# A Journey Through Numerical Ranges\*

Pei Yuan Wu (吳培元)

Dept. of Applied Mathematics National Chiao Tung Univ. Hsinchu, Taiwan

March 17, 2011 Gainsville, Florida



RSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

\_\_\_\_\_



SANTA BARBARA - BANTA CHIZ

April 12, 1973

hematics Department iana University oomington, Indiana 47401

Dear John:

Thanks for your interesting preprint. Actually, the "easy" part of your theorem was pointed out to me some time ago by Sarsson (see p. 252 of the enclosed paper; see p. 253 bth 9 for notation). You should also look at two papers by Nikol'skii and Paylov in the "Soviet Math. Dok!," vol. 10 (1959), PR. 133-141 and 163-166.

Have you thought about which (scalar) Sx.-Nayy-Foias models are similar to normal operators? The question is nontrivial, since sometimes they are similar to unitary operators (see Sx.-N.-F. book); necessary and sufficient for this is that the characteristic function be bounded below in the unit diss. If the outgreep part is bounded below and the zeros of the innig part are uniformly separated is the model similar to a normal operator? Are these conditions necessary.

Kriete (B. A. M. S. 76 #2 (1970)) wrote a paper on when two (scalar) models are similar (to eachother).

With\_best recards

Douglas N Clark

DNC:me

#### Separatum

#### ACTA UNIVERSITATIS SZEGEDIENSIS

### ACTA SCIENTIARUM MATHEMATICARUM

B. CSÁKÁNY G. FODOR F. GÉCSEG L. KALMÁR ADJUVANTIBUS

I. KOVÁCS

L. LEINDLER

F. MÓRICZ

L. PINTÉR

G. POLLÁK L. RÉDEI J. SZÜCS K. TANDORI

REDIGIT

B. SZ.-NAGY

TOMUS 37 FASC, 3-4

J. B. Conway. & P. Y. Wu
On nonorthogonal decompositions of certain contractions

SZEGED, 1975

INSTITUTUM BOLYAIANUM UNIVERSITATIS SZEGEDIENSIS

#### On nonorthogonal decompositions of certain contractions

John B. Conway. 2)

Se. "Alox and Foxts showed in (i) that a contraction T on a separable Hilbert space H is similar to a unitary operator if and only if its characteristic function  $\theta_T(t)$  has a bounded analytic inverse (see also (S), Ch. IX). In the present paper, we give a generalization of this result. We prove that a contraction T is similar to a direct sum of a unitary operator and a contraction of class  $C_{s_1}$  if and only if interesting consciences has a bounded analytic inverse, we shall also indicate some interesting consciences.

 Preliminaries. We only consider non-trivial, complex, separable Hilbert spaces. For completely non-unitary contractions we will use the functional models as developed in [5], Ch. VI.

Let  $\hat{T}$  be a contraction on the Hilbert space H. Denote by  $D_T = (1 - T^*T)^{1/3}$ ,  $D_{T^*} = (1 - TT^*)^{1/3}$  the defect operators and  $\mathfrak{D}_T = \hat{D}_T \hat{H}$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}_{T^*} = \hat{D}_{T^*} \hat{H}$  the defect spaces of T.

The characteristic function  $\{\mathfrak{D}_T,\mathfrak{D}_{T^*},\mathfrak{O}_T(\lambda)\}$  of T is the purely contractive analytic function from  $\mathfrak{D}_T$  to  $\mathfrak{D}_{T^*}$  defined by

 $\Theta_T(\lambda) = [-T + \lambda D_{T^*}(1 - \lambda T^*)^{-1}D_T]|\mathfrak{D}_T \text{ for } |\lambda| \ll 1.$ 

