

Universality limits and de Branges spaces

Mishko Mitkovski

Department of Mathematics
Georgia Institute of Technology

$\mathcal{M}(n)$ space of all $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices $M = [m_{ij}]$

Random matrices

$\mathcal{M}(n)$ space of all $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices $M = [m_{ij}]$

equipped with $P_n(M)dM = ce^{-F(M)} \prod dm_{ii} \prod \Re dm_{ij} \Im dm_{ij}$.

Random matrices

$\mathcal{M}(n)$ space of all $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices $M = [m_{ij}]$

equipped with $P_n(M)dM = ce^{-F(M)} \prod dm_{ii} \prod \Re dm_{ij} \Im dm_{ij}$.

For physical reasons: $P_n(\tilde{M})d\tilde{M} = P_n(M)dM$

$\mathcal{M}(n)$ space of all $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices $M = [m_{ij}]$

equipped with $P_n(M)dM = ce^{-F(M)} \prod dm_{ii} \prod \Re dm_{ij} \Im dm_{ij}$.

For physical reasons: $P_n(\tilde{M})d\tilde{M} = P_n(M)dM$

$\tilde{M} = UMU^*$, U unitary matrix.

Random matrices

$\mathcal{M}(n)$ space of all $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices $M = [m_{ij}]$

equipped with $P_n(M)dM = ce^{-F(M)} \prod dm_{ii} \prod \Re dm_{ij} \Im dm_{ij}$.

For physical reasons: $P_n(\tilde{M})d\tilde{M} = P_n(M)dM$

$\tilde{M} = UMU^*$, U unitary matrix.

This implies $F(M) = F(UMU^*)$ for all unitary matrices U .

Consequently, $F(M)$ should depend only on the eigenvalues of M .

Case of particular interest $F(M) = \text{tr } Q(M) = \sum Q(\lambda_i)$.

Case of particular interest $F(M) = \text{tr } Q(M) = \sum Q(\lambda_i)$.

$$P_n(M)dM = ce^{-\sum Q(\lambda_i)} \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n$$

Case of particular interest $F(M) = \text{tr } Q(M) = \sum Q(\lambda_i)$.

$$P_n(M)dM = ce^{-\sum Q(\lambda_i)} \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n$$

$$\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 = \det(p_{i-1}(\lambda_j))^2$$

Case of particular interest $F(M) = \text{tr } Q(M) = \sum Q(\lambda_i)$.

$$P_n(M)dM = ce^{-\sum Q(\lambda_i)} \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n$$

$$\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 = \det(p_{i-1}(\lambda_j))^2$$

$\{p_k(x)\}$ monic polynomials with $\deg p_k(x) = k$.

$\{p_k(x)\}$ monic orthogonal polynomials associated to $e^{-Q(x)} dx$.

$\{p_k(x)\}$ monic orthogonal polynomials associated to $e^{-Q(x)} dx$.

$$P_n(M) dM = \frac{e^{-\sum Q(\lambda_i)}}{n!} \det(K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n$$

$\{p_k(x)\}$ monic orthogonal polynomials associated to $e^{-Q(x)} dx$.

$$P_n(M) dM = \frac{e^{-\sum Q(\lambda_i)}}{n!} \det(K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n$$

$K_n(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i(x)p_i(y)$ reproducing kernels

$\{p_k(x)\}$ monic orthogonal polynomials associated to $e^{-Q(x)} dx$.

$$P_n(M) dM = \frac{e^{-\sum Q(\lambda_i)}}{n!} \det(K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n$$

$K_n(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i(x)p_i(y)$ reproducing kernels

$$p(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x) K_n(x, y) e^{-Q(x)} dx$$

Random matrices

Useful quantity is the correlation function:

$$R_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = \int \dots \int \frac{e^{-\sum Q(\lambda_i)}}{n!} \det(K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)) d\lambda_{m+1} \dots d\lambda_n$$

Random matrices

Useful quantity is the correlation function:

$$R_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = \int \dots \int \frac{e^{-\sum Q(\lambda_i)}}{n!} \det(K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)) d\lambda_{m+1} \dots d\lambda_n$$

$$R_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^m Q(\lambda_i)} \det(K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j))_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m}$$

Random matrices

Useful quantity is the correlation function:

$$R_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = \int \dots \int \frac{e^{-\sum Q(\lambda_i)}}{n!} \det(K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)) d\lambda_{m+1} \dots d\lambda_n$$

$$R_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^m Q(\lambda_i)} \det(K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j))_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m}$$

Many statistical quantities can be expressed in terms of R_m .

Random matrices

Useful quantity is the correlation function:

$$R_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = \int \dots \int \frac{e^{-\sum Q(\lambda_i)}}{n!} \det(K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)) d\lambda_{m+1} \dots d\lambda_n$$

$$R_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^m Q(\lambda_i)} \det(K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j))_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m}$$

Many statistical quantities can be expressed in terms of R_m .

The universality limit essentially asserts

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_m(x + \frac{a_1}{n}, \dots, x + \frac{a_m}{n})}{n^m} = \det\left(\frac{\sin \pi(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}{\pi(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m}.$$

Random matrices

Useful quantity is the correlation function:

$$R_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = \int \dots \int \frac{e^{-\sum Q(\lambda_i)}}{n!} \det(K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)) d\lambda_{m+1} \dots d\lambda_n$$

$$R_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^m Q(\lambda_i)} \det(K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j))_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m}$$

Many statistical quantities can be expressed in terms of R_m .

