

Show that the sequence (from \mathbb{R}) $(a_n = (-1)^n)$ does not converge. Suggestion, show if $L \neq 1$, then (a_n) does not converge to L ; and if $L \neq -1$, then (a_n) does not converge to L .

Exercise 4.3. Consider the sequence (s_n) from \mathbb{R} defined by

$$s_n = \sum_{j=1}^n j^{-2}.$$

Show by induction that

$$s_n \leq 2 - \frac{1}{n}.$$

Prove that the sequence (s_n) converges.

Exercise 4.4. Define a sequence from \mathbb{R} as follows. Fix $r > 1$. Let $a_1 = 1$ and define recursively,

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{1}{r}(a_n + r + 1).$$

Show, by induction, that (a_n) is increasing and bounded above by $\frac{r+1}{r-1}$. Does the sequence converge?

Exercise 4.5. Return to Exercise 4.1, but now verify the limits using Theorem 4.20 together with a little algebra.

Exercise 4.6. Find the limit in Exercise 4.4.

Exercise 4.7. Let $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ be a bijection. What are the subsequential limits of the sequence $(\sigma(n))$?

Exercise 4.8. Suppose (a_n) is a sequence from a metric space X and $L \in X$. Show, if there is a sequence (r_n) of real numbers which converges to 0, a real number C , and positive integer M such that, for $m \geq M$,

$$d(a_m, L) \leq Cr_m,$$

then (a_n) converges to L .

4.8. Problems.

Problem 4.1. Suppose (a_n) , a sequence in a metric space X , converges to $L \in X$. Show, if $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is one-one, then the sequence $(b_n = a_{\sigma(n)})_n$ also converges to L .

Problem 4.2. Suppose (a_n) is a sequence from \mathbb{R} . Show, if (a_n) converges to L , then the sequence (of Cesaro means) (s_n) defined by

$$s_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n a_j$$

also converges to L . Is the converse true?

Problem 4.3. Suppose (a_n) and (b_n) are sequences from a metric space X . Show, if both sequences converge to $L \in X$, then (c_n) , defined by $c_{2n} = a_n$ and $c_{2n+1} = b_n$, also converges to L .

Problem 4.4. Suppose d and d' are both metrics on X and that the metric spaces (X, d) and (X, d') have the same open sets. Show, the sequence (a_n) from X converges in (X, d) if and only if it converges in (X, d') and then to the same limit.

Problem 4.5. Let S be a subset of a metric space X . A point $y \in X$ is a *limit point* of S if there is a sequence (s_n) from $S \setminus \{y\}$, which converges to y .

Prove that S is closed if and only if S contains all its limit points. (Often this limit point criteria is taken as the definition of closed set.)

Problem 4.6. Let S' denote the set of limit points of a subset S of a metric space X . (See Problem 4.5.) Prove that S' is closed.

Problem 4.7. Show, if C is a subset of \mathbb{R} which has a supremum, say α , then there is a sequence (c_n) from C which converges to α . Use this fact, plus Proposition 4.8, to give another proof of Proposition 3.25.

Problem 4.8. [A squeeze theorem] Suppose (a_n) , (b_n) , and (c_n) are sequences of real numbers. Show, if $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$ for all n and both (a_n) and (c_n) converge to L , then (b_n) converges to L .

Problem 4.9. Suppose (a_n) is a sequence of positive real numbers and assume

$$L = \lim \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$$

exists. Show, if $L < 1$, then (a_n) converges to 0 by completing the following outline (or otherwise).

- Choose $L < \rho < 1$.
- Show there is an M so that if $m \geq M$, then $a_{m+1} \leq \rho a_m$;
- Show $a_{M+k} \leq \rho^k a_M$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- Show $a_n \leq \rho^n \frac{a_M}{\rho^M}$ for $n \geq M$;
- Complete the proof.

Give an example where (a_n) converges to 0 and $L = 1$; and give an example where (a_n) does not go to 0, but $L = 1$.

Prove, if $0 \leq L < 1$, and p is a positive integer, then $(n^p a_n)$ converges to 0 too.

Problem 4.10. Let $a_0 = \sqrt{2}$ and define, recursively, $a_{n+1} = \sqrt{a_n + 2}$. Prove, by induction, that the sequence (a_n) is increasing and is bounded above by 2. Does the sequence converge? If so, what should the limit be?

Problem 4.11. Use Theorem 2.28 to prove for each real number r there is a sequence (q_n) of rational numbers converging to r . Use Proposition 4.8 to conclude that the closure of \mathbb{Q} (in \mathbb{R}) is \mathbb{R} . (See Remark 2.29.)

Problem 4.12. Suppose (a_n) is a sequence in a metric space X . Show, if there is an $L \in X$ such that every subsequence of (a_n) has a further subsequence which converges to L , then (a_n) converges to L .

Problem 4.13. Prove Proposition 4.26.

Problem 4.14. Suppose (a_n) is a bounded sequence of real numbers. Prove

$$\liminf a_n \leq \limsup a_n.$$

Give an example which shows the inequality can be strict.

Problem 4.15. Suppose both (a_n) and (b_n) are bounded sequences of real numbers. Prove,

$$\limsup(a_n + b_n) \leq \limsup a_n + \limsup b_n.$$

[Hint: Observe $\{a_j + b_j : j \geq n\} \subset \{a_j + b_k : j, k \geq n\}$ from this, and the fact that $\sup(S + T) = \sup(S) + \sup(T)$, it will follow that

$$\sup(\{a_j + b_j : j \geq n\}) \leq \sup(\{a_j + b_k : j, k \geq n\}) = \sup\{a_j : j \geq n\} + \sup\{b_k : k \geq n\}.$$

Give an example which shows the inequality can be strict.

Problem 4.16. Let (a_n) be a bounded sequence of real numbers. Prove there is a subsequence $(a_{n_j})_j$ which converges to $y = \limsup a_n$. Here is one way to proceed. Show, either directly or using Proposition 4.30, that for each $\epsilon > 0$ the set $\{n : |y - a_n| < \epsilon\}$ is infinite and then apply Proposition 4.25.

Problem 4.17. Given a sequence $(a_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ of real numbers, let

$$s_m = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j.$$

The expression $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is called a *series* and the sequence (s_n) is its *sequence of partial sums*. If the sequence (s_n) converges, then the series is said to *converge* and if moreover, (s_n) converges to L , then the series converges to L written

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = L = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} s_m.$$

In particular, the expression $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is used both for the sequence (s_n) and the limit of this sequence, if it exists.

Show, if $a_n \geq 0$, then the series either converges or diverges to ∞ depending on whether the partial sums form a bounded sequence or not.

Show, if $0 \leq r < 1$, then, for each m ,

$$(1-r) \sum_{n=0}^m r^n = 1 - r^{m+1}$$

and thus,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n = \frac{1}{1-r}.$$

5. CAUCHY SEQUENCES AND COMPLETENESS

Definition 5.1. A sequence (a_n) in a metric space (X, d) is *Cauchy* if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an N such that for all $n, m \geq N$, $d(a_n, a_m) < \epsilon$. \triangleleft

Do Problem 5.1.

Proposition 5.2. Convergent sequences are Cauchy; i.e., if (a_n) is a convergent sequence in a metric space X , then (a_n) is Cauchy. \dagger

Proposition 5.3. Cauchy sequences are bounded. \dagger

Definition 5.4. A metric space X is *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in X converges (in X). \triangleleft

Example 5.5. Cauchy sequences in a discrete metric space are eventually constant and hence converge. Thus, a discrete metric space is complete. \triangle

Example 5.6. The metric space \mathbb{Q} is an example of an incomplete space. Exercise 5.2 gives further examples of incomplete spaces. \triangle

Theorem 5.7. \mathbb{R} is a complete metric space.

Proof. Let (a_n) be a given Cauchy sequence from \mathbb{R} . By Proposition 5.3, this sequence is bounded. Hence it has a limsup; i.e., with

$$\alpha_n = \sup\{a_k : k \geq n\}$$

the sequence (α_n) is decreasing and bounded below and converges to $\alpha = \limsup a_n$.

It suffices to show that (a_n) converges to α . To this end, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Because (α_n) converges to α , there is an M so that if $m \geq M$, then

$$(3) \quad \alpha \leq \alpha_m < \alpha + \epsilon.$$

Since (a_n) is Cauchy, there is a K such that for $n, k \geq K$,

$$|a_k - a_n| < \epsilon.$$

In particular, for $k \geq n \geq K$,

$$a_k \leq a_n + \epsilon.$$

Hence $a_n + \epsilon$ is an upper bound for $\{a_j : j \geq n\}$ and therefore,

$$(4) \quad \alpha_n \leq a_n + \epsilon.$$

Let $N = \max\{M, K\}$. If $n \geq N$, then, by combining Equations (3) and (4),

$$\alpha + \epsilon > \alpha_n \geq a_n \geq \alpha_n - \epsilon \geq \alpha - \epsilon.$$

Thus, if $n \geq N$, then

$$|\alpha - a_n| < \epsilon$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Proposition 5.8. A closed subset of a complete metric space is complete. \dagger

Proof. Apply Proposition 4.8. \square

Proposition 5.9. A complete subset of a metric space is closed. \dagger

Proof. Apply Proposition 4.8. \square

Definition 5.10. A sequence (x_n) from a metric space X is *super Cauchy* if there exists a $0 \leq k < 1$ such that

$$(5) \quad d(a_{n+1}, a_n) \leq kd(a_n, a_{n-1})$$

for all $n \geq 1$. \triangleleft

The following result is a version of the *contraction mapping principle*.

Proposition 5.11. If (a_n) is super Cauchy, then (a_n) is Cauchy. In particular, super Cauchy sequences in a complete metric space converge. \dagger

Proof. First observe, by Equation (2),

$$\sum_{j=0}^n k^j \leq \frac{1}{k-1}.$$

Next note that, by iterating the inequality of Equation (5),

$$d(a_{m+1}, a_m) \leq k^m d(a_1, a_0)$$

for all m . Thus, for $\ell \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(a_{n+\ell}, a_n) &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} d(a_{n+j+1}, a_{n+j}) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} k^{n+j} d(a_1, a_0) \\ &= k^n d(a_1, a_0) \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} k^j \\ &\leq k^n \frac{d(a_1, a_0)}{1-k}. \end{aligned}$$

The remainder of the proof is a straightforward exercise based on the fact that (k^n) converges to 0. \square

Note that Proposition 5.11 holds under the weaker assumption that there is an N such that the inequality of Equation (5) holds just for all $n \geq N + 1$; i.e., (a_n) just need be eventually super Cauchy.

Example 5.12. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, let

$$s_n = \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{1}{j}.$$

Note that

$$s_{2^n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2^{k+1}}^{2^{k+1}-1} \frac{1}{j} \geq \frac{n}{2}$$

and thus (s_n) is not a bounded sequence and is therefore not Cauchy.

On the other hand,

$$|s_{n+2} - s_{n+1}| = \frac{1}{n+2} < \frac{1}{n+1} = |s_{n+1} - s_n|.$$

\triangle

5.1. Exercises.

Exercise 5.1. Define a sequence of real numbers recursively as follows. Let $a_1 = 1$ and

$$a_{n+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{1+a_n}.$$

Show (a_n) is not monotonic (that is neither increasing or decreasing). Show that $a_n \geq 1$ for all n and then use Proposition 5.11 to show that (a_n) is Cauchy. Conclude that the sequences converges and find its limit.

Exercise 5.2. Suppose y is a limit point (see Problem 4.5) of the metric space X . Show $Y = X \setminus \{y\}$ is not complete.

Exercise 5.3. Show directly that the sequence $((-1)^n)$ is not Cauchy and conclude that it doesn't converge. Compare with Exercise 4.2.

5.2. Problems.

Problem 5.1. Suppose (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence in a metric space X . Show, if (x_n) has a subsequence (x_{n_k}) which converges to some $y \in X$, then (x_n) converges to y .

Problem 5.2. Fix $A > 0$ and define a sequence from \mathbb{R} as follows. Let $a_0 = 1$. For $n \geq 1$, recursively define

$$a_{n+1} = A + \frac{1}{a_n}.$$

Show, for all $n \geq 1$, $a_n \geq A$ and $a_n a_{n+1} \geq 1 + A^2$. Use Proposition 5.11 to prove that (a_n) converges. What is the limit?

Problem 5.3. The *diameter* of a set S in a metric space X is

$$\text{diam}(S) = \sup\{d(s, t) : s, t \in S\}.$$

(In the case that the set of values $d(s, t)$ is not bounded above this supremum is interpreted as plus infinity.)

Prove, if X is a complete metric space, $S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \dots$ is a nested decreasing sequence of nonempty closed subsets of X , and the sequence $(\text{diam}(S_n))_n$ converges to 0, then

$$\bigcap S_n$$

contains exactly one point.

Show that this result fails if any of the hypotheses - completeness, closedness of the S_n , or that the diameters tend to 0 - are omitted.

Problem 5.4. Suppose U_1, U_2, \dots is a sequence of open sets in a nonempty complete metric space X . Show, if, for each j , the closure of U_j is all of X , then

$$\bigcap_1^\infty U_j \neq \emptyset.$$

This is a version of the Baire Category Theorem.

Here is an outline of a proof. Observe that for each $x \in X$, $r > 0$, and j , that $N_r(x) \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ and let $B_r(x) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq r\}$ (the closed ball of radius r with center x).

Pick a point $x_1 \in U_1$. There is an $r_1 \leq 1$ such that $B_{r_1}(x_1) \subset U_1$. There is a point $x_2 \in N_{r_1}(x) \cap U_2$. There is an $0 < r_2 < \frac{r_1}{2}$ such that $B_{r_2}(x_2) \subset U_2$. Continuing in this fashion constructs a sequence of sets $B_{r_j}(x_j)$. Apply an earlier problem to complete the proof.

Problem 5.5. Complete the following outline that \mathbb{R} is complete. Let (a_n) be a given Cauchy sequence from \mathbb{R} . Explain why

$$\alpha = \limsup a_n$$

exists. There is a subsequence (a_{n_j}) of (a_n) which converges to α (by Problem 4.16); and thus the sequence itself converges to α (by Problem 5.1).

Problem 5.6. Given metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) let Z denote the metric space built from X and Y as in Problem 3.1. Show, if X and Y are complete, then so is $X \times Y$.

Problem 5.7. Show that the sequence (a_n) from Exercise 5.1 is not eventually monotone. As a suggestion, first show that, for each n , $a_{n+1} \neq a_n$ as otherwise a_n would be irrational.

Problem 5.8. Suppose (a_n) and (b_n) are bounded sequences of real numbers. Show, if (b_n) converges to some $b > 0$, then

$$\limsup a_n b_n = b \limsup a_n.$$

6. COMPACT SETS

6.1. Definitions and Examples.

Definition 6.1. An *open cover* \mathcal{U} of a subset S of a metric space X is a subset of $P(X)$ such that each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ is open and

$$S \subset \cup\{U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} = \cup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} U.$$

A *subcover* of the open cover \mathcal{U} is a subset $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ which is also an open cover of S .

A subset K of a metric space X is *compact* provided every open cover of K has a finite subcover. \triangleleft

Remark 6.2. Often it is convenient to view covers as an indexed family of sets, rather than a subset of $\mathcal{P}(X)$. In this case an open cover of S consists of an index set \mathcal{J} and a collection of open sets $\mathcal{U} = \{U_j : j \in \mathcal{J}\}$ whose union contains S . A subcover is then a collection $\mathcal{V} = \{U_k : k \in K\}$, for some subset K of J . A set K is compact if for each collection $\{U_j : j \in J\}$ such that

$$K \subset \cup_{j \in J} U_j,$$

there is a finite subset $K \subset J$ such that

$$K \subset \cup_{k \in K} U_k.$$

\diamond

Example 6.3. Consider the set $K = \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}$ as a subset of the metric space \mathbb{R} .

Let \mathcal{U} be a given open cover of K . There is then a $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $0 \in U_0$. Since U_0 is open, there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $N_\epsilon(0) \subset U_0$. Since $\frac{1}{n}$ converges to 0, there is an N such that if $n \geq N$, then $\frac{1}{n} \in N_\epsilon(0)$. For each $j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ there is a $U_j \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\frac{1}{j} \in U_j$. It follows that $\mathcal{V} = \{U_0, \dots, U_{N-1}\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ is a finite subcover (of K). Thus K is compact. \triangle

Do Problems 6.1 and 6.2.

Example 6.4. Let $S = (0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and consider the indexed family of sets $U_j = (\frac{1}{j}, 2)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$. It is readily checked that

$$S \subset \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j$$

and of course each U_j is open. Thus $\mathcal{U} = \{U_j : j \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ is an open cover of S .

Let \mathcal{V} be a given finite subset of \mathcal{U} . In particular, there is an N such that $\mathcal{V} \subset \{U_j : 1 \leq j \leq N\}$ and therefore,

$$\cup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V \subset \cup_{j=1}^N U_j = (\frac{1}{N}, 2).$$

Thus \mathcal{V} is not a cover of S and hence \mathcal{U} contains no finite subset which covers S . Thus S is not compact. \triangle

Theorem 6.5. Closed bounded intervals in \mathbb{R} are compact.

Proof. Let $[a, b]$ be a given closed bounded interval and let \mathcal{U} be a given open cover of $[a, b]$.

Let

$$S = \{x \in [a, b] : [a, x] \text{ has a finite subcover from } \mathcal{U}\}.$$

There is a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $a \in U$ and hence $[a, a] \subset U$. It follows that $a \in S$ and thus S is nonempty. It is also bounded above by b . It follows that $\sup(S)$ exists and is at most b .

To prove that $b \in S$, observe that there is a $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\sup(S) \in U_0$ since $\sup(S) \in [a, b]$ and \mathcal{U} is an open cover of $[a, b]$. Because U_0 is open, there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $N_\epsilon(\sup(S)) \subset U_0$. There is an $s \in S$ such that $\sup(S) - \epsilon < s \leq \sup(S)$. Since $s \in S$, there is a finite subcover $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ of $[a, s]$; i.e., \mathcal{V} is finite and

$$[a, s] \subset \cup\{U : U \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

It follows that

$$[a, \sup(S) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}] \subset [a, s] \cup [\sup(S) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \sup(S) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}] \subset \cup\{U : U \in \mathcal{V}\} \cup \{U_0\}.$$

Thus, for each $t \in [a, b] \cap [\sup(S), \sup(S) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}]$, the collection $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V} \cup \{U_0\}$ is a finite subset of \mathcal{U} which covers $[a, t]$. Thus, each such t is in S . In particular, $\sup(S) \in S$. On the other hand, if $\sup(S) < b$, then there is a $t \in s \in [a, b] \cap (\sup(S), \sup(S) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}]$ in violation of the least property of $\sup(S)$. Thus, $\sup(S) = b$ and moreover

$$[a, b] \subset \{U : U \in \mathcal{V}\} \cup \{U_0\}.$$

Thus $[a, b]$ is compact. \square

Do Problem 6.3 which says that a subset K of a discrete metric space X is compact if and only if K is finite. In particular, if the set K in Example 6.3 is considered with the discrete metric, then it is not Compact.

Theorem 6.6. If Y is a metric space and $K \subset X \subset Y$, then K is compact in X if and only if K is compact in Y .

Remark 6.7. The proposition says that compactness is intrinsic and thus, unlike for open and closed sets, we we can speak of compact sets without reference to a larger ambient metric space. \diamond

Proof. First suppose K is compact in X . To prove K is compact in Y , let $\mathcal{U} \subset P(Y)$ an open (in Y) cover of K be given. Let $\mathcal{W} = \{U \cap X : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Then $\mathcal{W} \subset P(X)$ is an open (in X) cover of K . Hence there is a finite subset \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} such that $\{U \cap X : U \in \mathcal{V}\}$ covers K . It follows that \mathcal{V} is a finite subset of \mathcal{U} which covers K and hence K is compact as a subset of Y .

Conversely, suppose K is compact in Y . To prove that K is compact in X , let $\mathcal{U} \subset P(X)$ be a given open (in X) cover of K . For each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists an open in Y set W_U such that $U = X \cap W_U$. The collection $\mathcal{W} = \{W_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \subset P(Y)$ is an open cover of X . Hence there is a finite subset \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} such that $\{W_U : U \in \mathcal{V}\}$ covers K . It follows that \mathcal{V} is a finite subset of \mathcal{U} which covers K . Hence K is compact in X . \square

Do Problems 6.4 and 6.5.

6.2. Compactness and closed sets.

Definition 6.8. A subset B of a metric space X is *bounded* if there exists $x \in X$ and $R > 0$ such that $B \subset N_R(x)$. \triangleleft

Equivalently, B is bounded if for every $y \in X$ there is a $C > 0$ such that $B \subset N_C(y)$.

Proposition 6.9. Compact sets are closed and bounded. \dagger

Proof. Suppose K is a compact subset of a metric space X . If \tilde{K} is empty, then it is open and K is closed. Suppose now that \tilde{K} is not empty. Let $y \notin K$ be given. Let $V_n = \{x \in X : d(x, y) > \frac{1}{n}\}$. The sets V_n are open and

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n \supset X \setminus \{y\} \supset K.$$

Since K is compact, there is an N so that

$$V_N = \bigcup_{n=1}^N V_n \supset K.$$

It follows that, for each $x \in K$, $d(x, y) > \frac{1}{N}$. Hence $N_{\frac{1}{N}}(y) \subset \tilde{K}$ and so \tilde{K} is open and K is closed.

To prove that K is bounded, fix $x_0 \in X$ and let $W_n = \{x \in X : d(x_0, x) < n\}$. Then

$$K \subset X = \bigcup W_n.$$

By compactness of K , there is an N so that $K \subset W_N$ and thus K is bounded. \square

Proposition 6.10. A closed subset of a compact set is compact. \dagger

Proof. Suppose X is a metric space, $C \subset K \subset X$, K is compact, and C is closed.

To prove C is compact, let \mathcal{U} be a given open cover of C . Then $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \cup \{\tilde{C}\}$ is an open cover of K . Hence some finite subset of \mathcal{W} covers K ; but then a finite subset of \mathcal{U} covers C . \square

Corollary 6.11. Closed bounded subsets of \mathbb{R} are compact. Thus a subset of \mathbb{R} is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded. \dagger

Proof. Suppose $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ is both closed and bounded. Since K is bounded, there is a positive real M such that $K \subset [-M, M]$. Now K is a closed subset of the compact set $[-M, M]$ and is hence itself compact. \square

It turns out that this corollary is true with \mathbb{R} replaced by \mathbb{R}^g , a result which is called the Heine-Borel Theorem. A proof, based upon the Lebesgue number Lemma, and the concomitant fact that compactness and sequential compactness are the same for a metric space, is in Subsection 6.4 below.

Remark 6.12. If X is an infinite set with the discrete metric, then X is complete (hence closed) and bounded, but not compact. Hence, in general, complete (or closed) and bounded does not imply compact. While this example may seem a bit contrived, we will encounter other more natural metric spaces for which closed or complete and bounded is not the same as compact. (See for instance Problems 6.7 and 6.6.) \diamond

6.3. Sequential Compactness.

Definition 6.13. A subset K of a metric space X is *sequentially compact* if every sequence in K has a subsequence which converges in K ; i.e., if (a_n) is a sequence from K , then there exists $p \in K$ and a subsequence $(a_{n_j})_j$ of (a_n) which converges to p . \triangleleft

Remark 6.14. The notion of sequentially compact does not actually depend upon the larger metric space X , just the metric space K . \diamond

Proposition 6.15. If X is sequentially compact, then X is complete. \dagger

Problem 6.8 asks you to provide a proof of this Proposition.

Proposition 6.16. Let X be a metric space. If X is compact, then X is sequentially compact. \dagger

Proof. Let (s_n) be a given sequence from X . If there is an $s \in X$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ the set $J_\epsilon(s) = \{n : s_n \in N_\epsilon(s)\}$ is infinite, then, by Proposition 4.25, (s_n) has a convergent subsequence (namely one that converges to s).

Arguing by contradiction, suppose for each $s \in X$ there is an $\epsilon_s > 0$ such that $J(s) = \{n : s_n \in N_{\epsilon_s}(s)\}$ is a finite set. The collection $\{N_{\epsilon_s}(s) : s \in X\}$ is an open cover of X . Since X is compact there is a finite subset $F \subset X$ such that $\mathcal{V} = \{N_{\epsilon_t}(t) : t \in F\}$ is a cover of X ; i.e.,

$$X \subset \cup\{N_{\epsilon_t}(t) : t \in F\}.$$

For each n there is a $t \in F$ such that $s_n \in N_{\epsilon_t}(t)$ and thus $\mathbb{N} = \cup_{t \in F} J_{\epsilon_t}(t)$. But then, for some $u \in F$, the set $J_{\epsilon_u}(u)$ is infinite, a contradiction. \square

Do Problem 6.9.

Proposition 6.17. If X is compact, then X is complete. \dagger

Corollary 6.18. The metric space \mathbb{R} is complete. \dagger

Proof. Suppose (a_n) is a Cauchy sequence from \mathbb{R} . It follows that (a_n) is bounded and hence there is a number $R > 0$ such that each a_n is in the interval $I = [-R, R]$. Since I is compact, it is complete. Hence (a_n) converges in I and thus in \mathbb{R} . \square

The remainder of this section is devoted to proving the converse of Proposition 6.16.

Lemma 6.19. [Lebesgue number lemma] If K is a sequentially compact metric space and if \mathcal{U} is an open cover of K , then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for each $x \in K$ there is a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $N_\delta(x) \subset U$. \dagger

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Accordingly, suppose for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ there is an $x_n \in K$ such that, for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $N_{\frac{1}{n}}(x_n)$ is not a subset of U . The sequence (x_n) has a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ which converges to some $w \in K$ because K is sequentially compact. There is a $W \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $w \in W$. Hence there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $N_\epsilon(w) \subset W$. Choose k so that $\frac{1}{n_k} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and also so that $d(x_{n_k}, w) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then $N_{\frac{1}{n_k}}(x_{n_k}) \subset N_\epsilon(w) \subset W$, a contradiction. \square

Definition 6.20. A metric space X is *totally bounded* if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a finite set $F \subset X$ such that

$$X = \cup_{x \in F} N_\epsilon(x).$$

◁

Proposition 6.21. If X is sequentially compact, then X is totally bounded. \dagger

Proof. We prove the contrapositive. Accordingly, suppose X is not totally bounded. Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every finite subset F of X ,

$$X \neq \cup_{x \in F} N_\epsilon(x).$$

Choose $x_1 \in X$. Choose $x_2 \notin N_\epsilon(x_1)$. Recursively choose,

$$x_{n+1} \notin \cup_1^n N_\epsilon(x_j).$$

The sequence (x_n) has no convergent subsequence since, for $j \neq k$, $d(x_k, x_j) \geq \epsilon$. Thus X is not sequentially compact. \square

Proposition 6.22. If X is sequentially compact, then X is compact. \dagger

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be a given open cover of X . From the Lebesgue Number Lemma, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for each $x \in X$ there is a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $N_\delta(x) \subset U$.

Since X is totally bounded, there exists a finite set $F \subset X$ so that

$$X = \cup_{x \in F} N_\delta(x).$$

For each $x \in F$, there is a $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $N_\delta(x) \subset U_x$. Hence,

$$X = \cup_{x \in F} U_x;$$

i.e., $\{U_x : x \in F\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ is an open cover of X . Hence X is compact. \square

6.4. The Heine-Borel theorem.

Lemma 6.23. Cubes in \mathbb{R}^g are compact. †

Proof for the case $g = 2$. Either an induction argument or an argument similar to the proof below for $g = 2$ handles the case of general d .

Consider the cube $C = [a, b] \times [c, d]$. It suffices to prove that every sequence (z_n) from C has a subsequence which converges in C ; i.e., that C is sequentially compact. To this end, let $(z_n) = (x_n, y_n)$ be a given sequence from C . Since $[a, b]$ is compact, there is a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ of (x_n) which converges to some $x \in [a, b]$. Similarly, since $[c, d]$ is compact the sequence $(y_{n_k})_k$ has a subsequence $(y_{n_{k_j}})_j$ which converges to a $y \in [c, d]$. It follows that $(z_{n_{k_j}})_j$ converges to $z = (x, y) \in C$. □

Theorem 6.24. [Heine-Borel] A subset K of \mathbb{R}^g is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded.

Proof. We have already seen that compact implies closed and bounded in any metric space.

Suppose now that K is closed and bounded. There is a cube C such that $K \subset C \subset \mathbb{R}^g$. The cube C is compact and K is a closed subset of C and is therefore compact. □

Do Problem 6.12.

Corollary 6.25. \mathbb{R}^g is complete. †

The proof is similar to that of Corollary 6.18. The details are left as an exercise for the gentle reader.

6.5. Exercises.

Exercise 6.1. Let X be a metric space. Show, if there is an $r > 0$ and sequence (x_n) from X such that $d(x_n, x_m) \geq r$ for $n \neq m$, then X is not compact.

Exercise 6.2. Suppose X has the property that each closed bounded subset of X is compact. Show X is complete.

Exercise 6.3. Show, if X is totally bounded, then X is bounded. Give an example of a bounded metric space X which is not totally bounded.

6.6. Problems.

Problem 6.1. Prove, if X is a metric space and $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in X which converges to A , then $\{A, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ is compact.

Problem 6.2. Prove a finite subset of a metric space X is compact.

More generally, prove a finite union of compact sets is compact.

Problem 6.3. Show, a subset K of a discrete metric space X is compact if and only if it is finite. In particular, if X is infinite, then X is complete (and thus closed) and bounded, but not compact.

Problem 6.4. [*The finite intersection property (fip)*] Suppose X is a compact metric space and $\mathcal{F} \subset P(X)$. Show, if each $C \in \mathcal{F}$ is closed and for each finite subset $F \subset \mathcal{F}$ the set

$$\bigcap_{C \in F} C \neq \emptyset,$$

then in fact

$$\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{F}} C \neq \emptyset.$$

As a corollary, show if $C_1 \supset C_2 \supset \dots$ is a nested decreasing sequence of non-empty compact sets in a metric space X , then $\bigcap C_j$ is non-empty too.

Show the result fails if X is not assumed compact. On the other hand, even if X is not compact, the result is true if it assumed that there is a $D \in \mathcal{F}$ which is compact. Compare with Problem 5.3.

Problem 6.5. Prove that any open cover of \mathbb{R} has an *at most countable subcover*.

More generally, prove, if there exists a sequence K_1, K_2, \dots of compact subsets of a metric space X such that $X = \bigcup K_j$, then every open cover of X has an at most countable subcover.

Problem 6.6. Let ℓ^∞ denote the set of bounded sequences $a = (a(n))$ of real numbers. The function $d : \ell^\infty \times \ell^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$d(a, b) = \sup\{|a(n) - b(n)| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a metric on ℓ^∞ .

Let e_j denote the sequence from ℓ^∞ (so a sequence of sequences) with $e_j(j) = 1$ and $e_j(k) = 0$ if $k \neq j$. Find, $d(e_j, e_\ell)$.

Let 0 denote the zero sequence in ℓ^∞ . Is

$$B = \{a \in \ell^\infty : d(a, 0) \leq 1\}$$

closed? Is it bounded? Is it compact?

As a challenge, show ℓ^∞ is complete.

Problem 6.7. This problem assumes Problem 4.17. Let ℓ^2 denote the set of sequences $(a(n))$ of real numbers such that

$$\sum_0^\infty |a(n)|^2$$

converges (to a finite number). Use the Cauchy Schwartz inequality to show, if $a, b \in \ell^2$, then

$$\langle a, b \rangle := \sum_0^{\infty} a(j)b(j)$$

converges and that $\langle a, b \rangle$ is an inner product on ℓ^2 . Let

$$d(x, y) = \|x - y\| = \sqrt{\langle x - y, x - y \rangle}$$

denote the resulting metric.

Let e_j denote the sequence with $e_j(j) = 1$ and $e_j(k) = 0$ if $j \neq k$. What is $d(e_j, e_k)$? Does the sequence (of sequences) (e_j) have a convergent subsequence? Let 0 denote the zero sequence. Is the set

$$B = \{x \in \ell^2 : d(x, 0) \leq 1\}$$

closed? Is it bounded? Is it compact?

As a challenge, prove that ℓ^2 is complete.

Problem 6.8. Prove Proposition 6.15. (See Problem 5.1.)

Problem 6.9. Suppose K is a nonempty compact subset of a metric space X and $x \in X$. Show, there is a point $p \in K$ such that, for all other $q \in K$,

$$d(p, x) \leq d(q, x).$$

[Suggestion: Let $S = \{d(x, y) : y \in K\}$ and show there is a sequence (q_n) from K such that $(d(x, q_n))$ converges to $\inf(S)$.]

Give an example where this conclusion fails if the hypothesis that K is compact is replaced by K is closed and bounded.

Problem 6.10. Suppose B is a compact subset of a metric space X and $a \notin B$. Show there exists disjoint open sets U and V such that $a \in U$ and $B \subset V$. Suggestion, first use Problem 6.9 to show, for each $b \in B$ there is an $\epsilon_b > 0$ such that $N_{\epsilon_b}(b) \cap N_{\epsilon_b}(a) = \emptyset$.

Problem 6.11. Show if A and B are disjoint compact sets in a metric space X , then there exists disjoint open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$. Suggestion, by the previous problem, for each $a \in A$ there exists disjoint open sets U_a and V_a such that $a \in U_a$ and $B \subset V_a$.

Problem 6.12. Show that K compact can be replaced by K closed in Problem 6.9 in the case that $X = \mathbb{R}^g$.

Problem 6.13. Given metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) let Z denote the metric space built from X and Y as in Problem 3.1. Show, if X and Y are compact, then so is $X \times Y$.