If T is completely non-unitary, we will consider T in its functional model, i.e. defined by  $T^*(u\oplus v)=e^{-it}[u(e^{it})-u(0)]\oplus e^{-it}v(t)$ 

on the space  $H = [H^1(\mathfrak{D}_T) \oplus \overline{d_T L^2(\mathfrak{D}_T)}] \oplus \{\Theta_T u \oplus \overline{d_T u} : u \in H^2(\mathfrak{D}_T)\}.$ 

where  $A_T(t) = [I - \Theta_T(e^{it})^* \Theta_T(e^{it})]^{1/2}$ . Let  $\Theta_T(\lambda) = \Theta_2(\lambda) \Theta_1(\lambda)$  be the canonical factorization of  $\{\mathfrak{D}_T, \mathfrak{D}_{T^*}, \Theta_T(\lambda)\}$  into the product of its outer factor  $\{\mathfrak{D}_T, \mathfrak{T}_T, \Theta_T(\lambda)\}$  and inner factor  $\{\mathfrak{F}_T, \mathfrak{T}_T, \mathfrak{G}_A(\lambda)\}$ . Let

 $H_1 = \{\Theta_2 u \oplus v \colon u \in H^2(\mathfrak{F}), v \in \overline{A_T L^2(\mathfrak{D}_T)}\} \oplus \{\Theta_T w \oplus A_T w \colon w \in H^1(\mathfrak{D}_T)\}$ be the induced invariant subspace for T and

 $H_1 = H \ominus H_1 = [H^1(\mathfrak{D}_{T^*}) \ominus \Theta_1 H^1(\mathfrak{F})] \oplus \{0\}$ 

its orthogonal complement. Let  $T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & X \\ 0 & T_2 \end{bmatrix}$  be the triangulation of T corresponding



May, 1980 Bloomington, Indiana



May, 1980 Bloomington, Indiana



July, 1988

Durham, New Hampshire

C. Cowen, P. Rosenthal, J. Conway, E. Nordgren, H. Radjavi



August, 1993 Szeged, Hungary J. Conway, B. Sz.-Nagy



August, 1993 Szeged, Hungary J. Conway, H. Langer, J. Wermer



August, 1993 Szeged, Hungary



August, 1993 Szeged, Hungary

Linear and Muhilinear Algebra, Vol. 45, pp. 359-373 © 1999 OPA (Overseus Publishers Association) N.V. Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only

Published by license under the Gordon and Breach Science Printed in Malausia

#### Lucas' Theorem Refined\*

HWA-LONG GAU and PEI YUAN WU<sup>†</sup>

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, Republic of China

Communicated by T. Ando.

(Received 24 April 1998)

We prove a refined version of the classical Lucas' theorem: if p is a polynomial with zeros  $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}$  all having modulus one and  $\phi$  is the Blaschke product whose zeros are those of the derivative p', then the compression of the shift  $S(\phi)$  has its numerical range circumscribed about by the (n + 1)-gon  $a_1 \cdots a_{n+1}$  with tangent points the midpoints of the n + 1 sides of the polygon. This is proved via a special matrix representation of  $S(\phi)$ and is a generalization of the known case for n = 2.

Keywords: Compression of the shift; numerical range; dilation

AMS Subject Classification: 15A60, 47A12

A classical result in complex analysis, variously attributed to Gauss, Lucas, Grace and others and usually called Lucas' theorem, says that zeros of the derivative of a polynomial are all contained in the convex hull of the set of zeros of the polynomial. A more refined assertion for polynomials of degree three, due to Siebeck [5] (cf. also [4, p. 9]), is that if the degree-three polynomial p has zeros  $a_1, a_2$  and  $a_3$  and the derivative p' has zeros  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ , then there is an ellipse with foci at  $b_1$ and  $b_2$  which is circumscribed about by the triangle  $\Delta a_1 a_2 a_3$  with

<sup>\*</sup>Dedicated to John B. Conway, the thesis advisor of the second author and the mathematical grandfather of the first, on his 60th birthday. Corresponding author, e-mail: pywu@cc.nctu.edu.tw

H complex Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ A bounded linear operator on H

