

Operator Identities for Subnormal Tuples of Operators

Daoxing Xia
(Vanderbilt University)

1.

A k -tuple of operators $\mathbb{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_k)$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is said to be subnormal if there is a commuting k -tuple $\mathbb{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_k)$ of normal operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_0 containing \mathcal{H} as a subspace, such that

$$S_j = N_j|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

In this case \mathbb{N} is said to be a normal extension of \mathbb{S} . A normal extension is said to be minimal if there is no proper subspace of $\mathcal{H}_0 \ominus \mathcal{H}$ which reduces \mathbb{N} . The minimal normal extension (m.n.e.) of a subnormal tuple of operators exists and is unique under unitary equivalence. There are several mathematicians studying subnormal k -tuples of operators such as A. Athevale, J. B. Conway, R. E. Curto, J. Eschmeier, J. Gleason, M. Putinar, J. D. Pincus, D. Xia and D. Zheng.

Let M be the closure of $\bigvee_{i,j} [S_i^*, S_j] \mathcal{H}$. Then M is said to be the defect space of \mathbb{S} . Xia proved that

$$S_j^* M \subset M.$$

It is evident that $[S_i^*, S_j] M \subset M$. Xia introduced the operators

$$C_{ij} \stackrel{def}{=} [S_i^*, S_j]|_M \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_j \stackrel{def}{=} (S_j^*|_M)^*$$

in $L(M)$ and proved that $\{C_{ij}, \Lambda_j\}$ is a complete unitary invariant for S .

In the first part of this talk, the formulas for calculating the product of resolvents, a kind of Lifschitz-Brodski kernel:

$$P_M \prod_{i=1}^m (N_{p_i}^* - \bar{w}_i)^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (N_{q_j} - z_j)^{-1}|_M \quad (1)$$

will be given, where \mathbb{N} is the m.n.e. of a subnormal k -tuple of $\mathbb{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_k)$, $1 \leq p_i, q_j \leq k$, M is the defect space, P_M is the projection from \mathcal{H}_0 to M , $z_i \in \rho(N_{q_i})$ and $w_j \in \rho(N_{p_j})$. If $z_i \in \rho(S_{q_i})$ and $w_j \in \rho(S_{p_j})$ then (1) equals to

$$P_M \prod_{i=1}^m (S_{p_i}^* - \bar{w}_i)^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (S_{q_j} - z_j)^{-1}|_M. \quad (2)$$

Notice that if \mathbb{S} is pure, i.e. if there is no proper subspace $F \subset \mathcal{H}$ reducing \mathbb{S} such that $\mathbb{S}|_F$ is normal, then

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{closure of } \bigvee \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k (S_j - z_j)^{-1} \alpha : z_j \in \rho(S_j), \alpha \in M \right\}.$$

Thus the calculation of (2) provides a way of calculation of the inner product of any two vectors in \mathcal{H} .

In the second part of this talk, we generalize the formula for (2) to the formula for

$$P_{\mathcal{K}} \prod_{i=1}^m (T_{p_i}^* - \bar{w}_i)^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (T_{q_j}^* - z_j)^{-1}|_{\mathcal{K}} \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbb{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_k)$ is a commuting k -tuple of operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{K} is the minimal subspace which contains the defect space of \mathbb{T} and invariant with respect to T_j^* and $[T_i^*, T_j]$, for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

2.

Let us review the related theory in the case of single subnormal operator S on \mathcal{H} . Let N be the m.n.e. of S on \mathcal{H}_0 , M be the defect space of S . Let

$$C = P_M[S^*, S]|_M, \quad \Lambda = (S^*|_M)^*.$$

Let $E(\cdot)$ be the spectral measure of N . Define a positive $L(M)$ -valued measure on $\sigma(N)$:

$$e(F) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_M E(F)|_M,$$

where F is any Borel set in $\sigma(N)$. In this single subnormal operator case the Lifschitz-Brodski kernel (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S(z, w) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_M (N^* - \bar{w})^{-1} (N - z)^{-1}|_M \\ &= \int_{\sigma(N)} \frac{e(du)}{(\bar{u} - \bar{w})(u - z)}, \quad z, w \in \rho(N). \end{aligned}$$