7. CONNECTED SETS

Definition 7.1. A metric space X is *disconnected* if there exists sets $U, V \subset X$ such that

- (i) U and V are open;
- (ii) $U \cap V = \emptyset$;
- (iii) $X = U \cup V$; and
- (iv) $U \neq \emptyset \neq V$;

The metric space X is *connected* if it is not disconnected.

A *subset S of X is connected* if the metric space (subspace) S is connected. \triangleleft

Do Problem 7.1.

Remark 7.2. A metric space X is connected if and only if the only subsets of X which are both open and closed are X and \emptyset .

By Proposition 3.18, subsets U_0 and V_0 of S are open relative to S if and only if there exists subsets U, V of X which are open (in X) such that $U_0 = U \cap S$ and $V_0 = V \cap S$. Thus, a subset S of a metric space X is connected if and only if given subsets U and V of X such that

- (i) U and V are open;
- (ii) $U \cap S \cap V = \emptyset$; and
- (iii) $S \subset U \cup V$

it follows that either $U \cap S$ or $V \cap S$ is empty.

Note, if U, V satisfy (ii) and (iii), then $\tilde{V} \cap S = U \cap S$. \diamond

Problem 7.2 gives an alternate condition for a subset S of a metric space X to be connected in terms of subsets of X . Do also Problem 7.3.

Proposition 7.3. A nonempty subset I of \mathbb{R} is connected if and only if $x, y \in I$ and $x < z < y$ implies $z \in I$.

In particular, intervals in \mathbb{R} are connected. \dagger

Proof. Suppose I has the property that $x, y \in I$ and $x < z < y$ implies $z \in I$. To prove that I is connected, it suffices to show, if $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfy condition (i), (ii), and (iii) in Remark 7.2, then either $U \cap I$ or $V \cap I$ is empty. Arguing by contradiction, suppose $U \cap I$ and $V \cap I$ are both non-empty and choose $u \in U \cap I$ and $v \in V \cap I$. Without loss of generality, $u < v$. By hypothesis $[u, v] \subset I$. Consider $A = U \cap [u, v]$ and $B = V \cap [u, v]$ and observe that $A \cup B = [u, v]$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Hence $\tilde{B} \cap [u, v] = A$ and therefore, as $\tilde{B} = \tilde{V} \cup [u, v]$, $A = \tilde{V} \cap [u, v]$. In particular, A is closed and bounded. It follows that A has a largest element $a \in A$. Since $v \in B$, we find $a < v$. Since U is open, there is

an ϵ such that $v - a > \epsilon > 0$ and $N_\epsilon(a) \subset U$. In particular, $(a, a + \epsilon) \subset U \cap [u, v] = A$. But then say $a + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \in A$, a contradiction.

To prove the converse, suppose there exists $x, y \in I$ and $z \notin I$ such that $x < z < y$. In this case, let $U = (-\infty, z)$ and $V = (z, \infty)$. Then $U \cap V = \emptyset$, U and V are open, $U \cap I$ and $V \cap I$ are nonempty, and $I \subset U \cup V$, thus I is not connected. \square

Do Problem 7.4.

Proposition 7.4. If \mathcal{C} is a nonempty collection of connected subsets of a metric space X and if

$$\bigcap \{C : C \in \mathcal{C}\} \neq \emptyset,$$

then $\Gamma = \bigcup \{C : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is connected. \dagger

Proof. Suppose $U, V \subset X$ are open, $U \cap \Gamma \cap V = \emptyset$, and $\Gamma \subset U \cup V$. It suffices to show that either $\Gamma \cap U = \emptyset$ or $\Gamma \cap V = \emptyset$. Arguing by contradiction, suppose both are not empty. Then there exists $C_U, C_V \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $C_U \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $C_V \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Now U, V are open; $C_U \subset U \cup V$; and $U \cap C_U \cap V \subset U \cap \Gamma \cap V = \emptyset$. Thus, since C_U is connected, either $C_U \cap U = \emptyset$ or $C_U \cap V = \emptyset$. It follows that $C_U \cap V = \emptyset$ and hence $C_U \subset U$. By symmetry, $C_V \subset V$ and thus,

$$C_U \cap C_V \subset U \cap \Gamma \cap V = \emptyset,$$

contradicting the assumption that the intersection of the sets C in \mathcal{C} is nonempty. \square

Do Problems 7.5 and 7.6.

Corollary 7.5. Given a point x in a subset S of a metric space X there is a largest connected set C_x containing x and contained in S ; i.e.,

- (i) $x \in C_x \subset S$,
- (ii) $C_x \subset X$ is connected; and
- (iii) if $x \in D \subset S$ and $D \subset X$ is connected, then $D \subset C_x$.

\dagger

The set C_x of the Corollary is called the *connected component* containing x .

Proof. Note that $\{x\}$ is connected. Let \mathcal{C} denote the collection of connected sets containing x and contained in S and apply the previous proposition to conclude that $\Gamma = \bigcup \{C : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is connected. By construction, if D is connected and $x \in D$, then $D \subset \Gamma$. \square

Do Problems 7.7, 7.8 and 7.9.

7.1. Exercises.

Exercise 7.1. Determine the connected subsets of a discrete metric space.

Exercise 7.2. Let $I = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$. If $0 < x < 1$, is $I \setminus \{x\}$ connected?

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ denote the unit circle, $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$. If $x \in S$, is $S \setminus \{x\}$ connected? If $x \neq y$ are both in S , is $S \setminus \{x, y\}$ connected?

Let $R \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ denote the unit square $R = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. If $F \subset R$ is finite, is $R \setminus F$ connected?

Exercise 7.3. Let $S = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}^+\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and let

$$C = (K \times [0, 1]) \cup ([0, 1] \times \{0\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Draw a picture of C . Is it connected?

Let $D = C \cup \{(0, 1)\}$. Is D connected? Can you draw a path from $(0, 0)$ to $(0, 1)$ without leaving D ?

Exercise 7.4. Show if A, B, C are connected subsets of X and $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$, then $A \cup B \cup C$ is connected. A more general statement, requiring a more elaborate proof, can be found in Problem 7.5.

7.2. Problems.

Problem 7.1. Show singleton sets are connected, but finite sets with more than one element are not.

Problem 7.2. Prove, $S \subset X$ is disconnected if and only if there exists subsets $A, B \subset X$ such that

- (i) both A and B are nonempty;
- (ii) $A \cup B = S$;
- (iii) $\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset$; and
- (iv) $A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$.

(Here the closures are taken with respect to X .) You may wish to use Problem 3.4.

Problem 7.3. Show, if S is a connected subset of a metric space X , then \overline{S} is also connected. In fact, each $S \subset T \subset \overline{S}$ is connected.

Problem 7.4. Suppose $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is open. Prove that I is also connected if and only if either

- (i) I is an open interval;
- (ii) there is an $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $I = (a, \infty)$;
- (iii) there is a $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $I = (-\infty, b)$; or
- (iv) I is empty or all of \mathbb{R} .

The term *open interval* is expanded to refer to a set of any of the above forms.

Problem 7.5. Prove the following stronger variant of Proposition 7.4. Suppose \mathcal{C} is a nonempty collection of connected subsets of a metric space X and $B \in \mathcal{C}$. and if, for each $A \in \mathcal{C}$, $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then $\Gamma = \cup\{C : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is connected.

Problem 7.6. Must the intersection of two connected sets be connected?

Problem 7.7. Let X be a metric space. For each $x \in X$, let C_x denote the connected component containing x . Prove that the collection $\{C_x : x \in X\}$ is a partition of X ; i.e., if $x, y \in X$ then either $C_x = C_y$ or $C_x \cap C_y = \emptyset$ and $X = \cup_{x \in X} C_x$.

Problem 7.8. Prove, if $O \subset \mathbb{R}$ is open, then each connected component of O is open; i.e., if $U \subset O$ is connected in \mathbb{R} and if $U \subset V \subset O$ is connected implies $U = V$, then U is open.

Problem 7.9. Prove that every open subset O of \mathbb{R} is a disjoint union of open intervals (in the sense of Problem 7.4). Further show that this union is at most countable by noting that each component must contain a rational.

8. CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

8.1. Definitions and Examples.

Definition 8.1. Suppose X, Y are metric spaces, $a \in X$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$. The function f is *continuous at a* if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $d_X(a, x) < \delta$, then $d_Y(f(a), f(x)) < \epsilon$.

If f is continuous at every point $a \in X$, then f is said to be *continuous*. ◁

Example 8.2. (a) Constant functions are continuous.

(b) For a metric space X , the identity function $id : X \rightarrow X$ given by $id(x) = x$ is continuous.

(c) If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous and $Z \subset X$, then $f|_Z : Z \rightarrow Y$ is continuous.

(d) The function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x) = 1$ if $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $f(x) = 0$ if $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ is nowhere continuous.

To prove this last statement, given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, choose $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2}$.

(e) The function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = 0$ if $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and $f(x) = \frac{1}{q}$, where $x = \frac{p}{q}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}^+$, and $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, is continuous precisely at the irrational points.

Lets prove that f is continuous at irrational points, leaving the fact that it is not continuous at each rational point as an easy exercise.

Suppose $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ($x \in [0, 1]$) and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ so that $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$. Let

$$\delta = \min\{|x - \frac{m}{n}| : m, n \leq N, m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}.$$

This minimum exists and is positive since it is a minimum over a finite set and 0 is not an element of the set (since $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$). If $|x - y| < \delta$ and $y \in [0, 1]$, then either $y \notin \mathbb{Q}$ in which case $|f(x) - f(y)| = |0 - 0| = 0$; or $y \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $y = \frac{p}{q}$ (in reduced form) where $q > N$ in which case $|f(x) - f(y)| = \frac{1}{q} < \epsilon$.

(f) If X is a metric space and $a \in X$, then the function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x) = d(a, x)$ is continuous.

Fix x and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $\delta = \epsilon$. If $d(x, y) < \delta$, then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |d(x, a) - d(a, y)| \leq d(x, y) < \delta = \epsilon.$$

(g) Given $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^g$, the function $p_\gamma : \mathbb{R}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$p_\gamma(x) = \langle x, \gamma \rangle$$

is continuous. △

Do Problems [8.1](#) and [8.2](#).

Proposition 8.3. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(U) \subset X$ is open for every open set $U \subset Y$. †

Note that the result doesn't change if Y is replaced by any Z with $f(X) \subset Z \subset Y$.

Proof. Suppose f is continuous and $U \subset Y$ is open. To prove $f^{-1}(U)$ is open, let $x \in f^{-1}(U)$ be given. Since U is open and $f(x) \in U$, there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $N_\epsilon(f(x)) \subset U$. Since f is continuous at x , there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $d_X(x, z) < \delta$, then $d_Y(f(x), f(z)) < \epsilon$. Thus, if $z \in N_\delta(x)$, then $f(z) \in N_\epsilon(f(x)) \subset U$ and thus $z \in f^{-1}(U)$. Hence $N_\delta(x) \subset f^{-1}(U)$. We have proved that $f^{-1}(U)$ is open.

Conversely, suppose that $f^{-1}(U)$ is open in X whenever U is open in Y . Let $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. The set $U = N_\epsilon(f(x))$ is open and thus $f^{-1}(U)$ is also open. Since $x \in f^{-1}(U)$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $N_\delta(x) \subset f^{-1}(U)$; i.e., if $d_X(x, z) < \delta$, then $f(z) \in U$ which means $d_Y(f(x), f(z)) < \epsilon$. Hence f is continuous at x ; and thus f is continuous. □

Corollary 8.4. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(C)$ is closed (in X) for every closed set C (in Y). †

Do Problems 8.3 and 8.4. See also Problem 3.9.

Proposition 8.5. Suppose X, Y, Z are metric spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$. If both f and g are continuous, then so is $h = g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$. †

Proof. Let V an open subset of Z be given. Since g is continuous, $U = g^{-1}(V)$ is open in Y . Since f is continuous, $f^{-1}(U)$ is open in X . Thus, $h^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(U)$ is open and hence h is continuous. □

There are local versions of Propositions 8.5 and 8.3 (See Problems 8.6 and 8.5). Here is a sample whose proof is left to the reader.

Proposition 8.6. Suppose X, Y, Z are metric spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$. If f is continuous at a and g is continuous at $b = f(a)$, then $h = g \circ f$ is continuous at a . †

8.2. Continuity and Limits.

Definition 8.7. Let S be a subset of a metric space X . A point $p \in X$ is a *limit point* of S if, for every $\delta > 0$, the set $S \cap N_\delta(p)$ is infinite.

A point $p \in S$ is an *isolated point* of S if p is not a limit point of S . ◁

Do Exercise 8.1 and compare with Problem 4.5.

Example 8.8. (a) If $S \neq \emptyset$ is an open set in \mathbb{R}^g , then every point of S is a limit point of S . In fact, as an exercise, show in this case the set of limit points of S is the closure of S .

(b) The set \mathbb{Z} in \mathbb{R} has no limit points.

(c) The only limit point of the set $\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ is 0. ◻

Definition 8.9. Let X and Y be metric spaces and let $a \in X$ and $b \in Y$. Suppose a is a limit point of X and either $f : X \rightarrow Y$ or $f : X \setminus \{a\} \rightarrow Y$. Then f has *limit b as x approaches a* , written

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = b,$$

if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a δ such that if $0 < d_X(a, x) < \delta$, then $d_Y(b, f(x)) < \epsilon$. ◁

Remark 8.10. The limit b , if it exists, is unique. ◊

Proposition 8.11. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $a \in X$ is a limit point of X . The function f is continuous at a if and only if $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ exists and equals $f(a)$.

If $f : X \setminus \{a\} \rightarrow Y$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ exists and equals b , then the function $g : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $g(x) = f(x)$ for $x \neq a$ and $g(a) = b$ is continuous at a .

If a is not a limit point of X and $h : X \rightarrow Y$, then h is continuous at a . †

Proposition 8.12. Suppose $a \in X$ and $f : W \rightarrow Y$, where $W = X$ or $W = X \setminus \{a\}$. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = b$ and if $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is continuous at b , then $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g \circ f(x) = g(b)$. In particular, if f is continuous at a and g is continuous at $f(a)$, then $g \circ f$ is continuous at a . †

Proof. The function $h : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $h(x) = f(x)$ if $x \neq a$ and $h(a) = b$ is continuous at a by Proposition 8.11. Hence $g \circ h$ is continuous at a by Proposition 8.5. It follows that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g \circ f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} g \circ h(x) = g(h(a)) = g(b).$$

□

For a variation on this composition law for limits, see Problem 8.7.

The following Proposition gives a sequential formulation of limit.

Proposition 8.13. Suppose X is a metric space, a is a limit point of X , and $f : Z \rightarrow Y$ where Z is either X or $X \setminus \{a\}$. The limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ exists and equals $b \in Y$ if and only if for every sequence (a_n) from Z which converges to a , $(f(a_n))$ converges to b .

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$, then f is continuous at a if and only if for every sequence (a_n) from $X \setminus \{a\}$ converging to a , $(f(a_n))$ converges to $f(a)$. †

Proof. To prove the first part of the lemma in the case $Z = X \setminus \{a\}$, first suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = b$ and (a_n) converges to a . To see that $(f(a_n))$ converges to b , let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. There is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $0 < d_X(a, x) < \delta$, then $d_Y(b, f(x)) < \epsilon$. There is an N so that if $n \geq N$, then $0 < d_X(a, a_n) < \delta$. Hence, if $n \geq N$, then $d_Y(b, f(a_n)) < \epsilon$ and thus $(f(a_n))$ converges to b .

Conversely, suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \neq b$. Then there is an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for each n there exists a_n such that $d_X(a, a_n) < \frac{1}{n}$, but $d_Y(b, f(a_n)) \geq \epsilon_0$. The sequence (a_n) converges to a , but $(f(a_n))$ does not converge to b .

The second part of the proposition follows readily from the first part. □

8.3. Continuity of Rational Operations.

Proposition 8.14. Let X be a metric space and $a \in X$ be a limit point of X . Suppose $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ where Y is either X or $X \setminus \{a\}$. Write $f = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$ with $f_j : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ exists and equals $A = (A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ if and only if, for each j , the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f_j(x)$ exists and equals A_j . In particular, if $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, then f is continuous at a if and only if each f_j is continuous at a . †

Proof. Let (a_n) be a given sequence from $X \setminus \{a\}$ which converges to a . By Proposition 4.17, the sequence $A_n = f(a_n)$ converges to A if and only if $(f_j(a_n))_n$ converges to A_j for each j . An application of Proposition 8.13 thus completes the proof. □

Proposition 8.15. Suppose $a \in X$ is a limit point of the metric space X , W is either X or $X \setminus \{a\}$ and $f, g : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x)$ exist and equal A and B respectively, then

- (i) $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \cdot g(x) = A \cdot B$;
- (ii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} (f + g)(x) = A + B$;
- (iii) if $k = 1$, g is never 0 and $B \neq 0$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{1}{g(x)} = \frac{1}{B}$.

†

Proof. To prove item (i), suppose (a_n) is a sequence in $X \setminus \{a\}$ which converges to a . From Proposition 8.13, $(f(a_n))$ and $(g(a_n))$ converge to A and B respectively. Hence $(f(a_n) \cdot g(a_n))$ converges to $A \cdot B$, by Proposition 4.20. Finally, another application of Proposition 8.13 completes the proof.

The proofs of the other items are similar. □

Corollary 8.16. If $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ are continuous at a , then so are $f \cdot g$ and $f + g$. If $k = 1$ and g is never 0, then $\frac{1}{g}$ is continuous at a . †

Example 8.17. For each j , the function $\pi_j : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\pi_j(x) = x_j$ is continuous since it can be expressed as

$$\pi_j(x) = \langle x, e_j \rangle = x \cdot e_j,$$

where e_j is the j -th standard basis vector of \mathbb{R}^d ; i.e., e_j has a 1 in the j -th entry and 0 elsewhere.

If $p(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ and $q(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ are polynomials, then the rational function

$$r(x) = \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$$

is continuous (wherever it is defined). △

Do Problems 8.8 and 8.9.

8.4. Continuity and Compactness.

Proposition 8.18. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous and X is compact, then $f(X)$ is compact; i.e., the continuous image of a compact set is compact. †

Proof. Let \mathcal{W} be a given open cover of $f(X)$. Then,

$$\mathcal{U} = \{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{W}\}$$

is an open cover of X . Hence there is a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{W}$ such that $\{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a cover of X .

Using the fact that $f(f^{-1}(B)) \subset B$, it follows that

$$\cup\{U : U \in \mathcal{F}\} \supset \cup\{f(f^{-1}(U)) : U \in \mathcal{F}\} = f(\cup\{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{F}\}) \supset f(X).$$

Thus, $\{U : U \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a finite subcover of $f(X)$. □

Do Problem 8.10.

Corollary 8.19 (Extreme Value Theorem). If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and X is non-empty and compact, then there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_0) \geq f(x)$ for all $x \in X$; i.e., f has a maximum on X . †

Proof. By the previous proposition, the set $f(X)$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{R} . It is also non-empty. In view of Proposition 3.25, non-empty compact subsets of \mathbb{R} have a largest element; i.e., there is an $M \in f(X)$ such that $M \geq f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Since $M \in f(X)$, there is an $x_0 \in X$ such that $M = f(x_0)$. □

Return to Problem 6.9.

Corollary 8.20. If X is compact, and if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is one-one, onto and continuous, then f^{-1} is continuous. †

Proof. Let $C \subset X$, a closed set, be given. Since X is compact, so is C . Hence $f(C)$ is compact and thus closed in Y . Thus $(f^{-1})^{-1}(C) = f(C)$ is closed. It follows, from Corollary 8.4 that f^{-1} is continuous. □

Example 8.21. Let $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ and define $f : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by $f(t) = \exp(it) = (\cos(t), \sin(t))$. Then f is continuous and invertible, but f^{-1} is not continuous at 1.

In fact, if $g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, 2\pi)$ is continuous, then it is not onto since its image $g(\mathbb{T})$ will then be a compact, and hence proper, subset of $[0, 2\pi)$. △

8.5. Uniform Continuity and Compactness.

Definition 8.22. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *uniformly continuous* if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $x, y \in X$ and $d_X(x, y) < \delta$, then $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$.

Given $S \subset X$, f is *uniformly continuous on S* if $f|_S : S \rightarrow Y$ is uniformly continuous. \triangleleft

Proposition 8.23. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous on X and if X is compact, then f is uniformly continuous on X . \dagger

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. For each $x \in X$ there is a $r_x > 0$ such that if $d_X(x, y) < r_x$, then $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

The collection $\mathcal{U} = \{N_{\frac{r_x}{2}}(x) : x \in X\}$ is an open cover of X . Since X is compact, there is a finite subset $F \subset X$ such that $\mathcal{V} = \{N_{\frac{r_x}{2}}(s) : s \in F\}$ is a cover of X .

Let $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \min\{r_x : x \in F\}$. Suppose $y, z \in X$ and $d_X(y, z) < \delta$. There is an $x \in F$ such that $y \in N_{\frac{r_x}{2}}(x)$; i.e., $d_X(x, y) < \frac{r_x}{2}$. Hence

$$d_X(x, z) \leq d_X(x, y) + d_X(y, z) < \frac{r_x}{2} + \delta \leq r_x.$$

Consequently,

$$d_Y(f(y), f(z)) \leq d_Y(f(y), f(x)) + d_Y(f(x), f(z)) < \epsilon.$$

□

For an alternate proof of

Example 8.24. The function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x) = x^2$ is not uniformly continuous.

Choose $\epsilon_0 = 1$. Given $\delta > 0$, let $x = \frac{2}{\delta}$ and $y = \frac{2}{\delta} + \frac{\delta}{2}$. Then $|x - y| < \delta$, but,

$$|f(y) - f(x)| = 2 + \frac{\delta^2}{4} \geq \epsilon_0 = 1.$$

On the other hand, the function from Problem 8.1 is uniformly continuous. \triangle

Do Problems 8.12 and 8.11.

8.6. Continuity and Connectedness.

Proposition 8.25. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous and X is connected, then $f(X)$ is connected. \dagger

Proof. Suppose U and V are open subsets of $f(X)$ such that $f(X) = U \cup V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

The sets $A = f^{-1}(U)$ and $B = f^{-1}(V)$ are open, $X = A \cup B$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$ (since if $x \in A \cap B$, then $f(x) \in U \cap V$). Hence, without loss of generality, $A = X$. Hence, $f(A) = f(X) = f(f^{-1}(U)) \subset U$ and $V = \emptyset$. It follows that $f(X)$ is connected. \square

Example 8.26. Returning to Example 8.21, there does not exist a one-one onto continuous mapping $f : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. If there were, then $g = f^{-1}$ would be a continuous one-one mapping of \mathbb{T} onto $[0, 2\pi]$. Let $z = f(\pi)$ and $Z = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{z\}$. Now Z is connected and $g|_Z : Z \rightarrow [0, \pi) \cup (\pi, 2\pi]$ is one-one and onto. But then $g|_Z(Z) = [0, \pi) \cup (\pi, 2\pi]$ is connected which is a contradiction. \triangle

Do Problems 8.13, 8.14, and 8.15.

Corollary 8.27. [Intermediate Value Theorem] If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $f(a) < 0 < f(b)$, then there is a point $a < c < b$, such that $f(c) = 0$. \dagger

Definition 8.28. Let S denote a subset of \mathbb{R} . A function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is increasing (synonymously *non-decreasing*) if $x, y \in S$ and $x \leq y$ implies $f(x) \leq f(y)$. The function is strictly increasing if $x, y \in S$ and $x < y$ implies $f(x) < f(y)$. \triangleleft

Corollary 8.29. If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and increasing, then $f([a, b]) = [f(a), f(b)]$. \dagger

Example 8.30. Returning to the discussion in Subsection 2.4, fix a positive integer n and let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ denote the function with rule $f(x) = x^n$. To show that f is onto, let $y \in [0, \infty)$ be given. With b the larger of 1 and y , consider $g = f|_{[0, b]} : [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Since $f(b) \geq y$, it follows that y is in the interval $[0, g(b)]$. By Corollary 8.29, y is in the range of g and hence in the range of f . The conclusion is then that positive numbers have n -th roots. \triangle

8.6.1. *More on connectedness - optional.* The following property of a metric space X is sometimes expressed by saying that X is *completely normal*. It is evidently stronger than the statement that disjoint closed sets can be separated by disjoint open sets, a property known as *normality*. Compare with Problem 6.11.

Proposition 8.31. If A, B are subset of a metric space such that $\overline{A} \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $A \cap \overline{B} \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $U, V \subset X$ such that

- (i) U and V are open;
- (ii) $A \subset U, B \subset V$; and

(iii) $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

†

Proof. If either A or B is empty, then the result is immediate. Accordingly, suppose that $A \neq \emptyset$ and $B \neq \emptyset$ and of course that $\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset$ and $\overline{B} \cap A = \emptyset$. By Problem 8.1, the function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(x) = d(x; B) - d(x; A)$$

is continuous. Observe, if $x \in A$, then $x \notin \overline{B}$ and hence $d(x; A) = 0$, but $d(x; B) > 0$ by Problem 3.9. Thus, $f(x) > 0$ for $x \in A$. Similarly, $f(x) < 0$ for $x \in B$. Let $U = f^{-1}(0, \infty)$ and $V = f^{-1}(-\infty, 0)$. It follows that U and V are open, $A \subset U$, $B \subset V$, and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Thus U and V satisfy conditions (i)–(iv). \square

Remark 8.32. Proposition 8.31 gives another characterization of connected subsets S of a metric space X . Namely, S is not connected if and only if there exist nonempty, open, disjoint subsets U, V of X such that $S \subset U \cup V$. \diamond

8.7. Exercises.

Exercise 8.1. Let S be a subset of the metric space X and suppose $p \in X$. Explain why the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) p is a limit point of S ;
- (ii) For every $\delta > 0$ the set $(S \setminus \{p\}) \cap N_\delta(p) \neq \emptyset$; and
- (iii) There is a sequence (s_n) from $S \setminus \{p\}$ which converges to p .

Explain why $p \in S$ is an isolated point of S if and only if the set $\{p\}$ is an open set in S ; i.e., open relative to S .

Exercise 8.2. Show that $f : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ is continuous, but not uniformly so.

Exercise 8.3. Use the Intermediate Value Theorem 8.27 along with Corollary 8.20 to argue that the function $\sqrt[n]{\cdot}$ is continuous.

Exercise 8.4. Use Exercise 8.3 to show if the sequence (a_n) of non-negative real numbers converges to A , and $r = \frac{m}{n}$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$) is a rational number, then (a_n^r) converges to A^r .

Exercise 8.5. Give an alternate proof of the statement of Problem 6.9 using Example 8.2(f) and Corollary 8.19.

Exercise 8.6. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, the point $a \in X$ is an accumulation point of X and $A \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Show, if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} [f(x) - A] = 0$$

(that is that the indicated limit exists and is 0), then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = A.$$

8.8. Problems.

Problem 8.1. Let A be a nonempty subset of a metric space X . Define $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $f(x) = \inf\{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$. Prove that f is continuous.

Problem 8.2. Let X be a metric space and Y a discrete metric space.

- (i) Determine all continuous functions $f : Y \rightarrow X$.
- (ii) Determine all continuous functions $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y$;

Problem 8.3. Prove Corollary 8.4.

Problem 8.4. Show, if $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then the zero set of f ,

$$Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$$

is a closed set.

Show that the set

$$\{(x, y) : xy = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

is a closed set.

Problem 8.5. Prove the following local version of Proposition 8.3.

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $a \in X$. The function f is continuous at a if and only if for every open set U containing $b = f(a)$, there is an open set V containing a so that $V \subset f^{-1}(U)$.

Problem 8.6. Prove Proposition 8.6.

Problem 8.7. Suppose X is a metric space, $a \in X$ is a limit point of X and $f : X \setminus \{a\} \rightarrow Y$. Show, if

- (a) $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ exists and equals b ;
- (b) $g : Z \rightarrow X$ is continuous at c ;
- (c) $g(c) = a$; and
- (d) $g(z) \neq a$ for $z \neq c$,

then

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow c} f \circ g(z) = b.$$

Problem 8.8. Define $f : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = \sin(\frac{1}{x})$. Show

- (i) f does not have a limit at 0;
- (ii) does $g(x) = xf(x)$ have a limit at 0;
- (iii) more generally, show if $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at 0 and $h(0) = 0$, then hf has a limit at 0.

Problem 8.9. Define $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} & (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$$

Is f continuous at $0 = (0, 0)$?

Define $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy}{x^2+y^2} & (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$$

Is g continuous at $0 = (0, 0)$?

Problem 8.10. Suppose X is compact and $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Let Z denote the metric space $Z = X \times Y$ with distance function

$$d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \max\{d_X(x_1, x_2), d_Y(y_1, y_2)\}.$$

Prove, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, then $F : X \rightarrow Z$ defined by $F(x) = (x, f(x))$ is also continuous.

Prove, if f is continuous, then the graph of f ,

$$\text{graph}(f) = \{(x, f(x)) \in Z : x \in X\} \subset Z$$

is compact.

As a challenge, show, if the graph of f is compact, then f is continuous. As a suggestion, consider the function $H : \text{graph}(f) \rightarrow X$ defined by $H(x, f(x)) = x$.

Problem 8.11. Prove if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is uniformly continuous and (a_n) is a Cauchy sequence from X , then $(f(a_n))$ is Cauchy in Y .

Problem 8.12. Given a metric space Y , a point $L \in Y$, and $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow Y$, f has limit $L \in Y$ at infinity, written,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = L,$$

if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $C > 0$ such that if $x > C$, then $d_Y(f(x), L) < \epsilon$.

Prove, if $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow Y$ is continuous and has a limit at infinity, then f is uniformly continuous.

Problem 8.13. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *homeomorphism* if it is one-one and onto and both f and f^{-1} are continuous.

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a homeomorphism. Show, if $Z \subset X$, then $f|_Z : Z \rightarrow f(Z)$ is also a homeomorphism. In particular, if Z is connected, then so is $f(Z)$.

Problem 8.14. Does there exist a continuous onto function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$?

Does there exist a continuous onto function $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$?

Problem 8.15. Suppose $f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Prove, if f is continuous, then f is not one-one.

Problem 8.16. Let $I = (c, d)$ be an interval and suppose $a \in I$. Let E denote either I or $I \setminus \{a\}$ and suppose $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say f has a limit as x approaches a from the right (above) if the function $f|_{(a,d)} : (a, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a limit at a . The limit, if it exists, is denoted,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} f(x) = \lim_{a < x \rightarrow a} f(x).$$

The limit from the left (below) is defined similarly.

Show f has a limit at a if and only if both the limits from the right and left at a exist and are equal.

Problem 8.17. Suppose $f : (c, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is monotone increasing and $c < a < d$. Show, f has a limit from the left at a and this limit is

$$\sup\{f(t) : c < t < a\}.$$

Problem 8.18. Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ is one-one and onto and (strictly) monotone increasing. Prove f is continuous.

Problem 8.19. A function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a *contraction mapping* if there is an $0 \leq r < 1$ such that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq rd(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

A point p is a *fixed point* of f if $f(p) = p$.

Prove that a contraction mapping can have at most one fixed point.

Prove, if f is a contraction mapping and X is complete, then f has a (unique) fixed point. In fact, show, for any point $x \in X$, the sequence (x_n) defined recursively by $x_0 = x$ and $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$ converges to this fixed point. (See Proposition 5.11.)

Problem 8.20. Suppose K is compact and $f : K \rightarrow K$. Show, if f is continuous, then the function $g : K \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

$$g(x) = d(f(x), x)$$

attains its infimum (achieves a minimum). Show further that if $g(z)$ is the minimum value, then

$$d(f(f(z)), f(z)) \geq d(f(z), z).$$

Show that x is a fixed point of f if and only if $g(x) = 0$.

Suppose now that f satisfies

$$d(f(x), f(y)) < d(x, y)$$

for all $x \neq y$ in K .

Prove f has a unique fixed point.

Show by example, that the hypothesis that K is compact can not be dropped.

Problem 8.21. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ maps convergent sequences to convergent sequences; i.e., if (a_n) converges in X , then $(f(a_n))$ converges in Y .

Show, if (a_n) converges to a , and (b_n) is the sequence defined by $b_{2n} = a_n$ and $b_{2n+1} = a$, then (b_n) converges to a . Now prove that $f(b_n)$ converges to $f(a)$.

Prove f is continuous.

Problem 8.22 (Pasting Lemma). Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $X = S \cup T$, where S and T are closed. Show, if the restriction of f to both S and T is continuous, then f is continuous. The same is true if both S and T are open.

Problem 8.23. Show, if $f : X \rightarrow X$ is continuous, X is compact, and f does not have a fixed point, then there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $d(x, f(x)) \geq \epsilon$ for all $x \in X$.

Problem 8.24. Fill in the outline of the following proof of Proposition 8.23. Arguing by contradiction, suppose f is not uniformly continuous. Hence there is an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $x_n, y_n \in X$ such that $d_X(x_n, y_n) < \frac{1}{n}$, but $d_Y(f(x_n), f(y_n)) \geq \epsilon_0$. There exists a point $z \in X$ and some choice of positive integers $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$, such that $(x_{n_k})_k$ and $(y_{n_k})_k$ both converge to z . Explain why this last statement contradicts the assumption that f is continuous.

9. SEQUENCES OF FUNCTIONS AND THE METRIC SPACE $C(X)$

9.1. Sequences of Functions.

Definition 9.1. Let X be a set and Y a metric space. A sequence (f_n) of functions $f_n : X \rightarrow Y$ converges pointwise to $f : X \rightarrow Y$ if for each $x \in X$ the sequence $(f_n(x))$ converges to $f(x)$ in Y ; i.e., if for every $x \in X$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an N such that for every $n \geq N$, $d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$. The function f is the *limit* of the sequence, written

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f \quad (\text{pointwise}).$$

◁

- Example 9.2.** (a) Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the function defined by $f(1) = 1$ and $f(x) = 0$ for $0 \leq x < 1$. The sequence $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f_n(x) = x^n$ converges pointwise to f .
- (b) The sequence $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f_n(x) = \frac{x}{1 + nx^2}$$

converges pointwise to 0.