Def. 
$$W(A) = \{ \langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in H, ||x|| = 1 \}$$
  
numerical range of  $A$ 

$$w(A) = \sup \{ |\langle Ax, x \rangle| : x \in H, ||x|| = 1 \}$$
  
numerical radius of  $A$ 

## Properties:

- (1) U unitary  $\Rightarrow W(U^*AU) = W(A)$
- (2) W(A) bounded subset of  $\mathbb{C}$
- (3)  $W(aA + bI) = aW(A) + b \quad \forall \ a, b \in \mathbb{C}$
- (4) W(Re A) = Re W(A), W(Im A) = Im W(A) $Re A = (A + A^*)/2$ ,  $Im A = (A - A^*)/(2i)$
- (5) W(A) convex (Toeplitz-Hausdorff, 1918-19)
- (6)  $\sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{W(A)}$
- (7) A normal  $\Rightarrow \overline{W(A)} = \sigma(A)^{\wedge}$
- $(8) \quad W(A \oplus B) = (W(A) \cup W(B))^{\wedge}$

- Question 1. Given A, what can we say about W(A)?
- Question 2. Known W(A), what can we say about A?
- Question 3. Which bdd convex  $\triangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is W(A) for some A?

Ex.1.

$$A = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{array} \right]$$

 $\Rightarrow$  W(A) = elliptic disc with foci a, c & minor axis of length |b|

Ex.2.

$$A = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} a_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & a_n \end{array} \right]$$

 $\Rightarrow$  W(A) = polygonal region with some of the  $a_j$ 's as vertices

Ex.3.

$$A = J_n \equiv \left[ egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & 1 & \\ & & & 0 \end{array} 
ight] \quad (n \times n \, ext{Jordan block})$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
  $W(A) =$  circular disc centered at 0 & radius  $\cos (\pi/(n+1))$ 

#### Ex.4.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{on } I^2$$

$$\Rightarrow W(A) = \mathbb{D} \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$$

- (1) J. Anderson (early 1970s): Condition for W(A) = circular disc
- (2) J. Holbrook (1969): Inequality of w(AB) for AB = BA
- (3) J. P. Williams & T. Crimmins (1967): Condition for w(A) = ||A||/2

## J. Anderson (early 1970s):

Thm. 
$$A \ n \times n$$
,  $W(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}} \ \& \ \# \ (\partial W(A) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}) > n \Rightarrow W(A) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ 

Pf: (Wu, 1999)  $W(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}} \Leftrightarrow Re \ (e^{-i\theta}A) \le I_n \quad \forall \ \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ 
 $\therefore p(e^{i\theta}) \equiv \det \ (I_n - Re \ (e^{-i\theta}A)) \ge 0 \quad \forall \ \theta$ 
 $= \overline{a_n}e^{-in\theta} + \cdots + \overline{a_1}e^{-i\theta} + a_0 + a_1e^{i\theta} + \cdots + a_ne^{in\theta}$ 
 $= |q(e^{i\theta})|^2$  for some poly.  $q$  of  $\deg \le n$  by Riesz-Fejér (1916)

 $\# \ (\partial W(A) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}) > n \Rightarrow p(e^{i\theta}) = 0$  for more than  $n \ \theta$ 's

 $\Rightarrow q(e^{i\theta}) = 0$  for more than  $n \ \theta$ 's

 $\therefore$  Fund. thm. of algebra

 $\Rightarrow q \equiv 0 \Rightarrow p \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow W(A) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ 

Cor.  $\{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} : Re \ z \ge 0\} \ne W(A) \quad \forall \text{ finite matrix } A$ 

### Elaborations:

(1) Gau & Wu (2004, 2007):

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ -a_n & \cdots & -a_2 & -a_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad n \times n \text{ companion matrix}$$

 $W(A) \supseteq D$  (circular disc at 0),  $\#(\partial W(A) \cap \partial D) > n \Rightarrow A = J_n$ 