Xia introduced a mosaic:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(z) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_M (N - SP_{\mathcal{H}})(N - z)^{-1}|_M, \\ &= \int_{\sigma(N)} \frac{u - \Lambda}{u - z} e(du), \quad z \in \rho(N). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mu(z)$ is analytic on $\rho(N)$ and is idempotent: $\mu(z)^2 = \mu(z)$. Besides, $\mu(z) = 0$ for $z \in \rho(S)$.

Let $Q(z, w) \stackrel{def}{=} (\bar{w} - \Lambda^*)(z - \Lambda) - C$ and

$$R(z) \stackrel{def}{=} C(z - \Lambda)^{-1} + \Lambda^*, \quad z \in \rho(\Lambda).$$

Then $Q(z, w) = (R(z) - \bar{w})(\Lambda - z)$ and

$$[R(z), \mu(z)] = 0, \quad z \in \rho(\Lambda) \cap \rho(N).$$

The Lifschitz-Brodski kernel can be expressed as

$$S(z, w) = (I - \mu(w)^*)Q(z, w)^{-1} - Q(z, w)^{-1}\mu(z), \quad (4)$$

if $z, w \in \rho(N)$ and $Q(z, w)$ is invertible. For $z, w \in \rho(S)$, the kernel (2) becomes

$$S(z, w) = Q(z, w)^{-1}, \quad z, w \in \rho(S).$$

Especially, if $\dim M < \infty$, this pair $\{C, \Lambda\}$ is a pair of matrices and is a very useful tool for studying S . For example, in this case

$$\sigma(N) \subset \{z : \det Q(z, z) = 0\},$$

and $\sigma(S) \setminus \sigma(N)$ covered by a union of quadrature domains in Riemann surfaces. If $\sigma(S) \setminus \sigma(N)$ is a quadrature domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, then

$$R(z)\mu(z) = \mu(z)R(z) = S(z)\mu(z),$$

where $S(\cdot)$ is the Schwartz function of D . Besides, the mosaic $\mu(z)$ is the parallel projection to the eigen-space of the matrix $R(z)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $S(z)$.

3.

Let $\mathbb{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_k)$ be a k -tuple of subnormal operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with m.n.e. $\mathbb{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_k)$ on $\mathcal{H}_0 \supset \mathcal{H}$. Let $E(\cdot)$ be the spectral measure of \mathbb{N} and $e(\cdot) = P_M E(\cdot)|_M$.

We have generalized the mosaic $\mu(\cdot)$ and $R(\cdot)$ into the k -tuple case. For any $l_1, \dots, l_n \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $1 \leq i < m \leq n$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{l_1, \dots, l_m}(z_i, \dots, z_m) &\stackrel{def}{=} P_M(N_{l_i} - S_{l_i} P_{\mathcal{H}}) \prod_{j=i}^m (N_{l_j} - z_j)^{-1} |_M \\ &= \int_{sp(\mathbb{N})} \frac{(u_{l_i} - \Lambda_{l_i})e(du)}{\prod_{j=i}^m (u_{l_j} - z_j)} \end{aligned}$$

for $z_j \in \rho(N_{l_j})$, where $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$. Let $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_n\}$. Define the mosaic of \mathbb{S} :

$$\mu_L(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{l_1}(z_1) & \mu_{l_1, l_2}(z_1, z_2) & \mu_{l_1, l_2, l_3}(z_1, z_2, z_3) & \cdots & \mu_{l_1, \dots, l_n}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ 0 & \mu_{l_2}(z_2) & \mu_{l_2, l_3}(z_2, z_3) & \cdots & \mu_{l_2, \dots, l_n}(z_2, \dots, z_n) \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{l_3}(z_3) & \cdots & \mu_{l_3, \dots, l_n}(z_3, \dots, z_n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mu_{l_n}(z_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, $z_j \in \rho(N_{l_j})$. Then $\mu_L(z)$ is analytic on $\rho_{l_1}(N_{l_1}) \times \cdots \times \rho_{l_n}(N_{l_n})$, and $\mu_L(z)^2 = \mu_L(z)$.