△

Definition 9.3. Let X be a set and Y a metric space. A sequence (f_n) of functions $f_n : X \rightarrow Y$ is *uniformly convergent* or *converges uniformly* if there exists $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an N such that $d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$ for every $x \in X$ and every $n \geq N$.

In this case (f_n) *converges uniformly to f* . ◁

Remark 9.4. If (f_n) converges uniformly to f , then (f_n) converges pointwise to f . On the other hand, if (f_n) converges pointwise, but not uniformly, to f , then (f_n) does not converge uniformly. ◇

Example 9.5. (a) The sequence in item (a) of Example (9.2) above does not converge uniformly to its pointwise limit f (and thus does not converge uniformly). To prove this statement, choose $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2}$. Given N , choose $n = N$ and $x_N = (\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1}{N}}$. Then $|f_N(x_N) - f(x_N)| = \frac{1}{2} \geq \epsilon_0$.

(b) The sequence from item (b) of Example (9.2) does converge uniformly to the zero function f . To prove this claim, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon^2$ and suppose $n \geq N$. Let $x \in [0, 1]$ be given. If $0 \leq x < \epsilon$, then

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| = f_n(x) \leq x < \epsilon.$$

On the other hand, if $1 \geq x \geq \epsilon$, then

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{x}{nx^2} \leq \frac{1}{n\epsilon} < \epsilon.$$

(c) Define $h_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that the graph of h_n connects $(0, 0)$ to $(\frac{1}{2n}, 1)$ and then connects $(\frac{1}{2n}, 1)$ to $(\frac{1}{n}, 0)$ and finally connects $(\frac{1}{n}, 0)$ to $(1, 0)$. The sequence (h_n) converge pointwise to 0, but doesn't converge uniformly to 0.

△

Theorem 9.6. Suppose X, Y are metric spaces and (f_n) is a sequence $f_n : X \rightarrow Y$. If each f_n is continuous and if (f_n) converges uniformly to f , then f is continuous.

Proof. Let x and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose N such that if $n \geq N$ and $y \in X$, then $d_Y(f_n(y), f(y)) < \epsilon$. Since f_N is continuous at x , there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $d_X(x, y) < \delta$, then $d_Y(f_N(x), f_N(y)) < \epsilon$. Thus, if $d_X(x, y) < \delta$, then

$$d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq d_Y(f(x), f_N(x)) + d_Y(f_N(x), f_N(y)) + d_Y(f_N(y), f(y)) < 3\epsilon.$$

□

Example 9.7. In Example (9.5)(c) a sequence of continuous functions converges pointwise to a continuous function, but the convergence is not uniform.

The sequence $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f_n(x) = x^n$ can not converge uniformly, since the limit f fails to be continuous at 1. Note that the same is true for any subsequence. \triangle

9.2. The Metric Space $C(X)$.

Definition 9.8. Suppose X is a set and Y is a metric space. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *bounded* if the range of f is bounded. \triangleleft

Remark 9.9. Suppose X is a set and Y is a metric space. If $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ are bounded functions, then, as is easily proved, the set $\{d_Y(f(x), g(x)) : x \in X\}$ is a bounded set of real numbers and hence has a supremum. In the case that f is continuous and X is compact, then f is bounded. Further, if both f and g are continuous (and still X is compact), then because that mapping $D : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $D(x) = d_Y(f(x), g(x))$ is continuous, the supremum is attained. \diamond

Definition 9.10. Given a compact metric space X and a metric space Y , let $C(X, Y)$ denote the set of continuous functions from X to Y .

The function $d : C(X, Y) \times C(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$d(f, g) = \sup\{d_Y(f(x), g(x)) : x \in X\}$$

is called the *uniform metric*. \triangleleft

Remark 9.11. In the case that Y is either \mathbb{R} (or \mathbb{C}) it is customary to write $C(X)$ instead of $C(X, \mathbb{R})$. \diamond

Proposition 9.12. Suppose X and Y are metric spaces and X is compact.

- The function d is a metric on $C(X, Y)$.
- A sequence (f_n) from $C(X, Y)$ converges to f (in the uniform metric) if and only if (f_n) converges to f uniformly.
- If Y is complete, then $C(X, Y)$ is also complete.

Proof. We will prove that d satisfies the triangle inequality, the other axioms of metric being easily verified.

Accordingly, let $f, g, h \in C(X, Y)$ be given. Given $x \in X$, the triangle inequality in Y and the definition of d gives,

$$d_Y(f(x), h(x)) \leq d_Y(f(x), g(x)) + d_Y(g(x), h(x)) \leq d(f, g) + d(g, h).$$

Hence, $d(f, g) + d(g, h)$ is an upper bound for the set $\{d_Y(f(x), h(x)) : x \in X\}$ and therefore, $d(f, h) \leq d(f, g) + d(g, h)$.

Now suppose (f_n) , a sequence from $C(X, Y)$, converges to $f \in C(X, Y)$ in the metric d . Given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an N such that if $n \geq N$, then $d(f_n, f) < \epsilon$. In particular, for all $x \in X$, $d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) \leq d(f_n, f) < \epsilon$ and (f_n) converges to f uniformly.

Conversely, suppose (f_n) converges to $f \in C(X, Y)$ uniformly. Given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an N such that if $n \geq N$, then, for all $x \in X$, $d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$. Hence, for $n \geq N$, $d(f_n, f) \leq \epsilon$ and (f_n) converges to f in $C(X, Y)$.

Finally, suppose that Y is complete and that (f_n) , a sequence from $C(X, Y)$, is uniformly Cauchy; i.e., is Cauchy with respect to d . Then, for each $x \in X$, the sequence $(f_n(x))$ is Cauchy in Y . Since Y is complete, $(f_n(x))$ converges to some $f(x)$. Thus, there is a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that (f_n) converges pointwise to f .

Now, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. There is an N such that if $n, m \geq N$, then $d(f_n, f_m) < \epsilon$. In particular, $d_Y(f_n(x), f_m(x)) < \epsilon$ for each $x \in X$ and $m, n \geq N$. Thus, for $n \geq N$ and all $x \in X$,

$$d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d_Y(f_n(x), f_m(x)) \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence (f_n) converges uniformly to f . Since each f_n is continuous, so is f , by Theorem 9.6. Thus $f \in C(X, Y)$ and (f_n) converges to f . \square

Remark 9.13. The unit ball in $C([0, 1])$ is the set

$$B = \{f \in C([0, 1]) : d(0, f) \leq 1\}.$$

The set B is complete (thus closed) and bounded, but not compact since $f_n(x) = x^n$ is a sequence from B which has no uniformly convergent subsequence. See Problem 9.5 for a more general statement. \diamond

9.3. Exercises.

Exercise 9.1. Show that the sequence of continuous real-valued functions (f_n) defined on the interval $(-1, 1)$ by $f_n(x) = x^n$ does not converge uniformly, even though it does converge pointwise to a continuous function.

Exercise 9.2. Show, for a fixed $0 < a < 1$, the sequence of real-valued functions (f_n) defined on the interval $[-a, a]$ by $f_n(x) = x^n$ does converge uniformly.

Exercise 9.3. Does the sequence of functions (f_n) defined on the interval $[0, 1]$ by

$$f_n(x) = \frac{nx}{1 + nx^2}$$

converge pointwise? Does it converge uniformly?

Exercise 9.4. Same as Exercise 9.3, but with

$$f_n(x) = \frac{nx}{1 + n^2x^2}.$$

Same as Exercise 9.3, but with

$$f_n(x) = \frac{nx}{1 + n^3x^2}.$$

Exercise 9.5. Show, if (f_n) and (g_n) are uniformly convergent sequences of functions mapping the set X into \mathbb{R} , then the sequence $(h_n = f_n + g_n)$ converges uniformly too.

Exercise 9.6. Consider the sequence (f_n) where $f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f_n(x) = x + \frac{1}{n}$. Show f_n converges uniformly. Does the sequence (f_n^2) converge uniformly? Compare with Exercise 9.5.

9.4. Problems.

Problem 9.1. Here is an application of Problem 8.19. Suppose $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and

$$\int_0^1 |g(t)| dt < 1.$$

Show, for $k \in \mathbb{R}$, that the mapping $F : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$ defined by

$$F(f)(x) = kx + \int_0^x g(t)f(t) dt$$

is a contractive mapping (see Problem 8.19).

Show that the equation $F(f) = f$ has a unique solution.

Note that this solution satisfies $f' = k + gf$ and $f(0) = 0$ (though a proof will have to wait until after a discussion of differentiation of course).

Problem 9.2. Show, if (f_n) converges to f in $C(X, Y)$, then (f_n) is *equicontinuous*; i.e., given $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that, for every n , if $d(x, y) < \delta$, then $d(f_n(x), f_n(y)) < \epsilon$. (Thus the collection

$\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is *uniformly uniformly continuous*.) (Recall, implicit is the assumption that X is compact.)

Note that the conclusion holds if (f_n) is a Cauchy sequence from $C(X, Y)$, not necessarily convergent with a slight modification of the proof for the case above.

Problem 9.3. Let X be a compact metric space. A subset C of $C(X)$ is equicontinuous if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for every $f \in C$ and $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \delta$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon.$$

If C is compact, then C is closed and bounded (in the metric space $C(X)$). Show that C is also equicontinuous.

It turns out that the converse is true too, but more challenging to prove. Namely, if C is closed, bounded and equicontinuous, then C is compact.

Problem 9.4. Show, if (f_n) converges to f in $C(X, Y)$ and if (x_n) is a sequence from X which converges to x , then $(f_n(x_n))$ converges to $f(x)$. Use this fact to show that the sequence (h_n) from Example 9.5 (c) does not converge uniformly. (Recall, implicit is the assumption that X is compact.)

Note the following variant of this problem. If (f_n) is a Cauchy sequence from $C(X, Y)$ and if (x_n) is Cauchy from X , then $(f_n(x_n))$ is Cauchy in Y .

Problem 9.5. Given a point a in a compact metric space X , let

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{1 + d(a, x)}.$$

Let $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the sequence of functions $f_n(x) = g(x)^n$ (for $n \geq 1$).

Recall that a point $a \in X$ is an *isolated point* of X if the set $\{a\}$ is open in X .

- Find the pointwise limit f of (f_n) ;
- Explain why each f_n is continuous;
- Prove that f is continuous if and only if a is an isolated point of X ;
- Prove, if a is not an isolated point of X , then the unit ball of $C(X)$ is not compact;
- Prove, if the closed unit ball of $C(X)$ is compact, then every point of X is an isolated point of X ;
- Prove the closed unit ball of $C(X)$ is compact if and only if X is a finite set.

Problem 9.6. Given a set X and metric space Y , let $B(X, Y)$ denote the bounded functions from X to Y . Prove that $d(f, g) = \sup\{d_Y(f(x), g(x)) : x \in X\}$ defines a metric on $B(X, Y)$ and that most of Proposition 9.12 holds with $B(X, Y)$ in place of $C(X, Y)$.

Problem 9.7. Suppose $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and let $g_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the function $g_n(t) = t^n f(t)$. Show, if (g_n) converges uniformly, then $f(1) = 0$; and conversely, if $f(1) = 0$, then (g_n) converge uniformly. [Note that it suffices to assume that f is bounded and continuous at 1.]

10. DIFFERENTIATION

This section treats the derivative of a real-valued function defined on an open set in \mathbb{R} . Subsections 10.1 and 10.2 contain the definitions and basic properties respectively. Subsections 10.3 and 10.4 treat the Mean Value Theorem and Taylor's Theorem. The brief subsection 10.5 introduces the derivative of a vector-valued function of a real variable.

10.1. Definitions and Examples.

Definition 10.1. Suppose $D \subset \mathbb{R}$, $a \in D$ is an accumulation point of D and $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The function f is *differentiable at a* provided the limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a}$$

exists. In this case the value of the limit is called *the derivative of f at a* , denoted $f'(a)$.

If f is differentiable at each point in D , then f is *differentiable* and in this case $f' : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called the *derivative of f* . \triangleleft

Example 10.2. Fix $c \in \mathbb{R}$. The function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = c$ is differentiable and $f' = 0$.

The identity function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $g(x) = x$ is differentiable and $g'(x) = 1$. \triangle

Do Exercise 10.1.

10.2. Basic Properties.

Proposition 10.3. Suppose $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in D$ is an accumulation point of D . If $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at a then f is continuous at a . \dagger

Proof. Since the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a}$ exist and $0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} x - a$, it follows that

$$0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} \lim_{x \rightarrow a} x - a = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} [f(x) - f(a)].$$

An application of Exercise 8.6 shows that the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ exists and equals $f(a)$. \square

Proposition 10.4. Suppose $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in D$ is an accumulation point of D . If $f, g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable at a , then

- (i) fg is differentiable at a and $(fg)'(a) = f'(a)g(a) + f(a)g'(a)$;
- (ii) $f + g$ is differentiable at a and $(f + g)'(a) = f'(a) + g'(a)$;
- (iii) if $g'(a) \neq 0$ and g is never 0, then $\frac{1}{g}$ is differentiable at a and

$$\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)'(a) = -\frac{g'(a)}{g(a)^2}.$$

†

Proof. To prove item (i) consider,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(a)g(a) + f(a)g'(a) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} \lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) + \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{g(x) - g(a)}{x - a} f(a) \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} g(x) + \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{g(x) - g(a)}{x - a} f(a) \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)g(x) - f(a)g(a)}{x - a} \end{aligned}$$

The proofs of the other items are similar. \square

The proofs of the chain rule and inverse function theorem, while similar to the proofs of the items in the proposition above, are more subtle.

Proposition 10.5. [Chain Rule] Suppose $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a and b are accumulation points of U and V respectively. If $f : U \rightarrow V$ and $g : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable at a and $b = f(a)$ respectively, then $h = g \circ f$ is differentiable at a and $h'(a) = g'(f(a))f'(a)$. \dagger

Proof. The function

$$F(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{g(y) - g(b)}{y - b} & y \neq b \\ g'(b) & y = b, \end{cases}$$

is continuous at b . Thus, $F \circ f$ is continuous at a and

$$\begin{aligned} g'(b)f'(a) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a} F(f(x)) \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a} F(f(x)) \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{g(f(x)) - g(b)}{x - a} \end{aligned}$$

where the limit of a product is a product of the limits, provided they both exist, has been used in the second equality. \square

Proposition 10.6. [Inverse Function Theorem I] Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, strictly increasing, and differentiable at $a < c < b$. If $f'(c) \neq 0$, then $f^{-1} : [f(a), f(b)] \rightarrow [a, b]$ is differentiable at $f(c)$ and

$$(f^{-1})'(f(c)) = \frac{1}{f'(c)}.$$

†

Proof. For notational ease, let $g = f^{-1}$ and $d = f(c)$. The function

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-c}{f(x)-f(c)} & x \neq c \\ \frac{1}{f'(c)} & x = c \end{cases}$$

is defined and continuous, including at c . Since also $g(y)$ is continuous and the composition of continuous functions is continuous, it follows that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow d} F(g(y)) = F(g(d)) = F(c).$$

Noting that $F(g(y)) = \frac{g(y)-d}{y-d}$ completes the proof. \square

Example 10.7. The product rule and induction show that if $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $f(x) = x^n$, then f is differentiable and $f'(x) = nx^{n-1}$. Using the quotient rule, the same formula holds for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \neq 0$.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, the function $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined by $g(x) = x^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is the inverse of $f(x) = x^n$. Hence, by the inverse function theorem, g is differentiable and

$$g'(x^n) = \frac{1}{f'(x)} = \frac{1}{nx^{n-1}}$$

Thus, $g'(x) = \frac{1}{n}x^{\frac{1}{n}-1}$. That this last formula holds for negative integers follows from the quotient rule.

Finally, if $q = \frac{m}{n}$ is rational with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n > 0$ and $f(x) = x^{\frac{m}{n}}$, an application of the chain rule shows f is differentiable and $f'(x) = qx^{q-1}$. \triangle

10.3. The Mean Value Theorem. Suppose X is a metric space. A $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a *local maximum* at $a \in X$ if there is an open set V such that $a \in V \subset X$ and if $x \in V$, then $f(a) \geq f(x)$.

Proposition 10.8. Suppose U is an open subset of \mathbb{R} , $a \in U$, and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If f is differentiable at a and if f has a local maximum at a , then $f'(a) = 0$. †

Proof. The function

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x)-f(a)}{x-a} & x \neq a \\ f'(a) & x = a \end{cases}$$

is continuous at a . Thus, given $\epsilon > 0$ there is a δ such that $0 < \delta < \eta$ and if $|x - a| < \delta$, then

$$F(a) < F(x) + \epsilon$$

$$F(a) > F(x) - \epsilon.$$

Choosing $x < a$ gives $F(x) \geq 0$. Hence, $F(a) > -\epsilon$. Choosing $x > a$ gives $F(x) \leq 0$ and thus $F(a) < \epsilon$. It now follows that $F(a) = 0$. □

Proposition 10.9. [Rolle's Theorem] Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and f is differentiable at each point in (a, b) . If $f(a) = f(b) = 0$, then there is a point $a < c < b$ such that $f'(c) = 0$. †

Proof. Since f is continuous and real valued on a compact set f has both a maximum and minimum. Since $f(a) = f(b)$, at least one of either the maximum or minimum occurs at a point $a < c < b$ (of course it is possible for both the maximum and minimum to occur at the endpoints in which case f is identically 0). By the previous result, $f'(c) = 0$. □

Theorem 10.10. [Mean Value Theorem, Cauchy's Version] If $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous, and if both are differentiable at each point in (a, b) , then there is a c with $a < c < b$ so that $(f(b) - f(a))g'(c) = f'(c)(g(b) - g(a))$.

Proof. Let $F(x) = (f(x) - f(a))(g(b) - g(a)) - (f(b) - f(a))(g(x) - g(a))$. Then $F(a) = F(b) = 0$ and F satisfies the hypotheses of Rolle's Theorem. Hence there is a $a < c < b$ such that $F'(c) = 0$; i.e., $f'(c)(g(b) - g(a)) = (f(b) - f(a))g'(c)$. □

Choosing $g(x) = x$ in the Cauchy Mean Value Theorem captures the usual Mean Value Theorem.

Corollary 10.11. [Mean Value Theorem, MVT] If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and if f is differentiable at each point in (a, b) , then there is a c with $a < c < b$ so that $f(b) - f(a) = f'(c)(b - a)$. †

Recall the definition of an increasing function, Definition 8.28.

Corollary 10.12. Suppose $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable. The function f is increasing if and only if $f' \geq 0$ (meaning $f'(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$).

Further, if $f'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing. †

The function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by $f(x) = x^3$ is strictly increasing, but $f'(0) = 0$.

Do Problem 10.1.

Proof. If f is increasing, then, for a fixed point $a < p < b$ and any $q \in (a, b)$ with $q \neq p$,

$$\frac{f(p) - f(q)}{p - q} \geq 0.$$

It follows from this inequality that $f'(p) \geq 0$.

For the converse, given $a < x < y < b$, by the MVT there is a $x < c < y$ such that

$$f(y) - f(x) = f'(c)(y - x) \geq 0,$$

where the inequality follows from the assumption that f' takes non-negative values and $y - x > 0$. Thus f is increasing. If f' takes only positive values, then f is strictly increasing. □

Proposition 10.13. [A version of L'hopitals rule] Let $I = (a, b)$ and $f, g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and suppose

- (i) both f and g are differentiable;
- (ii)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = 0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x); \text{ and;}$$

- (iii) both g and g' are never 0.

If

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}$$

exists, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}.$$

†

The article http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Johann_Bernoulli#L.27H.C3.B4pital_controversy gives an amusing account of the (mis)naming of L'hopital's rule.

Proof. The functions f and g extend to be continuous on $[a, b)$ by defining $f(a) = g(a) = 0$.

Let

$$L = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}.$$

Given $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $a < y < a + \delta$, then

$$\left| L - \frac{f'(y)}{g'(y)} \right| < \epsilon.$$

From the Cauchy mean value theorem and hypothesis (iii), given $a < x < a + \delta$ there is a $a < c < x$ such that

$$\frac{f'(c)}{g'(c)} = \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{g(x) - g(a)} = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}.$$

Thus, if $a < x < a + \delta$, then,

$$\left| L - \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right| = \left| L - \frac{f'(c)}{g'(c)} \right| < \epsilon.$$

□

10.4. Taylor's Theorem.

Theorem 10.14. Let $I = (u, v) \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $(n + 1)$ times differentiable. If $u < a < b < v$, then there is a c such that $a < c < b$ and

$$f(b) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{f^{(j)}(a)(b-a)^j}{j!} + \frac{f^{(n+1)}(c)(b-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}.$$

The result remains true with $b < a$. See Exercise 10.3.

Proof. Define $R_n : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$R_n(x) = f(b) - \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{f^{(j)}(x)(b-x)^j}{j!}.$$

There is a K so that $R_n(a) = K \frac{(b-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$ and the goal is to prove there is a $a < c < b$ such that $K = f^{(n+1)}(c)$.

Let

$$\varphi(x) = R_n(x) - K \frac{(b-x)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}.$$

Note that $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and differentiable on (a, b) . Moreover, $\varphi(a) = 0 = \varphi(b)$. Thus, by the MVT, there is a $a < c < b$ such that $\varphi'(c) = 0$. Since,

$$\varphi'(x) = -f^{(n+1)}(x) \frac{(b-x)^n}{n!} + K \frac{(b-x)^n}{n!},$$

it follows that

$$0 = (-f^{(n+1)}(c) + K) \frac{(b-c)^n}{n!}.$$

The conclusion of the theorem follows. \square

10.5. Vector-Valued Functions. Given an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}$, the derivative of a function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ can be defined coordinate-wise. An alternate, but equivalent, definition appears later. In any case, if $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is differentiable at $p \in D$, then, for each vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^k$, the function $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $g(x) = \langle f(x), v \rangle$ is differentiable at p and $g'(p) = \langle f'(p), v \rangle$.

Problem 10.6 explores the extent to which the MVT extends to vector-valued functions (of a real variable). You may first wish to do Exercise 10.2.

10.6. Exercises.

Exercise 10.1. Determine which of the following functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable at 0.

- (i) $f(x) = x^2 \sin(\frac{1}{x})$ for $x \neq 0$ and $f(0) = 0$;
- (ii) $f(x) = x \sin(\frac{1}{x})$ for $x \neq 0$ and $f(0) = 0$;
- (iii) $f(x) = x^2$ for $x \leq 0$ and $f(x) = x^3$ for $x > 0$;
- (iv) $f(x) = |x|$.

Exercise 10.2. Compute the derivative of $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x^2 \\ x^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Can you find a $0 < c < 1$ such that

$$f'(c) = f(1) - f(0)?$$

Exercise 10.3. Show Taylor's Theorem remains true if $b < a$ by applying the Theorem to $g(x) = f(-x)$ and the points $-a < -b$.

Exercise 10.4. Show, if $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and $f' = 0$, then f is constant.

Show, if $f, g : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable, $f' = g'$ and there is a point $a < y < b$ such that $f(y) = g(y)$, then $f = g$.

10.7. Problems.

Problem 10.1. Consider the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{2} + x^2 \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Show, f is differentiable and $f'(0) > 0$, yet there is no open interval containing 0 on which f is increasing. [You may assume the usual rules of calculus in which case differentiability of f away from 0 is automatic. Thus, you need to show that f is differentiable at 0 and the derivative at 0 is positive. Using Corollary 10.12, to finish the problem it is enough to show, f' is not nonnegative on any open interval containing 0.]

Problem 10.2. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable. Use the MVT to show, if f' is bounded, then f is uniformly continuous.

Problem 10.3. A function $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has limit ∞ at a if for every $C > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $a < x < a + \delta$, then $f(x) > C$.

Prove that condition (ii) in Proposition 10.13 can be replaced by

$$(ii') \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = \infty = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x).$$

Suggestion: Fix a $a < y < b$. Given $x < y$, by the Cauchy MVT, there is a t (depending on this choice of x and y) such that

$$\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{g(x) - g(y)} = \frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)}.$$

Deduce that

$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{g(x) - g(y)}{g(x)} \frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)} + \frac{f(y)}{g(x)}.$$

Proceed.

Problem 10.4. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable. Show, if $|f'(t)| < 1$ for all t , then f has at most one fixed point (a point y such that $f(y) = y$). Show, if there is an $0 \leq A < 1$ such that $|f'(t)| \leq A$ for all t , then f has exactly one fixed point. [As a suggestion for the second part, choose any point x_1 , let $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$ and use Proposition 5.11.]

Note that the function any function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form $f = t + g$ where g takes only positive values, is differentiable and $|1 + g'(x)| < 1$ for all x has no fixed points, but does satisfy $|f'(x)| < 1$ for all x .

Problem 10.5. Let $f(x) = \sin(x)$ and let p_n denote the n -th Taylor polynomial for f ; i.e.,

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j,$$

where

$$a_j = \begin{cases} 0 & j = 0 \pmod{2}; \\ \frac{1}{j!} & j = 1 \pmod{4} \\ -\frac{1}{j!} & j = 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Use Taylor's Theorem to show that $p_n(x)$ converges to $\sin(x)$ uniformly on the interval $[-1, 1]$. (Later we will see that, for any given C , the sequence $(\frac{C^n}{n!})$ converges to 0 from which it follows that the sequence (p_n) converges uniformly to $\sin(x)$ on the interval $[-C, C]$.)

Problem 10.6. Give an example, if possible, of a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that f' is continuous, but for each $t \in [a, b]$,

$$f(b) - f(a) \neq f'(t)(b - a).$$

Prove, if $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is continuous and differentiable on (a, b) , then there is an $a < c < b$ such that

$$\|f(b) - f(a)\| \leq \|f'(c)\|(b - a).$$

(Suggestion: Let u be a unit vector in the direction of $f(b) - f(a)$ and apply the usual Mean Value Theorem to $g(x) = \langle f(x), u \rangle$.)

Problem 10.7. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b$, and assume that $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous, and are differentiable on (a, b) . Assume also that $f(a) = g(a)$ and that $f'(x) > g'(x)$ for all $x \in (a, b)$. Prove that $f(x) > g(x)$ for all $x \in (a, b)$.

Problem 10.8. Show, if $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, then between any two zeros of f there is a zero of f' .

Using induction, prove that a (real) polynomial of degree n can have at most n distinct real roots. (Don't use the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra to do this problem. The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra says that over the *complex* numbers, any polynomial can be factored essentially uniquely into linear terms. It's much deeper and much harder to prove than the result of this problem.)

Problem 10.9. Let X and Y be metric spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *Lipschitz continuous at* $p_0 \in X$ if there exist $K, \delta > 0$ such that

$$(6) \quad d_Y(f(p), f(p_0)) \leq K d_X(p, p_0)$$

for all $p \in N_\delta(p_0)$. We call f *Lipschitz continuous* (or just *Lipschitz*)—with no “at p_0 ”—if there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$(7) \quad d_Y(f(p), f(q)) \leq K d_X(p, q)$$

for all $p, q \in X$. We call f *locally Lipschitz* if for all $p_0 \in X$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the restriction of f to $N_\delta(p_0)$ is Lipschitz continuous.

(Note that “locally Lipschitz” is stronger than “Lipschitz continuous at every point;” for the latter, there would be a $K(q)$ that works in (7) for each $q \in X$ and all p sufficiently close to q , but there might not be a single K that works simultaneously for all p, q sufficiently close to a given p_0 . Somewhat more logical terminology for “locally Lipschitz” might be “locally uniformly Lipschitz”, and a similar comment applies to “Lipschitz function” [with no “locally”]. Some mathematicians do insert the word “uniformly” in these cases, but most do not.)

- (a) Prove that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is Lipschitz continuous at $p_0 \in X$, then f is continuous at p_0 .

(Note: the converse is false. For example, the function $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $x \mapsto \sqrt{x}$ is not Lipschitz continuous at 0.]

For the remainder of this problem, let $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function.

- (b) Let $x_0 \in U$. Prove that if f is differentiable at x_0 , then f is Lipschitz continuous at x_0 .
- (c) Prove that if f is differentiable, and the function $f' : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, then f is Lipschitz continuous.
- (d) Prove that if f is differentiable, and the function $f' : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then f is locally Lipschitz.

11. RIEMANN INTEGRATION

This chapter develops the theory of the Riemann integral of a bounded real-valued function f on an interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. The approach used, approximating from above and below, is very efficient and intuitive, though a bit limited because it relies on the order structure of \mathbb{R} .

11.1. Definition of the Integral.

Definition 11.1. A *partition* P of the interval $[a, b]$ consists of a finite set of points $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$.

Given the partition P let $\Delta_j = x_j - x_{j-1}$. Given a bounded function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let

$$m_j = \inf\{f(x) : x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j\}$$

$$M_j = \sup\{f(x) : x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j\};$$

define the *lower and upper sums* of f with respect to P by

$$L(P, f) = \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \Delta_j$$

$$U(P, f) = \sum_{j=1}^n M_j \Delta_j;$$

define the *lower and upper Riemann integrals* of f (on $[a, b]$) by

$$\int_a^b f \, dx = \sup\{L(P, f) : P\}$$

$$\int_a^b f \, dx = \inf\{U(P, f) : P\};$$

and finally, f is *Riemann integrable* on $[a, b]$ if the upper and lower integrals agree, denoted by $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$. In this case, the common value of the upper and lower integrals is the *Riemann integral* of f on $[a, b]$, denoted

$$\int_a^b f \, dx.$$

◁

Example 11.2. For the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by $f(x) = 1$ it is evident that $U(P, f) = 1 = L(P, f)$ for every P . Hence f is Riemann integrable and

$$\int_0^1 1 \, dx = 1.$$

△

Example 11.3. Let $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denote the identity function, $g(x) = x$. Given a partition P as in the definition, observe,

$$U(P, g) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j(x_j - x_{j-1})$$

$$\geq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2}(x_j + x_{j-1})(x_j - x_{j-1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 - x_{j-1}^2 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence the upper integral of g is at least $\frac{1}{2}$. A similar argument shows the lower integral is also at most $\frac{1}{2}$.

Given a positive integer n , let P_n denote the partition

$$P_n = \left\{ x_j = \frac{j}{n} : j = 0, \dots, n \right\}.$$

The corresponding upper and lower sums are easily seen to be

$$U(P_n, g) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{j}{n} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{n+1}{2n}$$

and

$$L(P_n, g) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{j}{n} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{n-1}{2n}.$$

It follows that

$$\int_0^1 x \, dx \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 x \, dx \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus the upper and lower integrals are both $\frac{1}{2}$. Thus g is integrable and its integral is $\frac{1}{2}$. \triangle

Do Problem 11.1.

Example 11.4. Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the indicator function of $[0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Thus $f(x) = 1$ if $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $f(x) = 0$ otherwise. Verify, for any partition P of $[0, 1]$, that $L(P, f) = 0$ and $U(P, f) = 1$. Thus

$$\int_0^1 f \, dx = 0 < 1 = \int_0^1 f \, dx$$

and so f is not Riemann integrable (on $[0, 1]$). \triangle

Remark 11.5. If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and P is a partition of $[a, b]$, then

$$L(P, f) \leq U(P, f).$$

\diamond

Definition 11.6. Let P and Q denote partitions of $[a, b]$. We say Q is a *refinement* of P if $P \subset Q$.

The *common refinement* of P and Q is $P \cup Q$. \triangleleft

Lemma 11.7. Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and P and Q are partitions of $[a, b]$.

(i) If Q is a refinement of P , then

$$L(P, f) \leq L(Q, f) \leq U(Q, f) \leq U(P, f).$$

(ii) If P and Q are any partitions of $[a, b]$, then

$$L(P, f) \leq U(Q, f).$$

(iii) In particular,

$$\int_a^b f \, dx \leq \int_a^b f \, dx.$$

†

Sketch of proof. The middle inequality in item (i) has is evident from the definitions (as already noted). The first inequality can be reduced to the following situation: $P = \{a < b\}$ and $Q = \{a < t < b\}$ where the result is evident. This proves item (i).

To prove item (ii), let R denote the common refinement of P and Q . Then, by item (i),

$$L(P, f) \leq L(R, f) \leq U(R, f) \leq U(Q, f).$$

To prove (iii), fix a partition Q . For all partitions P , $L(P, f) \leq U(Q, f)$. Hence

$$\int_a^b f \, dx \leq U(Q, f).$$

Since this inequality holds for all Q , the result follows. □

Example 11.8. Returning to Example 11.3, observe that, an application of Lemma 11.7 avoids the need to first show that that the upper and lower integrals are bounded below and above respectively by $\frac{1}{2}$. △

Do Problem 11.2.

11.2. Sufficient Conditions for Integrability.

Proposition 11.9. If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and f is bounded, then $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$ if and only if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a partition P of $[a, b]$ such that

$$U(P, f) - L(P, f) < \epsilon.$$

†

Proof. First suppose $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. There exists partitions Q, S such that

$$\int_a^b f \, dx < L(Q, f) + \epsilon$$

$$\int_a^b f \, dx > U(S, f) - \epsilon.$$

Since the upper and lower integrals are equal, it follows that

$$L(Q, f) + \epsilon > U(S, f) - \epsilon.$$

Choosing P equal to the common refinement of Q and S and applying Lemma 11.7 gives,

$$L(P, f) + \epsilon > U(P, f) - \epsilon.$$

Hence,

$$U(P, f) - L(P, f) < 2\epsilon$$

and the proof of one direction of the proposition is complete.