The universality limit essentially asserts

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_m(x + \frac{a_1}{n}, \dots, x + \frac{a_m}{n})}{n^m} = \det\left(\frac{\sin \pi(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}{\pi(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m}.$$

Since m is fixed this boils down to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_n(x + \frac{a}{n}, x + \frac{b}{n})}{n} = \frac{\sin \pi(a - b)}{\pi(a - b)}.$$

Since m is fixed this boils down to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_n(x + \frac{a}{n}, x + \frac{b}{n})}{n} = \frac{\sin \pi(a - b)}{\pi(a - b)}.$$

It was proved for various $Q(x)$ by P. Deift (S. Venakides, X. Zhou, J. Baik ...) using Riemann-Hilbert methods.

Since m is fixed this boils down to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_n(x + \frac{a}{n}, x + \frac{b}{n})}{n} = \frac{\sin \pi(a - b)}{\pi(a - b)}.$$

It was proved for various $Q(x)$ by P. Deift (S. Venakides, X. Zhou, J. Baik ...) using Riemann-Hilbert methods.

It was proved for more general measures by D. Lubinsky (V. Totik, B. Simon ...).

Several natural (but vague) questions:

- Can we say anything if the measure does not have finite moments?
- Which objects should play the role of orthogonal polynomials?
- Why is the sinc kernel obtained as a limit?

Questions:

Several natural (but vague) questions:

- Can we say anything if the measure does not have finite moments?
- Which objects should play the role of orthogonal polynomials?
- Why is the sinc kernel obtained as a limit?

Use spaces of entire functions

Let μ be a measure on the real line satisfying $\int \frac{\mu(t)}{1+t^2} < \infty$.

Spaces of entire functions

Let μ be a measure on the real line satisfying $\int \frac{\mu(t)}{1+t^2} < \infty$.

Consider the completion of the set \mathcal{K}_L of all entire functions with type $\leq L$ in $L^2(\mu)$.

Spaces of entire functions

Let μ be a measure on the real line satisfying $\int \frac{\mu(t)}{1+t^2} < \infty$.

Consider the completion of the set \mathcal{K}_L of all entire functions with type $\leq L$ in $L^2(\mu)$.

(Krein's alternative) This completion is either \mathcal{K}_L or $L^2(\mu)$.

Spaces of entire functions

Let μ be a measure on the real line satisfying $\int \frac{\mu(t)}{1+t^2} < \infty$.

Consider the completion of the set \mathcal{K}_L of all entire functions with type $\leq L$ in $L^2(\mu)$.

(Krein's alternative) This completion is either \mathcal{K}_L or $L^2(\mu)$.

In either case we have a short de Branges space.

Spaces of entire functions

Let μ be a measure on the real line satisfying $\int \frac{\mu(t)}{1+t^2} < \infty$.

Consider the completion of the set \mathcal{K}_L of all entire functions with type $\leq L$ in $L^2(\mu)$.

(Krein's alternative) This completion is either \mathcal{K}_L or $L^2(\mu)$.

In either case we have a de Branges space.

We have a nest of de Branges spaces parametrized by $L > 0$.

Paley-Wiener (model) case

If μ is the Lebesgue measure we obtain the nest of Paley-Wiener spaces.

$$K_L(x, y) = \frac{\sin \pi L(x-y)}{\pi(x-y)}$$

Paley-Wiener (model) case

If μ is the Lebesgue measure we obtain the nest of Paley-Wiener spaces.

$$K_L(x, y) = \frac{\sin \pi L(x-y)}{\pi(x-y)}$$

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_L(x + \frac{a}{L}, x + \frac{b}{L})}{L} = \frac{\sin \pi(a-b)}{\pi(a-b)}.$$

Paley-Wiener (model) case

If μ is the Lebesgue measure we obtain the nest of Paley-Wiener spaces.

$$K_L(x, y) = \frac{\sin \pi L(x-y)}{\pi(x-y)}$$

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_L(x + \frac{a}{L}, x + \frac{b}{L})}{L} = \frac{\sin \pi(a-b)}{\pi(a-b)}.$$

Want similar result for measures 'sufficiently close' to the Lebesgue measure.

Theorem

Let μ be a positive Poisson summable measure that is absolutely continuous on \mathbb{R} with strictly positive density. Moreover, assume that the density is continuous at a fixed point $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_L(x + \frac{a}{L}, x + \frac{b}{L})}{L} = \frac{\sin \pi \rho(x)(a - b)}{\pi(a - b)},$$

where $K_L(x, y)$ are the reproducing kernels from the nested family of de Branges spaces associated to μ , and $\rho(x)$ is the density of states at x .

Lubinski's inequality: Assume μ and μ^* are measures on \mathbb{R} satisfying $\mu \leq \mu^*$. Then for all real x, y we have

$$\frac{|K_L(x, y) - K_L^*(x, y)|}{K_L(x, x)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{K_L(y, y)}{K_L(x, x)}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{K_L^*(x, x)}{K_L(x, x)}}.$$

Diagonal behavior: If μ satisfies the assumptions from the Theorem then:

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_L(x + \frac{a}{L}, x + \frac{a}{L})}{L} = \frac{1}{\mu'(x)}.$$

Proof: Define ν to be the same as μ on a neighborhood of x and to be $\mu'(x)$ times the Lebesgue measure outside of that neighborhood.

Define $\mu^* = \max(\mu, \nu)$ and apply the previous two results.

Thank you.