If $z_j \in \rho(S_{l_j})$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $\mu_L(z) = 0$. Define

$$\mu_L^\dagger(z) = \begin{pmatrix} I - \mu_{l_n}(z_n)^* & -\mu_{l_n, l_{n-1}}(z_n, z_{n-1})^* & \cdots & -\mu_{l_n, \dots, l_1}(z_n, \dots, z_1)^* \\ 0 & I - \mu_{l_{n-1}}(z_{n-1}) & \cdots & -\mu_{l_{n-1}, \dots, l_1}(z_{n-1}, \dots, z_1)^* \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & I - \mu_{l_1}(z_1)^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\mu_L^\dagger(z)^2 = \mu_L^\dagger(z)$, $\mu_L^\dagger(z)$ is analytic on $\rho_{l_1}(N_{l_1}) \times \cdots \times \rho_{l_n}(N_{l_n})$ and $\mu_L^\dagger(z) = I$ for $z \in \rho_{l_1}(S_{l_1}) \times \cdots \times \rho_{l_n}(S_{l_n})$.

For $m, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, define

$$Q_{ml}(z, w) = (\Lambda_m^* - \bar{w})(\Lambda_l - z) - C_{ml},$$

$$R_{ml}(z) = C_{ml}(z - \Lambda_l)^{-1} + \Lambda_m^*, \quad z \in \rho(\Lambda_l).$$

Then $[R_{ml_1}(z), R_{ml_2}(z)] = 0$.

For $m, l_1, \dots, l_n \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_n\}$, $z_j \in \rho(\Lambda_{l_j})$, denote $\hat{\Lambda}_j \stackrel{def}{=} (\Lambda_{l_j} - z_j)^{-1}$ and

$$R_{mL}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} R_{ml_1}(z_1) & -C_{ml_1} \prod_{j=1}^2 \hat{\Lambda}_j & -C_{ml_1} \prod_{j=1}^3 \hat{\Lambda}_j & \cdots & -C_{ml_1} \prod_{j=1}^n \hat{\Lambda}_j \\ 0 & -C_{ml_2}(z_2) & -C_{ml_2} \prod_{j=2}^3 \hat{\Lambda}_j & \cdots & -C_{ml_2} \prod_{j=2}^n \hat{\Lambda}_j \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & R_{ml_n}(z_n) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \rho_{l_1}(\Lambda_{l_1}) \times \cdots \times \rho_{l_n}(\Lambda_{l_n})$. We have proved that

$$[R_{mL}(z), \mu_L(z)] = 0,$$

for $z \in (\rho_{l_1}(\Lambda_{l_1}) \times \cdots \times \rho_{l_n}(\Lambda_{l_n})) \cap (\rho_{l_1}(N_{l_1}) \times \cdots \times \rho_{l_n}(N_{l_n}))$.

where $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$, $z = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$. For $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$, let $P_i = \{p_1, \dots, p_i\}$ and $Q_j = \{q_1, \dots, q_j\}$ where $i \leq m, j \leq n$, define $X_{P_i, Q} = X_{P_i, Q}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & (X_{P_j, Q_1} \quad X_{P_j, Q_2} \quad \cdots \quad X_{P_j, Q_n}) \\ & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (X_{P_1, Q_1} \quad X_{P_1, Q_2} \quad \cdots \quad X_{P_1, Q_n}) (R_{P_2, Q_n} - \bar{w}_2)^{-1} \cdots (R_{P_j, Q_n} - \bar{w}_n)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

for $j \geq 2$, where X_{P_j, Q_i} stands for

$$X_{\{p_1, \dots, p_j\}, \{q_1, \dots, q_i\}}(z_1, \dots, z_j; w_1, \dots, w_i).$$