The estimate

$$L(P, f) \leq \int_a^b f dx \leq \int_a^b f dx \leq U(P, f)$$

proves the converse. □

Corollary 11.10. If $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$ and $\epsilon > 0$, then there is a partition $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$ such that for any $x_{j-1} \leq s_j \leq x_j$,

$$\left| \int_a^b f dx - \sum_{j=1}^n f(s_j) \Delta_j \right| < \epsilon.$$

†

The proof is Problem 11.4.

Theorem 11.11. If f is continuous on $[a, b]$, then $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since f is continuous on the compact set $[a, b]$, f is uniformly continuous. Hence, there is a $\delta > 0$ so that if $a \leq s, t \leq b$ and $|s - t| < \delta$, then $|f(s) - f(t)| < \epsilon$.

Choose a partition P of $[a, b]$ of width less than δ ; i.e., $a = x_0 < x_1 \dots x_n = b$ with $\Delta_j < \delta$. It follows that $M_j - m_j < \epsilon$. Hence

$$U(P, f) - L(P, f) < \epsilon(b - a).$$

An appeal to Proposition 11.9 completes the proof. □

Do Problems 11.5 and 11.8.

Proposition 11.12. If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is increasing, then $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$.

†

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose a partition $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$ so that $\Delta_j \leq \epsilon$. Since, by the increasing hypothesis,

$$M_j - m_j = f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(P, f) - L(P, f) &= \sum_{j=1}^n (f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1}))\Delta_j \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n (f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1}))\epsilon \\ &= (f(b) - f(a))\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Once again, an application of Proposition 11.9 completes the proof. \square

Proposition 11.13. Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. If f is continuous except at finitely many points, then $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$. \dagger

Sketch of proof. Here is the proof in the special case that f is continuous, except possibly at the point $a < c < b$.

Let M and m denote the supremum and infimum of the range of f . Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose δ so that

$$0 < \delta < \min\left\{\frac{\epsilon}{M - m + 1}, c - a, b - c\right\}.$$

The function f restricted to the interval $[a, c - \delta]$ is continuous and hence integrable by Theorem 11.11. Thus, by Proposition 11.9, there is a partition Q of $[a, c - \delta]$ such that

$$U(Q, f) - L(Q, f) < \epsilon.$$

Likewise there is a partition R of $[c + \delta, b]$ such that

$$U(R, f) - L(R, f) < \epsilon.$$

Let $P = Q \cup R$. Then P is a partition of $[a, b]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} U(P, f) - L(P, f) &= [U(Q, f) - L(Q, f)] + (M_* - m_*)2\delta + [U(R, f) - L(R, f)] \\ &< \epsilon + 2(M - m)\delta + \epsilon < 4\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where M_* and m_* are the supremum and infimum of f on $[c - \delta, c + \delta]$ respectively. \square

Theorem 11.14. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$ and $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [m, M]$. If $\varphi : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then $h = \varphi \circ f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. By the uniform continuity of φ , there exists a $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ so that if $m \leq s, t \leq M$ and $|s - t| < \delta$, then $|\varphi(s) - \varphi(t)| < \epsilon$.

Choose a partition $\mathcal{P} = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$ such that $U(P, f) - L(P, f) < \delta^2$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} M_j &= \sup\{f(x) : x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j\} \\ m_j &= \inf\{f(x) : x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j\} \\ M_j^* &= \sup\{h(x) : x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j\} \\ M_j^* &= \inf\{h(x) : x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (M_j - m_j) \Delta_j < \delta^2$$

and it suffices to prove

$$U(P, h) - L(P, h) = \sum_{j=1}^n (M_j^* - m_j^*) \Delta_j < \epsilon.$$

Let $A = \{j : M_j - m_j < \delta\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and let $B = \{j : M_j - m_j \geq \delta\}$. Observe,

$$\delta^2 > \sum_{j \in B} (M_j - m_j) \Delta_j \geq \delta \sum_{j \in B} \Delta_j.$$

Thus,

$$(8) \quad \sum_{j \in B} \Delta_j < \delta$$

On the other hand, $M_j^* - m_j^* \leq \epsilon$, for $j \in A$. Thus, with $K = \sup\{|h(x)| : a \leq x \leq b\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} U(P, h) - L(P, h) &= \sum_{j \in A} (M_j^* - m_j^*) \Delta_j + \sum_{j \in B} (M_j^* - m_j^*) \Delta_j \\ &\leq \epsilon \sum_{j \in A} \Delta_j + 2K \sum_{j \in B} \Delta_j \\ &\leq \epsilon(b - a) + 2K\epsilon \\ &= \epsilon[(b - a) + 2K]. \end{aligned}$$

An application of Proposition 11.9 completes the proof. \square

Remark 11.15. There is a simple proof, which you are asked to provide in Problem 11.11, in the case φ is Lipschitz continuous; i.e., if there is a $C > 0$ such that if $m \leq s, t \leq M$, then $|\varphi(s) - \varphi(t)| \leq C|s - t|$.

Given an subset D of \mathbb{R} , a function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *continuously differentiable* if it is differentiable and $f' : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. If φ

is continuously differentiable (on a closed bounded interval), then it is Lipschitz continuous.

Regardless of the domain, a Lipschitz continuous function is automatically uniformly continuous. On the other hand, the function $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{|x|}$ on the interval $[0, 1]$ is not Lipschitz continuous, though it is uniformly continuous. \diamond

Corollary 11.16. If $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$, then so are

- (i) $|f|^p$ for $p \geq 0$;
- (ii) $f_+ = \max\{f, 0\}$;
- (iii) $f_- = \min\{f, 0\}$; and

†

Proof. The function $\varphi(t) = |t|^p$ is continuous for $p \geq 0$. (Actually, to this point, it has been shown that $|t|^p$ is continuous for rational p only. Problem 11.13 explains how to handle general $p > 0$.)

To prove (ii), consider $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\varphi(t) = \max\{t, 0\} = \frac{1}{2}(|t| + t)$.

To prove (iii), consider $\varphi(t) = -\frac{|t| - t}{2}$. \square

11.3. Properties of the Integral. Here is a list of properties of the Riemann Integral. The proofs are mostly left to the reader.

Proposition 11.17. If $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$ and c_1, c_2 are real, then $c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2 \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$ and

$$\int_a^b (c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2) dx = c_1 \int_a^b f_1 dx + c_2 \int_a^b f_2 dx.$$

†

Remark 11.18. The proposition says $\mathcal{R}([a, b])$ is a (real) vector space and the mapping $I : \mathcal{R}([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ determined by the integral is linear. \diamond

Do Problem 11.6

Corollary 11.19. If $f, g \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$, then so is fg . \dagger

Proof. By the previous proposition $f + g \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$. By the corollary to Theorem 11.14 and several more applications of the previous proposition, it then follows that $fg = \frac{1}{2}[(f + g)^2 - f^2 - g^2] \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$. \square

Remark 11.20. A *semi-inner product* on a vector space V is a mapping $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the axioms of an inner product, except it is not required that $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.

There is a natural semi-inner product on the vector space $\mathcal{R}([a, b])$ given by,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b fg \, dx.$$

Whenever V is a vector space with a semi-inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, the formula, $\|f\|^2 = \langle f, f \rangle$ defines a semi-norm and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \|f\| \|g\|$$

holds. Indeed, a standard approach is to first prove the C-S inequality and use it to prove that $\|\cdot\|$ is a semi-norm.

The set of null vectors, $N = \{f \in V : \|f\| = 0\}$ is a subspace and

$$\langle f + N, g + N \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$$

defines an (honest) inner product on the quotient space V/N . ◇

Proposition 11.21. If $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$ and $f_1 \leq f_2$, then

$$\int_a^b f_1 \, dx \leq \int_a^b f_2 \, dx.$$

In fact, if $f_1, f_2 : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are bounded and $f_1 \leq f_2$, then

$$\int_a^b f_1 \, dx \leq \int_a^b f_2 \, dx$$

and

$$\int_a^b f_1 \, dx \leq \int_a^b f_2 \, dx.$$

†

It turns out that if f_1 and f_2 are integrable and $f_1 < f_2$ (meaning $f_1(x) < f_2(x)$ for all x in the interval), then in fact

$$\int_a^b f_1 \, dx < \int_a^b f_2 \, dx,$$

though the proof is more involved than that of the Proposition. See Problem 11.16.

Corollary 11.22. If $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$, then

$$\left| \int_a^b f \, dx \right| \leq \int_a^b |f| \, dx.$$

†

Proof. Use $|f| \geq \pm f$ and the previous proposition twice. □

Do Problems 11.7 and 11.9.

Proposition 11.23. If $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$ and $a < c < b$ then $f|_{[a, c]} \in \mathcal{R}([a, c])$ and

$$\int_a^b f dx = \int_a^c f dx + \int_c^b f dx.$$

†

11.4. Integration and Differentiation.

Theorem 11.24. [Second Fundamental Theorem of Calculus] If $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$, then the function $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$$

is continuous. Further, if f is continuous at $a < y < b$, then F is differentiable at y and $F'(y) = f(y)$.

Proof. Let $M = \sup\{|f(x)| : a \leq x \leq b\}$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{M+1}$. For $a \leq x < z \leq b$ and $z - x < \delta$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z) - F(x)| &= \left| \int_a^z f(t) dt - \int_a^x f(t) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_x^z f(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_x^z |f(t)| dt \\ &\leq M(z - x) < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus F is (uniformly) continuous.

Next, suppose f is continuous at y . Given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ so that if $a \leq t \leq b$ and $|t - y| < \delta$, then $|f(t) - f(y)| < \epsilon$. Thus, if $a \leq y < z \leq b$ and $z - y < \delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{F(z) - F(y)}{z - y} - f(y) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{z - y} \int_y^z f(t) dt - f(y) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{z - y} \left[\int_y^z (f(t) - f(y)) dt \right] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{z - y} \int_y^z \epsilon dt \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument prevails for $a \leq z < y \leq b$ and the conclusion follows. \square

Corollary 11.25. If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then there is a continuous function $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that F is differentiable on (a, b) and $F' = f$ on (a, b) . †

Example 11.26. Consider $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(t) = \frac{1}{t}$. Define $\log : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F(x) = \log(x) = \int_1^x \frac{1}{t} dt.$$

Thus $F'(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, from which the usual properties of the log follow. (See Problems 11.12 and 11.13.) In particular $\log(\frac{1}{x}) = -\log(x)$.

Note that, by considering appropriate lower sums,

$$\log(n+1) \geq \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{j}.$$

Since the harmonic series diverges and the log is continuous, it follows that the range of the log contains $[0, \infty)$. Using $\log(\frac{1}{x}) = -\log(x)$ it must also be the case that the range of log contains $(-\infty, 0]$. Hence the range of log is all of \mathbb{R} .

Since the derivative of log is strictly positive, log is strictly increasing and in particular one-one. Thus log has an inverse, which is called the exponential function $\exp : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$.

The usual properties of exp now follow from those of log. (See Problem 11.14.)

Recall, to this point, for positive real numbers x , the power x^a has only been defined for a a rational number. In Problem 11.13 the reader is asked to show $\log(x^a) = a \log(x)$ for $x > 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{Q}$. In view of this fact, we now define, for $x > 0$ and a any real number,

$$x^a = \exp(a \log(x)).$$

In particular,

$$\exp(1)^a = \exp(a).$$

Hence, letting $e = \exp(1)$ gives $e^a = \exp(a)$ and it is customary to denote the exponential function by e^x . \triangle

Theorem 11.27. [First Fundamental Theorem of Calculus] If $F : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, F' is bounded, and $[a, b] \subset (\alpha, \beta)$, then, for all partitions \mathcal{P} of $[a, b]$,

$$L(\mathcal{P}, F') \leq F(b) - F(a) \leq U(\mathcal{P}, F').$$

In particular, if $F' \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$, then

$$F(b) - F(a) = \int_a^b F' dx.$$

Proof. For notational ease, let $f = F'$.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$ denote a given partition of $[a, b]$. For each j there exists, by the mean value theorem, a $x_{j-1} < t_j < x_j$ such that

$$(9) \quad F(x_j) - F(x_{j-1}) = f(t_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}).$$

Summing (9) over j gives and using the telescoping nature of the sum on the left hand side gives,

$$(10) \quad F(b) - F(a) = \sum f(t_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}).$$

Further, by Exercise 11.1,

$$(11) \quad L(\mathcal{P}, f) \leq \sum f(t_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) \leq U(\mathcal{P}, f).$$

Combining (10) and (11) gives

$$L(\mathcal{P}, f) \leq F(b) - F(a) \leq U(\mathcal{P}, f).$$

□

Do Problem 11.10.

Corollary 11.28. Suppose $F, G : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable and $[a, b] \subset (\alpha, \beta)$. If F', G' are Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$, then FG' and GF' are Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$ and

$$\int_a^b FG' dx = F(b)G(b) - F(a)G(a) - \int_a^b F'G dx.$$

†

Proof. The hypotheses imply the function $H = FG$ is differentiable and its derivative is Riemann integrable. Hence, by the product rule and the first FTC,

$$H(b) - H(a) = \int_a^b H' dx = \int_a^b FG' dx + \int_a^b G'F dx.$$

Rearranging gives the result. □

11.5. Integration of vector valued functions.

Definition 11.29. Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is bounded. Writing $f = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$, the function f is *Riemann integrable*, denoted $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$ if each $f_j \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$. In this case the *Riemann integral* of f is

$$\int_a^b f dx = \left(\int_a^b f_1 dx, \dots, \int_a^b f_k dx \right) \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Thus the integral of a \mathbb{R}^k -valued function is defined entry-wise and is a vector in (element of) \mathbb{R}^k . ◁

Proposition 11.30. Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ and $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$. If $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^k$, then the function

$$f_\gamma(x) = \langle f(x), \gamma \rangle$$

is in $\mathcal{R}([a, b])$ and

$$\int_a^b f_\gamma dx = \left\langle \int_a^b f dx, \gamma \right\rangle.$$

†

The proof is simply a matter of writing everything out in terms of the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^k and using properties of the integral. The details are left to the reader. The proposition provides a coordinate free way to define the integral of a vector valued function. Namely, the integral, if it exists, is that unique vector $I \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that for each $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$\langle I, \gamma \rangle = \int_a^b f_\gamma dx.$$

Proposition 11.31. Suppose $F : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is differentiable and $[a, b] \subset (\alpha, \beta)$. If $F' \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$, then

$$F(b) - F(a) = \int_a^b F' dt.$$

†

The result follows immediately from applying the first fundamental theorem of calculus coordinate-wise.

Proposition 11.32. Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$. If $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$, then $\|f\| \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$, and

$$\left\| \int_a^b f dx \right\| \leq \int_a^b \|f\| dx.$$

†

Proof. By hypothesis, each $f_j \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$. Thus each $|f_j|^2 \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$ by Corollary 11.16 part (i) (with $p = 2$). Since the sum of integrable functions is integrable, $g = \sum |f_j|^2 \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$. Finally, another application of Corollary 11.16 (this time with $p = \frac{1}{2}$) implies

$$\|f\| = \left(\sum |f_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathcal{R}([a, b]).$$

Assuming it is not 0, let u denote a unit vector in the direction of the integral of f and estimate, using Proposition 11.30 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_a^b f \, dx \right\| &= \left| \left\langle \int_a^b f \, dx, u \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \int_a^b \langle f, u \rangle \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_a^b |\langle f, u \rangle| \, dx \\ &\leq \int_a^b \|f\| \|u\| \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|u\| = 1$ the desired inequality follows. \square

11.6. Differentiability of a limit.

Theorem 11.33. Suppose $f_n : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sequence of continuously differentiable functions which converges pointwise to $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If the sequence of functions $f'_n : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ converges uniformly to $g : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then f is differentiable and $f' = g$.

Proof. Fix a point $a < c < b$. From the first fundamental theorem of calculus, for $a < x < b$,

$$f_n(x) - f_n(c) = \int_c^x f'_n(t) \, dt.$$

The uniform limit g of f'_n is continuous and thus integrable on closed subintervals of (a, b) and moreover, by Problem 11.9,

$$\int_c^x f'_n(t) \, dt \rightarrow \int_c^x g(t) \, dt$$

for $a < x < b$. Since $f_n(x)$ and $f_n(c)$ converge to $f(x)$ and $f(c)$ respectively,

$$f(x) = f(c) + \int_c^x g(t) \, dt.$$

From the second fundamental theorem of calculus and using the fact that g is continuous, $f'(x) = g(x)$. \square

11.7. Exercises.

Exercise 11.1. Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded function and $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$ is a partition. Show, if $x_{j-1} \leq t_j \leq x_j$, then

$$L(P, f) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n f(t_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) \leq U(P, f).$$

The sum above is a *Riemann sum*.

Exercise 11.2. Give an example of a sequence (f_n) of Riemann integrable functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that converge pointwise to a bounded function f which is not Riemann integrable.

Exercise 11.3. Define $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f_n(x) = n$ if $0 < x \leq \frac{1}{n}$ and $f_n(x) = 0$ otherwise. Explain why each f_n is Riemann integrable, the sequence (f_n) converges pointwise to a Riemann integrable function, but

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_n dx \neq \int_0^1 f dx,$$

even though the limit on the left hand side exists. Compare with Problem 11.9.

Exercise 11.4. Let $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the function with $f(x) = 0$ for $x \neq 0$ and $f(0) = 1$. Show $f \in \mathcal{R}([-1, 1])$ and

$$\int_{-1}^1 f dx = 0.$$

Compare with Problem 11.5. See also Problem 11.15.

11.8. Problems.

Problem 11.1. Let $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the function $f(x) = 1$ if $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $f(x) = 0$ otherwise. Prove, directly from the definitions, that $f \in \mathcal{R}([-1, 1])$ and

$$\int_{-1}^1 f dx = 1.$$

Problem 11.2. Recall that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n j^2 = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1).$$

Use this formula, the definition and Lemma 11.7 to show $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $h(x) = x^2$ is Riemann integrable.

Problem 11.3. Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable. Prove,

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow b, c < b} \int_a^c f \, dx = \int_a^b f \, dx.$$

Problem 11.4. Prove Corollary 11.10. [Suggestion: See Exercise 11.1.]

Problem 11.5. Suppose $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ takes nonnegative values. Show, if f is integrable, continuous at 0 and if $f(0) > 0$, then

$$\int_{-1}^1 f \, dx > 0.$$

Problem 11.6. Prove Proposition 11.17. [Suggestion: Use Corollary 11.10.]

Problem 11.7. Prove Proposition 11.21.

Problem 11.8. Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the L^p norm of f is

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_a^b |f|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and the L^∞ norm of f is

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max\{|f(t)| : t \in [a, b]\}.$$

Prove,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_n = \|f\|_\infty.$$

Here the limit is taken through $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ (so is the limit of a sequence). Feel free to make use of Proposition 12.1 part (c).

Problem 11.9. Suppose $f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sequence of Riemann integrable functions which converges uniformly to a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Prove, $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n \, dx = \int_a^b f \, dx.$$

[Suggestion: First observe that f is bounded and you may wish to apply Proposition 11.21]

Problem 11.10. Suppose $f : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $\varphi : (\gamma, \delta) \rightarrow (\alpha, \beta)$ is strictly increasing and continuously differentiable. Show, if $\gamma < A < B < \delta$, then

$$\int_{\varphi(A)}^{\varphi(B)} f \, dx = \int_A^B f(\varphi(t))\varphi'(t) \, dt.$$

Problem 11.11. Give a simple proof of Theorem 11.14 under the assumption that φ is Lipschitz continuous. See Problem 2.12.

Problem 11.12. Let $f(x) = \log(x)$. Given $a > 0$, let $g(x) = f(ax)$. Prove, $g'(x) = f'(x)$ and thus there exists a c so that $g(x) = f(x) + c$. Prove, $c = \log(a)$ and thus $\log(ax) = \log(a) + \log(x)$. (See Exercise 10.4.)

Problem 11.13. Prove for $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ (meaning x is a positive real number), that $\log(x^a) = a \log(x)$. Suggestion, consider $g(x) = \log(x^a)$ and compute $g'(x)$.

It now makes sense to define $x^r = \exp(r \log(x))$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Problem 11.14. Prove $\exp(a + b) = \exp(a) \exp(b)$ and $\exp(ab) = \exp(a)^b$.

Problem 11.15. Suppose $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and f and g are equal except possibly at a point c with $a < c < b$. Show, if f is Riemann integrable, then so is g and moreover,

$$\int_a^b f \, dx = \int_a^b g \, dx.$$

Note that, by induction, the result holds if f and g agree except possibly at finitely many points. Compare with Exercise 11.4 and Proposition 11.13.

Problem 11.16. Returning to Problem 11.5, give an example where the conclusion fails if f is not assumed continuous at 0.

Consider the following variant of the function from Example (8.2)
 (e) Define $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = 1$ if $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and $f(x) = \frac{1}{q}$, where $x = \frac{p}{q}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}^+$, and $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. Show that f takes only positive values, yet the lower integral of f is 0. Show f is not Riemann integrable.

Suppose now that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. Show, if $f \geq 0$ and the upper integral of f is 0, then f is zero on a dense subset of $[a, b]$ by completing the following outline.

- (i) Show, if $I = [\alpha, \beta] \subset [a, b]$ is any nontrivial (meaning $\alpha < \beta$) closed subinterval, and $\epsilon > 0$, then there is a further nontrivial closed subinterval J of I on which $0 \leq f \leq \epsilon$.
- (ii) Starting with $I = I_0$, construct a nested sequence I_n of nontrivial closed subintervals, $I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots$ such that $0 \leq f(x) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for $x \in I_n$.
- (iii) Conclude that there is a point $y \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n$ and moreover, $f(y) = 0$.
- (iv) Conclude that every open interval in $[a, b]$ contains a point y such that $f(y) = 0$.

- (v) Conclude that the set $Z(f) = \{y \in [a, b] : f(y) = 0\}$ is dense in $[a, b]$.

12. SERIES

Numerical series and power series are the subjects of this section. While it is possible to work over the complex numbers \mathbb{C} or even in a normed vector space, the exposition here focuses on real-valued sequences and series. In particular, throughout this section a sequence (a_n) is a numerical sequence; i.e., $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Much of the theory depends on the following elementary identity for $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Namely,

$$(12) \quad (1 - r) \sum_{j=0}^n r^j = 1 - r^{n+1}.$$

Use will also be made of the inequalities

$$(13) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{2^n-1} \frac{1}{j^p} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{2^{p-1}}\right)^m$$

$$\frac{1}{2^p} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{2^{p-1}}\right)^m \leq \sum_{j=2}^{2^n} \frac{1}{j^p}$$

valid for natural numbers n and positive real numbers p . Both inequalities are obtained by grouping the terms as follows:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^n-1} \frac{1}{j^p} = \frac{1}{1} + \left(\frac{1}{2^p} + \frac{1}{3^p}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2^{2p}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{7^p}\right) + \cdots + \left(\frac{1}{2^{(n-1)p}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(2^n-1)^p}\right).$$

and

$$\sum_{j=2}^{2^n} \frac{1}{j^p} = \frac{1}{2^p} + \left(\frac{1}{3^p} + \frac{1}{2^{2p}}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{5^p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^{3p}}\right) + \cdots + \left(\frac{1}{(2^{(n-1)}+1)^p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^{np}}\right).$$

Do Problem 12.1.

12.1. Some Numerical Sequences. Recall that the sequence (a_n) from \mathbb{R} *converges* if there is an $A \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an N such that if $n \geq N$, then $|a_n - A| < \epsilon$. In this case the sequence is said to *converge to* A and A is the *limit of the sequence*, written

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim a_n = A.$$

Recall also, that if a sequence converges, then its limit is unique.

Proposition 12.1. Suppose $r, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq r < 1$ and $0 < \rho$.

- (a) The sequence (r^n) converges to 0;
- (b) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $(n^k r^n)$ converges to 0;
- (c) The sequence $(\rho^{\frac{1}{n}})$ converges to 1;
- (d) The sequence $(\frac{\log(n)}{n})$ converges to 0;
- (e) For p real, the sequence $(n^{\frac{p}{n}})$ converges to 1.

†

The proofs use Theorem 4.11 (a bounded increasing sequence of real numbers converges) in several parts.

Proof. Item (a) and item (b) in the case that $k = 1$ is the content of Proposition 4.13. Problem 4.9 handles the case $k > 1$ in item (b).

To prove (c), first suppose $\rho > 1$. Using equation (12) with $r = \rho^{\frac{1}{m}}$ and $n = m - 1$ gives

$$1 - \rho^{\frac{1}{m}} = \frac{1 - \rho}{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \rho^{\frac{j}{m}}}.$$

Thus

$$|1 - \rho^{\frac{1}{m}}| < \frac{\rho - 1}{m}.$$

Hence $(\rho^{\frac{1}{m}})$ converges to 1. If $0 < \rho < 1$, then $\sigma = \frac{1}{\rho} > 1$ and the result follows by applying what has already been proved to σ and standard facts about limits of numerical sequences.

Moving on to part (d), a standard estimate based on considerations of upper sums gives

$$\log(n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j}.$$

Thus it suffices to observe that the sequence

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j}$$

converges to 0 by the relation between the limit of a sequence and the limit of the corresponding Cesaro means found in Problem 12.6.

Item (e) follows from the identity

$$n^{\frac{1}{n}} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{n} \log(n)\right),$$

part (d), and the continuity of the function \exp . □

12.2. **Numerical Series.** Given a sequence $(a_n)_{n=k}^{\infty}$, the *series*

$$(14) \quad \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} a_j = \sum a_j,$$

is the sequence (s_n) of *partial sums*,

$$(15) \quad s_n = \sum_{j=k}^n a_j.$$

The *series converges* if the sequence (s_n) converges and in this case we write

$$\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} a_j = \lim s_n.$$

Thus we have used the same symbol to denote the sequence (s_n) and, if it converges, its limit.

If, for every $C > 0$ there is an N such that if $n \geq N$, then $s_n \geq C$, then the series *converges to infinity*, written

$$\sum a_j = \infty.$$

Example 12.2 (The Geometric Series). The series $\sum r^j$ is known as the *geometric series*. Using (12), it is easy to show, if $|r| < 1$, then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r^j = \frac{1}{1-r};$$

if $r \geq 1$, then

$$\sum r^j = \infty;$$

and if $r \leq -1$, then the series $\sum r^j$ does not converge. \triangle

Do Problem 12.3.

Proposition 12.3. Consider the series (14) and its partial sums (15)

- If there is an $\ell \geq k$ such that the series $\sum_{j=\ell}^{\infty} a_j$ converges, then (14) converges;
- If $a_j \geq 0$ for all j , then the series (14) converges if and only if the partial sums (s_n) form a bounded sequence; i.e., if and only if there exists a constant M such that $s_n \leq M$ for all n ;
- If there exists an $\ell \geq k$ and a sequence (b_j) such that $b_j \geq a_j \geq 0$ for all $j \geq \ell$ and

$$\sum_{j=\ell}^{\infty} b_j$$

converges, then the series (14) converges;

- (d) If there exists an $\ell \geq k$ and a sequence (b_j) such that $a_j \geq b_j \geq 0$ for all $j \geq \ell$ and

$$\sum_{j=\ell}^{\infty} b_j$$

diverges to infinity, then the series (14) converges to infinity;

- (e) The series (14) converges if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an N such that for all $n > m \geq N$,

$$\left| \sum_{j=m+1}^n a_j \right| < \epsilon.$$

- (f) If the series

$$\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} |a_j|$$

converges, then so does the series (14) and moreover,

$$\left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} a_j \right| \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} |a_j|;$$

- (g) If the series (14) converges, then (a_n) converges to 0.

†

Remark 12.4. Item (b) is known as the Weierstrass *M*-test.

Items (c) and (d) together are the *comparison test*.

If the series (14) converges, but the series $\sum |a_j| = \infty$, then $\sum a_j$ is said to *converge conditionally*. An example of a conditionally convergent series is the alternating harmonic series, see Example 12.14 below. If $\sum |a_j|$ converges, then $\sum a_j$ *converges absolutely*. \diamond

Proof. To prove (a), note that the sequence of partial sums

$$t_n = \sum_{j=\ell}^n a_j$$

for the series $\sum_{j=\ell}^{\infty} a_j$ are related to the partial sums s_n for the original series by,

$$t_n = s_n - c,$$

where $c = \sum_{j=k}^{\ell-1} a_j$. Hence (t_n) converges if and only if (s_n) converges.

For item (b), if $a_j \geq 0$ for all j , then (s_n) is an increasing sequence. Thus (s_n) converges if and only if it is a bounded sequence.

To prove item (c), let s'_n denote the partial of the series,

$$\sum_{j=\ell}^{\infty} a_j.$$

Since the corresponding series with terms b_j converges, its partial sums are bounded by some positive number M . Hence,

$$s'_n \leq \sum_{j=\ell}^{\infty} b_j \leq M$$

Thus (s'_n) is bounded and by part (b) converges. Hence the original series converges by item (a).

Item (d) is essentially the contrapositive of item (c). The details of the proof are left to the reader.

Item (e) is just a restatement of the Cauchy criteria.

To prove item (f), let t_n denote the partial sums

$$t_n = \sum_{j=k}^n |a_j|.$$

Observe that, for $n > m$,

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n - s_m| &= \left| \sum_{j=m+1}^n a_j \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=m+1}^n |a_j| \\ &= |t_n - t_m|. \end{aligned}$$

Since (t_n) converges, it is a Cauchy sequence. It follows from the inequality above that (s_n) is Cauchy. Hence (s_n) converges and item (f) is proved.

If (s_n) converges, then it is Cauchy. Hence, given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an N such that if $n > m \geq N$, then $|s_n - s_m| < \epsilon$. In particular, if $n > N$ and $m = n - 1$, then $|a_n| < \epsilon$. This shows (a_n) converges to 0. \square

Example 12.5 (The Harmonic Series). The series

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j}$$

is the *harmonic series*.

Since its sequence (s_n) of partial sums is increasing and, from the second inequality in equation (13), the subsequence (s_{2^n}) is unbounded, the harmonic series converges to infinity. \triangle

Example 12.6 (p -series). More generally, for $0 < p$, the series

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^p}$$

is a p -series.

As we have already seen, the series converges to infinity for $p = 1$ and thus converges to infinity for $p < 1$ by the comparison test, Proposition 12.3 part (e).

For $p > 1$, the first estimate of equation (13) shows that the partial sums are bounded above by

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^{p-1}}\right)^m = \frac{2^{p-1}}{2^{p-1} - 1}.$$

Thus, by Proposition 12.3 part (b), the series converges. \triangle

Do Problems 12.2, 12.4, 12.5, and 12.7.

12.3. The Root Test. In preparation for the proof of the root test below recall the notion of the *limit superior* (\limsup) of a sequence of non-negative real numbers (a_n) .

Definition 12.7. Let (a_n) be a non-negative sequence of real numbers. If (a_n) is unbounded, then $\limsup a_n = \infty$. If (a_n) is bounded, let

$$b_n = \sup\{a_m : m \geq n\}.$$

Thus, (b_n) is a decreasing sequence which is bounded below by 0 and hence converges to some L . Set $\limsup a_n = L$. \triangleleft

Remark 12.8. If $\rho > L$, then there is an N so that if $n \geq N$, then $a_n < \rho$. On the other hand, if $\rho < L$, then for every n there is an $m \geq n$ such that $a_m \geq \rho$. \diamond

Lemma 12.9. If (a_n) is a sequence of non-negative real numbers, and if (c_n) is a sequence of positive reals which converges to the positive number c , then $\limsup c_n a_n = c \limsup a_n$ (interpreted in the obvious way if $\limsup a_n = \infty$). \dagger

The proof is left to the gentle reader as Problem 12.8.

Theorem 12.10. [root test] Consider the series (14) and let

$$L = \limsup(|a_n|)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

- (a) If $L < 1$, then the series converges;
- (b) If $L > 1$, then (a_n) doesn't converge to 0 and thus the series does not converge;

(c) If $L = 1$, then the test fails.

Proof. Suppose $L < 1$. Choose $L < \rho < 1$. There is an N so that if $n \geq N$, then

$$(|a_n|)^{\frac{1}{n}} < \rho.$$

Thus, $|a_n| < \rho^n$ for $n \geq N$. Hence the series converges by comparison to the geometric series $\sum \rho^j$.

Suppose $L > 1$. Choose $L > \rho > 1$. For each n there is an $m \geq n$ such that $|a_m| > \rho^m$. It follows that (a_n) does not converge to 0. Hence, by Proposition 12.3(f), the series diverges.

The sequence $((\frac{1}{n^p})^{\frac{1}{n}}) = (n^{-\frac{p}{n}})$ converges to 1 by Proposition 12.1(e). It follows that hypothesis of part (c) prevails for all p -series; however some p series converge ($p > 1$), while others diverge to infinity ($0 < p \leq 1$). Hence, if $L = 1$, the root test fails. \square

12.4. Series Squibs. A *squib* (among other meanings) refers to a short, sometimes humorous piece in a newspaper or magazine, usually used as a filler. It also can mean a firecracker which burns out without exploding (*a dud*).

Theorem 12.11. [Ratio test] Let (c_n) be a sequence of positive real numbers and let

$$a_n = \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1}}.$$

- (a) If $\limsup a_n < 1$, then the series $\sum c_n$ converges; and
- (b) If $\liminf a_n > 1$, then the sequence (c_n) does not converge to 0 and hence the series $\sum c_n$ converges to infinity.

Note the asymmetry between the hypotheses of the root and ratio tests. Problem 12.14 shows that the root test is a stronger result than the ratio test, though of course when it does apply, often the ratio test is easier to use. In the case that the sequence $(\frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n})$ converges, say to L , then the series converges if $L < 1$ and diverges if $L > 1$. In the case that $L = 1$, the test fails.