(2) Gau & Wu (2006):

A compact, 
$$W(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}$$
,  $\#(\partial W(A) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}) = \infty \Rightarrow W(A) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$   
Idea: "analytic" branch of  $d_A(\theta) = \max \overline{W(Re\ (e^{-i\theta}A))}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$   
 $\therefore d_A(\theta) \le 1 \quad \forall \ \theta$   
 $d_A(\theta) = 1$  for infinitely many  $\theta$ 's  $\Rightarrow d_A \equiv 1$ 

Cor.  $\{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} : Re \ z \ge 0\} \ne W(A)$  for A compact

(3) Gau & Wu (2008):

$$A \ n \times n \ (n \geq 3), \ W(A) \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \ \#(\partial W(A) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}) > n$$

 $\Rightarrow \partial W(A)$  contains at least one arc and at most n-2 arcs of  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ 

Ex. 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus diag(r, re^{i\theta_0}, \dots, re^{i(n-3)\theta_0})$$

$$1 < r < \sec(\pi/(n-2)), \, \theta_0 = 2\pi/(n-2)$$

$$\Rightarrow W(A) \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}} \& \partial W(A)$$
 contains  $n-2$  arcs of  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ 

(4)  $A \ n \times n$  nilpotent,  $W(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ ,  $\#(\partial W(A) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}) > n-2$  $\Rightarrow W(A) = \overline{\mathbb{D}} \& "n-2"$  is sharp:

Ex. 
$$A_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & & & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  $n \times n \ (n \ge 3)$ 

$$\Rightarrow W(A_n) \subsetneq \overline{\mathbb{D}} \& \#(\partial W(A_n) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}) = n-2$$

(5) 
$$A \ n \times n$$
 nilpotent,  $W(A) \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \#(\partial W(A) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}) > n-2$   

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} W(A) = \overline{\mathbb{D}} & \text{if } 2 \leq n \leq 4 \\ \partial W(A) \text{ contains an arc of } \partial \mathbb{D} & \text{if } n \geq 5 \end{cases}$$

- (6)  $A n \times n$ , W(A) = circular disc centered at a $\Rightarrow a \text{ is eigenvalue of } A \text{ with } 1 \leq \text{geom. multi.} < \text{alg. multi.}$
- Cor.1.  $A n \times n$  similar to normal  $\Rightarrow W(A) \neq \text{circular disc}$
- Cor.2.  $A n \times n$  nonnegative & irreducible
  - $\Rightarrow W(A) \neq \text{circular disc}$
- Cor.3. A  $n \times n$  row stochastic  $\Rightarrow W(A) \neq \text{circular disc}$

Note. 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & 1 & \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  $n \times n$ 

$$\Rightarrow W(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \cos(\pi/(n+1))\}$$

## J. Holbrook (1969):

$$AB = BA \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} w(AB) \leq w(A)||B||, ||A||w(B)$$

### Known:

(1)  $w(AB) \le 4w(A)w(B)$  & "4" is sharp:

Ex. 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   

$$\therefore w(AB) = 1, w(A) = w(B) = 1/2$$

(2)  $AB = BA \Rightarrow w(AB) \leq 2w(A)w(B)$  & "2" is sharp:

Ex. 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$w(AB) = w(A) = w(B) = 1/2$$

- (3) True if A normal (Holbrook, 1969)
  - if A isometry (Bouldin, 1971)

if 
$$AB = BA \& AB^* = B^*A$$
 (Holbrook, 1969)

- if A, B 2  $\times$  2 (Holbrook, 1992)
- (4) Crabb (1976):

$$AB = BA \Rightarrow w(AB) \leq (\sqrt{2 + 2\sqrt{3}}/2)w(A)||B||$$



(5) Müller (1988), Davidson & Holbrook (1988):