Let $\mathfrak{X}_{P, Q} = \mathfrak{X}_{P, Q}(z_1, \dots, z_n; w_1, \dots, w_m)$ be the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_{P_m, Q_1} & X_{P_m, Q_2} & \cdots & X_{P_m, Q_n} \\ X_{P_{m-1}, Q_1} & X_{P_{m-1}, Q_2} & \cdots & X_{P_{m-1}, Q_n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ X_{P_2, Q_1} & X_{P_2, Q_2} & \cdots & X_{P_2, Q_n} \\ X_{P_1, Q_1} & X_{P_1, Q_2} & \cdots & X_{P_1, Q_n} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Theorem 1. Let $\mathbb{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_k)$ be a pure subnormal k -tuple of operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with minimal normal extension $\mathbb{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_k)$ on $\mathcal{H}_0 \supset \mathcal{H}$. For sets of integers $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$, $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ satisfying $P \cup Q \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, if $z_i \in \rho(\Lambda_{q_i}) \cap \rho(N_{q_i})$ and $w_j \in \rho(\Lambda_{p_j}) \cap \rho(N_{p_j})$ satisfying the condition that $Q_{p_j, q_i}(z_i, w_j)$ are invertible, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$\mathfrak{S}_{P, Q} = \mu_P^\dagger \mathfrak{X}_{P, Q} - \mathfrak{X}_{P, Q} \mu_Q,$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{P, Q}$ stands for $\mathfrak{S}_{P, Q}(z, w)$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$.

If also $z_i \in \rho(S_{q_i})$ and $w_j \in \rho(S_{p_j})$, then

$$\mathfrak{S}_{P, Q} = \mathfrak{X}_{P, Q}. \quad (10)$$

5.

Let $\mathbb{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_k)$ be a commuting k -tuple of operators, i.e. $[T_i, T_j] = 0$, on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let

$$M = M_{\mathbb{T}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{closure of } \bigvee \{ [T_i^*, T_j] \mathcal{H} : i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k \}$$

be the defect space of \mathbb{T} . In general, $T^*M \subset M$ may not be true. M. Putinar in the case of $\mathbb{T} = (T_1)$, where T_1 is a hyponormal operator and later J. Eschmeier and M. Putinar for commuting k -tuple of operators $\mathbb{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_k)$ case introduced

$$\mathcal{K} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{closure of } \bigvee \{ T_1^{*m_1} T_2^{*m_2} \cdots T_k^{*m_k} M_{\mathbb{T}} : m_1, \dots, m_k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \}.$$

Then $T_j^* \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K}$. Similar to the operators C_{ij} and Λ_i in §2, define operators

$$C_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [T_i^*, T_j] |_{\mathcal{K}} \text{ and } \Lambda_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (T_j^* |_{\mathcal{K}})^*.$$

Then we can define $R_{m, L}(z)$ for \mathbb{T} as in (5).

Lemma 2. For $z_j \in \rho(\Lambda_j)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$[R_{m,L}(z), R_{m',L}(z)] = 0,$$

for $m, m' = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

We define

$$S_{P,Q}(z, w) = P|_{\mathcal{K}} \prod_{i=1}^m (T_{p_i}^* - \bar{w}_i)^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (T_{q_j}^* - z_j)^{-1}|_{\mathcal{K}}$$

for $P = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$, $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, $w = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$, $z_j \in \rho(T_{q_j})$ and $w_i \in \rho(T_{p_i})$. We keep the notation $\mathfrak{S}_{P,Q}$ in (6) and $\mathfrak{X}_{P,Q}$ in (9) for the commuting k -tuple of operators \mathbb{T} .

Theorem 3. Let $\mathbb{T} = \{T_1, \dots, T_k\}$ be a commuting k -tuple of operators on \mathcal{H} . Let $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$, $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ satisfying $P \cup Q \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. If $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ satisfy the condition that $z_i \in \rho(T_{q_i})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $w_j \in \rho(T_{p_j})$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then

$$\mathfrak{S}_{P,Q}(z, w) = \mathfrak{X}_{P,Q}(z, w). \quad (11)$$

Therefore (10) is a special case of (11).