Proof. Suppose $L = \limsup a_n < 1$. Choose $L < \rho < 1$. There is an N so that if $n \geq N$, then $a_n \leq \rho$. It follows that

$$c_n \leq \rho c_{n-1}.$$

Iterating this inequality and writing $n = N + m$ give

$$c_n \leq \rho^m c_N = \rho^n (\rho^{-N} c_N)$$

Thus $\sum c_n$ converges by comparison to the geometric series $\sum \rho^n$.

Now suppose $L = \liminf a_n > 1$. Choose $L > \rho > 1$. There is an N so that $b_N \geq 1$, where

$$b_N = \inf\{a_n : n \geq N\}.$$

Arguing as before,

$$c_{n+N} \geq \rho^n c_N,$$

which tends to infinity. \square

Remark 12.12. The root and ratio tests are rather crude tests for divergence. Indeed, the sufficient condition in each case implies the terms of the series do not converge to 0. On the other hand, the root and ratio test can be used to determine whether a sequence (a_n) of positive terms converges to 0. Indeed, if either $\limsup |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ or $\limsup \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$ is (strictly) less than 1, then $\lim a_n = 0$.

As an example, for $r > 0$ and fixed,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^n}{n!} = 0.$$

\diamond

Theorem 12.13. [Alternating Series] If a_n is a decreasing sequence of positive numbers which converges to 0, then the alternating series

$$\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} (-1)^j a_j$$

converges.

Proof. Let s_n denote the partial sums. For a natural numbers m, k with k even,

$$\begin{aligned} |s_{m+k} - s_m| &= \left| \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+k} (-1)^j a_j \right| \\ &= |(a_{m+1} - a_{m+2}) + (a_{m+3} - a_{m+4}) + \cdots + (a_{m+k-1} - a_{m+k})| \\ &= (a_{m+1} - a_{m+2}) + (a_{m+3} - a_{m+4}) + \cdots + (a_{m+k-1} - a_{m+k}) \\ &= a_{m+1} - (a_{m+2} - a_{m+3}) - \cdots - (a_{m+k-2} - a_{m+k-1}) - a_{m+k} \\ &\leq a_{m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the decreasing hypothesis is used in the third equality and the inequality.

For k odd,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |s_{m+k} - s_m| &= \left| \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+k} (-1)^j a_j \right| \\
 &= |(a_{m+1} - a_{m+2}) + (a_{m+3} - a_{m+4}) + \cdots + (a_{m+k-2} - a_{m+k-1}) + a_{m+k}| \\
 &= (a_{m+1} - a_{m+2}) + (a_{m+3} - a_{m+4}) + \cdots + (a_{m+k-2} - a_{m+k-1}) + a_{m+k} \\
 &= a_{m+1} - (a_{m+2} - a_{m+3}) - \cdots - (a_{m+k-1} - a_{m+k}) \leq a_{m+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since a_n converges to 0, the sequence (s_n) is Cauchy and thus converges. \square

Example 12.14. The alternating harmonic series

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \frac{1}{j}$$

converges, but not absolutely. Thus it is a conditionally convergent series. \triangle

12.5. Power Series.

Definition 12.15. Let (a_n) be a sequence of real numbers. The expression

$$(16) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^j$$

is a *power series*. \triangleleft

Remark 12.16. Let D denote those real numbers x for which the series (16) converges. The power series (16) determines a function $s : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(17) \quad s(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^j.$$

Let

$$(18) \quad s_n = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j.$$

denote the *partial sums* of the power series. The s_n can be thought of as either functions on D or on all of \mathbb{R} , as dictated by context.

The following theorem says that D is not too complicated. \diamond

Theorem 12.17. Given the power series (16), let $L = \limsup |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and let $R = \frac{1}{L}$ (interpreted as 0 if $L = \infty$, and ∞ if $L = 0$).

- (i) The series converges absolutely for $|x| < R$ and diverges for $|x| > R$;
- (ii) $(-R, R) \subset D \subset [-R, R]$ and thus D is an interval;
- (iii) If $\sum a_j y^j$ converges, then $R \geq |y|$; and
- (iv) If $R' \in [0, \infty]$ and the series converges absolutely for $|x| < R'$ and diverges for $|x| > R'$, then $R = R'$.

Definition 12.18. The number R is the *radius of convergence* and the set D is the *interval of convergence*. \triangleleft

Problem 12.11 provides examples showing there is no more general statement possible about the interval of convergence (domain) of a power series. Do Problem 12.10.

Proof. Let the real number x be given. Let $c_n = a_n x^n$ and note that an application of Lemma 12.9 gives,

$$\limsup |c_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} = |x| \limsup |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} = |x|L.$$

By the root test if $|x|L < 1$, then the series converges absolutely, and if $|x|L > 1$, then the series does not converge. \square

Lemma 12.19. If the power series s has radius of convergence $R > 0$ and $0 < u < R$, then the sequence (s_n) converges uniformly on $[-u, u]$. In particular the limit s is continuous on $|x| < R$. \dagger

Remark 12.20. It is natural to ask, as Abel did, if say the interval of convergence is $I = (-R, R]$, is then the function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of Remark 12.16 continuous; i.e., continuous at R . The answer is yes. See Problem 12.12 \diamond

Proof. Since u is within the radius of convergence of the series, the sequence

$$t_n = \sum_{j=0}^n |a_j u^j|$$

converges and thus satisfies the Cauchy condition of Proposition 12.3(e). In particular, given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an N so that if $n > m \geq N$, then

$$\epsilon > |t_n - t_m| = \sum_{j=m+1}^n |a_j| u^j.$$

If $|x| \leq u$ and $n > m \geq N$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n(x) - s_m(x)| &= \left| \sum_{j=m+1}^n a_j x^j \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=m+1}^n |a_j| |x|^j \\ &\leq \sum_{j=m+1}^n |a_j| u^j \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (s_n) converges uniformly on $[-u, u]$ and thus converges uniformly to its pointwise limit s on this interval. Since each s_n is continuous, so is the limit on the interval $[-u, u]$. Thus the limit s is continuous on $(-R, R)$. \square

Lemma 12.21. If the series (16) has radius of convergence R , then both of the series

- (i) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)a_{n+1}x^n$ and;
- (ii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n+1}x^n$ have radius of convergence R too.

†

To prove the lemma, note that, by Lemma 12.9 and by Proposition 12.1(d), that

$$\limsup |na_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \limsup |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \limsup \left| \frac{a_n}{n+1} \right|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Remark 12.22. It can of course happen that the interval of convergence of these series is different than that for the original series. See Exercise 12.2. It turns out that, compared to the interval of convergence for the original series, the interval in (i) could only possibly lose endpoints; and that in (ii) could only possibly gain endpoints. Summation by parts can be used to prove this assertion. See Lemma 13.15 and Problem 13.5. \diamond

Proposition 12.23. Suppose the power series (16) has radius of convergence $R > 0$. If $0 \leq u < R$, then s , the sum of the series, is integrable on $[0, u]$ and

$$\int_0^u s \, dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n+1} u^{n+1}.$$

The function s is differentiable on $|x| < R$ and

$$s'(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+1)a_{j+1}x^j$$

†

Proof. On the interval $[0, u]$ the sequence (s_n) converges uniformly to s . Thus, by Problem 11.9,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^u s \, dx &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^u s_n \, dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n \int_0^u a_j x^j \, dx \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_j u^{j+1}}{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let (t_n) denote the partial sums of the series

$$t(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+1)a_{j+1}x^j.$$

By Lemma 12.21, this series has radius of convergence R and by Lemma 12.19, the sequence (t_n) converges uniformly to t on each bounded interval. Since also $s'_n = t_{n-1}$, Theorem 11.33 applies with the conclusion that s is differentiable and $s' = t$. \square

Remark 12.24. Thus power series can be integrated and differentiated *term by term*. In particular, a power series (16) is infinitely differentiable within its radius of convergence. Moreover,

$$s^{(m)}(0) = a_m m!$$

◇

12.6. Functions as Power Series. From the geometric series

$$(19) \quad \frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x^j, \quad |x| < 1,$$

it is possible to derive a number of other series representations.

Differentiating (19) term by term gives

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+1)x^j, \quad |x| < 1.$$

Replacing x by $-t^2$ in (19) gives,

$$\frac{1}{1+t^2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j t^{2j}, \quad |t| < 1.$$

Integrating this last series term by term gives

$$\arctan(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \frac{x^{2j+1}}{2j+1}, \quad |x| < 1.$$

Likewise integrating (19) term by term gives

$$-\log(1-x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{j+1}}{j+1}, \quad |x| < 1.$$

12.7. Taylor Series. The following consequence of Taylor's Theorem is suitable for establishing power series representations for the exponential, sine and cosine functions.

Theorem 12.25. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If f is infinitely differentiable and for each x there is a C_x such that

$$|f^{(j)}(y)| \leq C_x$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|y| \leq |x|$, then the power series

$$s(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x^j$$

has infinite radius of convergence and $f(x) = s(x)$. Moreover, this series for f converges uniformly on bounded sets (to f).

Proof. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, from Taylor's Theorem there is a c between 0 and x such that

$$f(x) = s_n(x) + \frac{f^{(n+1)}(c)x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$$

Thus,

$$|f(x) - s_n(x)| \leq C_x \frac{|x|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!},$$

where C_x depends only upon x (and not on n). Thus it suffices to show that, for a given x , the right hand side converges to 0 (see Remark 12.12). Hence, for each x , the sequence $(s_n(x))$ converges to $f(x)$. Consequently s has infinite radius of convergence and thus, by Lemma 12.19, (s_n) converges to f uniformly on every bounded interval (and hence uniformly on every bounded set). \square

Example 12.26. Let $f(x) = \exp(x)$ and note $f^{(n)}(x) = \exp(x)$. Since if $|y| \leq |x|$, then $\exp(y) \leq \exp(|x|)$ and hence $|f^{(n)}(y)| \leq \exp(|x|)$. Theorem 12.25 implies

$$\exp(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^j}{j!}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $g(x) = \sin(x)$. Then $|g^{(n)}(x)| \leq 1$ for all n and x . It follows that

$$\sin(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j x^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!}.$$

△

Remark 12.27. If $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is infinitely differentiable and the series

$$s(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} x^n$$

has infinite radius of convergence, it is natural to ask if $f = s$. The answer is, without hypotheses such as those in Theorem 12.25, no as can be seen from the following example (found in nearly every Calculus text). For the function f given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{x}} & x > 0 \\ 0 & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Non-analytic_smooth_function) it can be shown that $f^{(j)}(0) = 0$ for all j . Thus s has infinite radius of convergence, but $s = 0 \neq f$.

◇

12.8. Exercises.

Exercise 12.1. Test the following series for convergence.

(a)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n}{n+2}\right)^{n^2}.$$

(b)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2n}.$$

(c)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdots (2n-1)^2}{2^2 \cdot 4^2 \cdots (2n)^2}.$$

Exercise 12.2. For the power series,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n},$$

let A, B, C denote the interval of convergence of f and the series (i) and (ii) respectively in Lemma 12.21. Verify, $B \subsetneq A \subsetneq C$.

Exercise 12.3. Find a power series representation for $\cos(x)$ and verify that it converges uniformly to $\cos(x)$ on every bounded interval.

Exercise 12.4. Find a power series representation for the *error function*

$$\operatorname{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x \exp(-t^2) dt.$$

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Error_function

Exercise 12.5. Show, if (a_n) is a sequence of nonnegative numbers and the series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$$

converges, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an M so that if $M \leq N$, then

$$\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} a_j < \epsilon.$$

Exercise 12.6. Given a sequence $(b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, let $a_n = b_{n+1} - b_n$. The series,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$$

is a *telescoping series*. What are its partial sums? When does the series converge.

Exercise 12.7. Show, if (a_n) is a bounded sequence, then the radius of convergence of the series (16) has radius of convergence at least 1.

Exercise 12.8. Explain why the series,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n n^{-s}$$

converges for $s > 0$ and diverges for $s \leq 0$. It thus determines a function $\eta(s)$ with domain $(0, \infty)$. Explain why series converges absolutely for $s > 1$ and conditionally for $0 < s \leq 1$ and compare with the situation for a power series, where there are at most two points where the series converges conditionally. The series here is a *Dirichlet Series* (which are

more natural thought of as a function of a complex variable s). For more on Dirichlet Series see Subsection 13.3.

12.9. Problems.

Problem 12.1. Suppose (a_n) a decreasing sequence of positive numbers. Show, for positive integers n ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^n-1} a_j \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^k a_{2^k}$$

and likewise,

$$\sum_{j=2}^{2^n} a_j \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n 2^k a_{2^k}.$$

Verify the inequalities in Equation (13) are special cases.

Problem 12.2. Suppose (a_n) a decreasing sequence of positive numbers. Use Problem 12.1 to show the series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$ converges if and only if the series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^j a_{2^j}$$

converges.

Determine the convergence of the series

(a)

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log(n)};$$

(b)

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log(n))^2}.$$

Problem 12.3. Show, if (a_n) is a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$$

converges, then $\lim na_n = 0$. [Suggestion: Observe, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$, for each N and each $n > N$,

$$\sum_{j=N}^n a_j \geq (n - N)a_n,$$

and use Exercise 12.5.

Problem 12.4. Suppose (a_j) and (b_j) are sequences of real numbers. Show, if both

$$\sum a_j^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum b_j^2$$

converge, then so does

$$\sum a_j b_j.$$

[Suggestion: Use the inequality $2|ab| \leq a^2 + b^2$, or apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality at the level of partial sums.]

Problem 12.5. Show, if (a_n) is a sequence of non-negative numbers and the series $\sum a_j$ converges, then so does the series $\sum a_j^2$.

Problem 12.6. Suppose $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to L . Let

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j,$$

denote the corresponding *Cesaro means*. Prove (σ_n) converges to L .

Problem 12.7 (Integral Test). Suppose $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and decreasing. Show,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(j)$$

converges if and only if

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$$

is bounded above independent of x (meaning there is an M such that $F(x) \leq M$ for all $x \geq 0$).

Problem 12.8. Prove Lemma 12.9.

Problem 12.9. Suppose (a_j) is a sequence from \mathbb{R}^k . Show, if

$$\sum \|a_j\|$$

converges, then

$$\sum a_j$$

converges in \mathbb{R}^k .

Problem 12.10. Show, if (a_n) is a sequence of non-zero real numbers and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right|$$

converges with limit L , then the radius of convergence of the power series $\sum a_j x^j$ is $R = \frac{1}{L}$ (properly interpreted).

Problem 12.11. Find the interval of convergence for the following power series.

- (i) $\sum n^{-n}x^n$;
- (ii) $\sum \frac{x^n}{n^2}$;
- (iii) $\sum \frac{x^n}{n}$;
- (iv) $\sum n^n x^n$.

Problem 12.12 (Abel's Theorem). Suppose the series s of (16) has radius of convergence 1 and suppose

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$$

converges. Prove

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j r^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j.$$

Thus, the (function defined by the) series s is continuous at 1.

Here is an outline to follow if you like.

- (i) It can be assumed that $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j = 0$.
- (ii) With $t_n = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j$, show if N is a positive integer and $|t_j| \leq C$ for all $j \geq N$, then for $n \geq N$,

$$\left| \sum_{j=N+1}^n t_j x^j \right| \leq C \frac{x^N}{1-x}.$$

In particular, the series

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n x^n$$

has radius of convergence at least one.

(iii) Show

$$s(x) = (1-x)g(x), \quad |x| < 1.$$

- (iv) Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose N such $|t_j| < \epsilon$ for $j \geq N$ and use, for $0 < x < 1$,

$$(1-x)g_n(x) = (1-x) \left[\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} t_j x^j + \sum_{j=N}^n t_j x^j \right] \leq (1-x) \left[CN + \frac{\epsilon}{1-x} \right],$$

where g_n are the partial sums of the series g and C is a bound on the $\{|t_j| : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$, to complete the proof.

Problem 12.13. Use Abel's theorem and the power series representation for $\arctan(x)$ to show

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{2j+1}.$$

Problem 12.14. Let (a_n) be a sequence of positive reals. Show,

$$\limsup \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \geq \limsup |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

and also

$$\liminf \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \leq \liminf |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

[Suggestion: Follow the proof of the ratio test.]

Problem 12.15. Prove, Bernoulli's inequality,

$$(1+x)^n \geq 1+nx,$$

for positive integers n and $x \geq -1$. [Suggestion: Induct.]

Prove, the sequence $(e_n = (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n)$ is increasing. [Suggestion: Observe

$$\left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{n+1}}{1 + \frac{1}{n}} \right)^{n+1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \right)^{n+1}$$

and apply Bernoulli's inequality.]

Prove the sequence $(f_n = (1 + \frac{1}{n})^{n+1})$ is decreasing. [Suggestion: Simplify

$$\left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{n}}{1 + \frac{1}{n+1}} \right)^{n+2}$$

and apply Bernoulli's inequality.

Show,

$$e_n \leq \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{j!} \leq f_n.$$

Conclude (e_n) and (f_n) converge to $\exp(1)$. Thus,

$$e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n.$$

Problem 12.16. Prove, for x real and $x \geq -2$ and positive integers n that

$$(1+x)^n \geq 1+nx.$$

[Suggestion: Note that the result is true for $n = 1, 2$ by direct verification. Now suppose, arguing by induction, that $x \geq -2$ and that $(1 + x)^m \geq 1 + mx$ for all $m \leq n$. Write

$$(1 + x)^{n+1} = (1 + x)^{n-1}(1 + x)^2$$

and apply the induction hypothesis the first term in the product on the right hand side.]

Problem 12.17. Let $r_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} - \log(n)$. Show that the sequence is bounded below (by 0) and decreasing. It thus has a limit known as the Euler-Mascheroni constant (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Euler%E2%80%93Mascheroni_constant).

Problem 12.18. Show, if (a_n) is a sequence of real numbers and $0 < a_n \leq a_{2n} + a_{2n+1}$, then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges.

13. COMPLEX NUMBERS AND SERIES*

The * here indicates that this section is optional. Subsection 13.1 is needed for Section 18. Let $V = (V, \|\cdot\|)$ be a complete normed vector space. Thus, V is a vector space, $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on V and the vector space $V = (V, d)$ with $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ is complete. An example is of course \mathbb{R}^k with the usual Euclidean norm. To a sequence (a_n) from V there is the naturally associated series (s_n) with

$$s_n = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j.$$

As before, let

$$(20) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$$

denote both the sequence of partial sums and its limit, should it exist.

Definition 13.1. The series of Equation (20) *converges absolutely* if the numerical series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|a_j\|$$

converges. ◁

Most of the facts about numerical series carry over to series from V . The following proposition gives a sampling of such results.

Proposition 13.2. For the series S from Equation (20) the results of Proposition 12.3 hold, with the obvious exceptions. In particular, if S converges absolutely, then S converges.

For $V = \mathbb{R}^k$, write $a_j = (a_j(1), \dots, a_j(k))$, where $a_j(\ell)$ denotes the ℓ -th entry of a_j . In this case the series S converges if and only if for each ℓ the series $\sum a_j(\ell)$ converges. Moreover, in this case,

$$\sum a_j = (\sum a_j(1) \quad \dots \quad a_j(k)).$$

†

13.1. Complex Numbers. This section contains a review of the basic facts about the field of complex numbers. Recall that \mathbb{C} , the complex plane, is, as a (real) vector space, \mathbb{R}^2 . A point $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is identified with the complex number $a + ib \in \mathbb{C}$ and the product of $z = a + ib$ and $w = u + iv$ is

$$zw = (au - bv) + i(av + bu).$$

Thus, $i^2 = -1$.

Given $z = a + ib \neq 0$, it is natural to write $z = r(\frac{a}{r} + i\frac{b}{r})$, where $r = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$. The point $(\frac{a}{r}, \frac{b}{r})$ lies on the unit circle and thus has the form $(\cos(t), \sin(t))$. Hence, the complex number z can be written as

$$(21) \quad z = r(\cos(t) + i \sin(t)).$$

The number $r = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ is the *modulus* of z and is denoted $|z|$; the number t is the *argument* of z ; and the representation (21) is the *polar decomposition* of z .

If $z = a + ib$ is a complex number, we sometimes write $a = \Re z$ and $b = \Im z$; these are called the *real part* and *imaginary part* of z , respectively. The *complex conjugate* of $z = a + ib$ is defined to be $\bar{z} := a - ib$. Notice that $\Re z$ and $\Im z$ can be recovered from z and \bar{z} by the formulas

$$\Re z = \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2}, \quad \Im z = \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i}.$$

The useful formula

$$z\bar{z} = |z|^2$$

is readily verified.

Given two complex numbers $z = |z|(\cos(t) + i \sin(t))$ and $w = |w|(\cos(s) + i \sin(s))$ a routine calculation using angle sum formulas for sine and cosine shows,

$$zw = |z||w|(\cos(s + t) + i \sin(s + t)).$$

Thus complex multiplication can be interpreted geometrically in terms of the product of the moduli and sum of the arguments.

A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be expressed in terms of its real and imaginary parts as $f = u + v$, where $u, v : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The pointwise complex conjugate of f , denoted \bar{f} , is given by $\bar{f} = u - v$.

13.2. Power Series and Complex Numbers. Since, as a real vector space, \mathbb{C} it is nothing more than \mathbb{R}^2 , the discussion at the outset of this section applies.

Let (a_n) be a sequence of complex numbers. The expression, for complex numbers z ,

$$(22) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j,$$

is the complex version of a power series.

Theorem 13.3. Either the series of Equation (22) converges absolutely for every complex number z or there is a real number R such that if $|z| < R$ then the series converges and if $|z| > R$, then the series diverges.

In the case that the series converges for all z , its *radius of convergence* is ∞ and otherwise R of the theorem is the radius of convergence.

Example 13.4. The power series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^j$$

has radius of convergence 1 and for $|z| < 1$ converges to $\frac{1}{1-z}$. For $|z| \geq 1$ the series diverges.

The power series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j}$$

also has radius of convergence 1 and evidently diverges if $z = 1$. In particular, the series does not converge absolutely for $|z| \geq 1$. On the other hand, a generalization of the alternating series test (which will not be discussed) can be used to show that if $|z| = 1$, but $z \neq 1$, then the series converges (conditionally).

The power series,

$$E(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j!}$$

converges for all z and thus has an infinite radius of convergence. It defines a function $E : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Note that, in view of Example 12.26,

$E(x) = \exp(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Accordingly E is the *complex exponential* and will be denoted by \exp or e^z . Thus,

$$E(z) = \exp(z) = e^z.$$

△

Proposition 13.5. $E(z + w) = E(z)E(w)$ for $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$. †

The proof of the proposition uses the following lemma which is of independent interest.

Lemma 13.6. Suppose $\sum a_j$ and $\sum b_j$ converge to A and B respectively and let

$$c_m = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j b_{m-j}.$$

If $\sum a_j$ converge absolutely, then $\sum c_m$ converges to AB . †

If both $\sum a_j$ and $\sum b_j$ converge absolutely, then so does $\sum c_m$.

Proof. Let t_k denote the partial sums of the series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j$ and observe,

$$\sum_{m=0}^n c_m = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j t_{n-j} = B \sum_{j=0}^n a_j + \sum_{j=0}^n a_j (t_{n-j} - B).$$

To complete the proof, it suffices to show that the last term on the right hand side above converges to 0. To this end, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. There is an N so that $|B - t_k| < \epsilon$ for $k \geq N$ because (t_k) converges to B and at the same time

$$\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} |a_j| \leq \epsilon,$$

since the series $\sum a_j$ is assumed to converge absolutely. Since $|B - t_j|$ converges, there is an M such that $|B - t_j| \leq M$ for all j . For $n \geq 2N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=0}^n a_{n-j} (B - t_j) \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-N} a_{n-j} (B - t_j) \right| + \left| \sum_{j=n-N+1}^n a_{n-j} (B - t_j) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=N}^n M |a_j| + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_j| \right) \epsilon \\ &\leq \epsilon (M + \sum |a_j|). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 13.5. From the Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} E(z)E(w) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \frac{z^j w^{m-j}}{j!(m-j)!} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} z^j w^{m-j} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z+w)^m}{m!} = E(z+w). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 13.7. The polar decomposition of Equation (21) can be expressed in terms of the exponential function using the formula,

$$e^{it} = \exp(it) = \cos(t) + i \sin(t),$$

valid for t real. See Problem 13.3. ◇

13.3. Dirichlet Series. For positive integers n and complex numbers s , let

$$n^{-s} = \exp(-s \log(n)).$$

For n fixed, n^{-s} is thus defined for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that

$$|n^{-s}| = n^{-\Re s}.$$

Given a sequence $a = (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers, the expression

$$(23) \quad f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$$

is a *Dirichlet series*. Of course, this series determines a function with domain equal D_a , the set of those $s \in \mathbb{C}$ for which the series converges. Writing $s = \sigma + it$ in terms of its real and imaginary parts, the series converges absolutely if and only if,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| n^{-\sigma}$$

converges.

The following proposition collects some elementary facts about convergence of Dirichlet series. The proof are left as an Exercise for the gentle reader. See Problem 13.4.

Proposition 13.8. Suppose $C > 0$ and σ_0 is real. If $|a_n| n^{-\sigma_0} \leq C$ for all n , then the Dirichlet series $f(s)$ of Equation (23) converges absolutely for $\sigma = \Re s > \sigma_0 + 1$.

If the series $f(s)$ converges absolutely at $s_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$, then the series converges absolutely for every s with $\Re s \geq \sigma_0$. Further, in this case, the series converges uniformly on $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re s \geq \sigma_0\}$.

Either the series $f(s)$ converges absolutely for all s ; fails to converge for all s ; or there is a real number σ_a such that the series converges absolutely for $\Re s > \sigma_a$ and does not converge absolutely for $\Re s < \sigma_a$. †

Definition 13.9. Interpreting σ_a as either $\pm\infty$ if needed, the number σ_a is the *abscissa of absolute convergence* of the Dirichlet series $f(s)$. ‹

Example 13.10. For $a_n = 1$, the resulting series is known as the Riemann zeta function,

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}.$$

It converges absolutely for $\sigma = \Re s > 1$. It turns out that it diverges if $\sigma \leq 1$. For $\sigma < 1$ the divergence follows from Theorem 13.12. The case $\sigma = 1$ will not be dealt with here. △

Example 13.11. The Dirichlet series

$$\eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n n^{-s}$$

converges absolutely for $\sigma > 1$, conditionally for $0 < \sigma \leq 1$ and diverges otherwise. Here, as usual, $s = \sigma + it$. Thus $\eta(s)$ determines a function, known as the Dirichlet η (or alternating ζ) function with domain $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re s > 0\}$. △

Theorem 13.12. The Dirichlet series of Equation (23) either converges for all s ; converges for no s ; or there is a real number σ_c such that the series converges for $\Re s > \sigma_c$ and diverges for $\Re s < \sigma_c$. Moreover, $\sigma_c + 1 \geq \sigma_a$.

Definition 13.13. Again, allowing $\sigma_c = \pm\infty$ if needed, σ_c is the *abscissa of (simple) convergence* of the Dirichlet series $f(s)$. ‹

Remark 13.14. Evidently $\sigma_c \leq \sigma_a$. The inequality $\sigma_a \leq \sigma_c + 1$ follows immediately from Proposition 13.8. The examples of the zeta and eta functions show that the inequalities are the best possible. ◇

The proof of Theorem 13.12 requires a couple of Lemmas, the first of which is known, for obvious reasons, as summation by parts.

Lemma 13.15. [Summation by Parts] Given complex numbers $a_1, \dots, a_n; b_1, \dots, b_n$, let $B_k = \sum_{j=1}^k b_j$. For $m \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{j=m}^n a_j b_j = a_n B_n - a_m B_{m-1} - \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} B_j (a_{j+1} - a_j).$$

†

Proof. Observe,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=m}^n a_j b_j &= a_m b_m + \sum_{j=m+1}^n a_j (B_j - B_{j-1}) \\ &= a_m b_m + \sum_{j=m+1}^n a_j B_j - \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} a_{j+1} B_j \\ &= a_m b_m + a_n B_n - a_{m+1} B_m - \sum_{j=m+1}^{n-1} (a_{j+1} - a_j) B_j \\ &= a_n B_n - a_m B_{m-1} - (a_{m+1} - a_m) B_m - \sum_{j=m+1}^{n-1} (a_{j+1} - a_j) B_j \\ &= a_n B_n - a_m B_{m-1} - \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} (a_{j+1} - a_j) B_j \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 13.16. Suppose $s_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$ and there exists a C such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n n^{-s_0} \right| \leq C.$$

If $s = \sigma + it$ and $\sigma > \sigma_0$, then for all m and N ,

$$\left| \sum_{n=m}^N a_n n^{-s} \right| \leq 4Cm^{\sigma_0 - \sigma}.$$

†

Proof. Applying Lemma 13.15 (summation by parts) to $n^{\sigma_0 - \sigma}$ and $a_n n^{\sigma_0}$ gives,

$$\sum_{n=m}^N a_n n^{\sigma} n^{\sigma_0 - \sigma} = N^{\sigma_0 - \sigma} B_N - m^{\sigma_0 - \sigma} B_{m-1} - \sum_{n=m}^{N-1} ((n+1)^{\sigma_0 - \sigma} - n^{\sigma_0 - \sigma}) B_n,$$

where

$$B_n = \sum_{j=1}^n a_n n^{\sigma_0}.$$

From the hypothesis, (B_n) is bounded by C . Hence,

$$\left| \sum_{n=m}^N a_n n^{\sigma} n^{\sigma_0 - \sigma} \right| \leq C [N^{\sigma_0 - \sigma} + m^{\sigma_0 - \sigma} + \sum_{n=m}^{N-1} |(n+1)^{\sigma_0 - \sigma} - n^{\sigma_0 - \sigma}|].$$

Observe by its telescoping nature,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=m}^{N-1} |(n+1)^{\sigma_0 - \sigma} - n^{\sigma_0 - \sigma}| &= m^{\sigma_0 - \sigma} - N^{\sigma_0 - \sigma} \\ &= m^{\sigma_0 - \sigma} \left| \left(\frac{N}{m}\right)^{\sigma_0 - \sigma} - 1 \right| \leq 2m^{\sigma_0 - \sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Since also $N^{\sigma_0 - \sigma} \leq m^{\sigma_0 - \sigma}$, the conclusion of the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 13.17. Suppose the series $f(s)$ of (23) converges at $s_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$. If $s = \sigma + it$ and $\sigma > \sigma_0$, then the series converges at s . \dagger

Proof. Here is a sketch of the proof. Let $S_k(s)$ denote the partial sums of $f(s)$. If the series converges at s_0 the partials sums $(S_k(s_0))$ are bounded, say by C . The sequence $(m^{\sigma_0 - \sigma})_m$ converges to 0 as $\sigma_0 - \sigma < 0$. It follows that the partial sums of $f(s)$ are Cauchy by Lemma 13.16. Thus the series converges at s . \square

Proof of Theorem 13.12. Suppose $f(s)$ converges for some, but not all s . Let

$$\tau = \inf\{\sigma \in \mathbb{R} : \text{there exists a } t \text{ such that } f(s = \sigma + it) \text{ converges}\}.$$

Given $\sigma > \tau$, there exists a $\sigma_0 > \tau$, by the definition of τ as an infimum. There exists a t_0 such that f converges at $s_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$. By Lemma 13.17, the series converges for every s with $\Re s > \sigma_0$ and for $\sigma + it$.

On the other hand, if $\sigma < \tau$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f(\sigma + it)$ doesn't converge by the choice of τ and the proof is complete. \square

Returning to complete the Example 13.10 of the zeta function $\zeta(s)$, note that the series diverges for $s = \sigma < 1$, but converges absolutely for $s = \sigma + it$ when $\sigma > 1$. Hence, its abscissa of convergence is $\sigma_c = 1 = \sigma_a$.

13.4. Problems.

Problem 13.1. Determine the radius of convergence and the set of $z \in \mathbb{C}$ for which the power series,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j^2 + 1}$$

converges.

Problem 13.2. Fix a positive integer m . Show that the expression, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^{mj}$$

is a power series. Using the result stated (without proof) in Example 13.4, determine the set of z for which this series converges.

Problem 13.3. Prove, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\exp(ix) + \exp(-ix) = 2 \cos(x).$$

Find a similar formula for $\sin(x)$.

Conclude,

$$\exp(it) = \cos(t) + i \sin(t)$$

and thus the representation of Equation (21) can be written as

$$z = re^{it}.$$

Problem 13.4. Prove Proposition 13.8.

Problem 13.5. Prove the assertion in Remark 12.22.

14. LINEAR ALGEBRA REVIEW

This section reviews linear algebra in the Euclidean spaces \mathbb{R}^n in preparation for studying the derivative of mappings from one Euclidean space to another. It is assumed that the reader has had a course in linear algebra and is conversant with matrix computations.

14.1. Matrices and Linear Maps Between Euclidean Spaces.

Definition 14.1. A mapping $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is *linear* if, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

- (i) $T(x + y) = T(x) + T(y)$; and
- (ii) $T(cx) = cT(x)$.

In this case it is customary to write Tx instead of $T(x)$. ◁

Example 14.2. Verify that the mapping $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$T(x_1, x_2) = (2x_1, x_1 + x_2)$$

is linear.

Verify that the functions $f, g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1x_2, x_1 + x_2) \text{ and};$$

$$g(x_1, x_2) = (2x_1, x_1 + x_2 + 1)$$

are not linear. △

Definition 14.3. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$ denote the columns of the $m \times n$ matrix A so that

$$A = (a_1 \ a_2 \ \dots \ a_n).$$

If $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then the product of A and x is

$$Ax = \sum x_j a_j \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

In particular, $a_j = Ae_j$, where $e_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the j -th *standard basis vector*, namely the vector with a 1 in the j -th position and 0 elsewhere.