Ex. 
$$A = J_9$$
,  $B = J_9^3 + J_9^7$   
 $w(AB) = ||A|| = 1$ ,  $||B|| \ge \sqrt{2}$   
 $w(A) = w(B) = \cos(\pi/10)$   
 $\Rightarrow w(AB) > ||A||w(B)$ , but  $w(AB) \le w(A)||B||$ 

Schoch (2002): A, B 4 × 4

Wu, Gau & Tsai (2009):

Thm 1. 
$$A = S(\phi)$$
,  $AB = BA \Rightarrow w(AB) \le w(A) ||B||$   
But  $A = S(\phi)$ ,  $AB = BA \Rightarrow w(AB) \le ||A||w(B)$ 

$$\phi$$
 inner func. ( $\phi:\mathbb{D} o\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  analy. &  $|\phi|=$  1 a.e. on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ )

Def. 
$$S(\phi)f = P_{H^2 \ominus \phi H^2}(zf(z))$$
 for  $f \in H^2 \ominus \phi H^2$ 

Ex. 
$$\phi(z) = z^n \ (n \ge 1)$$
 Then  $S(\phi) \cong J_n$ 

More generally,

Thm 2. 
$$A$$
  $C_0$  contraction with minimal func.  $\phi$ ,  $w(A) = w(S(\phi))$  (Def.  $||A|| \le 1$  &  $\phi(A) = 0$ )  $AB = BA \Rightarrow w(AB) < w(A)||B||$ 

Cor. A quadratic, 
$$AB = BA \Rightarrow w(AB) \le w(A) ||B||$$
  

$$\uparrow$$

$$A^2 + aA + bI = 0 \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbb{C}$$

Unknown:

A quadratic, 
$$AB = BA \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} w(AB) \leq ||A||w(B)$$

Known:

(1) Rao (1994):

$$A^2 = aI$$
,  $AB = BA \Rightarrow w(AB) \le ||A||w(B)$ 

(2) Gau, Huang & Wu (2008):

$$A^2 = 0$$
 or  $A^2 = A$ ,  $AB = BA$   
 $\Rightarrow w(AB) \le \min\{w(A) ||B||, ||A||w(B)\}$ 

$$\|A\|/2 \leq w(A) \leq \|A\|$$

(1) Williams & Crimmins (1967):

$$||A|| = 2$$
,  $w(A) = 1$ ,  $||Ax|| = ||A||$  for some  $||x|| = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow A \cong \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus A'$  &  $W(A) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ 

Pf: Let 
$$y = (1/2)Ax$$
  $(x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus 0 \& y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus 0)$   
Then  $||y|| = 1 \& x \perp y$   
Let  $K = \vee \{y, x\}$   
Then  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus A'$  on  $H = K \oplus K^{\perp}$ 



August, 1978 Helsinki, Finland James P. Williams

## (2) Crabb (1971):

$$w(A) \le 1$$
,  $||A^n x|| = 2$  for some  $n \ge 1$  &  $||x|| = 1$ 

$$\Rightarrow A \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus A' & & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \hline 0 & \sqrt{2} & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots & \sqrt{2} \\ & & & 0 \end{array} \right\} \oplus A' & \text{if } n \geq 2$$

& 
$$W(A) = \overline{\mathbb{I}}$$

Gau & Wu (2009): confirming a conjecture of Drury (2008)

Thm. 
$$f$$
 inner func.,  $f(0) = 0$ 

$$w(A) \leq 1$$
, A has no singular unitary part

$$||f(A)x|| = 2$$
 for some  $||x|| = 1$ 

$$\Rightarrow$$
  $A \cong B \oplus A'$ , where  $B$  similar to  $S(\phi)$ ,

$$\phi(z) = zf(z) \& \overline{W(A)} = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$$

### Special cases:

$$f(z) = z \Rightarrow$$
 Williams & Crimmins

$$f(z) = z^n (n \ge 2) \Rightarrow \text{Crabb}$$

# 莊康威:

惠我算子, 退休快樂!

John Conway:

Beneficial to Operator Theory, Happy Retirement!