Given an $m \times n$ matrix A , let \mathfrak{T}_A denote the mapping $\mathfrak{T}_A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{T}_A x = Ax. \quad \triangleleft$$

Lemma 14.4. If A is an $m \times n$ matrix, then the mapping $\mathfrak{T}_A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is linear. †

Definition 14.5. Given a linear map $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, let \mathfrak{A}_T denote the matrix

$$\mathfrak{A}_T = (Te_1 \ Te_2 \ \dots \ Te_n).$$

Thus \mathfrak{A}_T is the $m \times n$ matrix with j -th column Te_j and is called the *matrix representation* of T . △

Example 14.6. Compute the matrix representation \mathfrak{A}_T for the linear transformation in Example 14.2. △

The following proposition justifies identifying $m \times n$ matrices with linear maps from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m .

Proposition 14.7. (i) If A is an $m \times n$ matrix, then $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{x}_A} = A$.

(ii) If $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is linear, then $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{A}_T} = T$. †

If $S, T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ are linear maps and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $cS + T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is naturally defined by

$$(cS + T)x = cSx + Tx.$$

If $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $S : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ are both linear, then the composition $S \circ T$ is written ST , notation justified by the following proposition.

Proposition 14.8. The correspondence between matrices and linear maps enjoys the following properties.

(i) If A and B are $m \times n$ matrices and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\mathfrak{T}_{cA+B} = c\mathfrak{T}_A + \mathfrak{T}_B.$$

(ii) If $S, T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ are linear and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $cS + T$ is linear and moreover,

$$\mathfrak{A}_{cS+T} = c\mathfrak{A}_S + \mathfrak{A}_T.$$

(iii) If A and B are $m \times n$ and $p \times m$ matrices respectively, then

$$\mathfrak{T}_{BA} = \mathfrak{T}_B\mathfrak{T}_A.$$

(iv) If $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $S : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ are linear, then so is ST . Moreover,

$$\mathfrak{A}_{ST} = \mathfrak{A}_S\mathfrak{A}_T.$$

(v) If $m = n$ and T is invertible, then its inverse, $T^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is also linear, the matrix \mathfrak{A}_T is invertible, and

$$\mathfrak{A}_T^{-1} = \mathfrak{A}_{T^{-1}}.$$

(vi) Likewise, if A is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix, then \mathfrak{T}_A is invertible and

$$\mathfrak{T}_A^{-1} = \mathfrak{T}_{A^{-1}}.$$

†

Proof. The first two items are left to the gentle reader as Problem 14.1. The arguments are similar to those of item (iii) and (iv) to follow.

To prove item (iii), let A and B as in the statement of the proposition be given and note that, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathfrak{T}_{BA}x = BAx = B\mathfrak{T}_Ax = \mathfrak{T}_B(\mathfrak{T}_Ax) = \mathfrak{T}_B\mathfrak{T}_Ax.$$

For item (iv), given S, T , observe by item (iii) and Proposition 14.7(ii),

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{A}_S\mathfrak{A}_T} = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{A}_S}\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{A}_T} = ST.$$

In particular, ST is linear. Moreover, applying Proposition 14.7(i),

$$\mathfrak{A}_S\mathfrak{A}_T = \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{A}_S\mathfrak{A}_T}} = \mathfrak{A}_{ST}$$

The remainder of the proof - items (v) and (vi) - is left to the gentle reader as Problem 14.2. \square

Now that matrices and linear maps from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m have been identified, often speak of a matrix as a linear map and conversely. Let I_n denote the $n \times n$ identity matrix. The linear map it induces is of course the identity mapping $\mathfrak{A}_{I_n}x = x$. Occasionally, we will write I in place of I_n when the size n is apparent from the context.

Proposition 14.9. Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix. If $m > n$, then A is not onto. If $m < n$, then A is not one-one.

The matrix A is one-one if and only if $Ax = 0$ implies $x = 0$.

For an $n \times n$ matrix A , the following are equivalent.

- (i) A is invertible;
- (ii) A is one-one;
- (iii) A is onto;
- (iv) there exists an $n \times n$ matrix B such that $BA = I_n$ (and in this case $B = A^{-1}$);
- (v) there exists an $n \times n$ matrix C such that $AC = I_n$ (and in this case $C = A^{-1}$);
- (vi) $\det(A) \neq 0$;

†

Example 14.10. Let T denote the linear transformation from Example 14.2. Verify that T is one-one and hence invertible. Find T^{-1} . \triangle

14.2. **Norms on \mathbb{R}^n .** Let $\|\cdot\|_2$ denote the usual Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^n . Thus, for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$,

$$\|x\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2.$$

In the usual way (\mathbb{R}^n, d_2) is a metric space, where $d_2(x, y) = \|x - y\|_2$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ denote the *standard basis* for \mathbb{R}^n ; i.e., e_j has a 1 in the j -th entry and zeros elsewhere and

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j e_j.$$

Let $S^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_2 = 1\}$, the *unit sphere* in \mathbb{R}^n . Note that it is a compact set.

Lemma 14.11. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on \mathbb{R}^n and let $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ denote the resulting metric. If $T : (\mathbb{R}^n, d_2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, d)$ is linear, then

- (i) there is a $C > 0$ such that

$$\|Tx\| \leq C\|x\|_2;$$

- (ii) T is continuous;

- (iii) the mapping $F_T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $F_T(x) = \|Tx\|$ is continuous and hence attains both its infimum and supremum on \mathbb{S}^n ; and
 (iv) if T is invertible, then there is a $c > 0$ such that

$$c\|x\|_2 \leq \|Tx\|. \quad \dagger$$

Finally,

$\inf\{C' : \|Tx\| \leq C'\|x\|_2 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} = \sup\{\|Tx\| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|_2 = 1\}$
 and both the infimum and supremum are attained.

Recall the 1-norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ on \mathbb{R}^n is defined by

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|$$

and the inequality (which follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality),

$$\|x\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n}\|x\|_2.$$

Proof. Let

$$K = \max\{\|Te_j\| : 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Given $x = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j e_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \|Te_j\| \\ &\leq K\|x\|_1 \\ &\leq K\sqrt{n}\|x\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $C = K\sqrt{n}$ proves item (i).

Now suppose (i) holds (for a given $C \geq 0$). To show that T is (uniformly) continuous, given $\epsilon > 0$ choose $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{C+1}$. If $d_2(x, y) \leq \delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &= \|Tx - Ty\| \\ &= \|T(x - y)\| \\ &\leq C\|x - y\|_2 \\ &= Cd_2(x, y) < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note further that the mapping $F_T : (\mathbb{R}^n, d_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$F_T(x) = \|Tx\|$$

is continuous because it is the composition of the continuous map T with the continuous map $\|\cdot\| : (\mathbb{R}^n, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Since \mathbb{S}^n is a closed bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n , it is compact. Since F_T is continuous, F_T attains both its supremum and infimum on \mathbb{S}^n ;

i.e., there exists a $y, z \in \mathbb{S}^n$ such that $F_T(z) \geq F_T(x) \geq F_T(y)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$. In particular, to prove the moreover part of the statement of the lemma, it suffices to show that $C = F_T(z)$ has the property that $\|Tx\| \leq C\|x\|_2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, which is readily accomplished by considering, for $x \neq 0$, the unit vector $u = \frac{x}{\|x\|_2}$.

Finally, to prove item (iv), assuming T is invertible, Let $c = F_T(y) > 0$ and for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$,

$$F_T(x) = \|Tx\| \geq c.$$

Now suppose $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let u denote the unit vector in the direction x . From the inequality above,

$$\|Tx\| = \|x\|_2 \|Tu\| \geq c\|x\|_2.$$

□

Definition 14.12. Two norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_*$ on \mathbb{R}^n are equivalent norms if there exists $0 < c < C$ such that

$$c\|x\| \leq \|x\|_* \leq C\|x\|$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

◁

Remark 14.13. The metric properties of equivalent norms are the same; i.e., notions of convergence and continuity are the same. Accordingly, we can freely move between equivalent norms for many purposes of analysis. ◇

Theorem 14.14. All norms on \mathbb{R}^n are equivalent.

This theorem depends upon the fact that \mathbb{R}^n is a finite dimensional vector space. There are examples of inequivalent norms on infinite dimensional vector spaces (see Problem 14.6).

Proof. Let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_*$ be given norms and let d and d_* denote the resulting metrics. Let $T : (\mathbb{R}^n, d_2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, d)$ denote the identity mapping, $Tx = x$. From Lemma 14.11 there exists constants $0 < c \leq C$ such that

$$c\|x\|_2 \leq \|Tx\| = \|x\| \leq C\|x\|_2.$$

By precisely the same reasoning, there exists $0 < c_* \leq C_*$ such that

$$c_*\|x\|_2 \leq \|Tx\|_* = \|x\|_* \leq C_*\|x\|_2.$$

It now follows that

$$\frac{c_*}{C} \|x\| \leq \|x\|_* \leq \frac{C_*}{c} \|x\|$$

and the proof is complete. □

14.3. The vector space of $m \times n$ matrices. Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ denote the set of linear maps from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m . Propositions 14.7 and 14.8 describe the canonical identification of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with the set $M_{m,n}$ of $m \times n$ matrices. Both $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $M_{m,n}$ are vector spaces and, as vector spaces, can be identified with \mathbb{R}^{mn} . In particular, $M_{m,n}$ can be given the Euclidean norm by defining, for $X = (x_{j,k}) \in M_{m,n}$,

$$\|X\|_2^2 = \sum_{j,k} |x_{j,k}|^2,$$

which is often called the *Frobenius norm*. However, there is another norm on $M_{m,n}$ (of course equivalent to the Frobenius norm by Theorem 14.14) which, for many purposes, is more natural - and easier to work with. Given an $m \times n$ matrix T , (equivalently a linear map from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m) by Lemma 14.11, there is a C such that

$$\|Tx\|_2 \leq C\|x\|_2$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 14.15. The mapping $\|\cdot\| : M_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\|T\| = \inf\{C : \|Tx\|_2 \leq C\|x\|_2 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

is a norm on $M_{m,n}$. †

Note that by Lemma 14.11, $\|T\| = \sup\{\|Tx\|_2 : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|_2 = 1\}$. This norm is called the *operator norm* (or sometimes the *matrix norm*) and is also denoted by $\|T\|_{\text{op}}$. That this infimum is actually attained and defines a norm is left to the gentle reader as Problem 14.5. The following Proposition collects some immediate properties of the operator norm. Recall, the function F_T of Lemma 14.11 attains its supremum on \mathbb{S}^n .

Proposition 14.16. Let T be an $m \times n$ matrix.

(i) The norm of an $m \times n$ matrix T is also given by

$$\|T\| = \max\{\|Tx\|_2 : \|x\|_2 = 1\}.$$

(ii) If $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then,

$$\|Ty\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|y\|_2.$$

(iii) Conversely, if $C > 0$ and

$$\|Ty\|_2 \leq C\|y\|_2$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\|T\| \leq C$.

(iv) If S is an $n \times p$ matrix, then $\|TS\| \leq \|T\| \|S\|$.

†

14.4. The set of invertible matrices. This section closes by reviewing some basic facts about inverse of matrices (equivalently linear transformations on Euclidean space). Throughout, unless otherwise indicated, $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|_2$.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, recall $I = I_n$ denotes the $n \times n$ identity matrix and \mathfrak{I}_I is the identity mapping, $\mathfrak{I}_I x = x$.

Lemma 14.17. If A is an $n \times n$ matrix and $\|A\| < 1$, then $I - A$ is invertible. Moreover,

$$\|(I - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|A\|}.$$

†

Proof. Observe, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, that

$$\|(I - A)x\| \geq \|x\| - \|Ax\| \geq \|x\| - \|A\|\|x\| = \|x\|(1 - \|A\|).$$

In particular, if $x \neq 0$, then $(I - A)x \neq 0$ and thus, by Proposition 14.9 (the equivalence of items (i) and (ii)), $I - A$ is invertible.

Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, note that

$$\|x\| = \|(I - A)(I - A)^{-1}x\| \geq \|(I - A)^{-1}x\|(1 - \|A\|).$$

Thus,

$$\|(I - A)^{-1}x\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|A\|} \|x\|.$$

Hence $\|(I - A)^{-1}\| \leq (1 - \|A\|)^{-1}$ by Proposition 14.16(iii). \square

Proposition 14.18. Fix n . The set \mathcal{I}_n of invertible $n \times n$ matrices is an open subset of M_n and the mapping $F : \mathcal{I}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n$

$$F(A) = A^{-1}$$

is continuous. \dagger

Proof. Fix $A \in \mathcal{I}_n$. Choose $\eta = \frac{1}{2\|A^{-1}\|}$ and suppose $\|H\| < \eta$. In this case,

$$\| - A^{-1}H \| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|H\| < \frac{1}{2}$$

and hence $I + A^{-1}H$ is invertible by Lemma 14.17. Consequently,

$$A + H = A(I + A^{-1}H)$$

is invertible, proving that the η neighborhood of A lies in \mathcal{I}_n (since if B is in this η neighborhood, then $H = B - A$ has (operator) norm at most η). Lemma 14.17 also gives

$$\|(A + H)^{-1}\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \frac{1}{1 - \|A^{-1}H\|} \leq 2\|A^{-1}\|.$$

To see that F is continuous, again suppose $\|H\| < \eta$ and note

$$\begin{aligned}\|F(A+H) - F(A)\| &= \|(A+H)^{-1}[A - (A+H)]A^{-1}\| \\ &\leq \|A+H\|^{-1} \|H\| \|A^{-1}\| \\ &\leq 2\|A^{-1}\|^2 \|H\|.\end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof, given $\epsilon > 0$, choose $0 < \delta \leq \eta$ and such that $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{2\|A^{-1}\|^2}$. \square

14.5. Exercises.

Exercise 14.1. Show, if $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is linear, then $T0 = 0$. (Here the first 0 is the zero vector in \mathbb{R}^n and the second is the zero vector in \mathbb{R}^m .)

Exercise 14.2. Define $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (2x_1 - x_2 + 3x_3, x_3 - x_1).$$

Find a matrix A such that $T = \mathfrak{T}_A$ and explain how doing so shows that T is linear and $A = \mathfrak{A}_T$.

Exercise 14.3. Prove that the relation of equivalence of norms is an equivalence relation (symmetric, reflexive, and transitive).

Exercise 14.4. Suppose D is an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Show $\|D\| = \max\{|\lambda_j| : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ (the operator norm).

Show D is invertible if and only if all the diagonal entries are different from 0.

Exercise 14.5. Given n and y_1, \dots, y_n , the (row) $1 \times n$ matrix

$$Y = (y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n)$$

is identified with the corresponding linear map $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Find $\|Y\|$ (the operator norm).

Exercise 14.6. Fix $c > 0$ and let

$$S = c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show $\|S\| = c$. Show also that $I - S$ is invertible (even if $c \geq 1$) and compare with Lemma 14.17

14.6. Problems.

Problem 14.1. If $T, S : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, then $T + S : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is defined by $(T + S)x = Tx + Sx$ as one would expect. Show, if S and T are linear, then $T + S$ is linear and

$$\mathfrak{A}_{T+S} = \mathfrak{A}_T + \mathfrak{A}_S.$$

Show further, if $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $cT : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ defined by $cT(x) = c(Tx)$ (and denoted simply cTx) is a linear map and further

$$\mathfrak{A}_{cT} = c\mathfrak{A}_T.$$

In the other direction, show, if A and B are $m \times n$ matrices, then

$$\mathfrak{T}_{A+B} = \mathfrak{T}_A + \mathfrak{T}_B$$

and also

$$\mathfrak{T}_{cA} = c\mathfrak{T}_A.$$

Problem 14.2. Complete the proof of Proposition 14.8.

Problem 14.3. Show if $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on \mathbb{R}^m and $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is linear and one-one, then the function $\|\cdot\|_* : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\|x\|_* = \|Tx\|$$

is a norm on \mathbb{R}^n .

Problem 14.4. Suppose A and B are $n \times n$ and $m \times m$ matrices respectively and that C is an $n \times m$ matrix. Let

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Prove X is invertible if and only if both A and B are.

Problem 14.5. Prove Proposition 14.15.

Problem 14.6. Consider the vector space $C([0, 1])$ with the norms,

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \int_0^1 |f|^2 dt$$

and

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max\{|f(t)| : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}.$$

Let $f_n(t) = t^n$ (defined on $[0, 1]$) and show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_2 = 0,$$

whereas $\|f_n\|_\infty = 1$ for all n . Conclude the norms $\|\cdot\|_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ are not equivalent.

Problem 14.7. Show, if $0 \leq a_n \leq 1$ and the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(1 - a_n)$ converges, then (exactly) one of the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ or $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - a_n)$ converges.

15. DERIVATIVES OF MAPPINGS BETWEEN EUCLIDEAN SPACES

The calculus of functions $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, where U is an open set in \mathbb{R}^n , is the topic of this section. Here \mathbb{R}^n denotes n -dimensional Euclidean space. Thus \mathbb{R}^n is the space of (column) n -vectors, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$, (here T denotes *transpose*) with real entries, the usual pointwise operations and the standard Euclidean norm,

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2.$$

(Note this notation differs slightly from that in some earlier sections where $\|\cdot\|_2$ was used for the Euclidean norm.) Of course, by Theorem 14.14 we could work with any pair of norms on \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m .

The derivative is a linear map from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m and Subsection 14.1 reviewed the connection between matrices and linear maps between Euclidean spaces. The definition and basic examples of derivatives are given in Subsection 15.1. Properties of the derivative appear in Subsection 15.2. Directional derivatives and the connections between partial derivatives and the derivative are detailed in Subsections 15.3 and 15.4 respectively.

15.1. The Derivative: definition and examples. A linear map $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be identified with the real number $t = T1$ and conversely. Indeed, $Th = hT1 = th$; i.e., T corresponds to the 1×1 matrix $[T1]$.

By definition, $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at $a < c < b$ if there is a number t so that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} = t.$$

Rewriting, f is differentiable at c if and only if there is a t such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(c+h) - f(c) - th|}{|h|} = 0.$$

Note that $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open if and only if for each $c \in U$ there is an $\eta > 0$ such that if $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\|h\| < \eta$, then $c + h \in U$. In particular,

$$N_\eta(c) = N_\eta(0) + c := \{h + c : \|h\| < \eta\}.$$

Definition 15.1. Suppose U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $c \in U$ and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. The function f is *differentiable at c* if there is a linear map $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$(24) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(c+h) - f(c) - Th\|}{\|h\|} = 0.$$

If f is differentiable at each $c \in U$, then f is *differentiable* ◁

Proposition 15.2. Suppose U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $c \in U$, and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. If $S, T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ are linear maps and both

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(c+h) - f(c) - Th\|}{\|h\|} = 0 = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(c+h) - f(c) - Sh\|}{\|h\|},$$

then $S = T$. †

Proof. Given $\epsilon > 0$ there is a δ such that if $0 < \|h\| < \delta$, then $c+h \in U$ and both

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(c+h) - f(c) - Th\| &< \epsilon \|h\| \\ \|f(c+h) - f(c) - Sh\| &< \epsilon \|h\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|Th - Sh\| \leq \|f(c+h) - f(c) - Sh\| + \|f(c+h) - f(c) - Th\| < 2\epsilon \|h\|.$$

Now suppose $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is given. For t real and $|t| < \frac{\delta}{\|k\|+1}$, the vector $h = tk$ satisfies $\|h\| < \delta$ and thus,

$$\|T(tk) - S(tk)\| = |t| \|Tk - Sk\| < 2\epsilon |t| \|k\|.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that $Tk = Sk$. □

Definition 15.3. If f is differentiable at c , then the unique linear map T satisfying (24) is the *derivative of f at c* , written

$$f'(c) = Df(c) = T.$$

◁

Example 15.4. Consider the function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^2, x_1x_2)$. With $c = (x_1, x_2)$ fixed, let X denote the matrix,

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 2x_1 & 0 \\ x_2 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given a vector $h = (h_1, h_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\|f(x_1+h_1, x_2+h_2) - f(x_1, x_2) - Xh\| = \|(h_1^2, h_1h_2)\| \leq |h_1| \|h\|.$$

It follows that f is differentiable at (x_1, x_2) and X (really the linear map \mathfrak{T}_X it determines) is $Df(x_1, x_2)$. △

Do Exercise 15.1.

15.2. Properties of the Derivative.

Proposition 15.5. Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. If f is differentiable at c , then f is continuous at c . †

The proof of this proposition is left to the reader as Problem 15.1.

Proposition 15.6. [Chain Rule] Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ are open and that $f : U \rightarrow V$ and $g : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$. If f is differentiable at $c \in U$ and g is differentiable at $d = f(c)$, then $\psi = g \circ f$ is differentiable at c and

$$D\psi(c) = Dg(f(c))Df(c).$$

†

Proof. For notational ease, let $S = Df(c)$ and $T = Dg(f(c))$. Also, for $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $c + h \in U$ and $d + k \in V$, let

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(k) &= g(d + k) - g(d) - Tk \\ \eta(h) &= f(c + h) - f(c) - Sh \\ \Gamma(h) &= \eta(h) + Sh = f(c + h) - f(c).\end{aligned}$$

With these notations,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(c + h) - \psi(c) - TSh &= g(f(c + h)) - g(f(c)) - TSh \\ &= g(f(c) + \Gamma(h)) - g(f(c)) - T(\Gamma(h) - \eta(h)) \\ &= \gamma(\Gamma(h)) + T\eta(h).\end{aligned}$$

Now let $1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ be given. Since g is differentiable at d , there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|k\| < \delta$, then $\|\gamma(k)\| < \epsilon\|k\|$. Since f is differentiable at c , there exists a $\mu > 0$ such that if $\|h\| < \mu$, then $\|\eta(h)\| < \epsilon\|h\| \leq \|h\|$ and at the same time

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Gamma(h)\| &\leq \|\eta(h)\| + \|S\|\|h\| \\ &\leq (1 + \|S\|)\|h\| < \delta.\end{aligned}$$

Thus for $\|h\| < \mu$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\gamma(\Gamma(h)) + T\eta(h)\| &\leq \epsilon\|\Gamma(h)\| + \|T\|\|\eta(h)\| \\ &\leq \epsilon(1 + \|S\|)\|h\| + \|T\|\epsilon\|h\| \\ &\leq \epsilon(1 + \|S\| + \|T\|)\|h\|.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\psi(c + h) - \psi(c) - TSh\|}{\|h\|} = 0$$

and the proof is complete. \square

As is easy to prove, the derivative is also linear in the sense that if U is an open set in \mathbb{R}^n , the point c lies in U , the functions $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ are differentiable at c and $r \in \mathbb{R}$, then $rf + g$ is differentiable at c and

$$D(rf + g)(c) = rDf(c) + Dg(c).$$

15.3. Directional Derivatives. A subset C of \mathbb{R}^n is *convex* if $a, b \in C$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$ implies $(1-t)a + tb \in C$. For $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, the neighborhood $N_r(c)$ is evidently convex. In fact, because neighborhoods are open sets, given $a, b \in N_r(c)$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $(1-t)a + tb \in N_r(c)$ for $-\delta < t < 1 + \delta$. Thus, given an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^n a function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and a point $c \in U$ and an $r > 0$ such that $N_r(c) \subset U$, if $a, b \in N_r(c)$ then, for some $\delta > 0$, we can consider the function $h : (-\delta, 1 + \delta) \rightarrow U$ defined by $h(t) = (1-t)a + tb = a + t(b-a)$ and the composition $g : (-\delta, 1 + \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$g(t) = f(h(t)) = f(a + t(b-a)).$$

Definition 15.7. Suppose

- (i) U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n ;
- (ii) c is in U ;
- (iii) $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a unit vector;
- (iv) $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

In this case there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $c + tu \in U$ whenever $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|t| < \delta$. The *directional derivative of f in the direction u* is the derivative of $g : (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ defined by $g(t) = f(c + tu)$ at 0, if it exists. It is denoted $D_u f(c)$. Thus,

$$D_u f(c) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(c + tu) - f(c)}{t},$$

if this limit exists. ◁

Remark 15.8. If it exists, $D_u f(c) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. (It is a vector.) ◇

The following simple corollary of the chain rule relates the derivative to directional derivatives.

Corollary 15.9. Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open, $c \in U$, $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. For each $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $c + th \in U$ for $|t| < \delta$. If f is differentiable at c , then the function $g : (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ defined by $g(t) = f(c + th)$ is differentiable at 0 and

$$g'(0) = Df(c)h.$$

Further, if f is differentiable, then so is g and

$$g'(t) = Df(c + th)h.$$

In particular, if f is differentiable at c and $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a unit vector, then

$$D_u f(c) = Df(c)u \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

†

Proof. Since U is open and $c \in U$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $c + tu \in U$ for $|t| < \delta$. Define $h : (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by $h(t) = c + tu$. Then h is differentiable at 0 and $h'(0) = u$. Thus, by the chain rule, $g = f \circ h$ is differentiable at 0 and $g'(0) = f'(h(0))h'(0)$. \square

It can happen that all directional derivatives can exist, even though f is not differentiable at c as the following example shows.

Example 15.10. Define $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(0, 0) = 0$ and otherwise

$$f(x, y) = \frac{x^3}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

Then all the directional derivatives of f exist; however, f is not differentiable at 0. To prove this last assertion, suppose $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear and both

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(te_1) - f(0) - T(te_1)|}{|t|} = 0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(se_2) - f(0) - T(se_2)|}{|s|}.$$

In this case $Te_1 = 1$ and $Te_2 = 0$. In particular, as a matrix,

$$T = (1 \ 0) = e_1^T.$$

But then, with $h = (e_1 + e_2)$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(th) - f(0) - T(th)|}{\|th\|} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(h) - f(0) - Th|}{\|h\|} \neq 0.$$

Hence f is not differentiable at 0. \square

In Problem 15.4 you will show that in fact the composition of f with any differentiable curve is differentiable at 0. \triangle

15.4. Partial derivatives and the derivative. This subsection begins with the familiar definition of the partial derivative.

Definition 15.11. Suppose U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $c \in U$ and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. The *partial derivative of f* with respect to x_j at c is the directional derivative of f in the direction e_j at c (where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^n) and is denoted by $D_j f(c)$.

In the case that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($m = 1$), it is customary to write

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$$

instead of $D_j f$. ◁

Remark 15.12. Given $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $f_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the function $f_i(x) = \langle f(x), e_i \rangle$; i.e.,

$$f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assuming f is differentiable at $c \in U$, each f_i is differentiable at c since f_i is the composition of the differentiable mapping $E_i : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$E_i(y) = \langle y, e_i \rangle = e_i^T y$$

with the mapping f which is differentiable at c . Using $f_i = E_i \circ f$ and the fact that $DE_i(c)h = E_i(h)$ (see Exercise 15.8), the chain rule implies, for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(c) = D_j f_i(c) = Df_i(c)e_j = E_i(f(c))Df(c)e_j = \langle Df(c)e_j, e_i \rangle.$$

◇

Proposition 15.13. If f , as in the definition, is differentiable at c , then the matrix representation of $Df(c)$, in terms of its columns, is

$$(D_1 f(c) \quad \cdots \quad D_n f(c))$$

and moreover,

$$Df(c)_{i,j} = \langle Df(c)e_j, e_i \rangle = \langle D_j f(c), e_i \rangle.$$

Thus, the matrix representation for $Df(c)$ is

$$Df(c) = \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(c) \right)_{i,j=1}^{m,n}.$$

†

Example 15.14. Returning to Example 15.4, $f_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2$ and $f_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2$. Thus,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(a, b) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}(a, b) \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}(a, b) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}(a, b) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

△

Definition 15.15. If U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at $c \in U$, then $Df(c)$ is identified with the *gradient* of f , which is the $1 \times n$ matrix

$$\nabla f(c) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \quad \dots \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right).$$

◁

Proposition 15.16. Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open, $c \in U$ and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $\eta > 0$, the neighborhood $N_\eta(c) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - c\| < \eta\}$ lies in U and if each $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$ exists on $N_\eta(c)$ and is continuous at c , then f is differentiable at c . †

Proof. The case $n = 2$ is proved. The details for the general case can easily be filled in by the gentle reader.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. By continuity of the partial derivatives, there is an $\eta > \delta > 0$ such that if $\|k\| < \delta$, then

$$|D_j f(c+k) - D_j f(c)| < \epsilon.$$

Write $c = c_1 e_1 + c_2 e_2$. Let $h = h_1 e_1 + h_2 e_2$ with $\|h\| < \eta$ be given. Observe, that $c + h_1 e_1 \in U$ and

$$f(c+h) - f(c) = f(c+h) - f(c+h_1 e_1) + f(c+h_1 e_1) - f(c).$$

Next, note that for $0 \leq s \leq 1$ that $c + s h_1 e_1 \in N_\delta(c)$ and $c + h_1 e_1 + s h_2 e_2 \in N_\delta(c)$. By the mean value theorem applied to the functions $g_2(s) = f(c + h_1 e_1 + s h_2 e_2)$ and $g_1(s) = f(c + s h_1 e_1)$ on the interval $[0, 1]$ there exists points $t_j \in (0, 1)$ such that, after (two) applications of the chain rule,

$$\begin{aligned} f(c+h) - f(c+h_1 e_1) &= h_2 D_2 f(c+h_1 e_1 + t_2 h_2 e_2) \\ f(c+h_1 e_1) - f(c) &= h_1 D_1 f(c+t_1 h_1 e_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $\|h\| < \delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(c+h) - f(c) - \nabla f(c)h| \\ &\leq |f(c+h) - f(c+h_1 e_1) - h_2 D_2 f(c+h_1 e_1 + t_2 h_2 e_2)| \\ &\quad + |h_2 D_2 f(c+h_1 e_1 + t_2 h_2 e_2) - h_2 D_2 f(c)| \\ &\quad + |f(c+h_1 e_1) - f(c) - h_1 D_1 f(c+t_1 h_1 e_1)| \\ &\quad + |h_1 D_1 f(c+t_1 h_1 e_1) - h_1 D_1 f(c)| \\ &\leq \epsilon(|h_2| + |h_1|) \\ &\leq 2\epsilon\|h\|. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that f is differentiable at c (and of course $Df(c) = \nabla f(c)$). □

Proposition 15.17. Suppose U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. If all the partials $D_j f_i$ exist on U and are continuous at c , then f is differentiable at c . †

Proof. From the preceding proposition, each f_i , defined by $f_i(x) = \langle f(x), e_i \rangle$ is differentiable and $Df_i = \nabla f_i$.

Fix $c \in U$ and let T denote the matrix with (i, j) entry $D_j f_i(c)$. For $\|h\|$ sufficiently small,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(c+h) - f(c) - Th\| &= \left\| \sum \langle f(c+h) - f(c) - Th, e_i \rangle e_i \right\| \\ &\leq \sum |\langle f(c+h) - f(c) - Th, e_i \rangle| \\ &\leq \sum |f_i(c+h) - f_i(c) - Df_i h| \\ &\leq m\epsilon \|h\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 15.18. Given $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ an open set and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, if f is differentiable and $Df : U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is continuous, then f is said to be *continuously differentiable*. Note that f is continuously differentiable if and only if all its partials are.

Summarizing, if all the partials of f exist in a neighborhood of a point c and are continuous at c , then f is differentiable and its derivative is identified with its matrix of partial derivatives. If further, all the partials are continuous, then f is continuously differentiable. ◇

15.5. Exercises.

Exercise 15.1. Show, directly from the definition, that $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^2 - x_2^2, 2x_1x_2)$$

is differentiable and compute its derivative (at each point).

At which points c does the derivative $Df(c)$ fail to be invertible?

Exercise 15.2. Show that $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$f(r, t) = (r \cos(t), r \sin(t))$$

is differentiable and compute its derivative using Propositions 15.13 and 15.17 (and the well known rules of calculus for sin and cos).

At which points c does $Df(c)$ fail to be invertible?

Exercise 15.3. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable. For $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, find u which maximizes $D_u f(c)$ (over unit vectors u). This direction is the *direction of maximum increase of f at c* .

Exercise 15.4. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have continuous (partial) derivatives. Write out the chain rule for $h = g \circ f$ explicitly in terms of these (partial) derivatives.

Exercise 15.5. Same as Exercise 15.4, but with $f, g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$.

Exercise 15.6. Define $F : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$F(x, y, u, v) = (x^2 - y^2 + u^2 - v^2 - 1, xy + uv).$$

Compute the derivative of F .

Determine the *rank* of $DF(c)$ at a point c where $F(c) = 0$. (There are numerous equivalent definitions of the rank of a matrix. Feel free to use any that you are comfortable with. If you don't know a definition, you might choose to use the rank is the largest k such a (principal) $k \times k$ submatrix has non-zero determinant. In particular, the rank of $DF(c)$ is at most two.)

Exercise 15.7. Verify the chain rule for $f \circ F$, where F is defined in Exercise 15.6 and f is given in example 15.4.

Exercise 15.8. Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix and a is a vector in \mathbb{R}^m . Show that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ defined by

$$f(x) = Ax + a$$

is differentiable and $Df(c) = A$ (for all c).

Exercise 15.9. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is differentiable at 0 and

$$Df(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Find the directional derivative in the direction

$$v = (3 \ 1 \ 5)^T.$$

15.6. Problems.

Problem 15.1. Prove Proposition 15.5.

Problem 15.2. Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Define f has a local minimum at c and prove, if f has a local minimum at c and f is differentiable at c , then $Df(c) = 0$.

Problem 15.3. Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and connected. Show, if $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and $Df = 0$ ($Df(x) = 0$ for all $x \in U$), then f is constant. You may wish to use the following outline.

- (i) Suppose $a \in U$ the vector $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $a + th \in U$ for $-\delta < t < 1 + \delta$. Show $f(a) = f(a + h)$.
- (ii) Show, if $r > 0$ and $N_r(a) \subset U$, then $f(x) = f(a)$ for all $x \in N_r(a)$.

(iii) Fix $b \in U$ and let $S = \{x \in U : f(x) = f(b)\}$. Show, S is both open and closed.

Show the same result holds with the codomain of f replaced by \mathbb{R}^m .

Problem 15.4. Show, in Example 15.10, if $\gamma : (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is differentiable, $\gamma(0) = 0$, and $\gamma'(0) \neq 0$, then $f \circ \gamma$ is differentiable at 0.

Problem 15.5. Define $f(x, y) = \frac{xy}{x^2+y^2}$ for $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ and $f(0, 0) = 0$. Show the partial derivatives $D_j f(0, 0)$ exist, even though f is not continuous at 0.

Problem 15.6. Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is open and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Prove if the partial derivatives of f exist and are bounded, then f is continuous.

16. THE INVERSE AND IMPLICIT FUNCTION THEOREMS

In this section the Inverse and Implicit Function Theorems are established. The approach here is to prove the Inverse Function Theorem first and then use it to prove the Implicit Function Theorem. It is possible to do things the other way around.

16.1. Lipschitz Continuity. This subsection collects a couple of facts used in the proof of the inverse function theorem.

Suppose U is an open set in \mathbb{R}^n . A function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is *Lipschitz continuous* if there is an M such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq M\|x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in U$.

Recall, a subset C of a vector space V is *convex* if $x, y \in C$ and $s, t \geq 0, s + t = 1$ implies

$$sx + ty \in C.$$

Note that, given $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\epsilon > 0$,

$$N_\epsilon(c) = \{x \in V : \|c - x\| < \epsilon\},$$

is an open convex set.

Proposition 16.1. Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and convex. If $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is continuously differentiable and if $Df : U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is bounded, say $\|Df(x)\| \leq M$ for all $x \in U$, then f is Lipschitz continuous with constant M .

In particular, if $O \subset \overline{O} \subset U$ and O is open, convex and bounded, then f is Lipschitz continuous on O . †

Proof. Given $x, y \in U$, since U is open and convex there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $ty + (1 - t)x \in U$ for $-\delta < t < 1 + \delta$. Define $\psi : (-\delta, 1 + \delta) \rightarrow U$ by

$$\psi(t) = ty + (1 - t)x$$

and let $g(t) = f \circ \psi(t)$. Since both f and ψ are differentiable, by the chain rule g is differentiable and

$$g'(t) = Df(\psi(t))\psi'(t) = Df(ty + (1 - t)x)(y - x).$$

Hence,

$$\|g'(t)\| \leq \|Df(ty + (1 - t)x)\| \|y - x\| \leq M\|y - x\|.$$

From the First Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, Theorem 11.31,

$$f(y) - f(x) = g(1) - g(0) = \int_0^1 g'(t) dt.$$

By Proposition 11.32,

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\| \leq \int_0^1 \|g'(t)\| dt \leq M\|y - x\|.$$

□

Lemma 16.2. The function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(x) = \|x\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$ is differentiable and $\nabla g(z) = 2z^T$. †

Proof. Writing $g(x) = x^T x$, note that

$$g(x + h) - g(x) - 2x^T h = h^T h$$

from which it easily follows that $Dg(x)h = 2x^T h$. □

16.2. The Inverse Function Theorem.

Theorem 16.3. [Inverse Function] Suppose U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $c \in U$, and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. If f is continuously differentiable on U and $Df(c)$ is invertible, then there is an open subset $O \subset U$ containing c such that

- (i) $f(O)$ is open;
- (ii) $\tilde{f} = f|_O : O \rightarrow f(O)$ is a bijection; and
- (iii) the inverse of \tilde{f} is continuously differentiable.

Proof. The statement is proved first under the additional assumption that $c = 0$ and $Df(0) = I$.

Consider the function $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $g(x) = x - f(x)$. Since $Dg(0) = 0$, and Dg is continuous, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $N_\delta(0) \subset U$

and $\|Dg(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for $\|x\| < \delta$. By Proposition 16.1, if $\|x\|, \|y\| < \delta$, then

$$\|g(y) - g(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|y - x\|.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y - x\| &\leq \|(y - x) - (f(y) - f(x))\| + \|f(y) - f(x)\| \\ &= \|g(y) - g(x)\| + \|f(y) - f(x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|y - x\| + \|f(y) - f(x)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging gives

$$(25) \quad \|y - x\| \leq 2\|f(y) - f(x)\|.$$

This proves that f is one-one on $N_\delta(0)$. It also shows that the inverse of the mapping $f : N_\delta(0) \rightarrow f(N_\delta(0))$ is continuous.

Note that $Dg(x) = I - Df(x)$ and since $\|Dg(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ on $N_\delta(0)$, it follows from Lemma 14.17 that $Df(x)$ is invertible on $N_\delta(0)$.

Choose $0 < \eta < \delta$. The set $S_\eta = \{x : \|x\| = \eta\}$ is closed in \mathbb{R}^n and hence compact. The function $S_\eta \ni x \mapsto \|f(x) - f(0)\|^2$ is continuous and, since f is one-one on $N_\delta(0)$, never 0. It follows that there is a $d > 0$ such that $\|f(x) - f(0)\| \geq 2d$ for all $x \in S_\eta$.

Let $K = B_\eta(0)$, the η ball centered at 0. In particular, K is the closure of $N_\eta(0)$ and is compact since it is a closed bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, S_η is the boundary of K . Given $y \in N_d(f(0))$, let

$$\varphi(x) = \langle f(x) - y, f(x) - y \rangle = \|f(x) - y\|^2.$$

The function φ is continuous on K and hence attains its minimum at some $z \in K$. This minimum is not on the boundary S_η since $\|y - f(0)\| < d$ and at the same time, for $x \in S_\eta$,

$$\|f(x) - y\| \geq \|f(x) - f(0)\| - \|f(0) - y\| \geq 2d - d = d.$$

Now, by Problem 15.2, $\nabla\varphi(z) = 0$ and on the other hand, by the chain rule, Proposition 15.6, and Lemma 16.2

$$0 = \nabla\varphi(z) = 2(f(z) - y)^T Df(z).$$

Since $Df(z)$ is invertible, it follows that $f(z) - y = 0$ and we conclude that $y = f(z)$ for some $z \in N_\eta(0)$. Hence, for each $y \in N_d(f(0))$, there is $z \in N_\eta(0)$ such that $f(z) = y$.

Let $O = N_\eta(0) \cap f^{-1}(N_d(f(0)))$. Then O is open, $0 \in O$, and $f : O \rightarrow N_d(f(0))$ is one-one and onto. The first two items are now proved (under some additional hypotheses).

Let ψ denote the inverse to $f : O \rightarrow N_d(f(0))$. The inequality (25) says that ψ is continuous (in fact Lipschitz).

To prove (iii), let $y \in N_d(f(0))$ be given. By (ii) there is an $x \in O$ such that $f(x) = y$. There is a $\sigma > 0$ such that if $\|k\| < \sigma$, then $y + k \in N_d(f(0))$. By (i) there is an h such that $x + h \in O$ and $f(x + h) = y + k$. In particular, $\psi(y + k) - \psi(y) = x + h - x = h$. Let $T = Df(x)$ which, by the choice of η , is invertible. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(y + k) - \psi(y) - T^{-1}k &= h - T^{-1}k \\ &= -T^{-1}(k - Th) \\ &= -T^{-1}(f(x + h) - y - Th) \\ &= -T^{-1}(f(x + h) - f(x) - Th). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\psi(y + k) - \psi(y) - T^{-1}k\| \leq \|T^{-1}\| \|f(x + h) - f(x) - Th\|.$$

Since also

$$\|h\| = \|x + h - x\| \leq 2\|f(x + h) - f(x)\| = 2\|y + k - y\| = 2\|k\|,$$

it follows that

$$\frac{\|\psi(y + k) - \psi(y) - T^{-1}k\|}{\|k\|} \leq 2\|T^{-1}\| \frac{\|f(x + h) - f(x) - Th\|}{\|h\|}.$$

This last estimate shows that ψ is differentiable at y and $D\psi(y) = T^{-1} = Df(\psi(y))^{-1}$. Finally, the mapping $y \mapsto Df(\psi(y))$ is continuous as is the mapping taking a matrix to its inverse (see Proposition 14.18). Thus $D\psi$ is the composition of continuous maps and hence continuous. The proof of (iii) is complete.

Now suppose still that $c = 0$, but assume only that $Df(0)$ is invertible. Let $A = Df(0)$ and let G denote the mapping $G(x) = Ax$. Since A is invertible, G is invertible and continuous and moreover both G and G^{-1} are continuously differentiable (see Exercise 15.8). Indeed, $G^{-1}(x) = A^{-1}x$ and, for instance $DG(x)$ is constantly equal to A . Let $F = A^{-1}f = G^{-1} \circ f$. Then F is continuously differentiable on U and $DF(0) = A^{-1}Df(0) = I$. Hence, by what has already been proved, there is an open set O such that $F(O)$ is open and F restricted to O is a continuous bijection between O and $F(O)$ whose inverse is continuously differentiable. It now follows that $f = G \circ F$ maps O bijectively onto the open set $f(O) = G(F(O)) = (G^{-1})^{-1}(F(O))$ and the inverse of f restricted to $f(O)$ is the composition of continuously differentiable functions and is thus continuously differentiable.

The passage for $c = 0$ to a general c is left to the gentle reader. \square

Corollary 16.4. Suppose U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuously differentiable, and $Df(x)$ is invertible for each $x \in U$. If $V \subset U$ is open, then $f(V)$ is open. †

Example 16.5. Consider the mapping given by

$$(r, t) \mapsto e^r(\cos(t), \sin(t)).$$

In particular, it maps the line (r_0, t) to the circle of radius r_0 (many times over). Similarly, it maps the line (r, t_0) to the ray (emanating at, but not containing, the origin) $e^r(\cos(t_0), \sin(t_0))$.

The derivative of F is the 2×2 matrix,

$$DF(r, t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^r \cos(t) & -e^r \sin(t) \\ e^r \sin(t) & e^r \cos(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

which is easily seen to be invertible (its determinant is e^{2r}). Thus, for each point (r_0, t_0) there is open set U of (r_0, t_0) on which F is one-one and $F(U)$ is open.

From the corollary, if V is any open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 , then $F(V)$ is open. In particular, the range of F is an open set. Of course, the range of F is $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ which is evidently open.

As an exercise, find an open set U containing $(0, 0)$ on which F is one-one and compute the inverse of $F : U \rightarrow F(U)$; likewise find an open set V containing $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ on which F is one-one and determine the inverse of $F : V \rightarrow F(V)$. Ditto for $(0, \pi)$.

By comparison, consider the function f of Example 16.5. Determine the image of the lines $\{(r_0, t) : t\}$ and $\{(r, t_0) : r\}$ under f . Note that Df is invertible at (r_0, t_0) precisely when $r_0 \neq 0$. See Exercise 15.2. \triangle

16.3. The Implicit Function Theorem. It will be convenient to think of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+m} as the *direct sum* $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^m$ which is the set

$$\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^m = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$$

with coordinate-wise addition

$$(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2),$$

scalar multiplication

$$c(x, y) = (cx, cy)$$

and (Euclidean) norm,

$$\|(x, y)\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2.$$

If $L \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $J : \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ is the *inclusion*

$$(26) \quad Jy = 0 \oplus y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{pmatrix},$$

then $LJ \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^m$ is open, $c = (a, b) \in U$ (so that $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$), and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. Writing $z \in U$ as $z = x \oplus y$, if f is differentiable at c , then the matrix representation of $Df(c)$ is

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial y_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial y_m} \end{array} \right).$$

As short hand, write

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \mid \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right).$$

With a similar short hand, the matrix representation for J has the form,

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n,m} \\ - \\ I_m \end{pmatrix}$$

and thus,

$$Df(c)J = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial y_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial y_m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 16.6. [Implicit Function] Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^m$ is open, $(a, b) \in U$, and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. If

- (a) f is continuously differentiable;
- (b) $f(a, b) = 0$; and
- (c) $Df(a, b)J$ is invertible,

then there is

- (i) an open set $(a, b) \in O \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$;
- (ii) an open set $a \in W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$; and
- (iii) a unique function $g : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that
 - (a) $G(x) = (x, g(x))$ maps W into O ;
 - (b)

$$\{(x, y) : f(x, y) = 0\} \cap O = \{G(x) : x \in W\}; \text{ and};$$

- (c)

$$f(x, g(x)) = 0 = f(G(x)).$$

Moreover, W and O can be chosen so that g is continuously differentiable.

When the conclusion of the implicit function theorem holds we say that $f(x, y) = 0$ defines y as a function of x near the point (a, b) .

Proof. Define $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ by

$$F(x, y) = (x, f(x, y)).$$

It is readily checked that F is continuously differentiable and further

$$DF(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ * & Df(x, y)J \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Problem 14.4 and the hypothesis that $Df(a, b)J$ is invertible, the matrix $DF(a, b)$ is invertible.

By the Inverse Function Theorem, there is an open set O containing (a, b) such that F is one-one on O , the set $F(O)$ is open, and $F : O \rightarrow F(O)$ has a continuously differentiable inverse $H : F(O) \rightarrow O$. In particular, $H(x, f(x, y)) = (x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in O$. Writing $H(u, v) = (h_1(u, v), h_2(u, v))$, it follows that

$$(x, y) = H(x, f(x, y)) = (h_1(x, f(x, y)), h_2(x, f(x, y))).$$

Thus, for $(x, 0) \in O$, we have $(x, 0) = (h_1(x, 0), h_2(x, 0))$ and hence $h_1(x, 0) = x$. Finally $H(x, 0) = (x, h_2(x, 0))$.

Let $W = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : (u, 0) \in F(O)\}$. Note that W is open and $a \in W$ since both $(a, b) \in O$ and $F(a, b) = (a, f(a, b)) = (a, 0) \in F(O)$. Consider the mappings $g : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ given by $g(x) = h_2(x, 0)$ and $\tilde{g} : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ defined by $\tilde{g}(x) = H(x, 0) = (x, g(x)) = G(x)$. Since H maps into O , condition (a) of item (iii) holds. Since g is the composition of continuously differentiable mappings (inclusion, then H , then projection onto the last m -coordinates), g is continuously differentiable. Moreover, if $x \in W$, then

$$(x, 0) = F \circ H(x, 0) = F(x, g(x)) = (x, f(x, g(x))).$$

Thus $f(G(x)) = 0$ for all $x \in W$ and condition (c) in item (iii) holds as does the reverse inclusion in (b). On the other hand, if $(x, y) \in O$ and $f(x, y) = 0$, then $x \in W$ and thus $F(x, g(x)) = (x, 0) = F(x, y)$. Since F is one-one, it follows that $y = g(x)$. Hence, condition (b) in item (iii) holds and moreover, g is uniquely determined. \square

Example 16.7. Define $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1$. The derivative (gradient) of f is then,

$$\nabla f(x, y) = 2(x \ y).$$

In particular, $\nabla f \neq 0$ on the set $f(x, y) = 0$. If $f(a, b) = 0$ and $b \neq 0$, then there is an open set W containing a (in \mathbb{R}), an open set O containing (a, b) and a continuously differentiable function $g : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(a) = b$ and $f(x, g(x)) = 0$. In fact, $(x, y) \in O$ and $f(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $y = g(x)$.

Likewise, if $a \neq 0$, then there is an open set V containing b (in \mathbb{R}) and a continuously differentiable function $h : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $h(b) = a$ and $f(h(y), y) = 0$.

Of course in this example it is a simple matter to actually solve g and h . \triangle

Example 16.8. Consider the lemniscate

$$f(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2)^2 - 2(x^2 - y^2) = 0.$$

(See en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lemniscate_of_Bernoulli for a picture.) In polar coordinates it takes the form $r^2 = 2 \cos(2\theta)$, from which it can be seen to look like a (horizontal) figure eight. The gradient of f is

$$\nabla f(x, y) = (4x^3 + 4xy^2 - 4x, 4y^3 + 4x^2y + 4y)$$

which can vanish only for $y = 0$ in which case $x = 0$ or $x = \pm 1$. Since the points $(\pm 1, 0)$ are not on the lemniscate, except for the point $(0, 0)$, the Implicit Function Theorem says that the lemniscate is (*locally*) the graph of a function (either $y = g(x)$ or $x = h(y)$). The theorem is silent on whether this is possible near $(0, 0)$; however from the picture it is evident that the lemniscate is not the graph of a function in any open set containing $(0, 0)$. \triangle

Example 16.9. Let $f(x, y) = y - x^3$. The set $f(x, y) = 0$ is just the graph of a continuously differentiable function, namely $y = x^3$. It is also the graph of $x = y^{1/3}$ which is not continuously differentiable at 0. Note that $\nabla f(0, 0) = (0, 1)$ so that the implicit function theorem is silent on writing x as a function of y near $(0, 0)$. \triangle

Example 16.10. The solution set of $x^2 = y^3$ is the Neile parabola (see en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neile_parabola). Let $f(x, y) = x^2 - y^3$. Then the gradient of f vanishes at $(0, 0)$. In this case the set $f(x, y) = 0$ is the graph of a function $y = x^{2/3} = g(x)$, but $g(x)$ is not differentiable at 0. On the other hand, this set is not the graph of a function $x = h(y)$ near $(0, 0)$. \triangle

Example 16.11. Define $F : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$F(x, y, u, v) = ((x^2 - y^2) - (u^3 - 3uv^2), 2xy - (3u^2v - v^3)).$$

Verify that near any point, except for 0, on the set $F(x, y, u, v) = 0$ it is possible to solve for either $(u, v) = g(x, y)$ or $(x, y) = h(u, v)$. (Note: using complex numbers, this example can be written as $z^2 = w^3$ and so is the complex version of the Neile parabola). \triangle

The discussion of the Implicit Function Theorem continues in the following subsection.

16.4. Immersions, Embeddings, and Surfaces*. As indicated by the * this section is optional. It contains an informal introduction to surfaces. The main technical tool is the Implicit Function Theorem.

Suppose T is an $m \times k$ matrix. Viewed as a linear mapping, T is one-one if and only if the columns of T form a linearly independent set (and of course necessarily $m \geq k$). Likewise, T is onto if and only if the columns of T span \mathbb{R}^m (and of course necessarily $k \geq m$). In particular, T is onto if and only if T has an $m \times m$ invertible submatrix.

Definition 16.12. Let $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. An *immersion* $f : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is a continuously differentiable function such that $Df(x)$ is one-one at each point $x \in W$. Note that necessarily $k \geq n$. \triangleleft

Example 16.13. The map $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $f(t) = (\cos(t), \sin(t))$ is an immersion. \triangle

Definition 16.14. The immersion $f : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is an *embedding* if it is one-one and the inverse of $f : W \rightarrow f(W)$ is continuous. \triangleleft

Example 16.15. Define $\psi : (-1, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ as follows. Let $\psi(t) = (1, t)$ for $-1 < t \leq 0$; and $\psi(t) = (\cos(t), \sin(t))$ for $0 \leq t < 2\pi$. Then ψ is a one-one immersion. However, it is not an embedding, since the inverse is not continuous at $(1, 0)$. \triangle

Example 16.16. The function $g_1 : (-\pi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $g_1(t) = (\cos(t), \sin(t))$ is an embedding; as is $g_2 : (0, 2\pi)$ defined by $g_2(t) = (\cos(t), \sin(t))$. Thus, the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ is locally the image of an embedding. \triangle

If $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and $f : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is continuously differentiable, then the mapping $G : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ defined by

$$G(x) = (x, f(x))$$

is an embedding. Indeed, in block matrix form,

$$DG(x) = \begin{pmatrix} I_n \\ - \\ Df(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence $DG(x)$ is one-one. Further, the projection mapping $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\pi(x, y) = x$ is continuous and its restriction to the range of G is the inverse of G . Hence G has a continuous inverse.

Definition 16.17. An n dimensional surface in \mathbb{R}^k is a (non-empty) set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ such that for each point $s \in S$ there is an open set O containing s in \mathbb{R}^k , an open subset $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and an embedding $G : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ such that $G(W) = O \cap S$. \triangleleft

Example 16.18. The discussion preceding the definition shows that the graph of a continuously differentiable function is a surface.

Example 16.15 shows that the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ in \mathbb{R}^2 is a 1-dimensional surface in \mathbb{R}^2 . \triangle

Theorem 16.19. Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is an open set, $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is continuously differentiable, and $S = \{z \in U : f(z) = 0\}$ is non-empty.

If $Df(z)$ is onto for each $z \in S$, then S is a $k - m$ dimensional surface.

Note that the onto hypotheses implies $k \geq m$. Let $n = k - m$ so that $k = n + m$.

Proof. Let $s \in S$ be given. Since $Df(s)$ is onto, by relabeling the variables if needed, it can be assumed that $Df(s)J : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is invertible, where J is define in Equation (26). By the Implicit Function Theorem (Theorem 16.6), there exists open sets $a \in W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(a, b) \in O \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ and a (unique) continuously differentiable function $g : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$\{z = (x, y) : f(x, y) = 0\} \cap O = \{(x, g(x)) : x \in W\}.$$

To see that $G : W \rightarrow O$ given by $G(x) = (x, g(x))$ is the desired embedding, note that its inverse (on its range) is given by $(x, g(x)) \mapsto x$ and is thus continuous (as it is the restriction of a coordinate projection to the range of G). \square

Example 16.20. The following example shows that the converse of the preceding theorem is false. Define $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1$, let $h = f^2$. The curve $f(x, y) = 0$ is of course the unit circle as is the curve $h(x, y) = 0$. Thus $h(x, y) = 0$ defines a surface. On the other hand,

$$\nabla(h) = 4f(x, y)\nabla(f)$$

which vanishes at every point on $f(x, y) = 0$.

For a more subtle example, consider $0 = p(x, y) = y^3 + 2x^2y - x^4$. The gradient of p at $(0, 0)$ is $(0, 0)$. On the other hand, near $(0, 0)$ this curve can also be expressed as $0 = g(x, y) = x^2 - y(1 + \sqrt{1 + y})$ and $\nabla(g)(0, 0) = (0 \ 1) \neq 0$. \triangle

Example 16.21. Define $F : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$F(x, y, u, v) = (x^2 - y^2 + u^2 - v^2 - 1, 2xy + 2uv).$$

Verify that $F(x, y, u, v) = 0$ is a 2 dimensional surface (in \mathbb{R}^4). (Note, this is the complex sphere $z^2 + w^2 = 1$.) \triangle

16.5. Exercises.

Exercise 16.1. Consider the function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$f(x, y) = (xy, x - y).$$

Compute $Df(x, y)$. Verify that the inverse function theorem applies at the point $(1, 1)$. What is the conclusion? Find an open set U containing $(1, 1)$ on which f is one-one and find the inverse of f restricted to U (viewed as a map onto its range). [Hint: Note if $xy = ab$ and $x - y = a - b$, then $x^2 + y^2 = a^2 + b^2$ and hence both (x, y) and (a, b) are points of intersection of the same line and circle.]

Find the image of the set $\{(x, y) : \frac{1}{2} < x < 2, \frac{1}{2} < y < 2\}$ under f .

Exercise 16.2. What does the inverse function theorem say about the mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ defined by

$$F(\rho, \theta, \phi) = \rho(\sin(\theta) \sin(\phi), \sin(\theta) \cos(\phi), \cos(\theta)).$$

Fixing $\rho = 1$ gives a mapping $G : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ defined by

$$G(\theta, \phi) = (\sin(\theta) \cos(\phi), \sin(\theta) \sin(\phi), \cos(\theta)).$$

What is the image of G ?

Exercise 16.3. Consider the mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x, y, z) = 1 - (x^2 + y^2 + z^2).$$

Show that the set $S = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : f(x, y, z) = 0\}$ is a surface. Find, for each point $s \in S$, an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and an embedding $g : U \rightarrow S$ onto an open set in S containing s . The collection (g, U) is a set of *local parameterizations*.

16.6. Problems.

Problem 16.1. In real coordinates, the complex function $z \mapsto z^2$ takes the form $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $F(x, y) = (x^2 - y^2, 2xy)$. Prove that if $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$, then there is an open set U containing (a, b) such that $F(U)$ is open and $F|_U : U \rightarrow F(U)$ is one-one.

In the case that $(a, b) = (1, 0)$ find such a U and compute the inverse of $F : U \rightarrow F(U)$.

As above, with $(a, b) = (-1, 0)$.

Problem 16.2. Suppose $f = f(x, y, z) : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable. Show, if $f(a, b, c) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(a, b, c) \neq 0$, then the

relation $f(x, y, z) = 0$ defines $z = g(x, y)$ near the point (a, b, c) . Show further that, at the point (a, b, c) ,

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = -\frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}}.$$

This is implicit differentiation. Note that the necessary assumption that the denominator above is not 0 (at (a, b, c)) is sufficient to establish that, at least locally, z is indeed a function of (x, y) (an issue which is not directly addressed in most calculus texts).

Show $yz = \log(x + z) - \log(3)$ defines z as a function of (x, y) near $(2, 0, 1)$ and find $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$ at this point.

Problem 16.3. Fix $R > r > 0$ and define $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F(x, y, z) = (R^2 - r^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^2 - 4R^2(x^2 + y^2).$$

Show the set $F(x, y, z) = 0$ is a surface - called a *torus*.

Use

$$x(u, v) = (R + r \cos v) \cos u$$

$$y(u, v) = (R + r \cos v) \sin u$$

$$z(u, v) = r \sin v,$$

to informally identify a set of local parametrizations (see Exercise 16.3).

Problem 16.4. Note that the point $(x, y, u, v, w) = (1, 1, 1, 1, -1)$ satisfies the system of equations

$$u^5 - xv^2 + y + w = 0$$

$$v^5 - yu^2 + x + w = 0$$

$$w^4 + y^5 - x^4 - 1 = 0$$

Explain why there exists an open set containing $(x, y) = (1, 1)$ and continuously differentiable functions $u(x, y)$, $v(x, y)$ and $w(x, y)$ such that $u(1, 1) = 1 = v(1, 1)$ and $w(1, 1) = -1$ and such that (x, y, u, v, w) satisfy the system of equations.

Problem 16.5. Consider the folium of Descartes, described implicitly as

$$f(x, y) = x^3 + y^3 - 3axy = 0,$$

(for a fixed $a > 0$). Show that the implicit function theorem says that f is locally the graph of a function for any point $(x_0, y_0) \neq (0, 0)$ with $f(x_0, y_0) = 0$. Hence it is in principle possible to solve for x as a function of y or y as a function of x near any point, except possibly $(0, 0)$, on the curve. A couple of parametric representations can be found at

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Folium_of_Descartes

from which it is evident that near $(0, 0)$ the curve $f(x, y) = 0$ is not the graph of function.

Problem 16.6. The parabolic folium is described implicitly as

$$x^3 = a(x^2 - y^2) + bxy$$

(for $a, b > 0$). What does the implicit function theorem say?

Problem 16.7. What can be said about solving the system

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 - y^2 + 2u^3 + v^2 &= 3 \\ 2xy + y^2 - u^2 + 3v^4 &= 5\end{aligned}$$

for (u, v) in terms of (x, y) near the point $(x, y, u, v) = (1, 1, 1, 1)$?

17. MAPPINGS BETWEEN MATRIX ALGEBRAS*

This optional section considers some examples of mappings $f : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ such as $f(X) = X^2$. Recall M_n is the set of $n \times n$ matrices. As a vector space it can be identified with \mathbb{R}^{n^2} , but it is more convenient to view it as a vector space with the operator norm.

For a mapping $f : M_n \rightarrow M_n$, the definition of the derivative reduces to the following.

Definition 17.1. Given $f : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ and a $T \in M_n$, the function f is *differentiable* at T if there is a linear map $L : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ such that

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(T+H) - f(T) - L(H)\|}{\|H\|} = 0.$$

In this case L is unique and is the *derivative of f at T* denoted, $Df(T)$. Thus $Df(T) : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ and its value at H is denoted $Df(T)[H]$. \triangleleft

Example 17.2. Define $f : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ by $f(X) = X^2$ and fix $T \in M_n$. Observe that

$$f(T+H) - f(T) = TH + HT + H^2.$$

We are thus led to define $L : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ by

$$L(H) = TH + HT.$$

As an exercise, verify that L is linear. Since,

$$\frac{\|f(T+H) - f(T) - L(H)\|}{\|H\|} = \frac{\|H^2\|}{\|H\|} \leq \frac{\|H\|^2}{\|H\|},$$

it follows that f is differentiable at T and $Df(T)[H] = TH + HT$. In particular, it is not convenient (or easy) to identify $Df(T)$ with its matrix representation. \triangle

Example 17.3. Define $g : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ by $g(X) = X^T X$, where X^T is the transpose of X . An argument much like in the last example shows that g is differentiable at every point $T \in M_n$ and

$$Dg(T)[H] = T^T H + H^T T.$$

△

Fix a positive integer n and let \mathcal{I}_n denote the invertible $n \times n$ matrices and let $h : \mathcal{I}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n$ denote the mapping $h(X) = X^{-1}$. Lemma 14.17 and Proposition 14.18 say that \mathcal{I}_n is open and h is continuous.

Example 17.4. The mapping h is differentiable at each $T \in \mathcal{I}_n$ and moreover,

$$Dh(T)[H] = -T^{-1}HT^{-1}.$$

The conclusion follows from the identity

$$\begin{aligned} (T + H)^{-1} - T^{-1} + T^{-1}HT^{-1} \\ &= (T + H)^{-1}(T - (T + H) + (T + H)T^{-1}H)T^{-1} \\ &= - (T + H)^{-1}HT^{-1}HT^{-1} \\ &= - h(T + H)Hh(T)Hh(T), \end{aligned}$$

continuity of h at T , and the fact that $L(H) = -T^{-1}HT^{-1}$ is a linear mapping $L : M_n \rightarrow M_n$. △

17.1. The product rule. Let $M_{m,n}$ denote the vector space of $m \times n$ matrices (identified as usual with $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ the set of linear maps from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m). Given $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ open and $c \in U$, a function $f : U \rightarrow M_{m,n}$ is differentiable at c if there exists a linear map $T : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow M_{m,n}$ such that the limit

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(c+h) - f(c) - Th}{\|h\|}$$

exists and equals 0.

Proposition 17.5. Suppose U is an open set in \mathbb{R}^k and $c \in U$. If $f : U \rightarrow M_{m,n}$ and $g : U \rightarrow M_{p,m}$ are both differentiable at c , then $gf : U \rightarrow M_{p,n}$ is differentiable at c and, for $h \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$Dgf(c)h = Dg(c)hf(c) + g(c)Df(c)h.$$

†

Example 17.6. Returning to the function $q : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ given by $q(T) = T^2$, define $\iota : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ by $\iota(T) = T$. Then $q(T) = \iota(T)\iota(T)$ and $D\iota(T)H = H$. Hence

$$Dq(T)H = D\iota(T)H\iota(T) + \iota(T)D\iota(T)H = HT + TH.$$

△

Proof. For notational purposes, let $F = gf$. Thus, $F : U \rightarrow M_{p,n}$. Estimate, for $h \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(c+h) - F(c) - [Dg(c)hf(c) + g(c)Df(c)h]\| \\ &= \|g(c+h)f(c+h) - g(c)f(c+h) + g(c)f(c+h) - g(c)f(c) - \\ & \quad [Dg(c)hf(c) + g(c)Df(c)h]\| \\ &\leq \| [g(c+h) - g(c) - Dg(c)h] \| \|f(c+h)\| + \\ & \quad \|Dg(c)\| \|h\| \| [f(c+h) - f(c)] \| + \\ & \quad \|g(c)\| \| [f(c+h) - f(c) - Df(c)h] \| \end{aligned}$$

Because of the continuity of f at c (since it is differentiable there), by restricting to a neighborhood of c , it can be assumed that $\|f(c+h)\|$ is bounded independent of h . Likewise $\|f(c+h) - f(c)\|$ is also bounded. Consequently, dividing by $\|h\|$ and taking the limit as $\|h\|$ tends to 0 gives 0 and thus F is differentiable and its derivative has the indicated form. \square

17.2. Exercises.

Exercise 17.1. Given $T \in M_n$ fixed, verify that the mappings $L_j : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ defined by

- (i) $L_1(H) = TH + HT$;
- (ii) $L_2(H) = T^T H + H^T T$;
- (iii) $L_3(H) = -T^{-1}HT^{-1}$; and
- (iv) $L_4(H) = T^2 H + THT + HT^2$

are all linear.

Exercise 17.2. A matrix $S \in M_n$ is *symmetric* if $S^T = S$. Let \mathbb{S}_n denote the set of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. A matrix $T \in M_n$ is *orthogonal* if $T^T T = I_n$. Assuming T is orthogonal, show that $L : M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_n$ defined by $L(H) = T^T H + H^T T$ is onto. [Suggestion: Let $H = (T^T)^{-1}Y$.]

Exercise 17.3. Verify the claims in Examples 17.2, 17.3 and 17.4.

Exercise 17.4. Show that the mapping $\iota : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ defined by $\iota(X) = X$ is differentiable and find its derivative.

17.3. Problems.

Problem 17.1. Verify the mapping $f : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ defined by $f(X) = X^3$ is differentiable and find, for $T \in M_n$, the derivative $Df(T)[H]$. (See exercise 17.1 (iv).)

Problem 17.2. Show that the set of $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices (matrices P such that $P^T P = I_n$) is a one dimensional surface. Suggestion, consider the map $f : M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_n$ defined by $f(X) = X^T X - I_2$. Now identify M_n with \mathbb{R}^{n^2} and \mathbb{S}_n with \mathbb{R}^ν for $\nu = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, use Exercise 17.2 and apply Theorem 16.6.

18. FOURIER SERIES*

Fourier series is a natural and important application of the theory developed in earlier sections and this section contains a brief and optional introduction to the subject. The exposition is greatly simplified by the use of complex numbers. See Subsection 13.1.

Recall, a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be expressed in terms of its real and imaginary parts as $f = u + iv$, where $u, v : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The pointwise complex conjugate of f , denoted \bar{f} , is given by $\bar{f} = u - iv$. The function f is continuous (Riemann integrable) if and only if both u and v are and in this case,

$$\int_a^b f dt = \int_a^b u dt + i \int_a^b v dt$$

and

$$\int_a^b \bar{f} dt = \overline{\int_a^b f dt};$$

i.e., the integral of the pointwise complex conjugate of f is the complex conjugate of the integral.

18.1. The Fourier Transform. Given a Riemann integrable function $f : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the function $\hat{f} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) e^{-int} dt$$

is the *Fourier Transform* of f . The *Fourier Series* of f is the infinite series

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(j) e^{ijx}$$

It must be stressed that at this point this is only a formal series; we know nothing yet about its convergence for any x . Indeed the central problem in the theory of Fourier Series is to what extent and in what sense does the Fourier series of f represent the function f . It is then natural to introduce the sequence of partial sums

$$s_n(x) = \sum_{j=-n}^n \hat{f}(j) e^{ijx}.$$

To avoid measure theoretic constructs, in these notes attention will be restricted to continuous periodic functions f on $[-\pi, \pi]$. The complex vector space of such functions will be denoted $C_p([-\pi, \pi])$. For $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$, three natural questions are

- (pw) when does $(s_n(x))$ converge pointwise to f ?
- (u) when does $(s_n(x))$ converge uniformly to f ?
- (L^2) when does (s_n) converge to f in the L^2 norm?

Recall that the L^2 norm on $C_p([-\pi, \pi])$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(t)|^2 dt.$$

Moreover, this norm comes from the inner product,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f\bar{g} dt.$$

Item (u) is equivalent to asking when does s_n converge to f in the supremum norm,

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \max\{|f(t)| : -\pi \leq t \leq \pi\},$$

on $C_p([-\pi, \pi])$.

It turns out that fairly strong conditions are needed to obtain positive answers to (pw) and (u) and there are variants of these questions with cleaner answers. The answer to the last question is always.

18.2. The L^2 inner product. As a preliminary to the proof, given in the next subsection, that the Fourier Series of $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$ converges to f in the L^2 norm, this section presents terminology and basic facts about L^2 including Bessel's inequality and the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma.

For $f, g \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$, define the *inner product* by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)\overline{g(t)} dt.$$

Thus $\langle f, g \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$.

The basic properties of this (and any) inner product are recorded in the following proposition.

Proposition 18.1. Given $f, g, h \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$ and $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$,

- (i) $0 \leq \langle f, f \rangle$ with equality if and only if $f = 0$;
- (ii) $\langle f + cg, h \rangle = \langle f, h \rangle + c\langle g, h \rangle$; and
- (iii) $\langle h, f \rangle = \overline{\langle f, h \rangle}$.

Note that

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \|f\|_2^2$$

and moreover properties of the inner product give

$$\|f + g\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2 + \langle f, g \rangle + \langle g, f \rangle + \|g\|_2^2.$$

The functions f and g are *orthogonal* if

$$\langle f, g \rangle = 0.$$

In this case,

$$\|f + g\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2 + \|g\|_2^2.$$

A sequence (e_n) from $C_p([-\pi, \pi])$ is an *orthonormal sequence (set)* if it is pairwise orthogonal and each vector (function) has (L^2) norm one. In this case,

$$(27) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n e_j \right\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=0}^n \|e_j\|_2^2$$

for each n .

Straightforward computations show that $(e^{int})_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal sequence. The following Lemma is a version of *Bessel's inequality*.

Lemma 18.2. If $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$ and (s_n) are the partial sums of the Fourier Series of f , then, for all n ,

- (i) $\|s_n\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=-n}^n |\hat{f}(j)|^2$;
- (ii) $\langle f, s_n \rangle = \|s_n\|_2^2$; and
- (iii) $\|s_n\|_2 \leq \|f\|_2$;
- (iv) $\|s_n - s_m\| = \sum_{m \geq |j| > n} |\hat{f}(j)|^2$.

Moreover, the sequence (s_n) is Cauchy. †

Proof. Items (i) and (iv) follow immediately from Equation (27) and (ii) is a straightforward calculation using the orthonormality of the sequence $(\exp(int))$.

To prove item (iii), observe, using item (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \langle f - s_n, f - s_n \rangle &= \|f\|_2^2 - \langle f, s_n \rangle - \langle s_n, f \rangle + \|s_n\|_2^2 \\ &= \|f\|_2^2 - \|s_n\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Items (i) and (iii) together imply that the series,

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(j)|^2$$

converges. An application of item (iv) thus shows (s_n) is Cauchy. □

The following is a version of the *Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma*.

Lemma 18.3. If $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$, then $(\hat{f}(n))$ converges to 0 as $|n|$ tends to infinity; i.e., given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an N so that if $|n| \geq N$, then $|\hat{f}(n)| < \epsilon$. †

The proof is left as an exercise. See problem 18.4.

18.3. Fejer's Theorem and L^2 convergence. Fejer's Theorem, which says if $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$, then the Cesaro means of the Fourier Series of f converges uniformly to f , is proved in this section. The important consequence that the Fourier Series for f converges to f in the L^2 norm is a fairly immediate consequence.

Define, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the *Dirichlet kernel*,

$$D_n(x) = \sum_{j=-n}^n e^{ijx}$$

and the *Fejer kernel*,

$$K_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n D_j(x).$$

Thus (K_n) are the Cesaro means of (D_n) .

Lemma 18.4. The kernels D_n and K_n have the closed form representations,

$$D_n(x) = \frac{\sin((n + \frac{1}{2})x)}{\sin(\frac{x}{2})}$$

and

$$K_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left[\frac{1 - \cos((n+1)x)}{1 - \cos(x)} \right].$$

†

Proof. To prove the first identity, observe

$$(e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x})D_n(x) = e^{i(n+\frac{1}{2})x} - e^{-i(n+\frac{1}{2})x}.$$

For the second, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n+1)K_n(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^n D_j(x) \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\sin((j+\frac{1}{2})x)}{\sin \frac{x}{2}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sin(\frac{x}{2})} \sum_{j=0}^n \Im \{ e^{i(j+1/2)x} \} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sin(\frac{x}{2})} \Im \left\{ e^{ix/2} \frac{e^{i(n+1)x} - 1}{e^{ix} - 1} \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sin(\frac{x}{2})} \Im \left\{ \frac{e^{i(n+1)x} - 1}{e^{ix/2} - e^{-ix/2}} \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1 - \cos((n+1)x)}{2 \sin^2(\frac{x}{2})} \\
 &= \frac{1 - \cos((n+1)x)}{1 - \cos x}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 18.5. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) $K_n \geq 0$;
- (ii) $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(t) dt = 2\pi$; and
- (iii) if $\pi \geq |x| \geq y > 0$, then $K_n(x) \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{2}{1-\cos(y)}$.

†

Proof. Item (i) follows immediately from the representation for K_n in Lemma 18.4.

Item (ii) is an immediate consequence of the fact that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ijt} dt = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Item (iii) is a simple consequence of basic properties of the cosine function. □

Theorem 18.6. [Fejer] Given $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$, let (s_n) denote the partial sums of the Fourier Series for f and let (σ_n) denote the Cesaro means of (s_n) so that

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n s_j.$$

Then,

(i) extending f to a 2π periodic function on all of \mathbb{R} ,

$$\sigma_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-t)K_n(t) dt; \text{ and}$$

(ii) $(\sigma_n(x))$ converges uniformly to f .

The proof uses the evident formulas $s_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t)D_n(x-t) dt$ and $\sigma_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t)K_n(x-t) dt$.

Proof. To prove (i), observe,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)e^{ij(x-t)} dt &= e^{ijx} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} f(u)e^{-ijt} dt \\ &= e^{ijx} \hat{f}(j). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)D_n(x-t) dt = \sum_{j=-n}^n \hat{f}(j)e^{ijt} = s_n(x).$$

A change of variable and the 2π periodicity of the functions involved then yields

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)K_n(x-t) dt = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-u)K_n(u) du.$$

To show that (σ_n) converges uniformly to f , consider the estimate, valid for any real x and $\pi > \delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi|\sigma_n(x) - f(x)| &= \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [f(x-t)K_n(t) - f(x)] dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [f(x-t) - f(x)]K_n(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x-t) - f(x)|K_n(t) dt \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{-\delta} + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} + \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \\ &\leq 4\pi\|f\|_{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{2}{1-\cos(\delta)} + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |f(x-t) - f(x)|K_n(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 18.5 item (ii) in the second equality; item (i) in the first inequality; and item (iii) in the last inequality.

Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. By uniform continuity of f there is a $1 > \delta > 0$ such that if $|x-t| \leq \delta$, then $|f(x) - f(t)| < \epsilon$. With this

choice of δ , the estimate above together with item (ii) of Lemma 18.5 imply

$$|\sigma_n(x) - f(x)| \leq 2\|f\|_\infty \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{2}{1 - \cos(\delta)} + \epsilon,$$

from which it is readily seen there is an N so that if $n \geq N$, then

$$|\sigma_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$$

independent of x . Thus, (σ_n) converges uniformly to f . \square

The following is a fundamental theorem of Fourier Series.

Theorem 18.7. If $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$, then the partial sums of the Fourier Series (s_n) of f converge to f in L^2 ; i.e.,

$$\|f - s_n\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x) - s_n(x)|^2 dx$$

tends to 0 with n .

Proof. Suppose $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed vector space (over \mathbb{C}). If $(a_j)_{j=0}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence from V and if the Cesaro means,

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n a_j$$

converge to $f \in V$, then the sequence (a_n) converges to f . The proof of this statement is left to the gentle reader as Problem 18.1 and is very similar to Problem 4.2.

Letting a_j denote the partial sums of the Fourier Series for f , Theorem 18.6 implies that the corresponding Cesaro means σ_n converge to f . Lemma 18.2 says that (a_j) is a Cauchy sequence. An application of Problem 4.2 thus completes the proof. \square

With Theorem 18.7 in place, Bessel's inequality can be strengthened to Parseval's theorem.

Theorem 18.8. If $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$, then

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2.$$

In particular, if $f, g \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$ and $\hat{f} = \hat{g}$ (as functions on \mathbb{Z}), then $f = g$.

18.4. Uniform Convergence. As has already been seen, the Cesaro means of the Fourier Series of an $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$ converge to f uniformly. In this subsection the problem of finding interesting sufficient conditions which imply that the Fourier Series itself converges to f uniformly are considered.

Theorem 18.9. If $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$ and

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(j)|$$

converges, then the partial sums of the Fourier Series for f converges to f uniformly.

Proof. As usual, let

$$s_n(x) = \sum_{j=-n}^n \hat{f}(j) e^{ijx}.$$

If $m > n$, then for all x ,

$$\begin{aligned} |s_m(x) - s_n(x)| &= \left| \sum_{j=n+1}^m \hat{f}(j) e^{ijx} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=n+1}^m |\hat{f}(j) e^{ijx}| \\ &= \sum_{j=n+1}^m |\hat{f}(j)|. \end{aligned}$$

The summability hypothesis now shows that (s_n) is uniformly Cauchy and hence converges uniformly to some continuous function g . In particular, (s_n) converges in L^2 to g . On the other hand (s_n) converges in L^2 to f by Theorem 18.7. It follows that $\|f - g\|_2^2 = 0$; i.e.,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f - g|^2 dt = 0.$$

Since $h = |f - g|^2$ is continuous and non-negative, Problem 11.5 implies $h = 0$ and thus $f = g$. \square

An important, and basic property, of the Fourier Transform in its many guises is that it takes differentiation to multiplication by the independent variable. The following Proposition is the precise statement in the present setting. If $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$, then f can be viewed as a continuous 2π periodic function on all of \mathbb{R} . In particular, if f' is continuous, then $f' \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$. Let $C_p^k([-\pi, \pi])$ denote those functions f such that the first k derivatives of f exist and are 2π periodic.

Proposition 18.10. If $f \in C_p^1([-\pi, \pi])$, then

$$\hat{f}'(n) = in\hat{f}(n).$$

†

The proof is an exercise in integration by parts and the details left to the reader. See problem 18.5. Note, by a repeated application of the proposition, if $f \in C_p^2([-\pi, \pi])$, then $\hat{f}''(n) = -n^2\hat{f}(n)$.

Lemma 18.11. If $f \in C_p^2([-\pi, \pi])$, then

$$\lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} n^2|\hat{f}(n)| = 0.$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|$$

converges.

†

To begin the proof of the lemma, use the fact that $f'' \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$, and apply the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. The remaining details are left to the reader. See Problem 18.6.

Corollary 18.12. If $f \in C_p^2([-\pi, \pi])$, then the partial sums of the Fourier Series of f converges to f uniformly.

†

Proof. Combine Lemma 18.11 and Theorem 18.9. □

18.5. Pointwise convergence. It can be shown that given any point $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$ there exists a continuous function f whose Fourier series diverges at x . (This can be proved either by an indirect existence proof or by a (rather complicated) explicit construction; both are beyond the scope of these notes). So, some additional assumption on f is needed. The next proposition gives a sufficient condition for convergence of the Fourier series at a point.

Proposition 18.13. Suppose $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$ and $-\pi < y < \pi$. If there is a $\delta > 0$ and an C so that for $|t| < \delta$,

$$|f(y) - f(y - t)| \leq C|t|,$$

then $s_n(y)$ converges to $f(y)$. Here, as usual, (s_n) is the partial sums of the Fourier Series of f .

†

Proof sketch. Recall the formula for D_n from Lemma 18.4. For the present purpose, it is conveniently written as

$$D_n(t) = \frac{e^{int} - e^{-i(n+1)t}}{1 - e^{-it}}.$$

Let

$$h(t) = \frac{f(y-t) - f(y)}{1 - e^{-it}}.$$

From properties of trigonometric functions there exists $\eta > 0$ and $C' > 0$ such that if $|t| < \eta$, then $|1 - e^{-it}| \geq C't$. It follows that h is bounded and continuous, except possibly at 0.

Choose M so that $M \geq |h(t)|$ for all t . Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose a function $g \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$ such that $g(t) = h(t)$ for $|t| > \epsilon$ and $|g| \leq M$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_n(t)[f(y-t) - f(y)] dt - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t)[e^{int} - e^{-int}] dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [h(t) - g(t)][e^{int} - e^{-int}] dt \right| \\ &\leq 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h - g| dt \\ &= \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} |h - g| dt \\ &\leq M\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_n(t) dt = 1$$

and

$$s_n(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_n(t) f(y-t) dt,$$

it follows that

$$|s_n(y) - f(y) - \rho_n| \leq \frac{M}{2\pi} \epsilon$$

for all n , where

$$\rho_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t)[e^{int} - e^{-i(n+1)t}] dt.$$

By the Riemann Lebesgue Lemma (Lemma 18.3), $\lim \rho_n = 0$. Thus, there is an N so that if $|n| \geq N$, then

$$|s_n(y) - f(y)| \leq |s_n(y) - f(y) - \rho_n| + |\rho_n| < 2\epsilon + \epsilon$$

and $(s_n(y))$ converges to $f(y)$. \square

Corollary 18.14. If $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$ and f is differentiable at a point y , then $s_n(y) \rightarrow f(y)$. In particular, if $f \in C_p^1([-\pi, \pi])$, then $s_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise. \dagger

Proof. Problem 18.7 □

Corollary 18.15. If $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$ and f is zero on (a, b) , then the Fourier Series of f converges to 0 on (a, b) . †

We close this section on the Fourier Transform with a discussion of a deep theorem of Carleson. The question is: if $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$, then on how large a set of points can $s_n(x)$, the partial sums of the Fourier Series of f , fail to converge to $f(x)$? Carleson's answer is that the exceptional set has *measure zero*:

Definition 18.16. A subset $Z \subset \mathbb{R}$ has *measure zero* if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence of open intervals $((a_j, b_j))_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that

- (a) $Z \subset \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j, b_j)$; and
- (b) $\sum_j (b_j - a_j) < \epsilon$.

◁

Example 18.17. The set \mathbb{Q} has measure zero. △

The first part of the following proposition generalizes the example above.

Proposition 18.18. If Z_1, Z_2, \dots is a sequence of sets of measure zero, then $Z = \cup Z_j$ has measure zero.

If $W \subset Z$ and Z has measure zero, then so does W . †

18.6. Problems.

Problem 18.1. Prove the statement at the outset of the proof of Theorem 18.7.

Problem 18.2. Show, if $f \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$ is even, so that $f(t) = f(-t)$, then the Fourier Series of f takes the form,

$$\hat{f}(0) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) \cos(nx)$$

Problem 18.3. Find the Fourier Series for

$$f(x) = (\pi - |x|)^2.$$

Explain why this series converges at 0 to $f(0) = \pi^2$. What formula does this give for π^2 ?

What formula for π^4 follows from an application of Parseval's Theorem (Theorem 18.8) to this Fourier Series?

Problem 18.4. Prove Lemma 18.3.

Problem 18.5. Prove Proposition 18.10.

Problem 18.6. Prove Lemma 18.11.

Problem 18.7. Prove Corollary 18.14

Problem 18.8. Given $f, g \in C_p([-\pi, \pi])$, define the *convolution* of f and g by

$$(f * g)(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)g(u-t) dt.$$

Show that

$$(f * g)(u) = (g * f)(u).$$

Explain why it should be the case that

$$(f * g)\hat{()}(n) = \hat{f}(n)\hat{g}(n).$$

A proof requires an interchange of integrals theorem. This is an example of the general principle that a Fourier Transform takes convolution to multiplication.

19. FIRST ORDER INITIAL VALUE PROBLEMS*

This optional section contains a proof of the existence and uniqueness of first order initial value problems as studied in a lower division course in ordinary differential equations.

Theorem 19.1. Let $R = (-a, a) \times (-b, b)$ denote a rectangle in \mathbb{R}^2 and suppose $f : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. If

- (i) there is an M such that $|f(x, y)| \leq M$ and $Ma < b$; and
- (ii) there is a K such that

$$|f(x, t) - f(x, s)| \leq K|s - t|$$

for all $-a < x < a$ and $-b < s, t < b$, then there is a unique continuously differentiable function $\varphi : (-a, a) \rightarrow (-b, b)$ satisfying

$$\varphi(x) = \int_0^x f(t, \varphi(t)) dt.$$

Remark 19.2. Assuming f is continuous, requirement (i) can always be achieved by replacing a given a by a perhaps smaller a .

If f has a continuous partial derivative with respect to y , then condition (ii) is satisfied on any compact subrectangle of the given rectangle.

Continuity and boundedness of f suffice to give the existence of a solution to the differential equation, though the proof below uses strongly the condition (ii). On the other hand, continuity and boundedness of f is not enough to imply uniqueness as the following example shows. \diamond

Example 19.3. The initial value problem $y' = 3y^{\frac{2}{3}}$, $y(0) = 0$ has at least two solutions. Namely, $y = 0$ and $y = x^3$. \triangle

19.1. Proof of Existence. The proof of the existence of a solution to our first order ordinary differential equation begins with the following lemma.

Lemma 19.4. Suppose $g_n : (-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is a sequence of functions with $g_0 = 0$. If there are constants $K > 0$ and M such that, for all $-a < x < a$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|g_{n+1}(x) - g_n(x)| \leq M \frac{K^n |x|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!},$$

then (g_n) converges uniformly to some g . Further,

$$|g(x)| \leq \frac{M}{K} (\exp(K|x|) - 1).$$

†

Proof. Let $h_n = g_{n+1} - g_n$ and let

$$s_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n h_j(x).$$

Let

$$t_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{|Kx|^j}{j!}.$$

Note that the sequence $t_n(x)$ is uniformly Cauchy on $[-a, a]$ because it is the partial sums of the series for $\exp(K|x|)$.

For $n > m$ and $|x| \leq a$, estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n(x) - s_m(x)| &= \left| \sum_{j=m+1}^n h_j(x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=m+1}^n |h_j(x)| \\ &\leq M \sum_{j=m+1}^n \frac{|Kx|^j}{j!} \\ &\leq |t_n(x) - t_m(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since (t_n) is uniformly Cauchy, so is (s_n) . It follows that (s_n) converges uniformly to some g . Because of the telescoping nature of the s_n ,

$$s_n(x) = g_{n+1}(x) - g_0(x).$$

It follows that (g_n) converges uniformly to g .

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |g_{n+1}(x)| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^n |g_{j+1}(x) - g_j(x)| \\
 &\leq M \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{K^j |x|^{j+1}}{(n+1)!} \\
 &\leq \frac{M}{K} (\exp(K|x|) - 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of existence. The strategy is to construct a sequence of continuous functions which converge uniformly to the solution.

Let $\varphi_0 = 0$. Assuming that continuous functions $\varphi_j : (-a, a) \rightarrow (-b, b)$ have been constructed for $j \leq n$, define

$$\varphi_{n+1}(x) = \int_0^x f(t, \varphi_n(t)) dt.$$

Note that, because $\psi_n(t) = f(t, \varphi_n(t))$ is continuous, the integral is defined and $\varphi_{n+1}(x)$ is continuous. The estimate,

$$\left| \int_0^x f(t, \varphi_n(t)) dt \right| \leq \pm \int_0^x |f(t, \varphi_n(t))| dt \leq M|x| < Ma \leq b$$

verifies that φ_{n+1} maps into $(-b, b)$ so that the recursive definition may continue. It also shows

$$|\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_0(x)| \leq M|x|.$$

Now suppose

$$(28) \quad |\varphi_{n+1}(x) - \varphi_n(x)| \leq M \frac{K^n |x|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\varphi_{n+2}(x) - \varphi_{n+1}(x)| &= \left| \int_0^x f(t, \varphi_{n+1}(t)) - f(t, \varphi_n(t)) dt \right| \\
 &\leq \pm \int_0^x |f(t, \varphi_{n+1}(t)) - f(t, \varphi_n(t))| dt \\
 &\leq \pm \int_0^x K |\varphi_{n+1}(t) - \varphi_n(t)| dt \\
 &\leq \pm \int_0^x MK \frac{K^n |t|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \\
 &= M \frac{K^{n+1} |x|^{n+2}}{(n+2)!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By induction the inequality (28) holds for all n .

From Lemma 19.4, it follows that φ_n converges uniformly to a continuous function $\varphi : (-a, a) \rightarrow [-Ma, Ma] \subset (-b, b)$.

Let $\psi_n(t) = f(t, \varphi_n(t))$ and let $\psi = f(t, \varphi(t))$. The estimate

$$|\psi_n(t) - \psi(t)| = |f(t, \varphi_n(t)) - f(t, \varphi(t))| \leq K|\varphi_n(t) - \varphi(t)|$$

shows that ψ_n converges uniformly on $(-a, a)$ to ψ . Hence, for each x , the integral

$$\int_0^x \psi_n(t) dt$$

converges to

$$\int_0^x \psi(t) dt,$$

and the conclusion

$$\varphi(x) = \int_0^x f(t, \varphi(t)) dt$$

follows.

Because both f and φ are continuous, $f(t, \varphi(t))$ is continuous. It follows that $\varphi(t)$ is in fact differentiable with derivative $f(t, \varphi(t))$ (continuous). \square

19.2. Uniqueness. The uniqueness of the solution to the initial value problem follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 19.5. Suppose $f : (-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and $f(0) = 0$. If there is a constant $K > 0$ such that $|f'(x)| \leq K|f(x)|$ for $-a < x < a$, then $f(x) = 0$ for all $-a < x < a$. \dagger

Proof. First we will show that $f(x) = 0$ for $0 \leq x < m$, where m is the minimum of a and $\frac{1}{K}$. Accordingly, fix $\frac{1}{K} > y > 0$. Let M denote the maximum of $|f|$ on the interval $[0, y]$. Let L denote the supremum of $|f'|$ on the interval $[0, y]$. From the mean value theorem, for $0 < x < y$,

$$|f(x)| \leq Lx \leq MKy.$$

Since $Ky < 1$, it follows that $M = 0$.

A similar argument prevails on the interval $(-m, 0)$. Thus f is zero on $(-m, m)$. If $m = a$, the proof is complete. Otherwise f is 0 on the interval $[-C, C]$, where $C = \frac{1}{K}$.

Repeating the above argument with 0 replaced by C , it follows that f is 0 on the whole interval $(-2C, 2C)$, or on the whole interval $(-a, a)$. Continuing in this manner completes the proof. \square

Proof of uniqueness. Suppose φ and ψ both solve the differential equation; i.e., each is continuously differentiable, $\varphi(0) = 0 = \psi(0)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi'(x) &= f(x, \varphi(x)) \\ \psi'(x) &= f(x, \psi(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Let $h(x) = \varphi(x) - \psi(x)$. Then, $h(0) = 0$ and

$$|h'(x)| = |f(x, \varphi(x)) - f(x, \psi(x))| \leq K|h(x)|.$$

From the lemma, $h(x) = 0$ for all x . □

19.3. Problems.

Problem 19.1. Given $c > 0$, solve the initial value problem

$$y' = 2xy^2, \quad y(0) = \frac{1}{e^2}.$$

What does this say about the domain of the unique solution of Theorem 19.1?

Problem 19.2. Suppose P, Q are continuous functions on the interval $(-a, a)$. Let

$$\mu(x) = \exp\left(\int_0^x P(t) dt\right).$$

Show

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(x)} \left[\int_0^x Q(t)\mu(t) dt + C \right]$$

solves the differential equation

$$y' + Py = Q.$$

What do you conclude about the domain of the solution to this ode?

Problem 19.3. Consider the logistic equation,

$$y' = y(y - 1)(2 - y).$$

Show that $y = 0$, $y = 1$, and $y = 2$ are solutions. Could a solution which takes the value 3 ever take the value $\frac{3}{2}$?

20. NOTES

The first eleven sections, up through the section on Riemann integration (Section 11) are intended to be done in order. Section 12 is essentially stand alone. The following Section 13 depends on the previous section. Once the first subsection of this Section is covered, it is then possible to proceed to the Section 18 on Fourier Series. Section 19 can be covered immediately after Section Riemann Integration. This material on ODEs provides an important application of the preceding

material and ideas. Sections 14 through 17 on differentiation of mappings between Euclidean spaces forms a unit that is intended to come after Riemann integration, but with a little care could be done after Section 10.

Sections 1-12 and then 14-16 constitute a basic course. Sections - and subsections - marked with * could be considered optional.

Thanks to all those who pointed out typos and suggested improvement. A special thanks to Nicholas Miller.

DRAFT

INDEX

- (X, d) , 21
 2^A , 10
 $B(X, Y)$, 78
 $C(X)$, 74
 $C(X, Y)$, 74
 $D_u f$, 144
 $Df(c)$, 142
 $L(P, f)$, 88
 M -test, 107
 M_n , 4
 $M_{m,n}$, 4, 137
 $N_\epsilon(x)$, 22
 $P(S)$, 4
 $U(P, f)$, 88
 $X \times Y$, 4
 Δ_j , 87
 \mathfrak{S} , 124
 \mathfrak{R} , 124
 $\int_a^b f dx$, 88
 $\cup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A$, 5
 ℓ^1 metric, 21
 ℓ^∞ metric, 21
 \mathfrak{A}_T , 132
 \mathfrak{T}_A , 132
 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$, 146
 ∇f , 147
 $\hat{f}(n)$, 165
 $\int_a^b f dx$, 88
 \mathbb{N} , 4
 \mathbb{C} , 4, 124
 \mathbb{N} , 10
 \mathbb{N}^+ , 8, 10
 \mathbb{Q} , 4, 10
 \mathbb{R} , 10
 \mathbb{R}^n , 4
 \mathbb{Z} , 4
 \mathcal{I}_n , 138
 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$, 137
 $\mathcal{R}([a, b])$, 88
 \mathfrak{A}_T , 132
 \mathfrak{T}_A , 132
 $\text{graph}(f)$, 5
 \sim , 7
 $f(C)$, 6
 $f \circ g$, 6
 $f \times g$, 9
 f^{-1} , 5
 $f^{-1}(Y)$, 6
abscissa of (simple) convergence, 128
abscissa of absolute convergence, 128
alternating harmonic series, 112
alternating series, 111
Archimedean properties, 15
argument, 124
at most countable, 8
Bessel's inequality, 167
boundary of a set, 28
bounded above, 13
bounded below, 14
bounded function, 74
bounded sequence, 36
bounded set, 50
Cartesian product, 4
Cauchy, 44
Cauchy Mean Value Theorem, 81
Cauchy sequence, 44
Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, 17
Cesaro means, 105, 120, 168
Chain Rule, 79, 143
clopen set, 25
closed set, 25
closure, 27
codomain, 5
common refinement, 89
compact, 48
comparison test, 107
complement, 4
complete, 44
completely normal, 67
complex conjugate, 124
complex exponential, 126
composition, 7
connected component, 58, 60
connected set, 57
continuous, 60
continuous at a point, 60
continuously differentiable, 93, 148
contraction mapping, 71, 76
contraction mapping principle, 45
converge, 43

- converge conditionally, 107
- convergent sequence, 31
- converges, 104
- converges absolutely, 107, 123
- converges to L , 31
- converges to infinity, 106
- converges uniformly, 73
- convex, 144, 150
- countable, 8

- decreasing sequence, 34
- derivative, 78
- derivative at a , 78
- derivative of f , 142
- derivative of f at T , 162
- diameter of a set, 47
- differentiable, 78, 142, 162
- differentiable at a point, 142
- direct sum, 154
- directional derivative, 144
- Dirichlet Series, 118
- Dirichlet series, 127
- discrete metric, 21, 25
- discrete metric space, 29, 51, 55, 69
- distance function, 21
- diverge, 31
- domain, 5
- dot product, 17

- embedding, 158
- equicontinuous, 76
- equivalent norms, 136
- equivalent sets, 7
- error function, 118
- Euclidean distance, 22
- Euclidean norm, 18
- Euclidean space, 18
- eventually increasing, 34
- extreme value theorem, 65

- Fejer's Theorem, 169
- field, 10
- field isomorphism, 12
- finite, 8
- fixed point, 71
- Fourier Series, 165
- Fourier Transform, 165
- Frobenius norm, 137

- Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,
 - First, 97
- Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,
 - Second, 96

- geometric series, 106
- glb, 14
- graph, 5, 9
- greatest lower bound, 14

- Harmonic series, 108
- harmonic series, 108
- Heine-Borel Theorem, 54

- identity function, 6
- image of C under f , 6
- imaginary part, 124
- immersion, 158
- Implicit Function Theorem, 155
- increasing, 34, 67
- increasing, eventually, 34
- increasing, strictly, 34
- inf, 14
- infimum, 14
- infinite, 8
- infinite decimal expansion, 35
- inner product, 17, 166
- integers, 10
- interior of a set, 27
- interior point, 27
- Intermediate Value Theorem, 67
- intersection, 4, 5
- interval, 60
- interval of convergence, 113
- Inverse Function Theorem, 80, 151
- inverse image, 5
- invertible function, 7
- isolated point, 62, 77

- L'Hopital, 82, 85
- least upper bound, 13
- Lebesgue number lemma, 52
- limit, 31
- limit at infinity, 70
- limit of a function, 62
- limit point, 42, 62
- limit superior, 39
- limsup, 39, 109
- linear, 131
- local maximum, 81

- local parameterizations, 160
- lower bound, 14
- lower Riemann integral, 88
- lower sum, 88
- lub, 14
- matrix norm, 137
- matrix representation, 132
- Mean Value Theorem, 82
- metric, 21
- metric space, 21
- modulus, 124
- monotone, 34
- monotone convergence theorem, 33
- natural numbers, 10
- near, 155
- neighborhood, 22
- non-decreasing, 34, 67
- norm, 16
- normality, 67
- numerical sequences, 33
- one-one, 5
- onto, 5
- open ball, 22
- open cover, 48
- open set, 22
- operator norm, 137
- ordered field, 12
- ordered field isomorphism, 14
- ordered set, 12
- orthogonal, 164
- orthonormal sequence (set), 167
- p-series, 109
- parallelogram law, 20
- partial derivative, 145
- partial sums, 106, 112
- partition, 87
- Pasting Lemma, 72
- pointwise convergence, 72
- polar decomposition, 124
- positive definite, 21
- power series, 112
- power set, 4
- radius of convergence, 113, 125
- range, 5
- rank, 149
- ratio test, 110
- rational numbers, 10
- real part, 124
- refinement, 89
- relative complement, 4
- relatively open, 25
- restriction, 6
- Riemann integrable, 88, 98
- Riemann integral, 88, 98
- Riemann sum, 101
- Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma, 167
- Rolle's Theorem, 81
- root test, 109
- scalar product, 17
- scalars, 16
- semi-inner product, 94
- sequence, 31
- sequence from X , 31
- sequence of partial sums, 43
- sequential compactness, 52
- sequentially compact, 52
- series, 43, 106
- series converges, 106
- set difference, 4
- squeeze theorem, 34, 42
- squib, 110
- standard basis, 134
- standard basis vector, 132
- strictly increasing, 34, 67
- subcover, 48
- subcover, countable, 55
- subsequence, 38
- subspace, 21
- summation by parts, 129
- sup, 14
- super Cauchy, 45
- supremum, 14
- surface, 159
- symmetric, 164
- Taylor's Theorem, 83
- telescoping series, 118
- The finite intersection property (fip), 55
- torus, 161
- totally bounded, 53
- transitivity, 12
- transpose, 141

triangle inequality, 16, 21
trichotomy, 12

uncountable, 8, 35
uniform convergence, 73
uniform metric, 74
uniformly continuous, 66
union, 4, 5
unit sphere, 134
upper bound, 13
upper Riemann integral, 88
upper sum, 88

vector space, 16, 17
vector valued functions, 98

zero set, 69

DRAFT