

**Technical Appendix to Accompany  
“On the Performance of Linear Contracts”**

by

**Arup Bose, Debashis Pal, and David E. M. Sappington**

This technical appendix to accompany “On the Performance of Linear Contracts” consists of two parts. Appendix A states and proves conclusions that supplement the formal conclusions reported in the text of the paper. Appendix B provides detailed proofs of the formal conclusions in the paper.

**Appendix A. Additional Conclusions**

Lemma A1 provides the equations that characterize the solution to [P]. Finding A1 extends Finding 3 in the text to the case where  $f(x|a)$  is given by the two-parameter gamma density:

$$f(x|a) = \frac{x^{p-1} e^{-x/a}}{a^p \Gamma(p)} \quad \text{for } x \in [0, \infty), \quad \text{where } \Gamma(p) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{p-1} du. \quad (1)$$

Proposition A1 extends Proposition 1 in the text to allow for  $\delta \in (1, 2)$ . Propositions A2 and A3 provide the corresponding extension of Proposition 2 in the text.

**Lemma A1.** The solution to [P] is characterized by the solution to the following equations:

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < \hat{x} \\ \left[ 2\theta \left( \lambda + \mu \left[ \frac{f_a(x|a)}{f(x|a)} \right] \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} & \text{if } x \geq \hat{x}; \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$\int_{\hat{x}}^{\infty} 2[w(x)]^{\theta} f(x|a) dx - a^{\delta} = 0; \quad (3)$$

$$\int_{\hat{x}}^{\infty} 2[w(x)]^{\theta} f_a(x|a) dx - \delta a^{\delta-1} = 0; \quad \text{and} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\hat{x}} x f_a(x|a) dx + \int_{\hat{x}}^{\infty} [x - w(x)] f_a(x|a) dx \\ + \mu \left[ \int_{\hat{x}}^{\infty} 2[w(x)]^{\theta} f_{aa}(x|a) dx - \delta [\delta - 1] a^{\delta-2} \right] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{where } \hat{x} = \min \left\{ x \geq 0 \mid \lambda + \mu \left[ \frac{f_a(x|a)}{f(x|a)} \right] \geq 0 \right\}. \quad (6)$$

**Finding A1.** Suppose  $f(x|a)$  is as specified in (1). Then at the solution to [P-L]:

$$a = \left[ \frac{p\theta [g(c_3^*)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\delta g_2(c_3^*)} \right]^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}}; \quad \pi^L = ap \left[ 1 - \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right]; \quad \beta = \left[ \frac{a^{\delta-\theta}}{g(c_3^*)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}; \quad \text{and } x_0 = ac_3^*, \quad (7)$$

$$\text{where } g(c) = \frac{2\theta}{\delta} \int_c^\infty [y-c]^{\theta-1} y \varphi(y) dy; \quad g_2(c) = \int_c^\infty [y-c] \varphi(y) dy; \quad (8)$$

$$\varphi(y) = \frac{e^{-y} y^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \quad \text{for } p > 0 \text{ and } y > 0; \quad \text{and} \quad (9)$$

$c_3^*$  is the point at which  $\rho(c_3) \equiv \left[ \frac{\delta g_2(c_3)}{p\theta [g(c_3)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \right]^{\frac{\delta\theta}{\delta-\theta}}$  attains its minimum value in the range  $[0, \hat{c}_3]$ ,

where  $\hat{c}_3$  is the value of  $c$  that solves:

$$[\delta - \theta] \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^\theta [t+c]^{p-1} dt = c\theta \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{\theta-1} [t+c]^{p-1} dt. \quad (10)$$

**Proof.** It is readily verified that the first-order approach to solving [P-L] is valid under the maintained assumptions. Consequently, [P-L] can be written as:

$$\text{Maximize}_{x_0, a, \beta} \tilde{L} = \int_0^{x_0} x f(x|a) dx + \int_{x_0}^\infty [x - (x - x_0)\beta] f(x|a) dx \quad (11)$$

$$\text{subject to: } \int_{x_0}^\infty 2[w(x)]^\theta f(x|a) dx - a^\delta \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (12)$$

$$\int_{x_0}^\infty 2[w(x)]^\theta f_a(x|a) dx - \delta a^{\delta-1} = 0. \quad (13)$$

$$\text{Define } \alpha(c) = \int_c^\infty [y-c]^\theta \varphi(y) dy \quad \text{and} \quad c_3 = \frac{x_0}{a}. \quad (14)$$

(8), (9), and (14) imply that when  $y = \frac{x}{a}$ , (12) can be written as:

$$2a^\theta \beta^\theta \int_{c_3}^\infty [y-c_3]^\theta \varphi(y) dy \geq a^\delta \Leftrightarrow 2a^\theta \beta^\theta \alpha(c_3) \geq a^\delta \Leftrightarrow \beta^\theta \geq \frac{a^\delta}{2a^\theta \alpha(c_3)}. \quad (15)$$

(1) and (9) imply:

$$f(x|a) = \left(\frac{1}{a}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{a}\right). \quad (16)$$

Letting  $\varphi'(x) = \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x}$ , (16) implies:

$$\frac{\partial f(x|a)}{\partial a} = f_a(x|a) = -\frac{1}{a^2} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) - \frac{x}{a^3} \varphi'\left(\frac{x}{a}\right). \quad (17)$$

(17) implies that (13) can be written as:

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} 2[(x - x_0)\beta]^\theta \left[ -\frac{1}{a^2} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) - \left[\frac{x}{a^3}\right] \varphi'\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \right] dx = \delta a^{\delta-1}. \quad (18)$$

Since  $y = \frac{x}{a}$ , (18) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{x_0}{a}}^{\infty} 2[(ay - x_0)\beta]^\theta \left[ -\frac{1}{a^2} \varphi(y) - \left[\frac{ay}{a^3}\right] \varphi'(y) \right] [a] dy &= \delta a^{\delta-1} \\ \Leftrightarrow \beta^\theta \int_{c_3}^{\infty} [y - c_3]^\theta [-1] [\varphi(y) + y \varphi'(y)] dy &= \frac{\delta a^{\delta-\theta}}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Integrating by parts and using the fact that  $\varphi(y)$  decays exponentially, (19) can be written as:

$$\beta^\theta \theta \int_{c_3}^{\infty} [y - c_3]^{\theta-1} y \varphi(y) dy = \frac{\delta a^{\delta-\theta}}{2} \Leftrightarrow \beta^\theta g(c_3) = a^{\delta-\theta} \Leftrightarrow \beta = \left[ \frac{a^{\delta-\theta}}{g(c_3)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}. \quad (20)$$

Since  $y = \frac{x}{a}$  and  $c_3 = \frac{x_0}{a}$  from (14), (11) can be written as:

$$\tilde{L} = ap - \int_{c_3}^{\infty} [ay - x_0] \beta \varphi(y) dy = a[p - \beta g_2(c_3)] = a \left[ p - \left( \frac{g_2(c_3)}{g(c_3)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \right) a^{\frac{\delta-\theta}{\theta}} \right]. \quad (21)$$

(15), (20), and (21) imply that [P-L] can be written as:

$$\underset{a, \beta_1, c_3}{\text{Maximize}} \quad a \left[ p - \left( \frac{g_2(c_3)}{g(c_3)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \right) a^{\frac{\delta-\theta}{\theta}} \right] \quad (22)$$

$$\text{subject to:} \quad 2\alpha(c_3) \geq g(c_3) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^\theta g(c_3) = a^{\delta-\theta}. \quad (23)$$

Letting  $t = y - c$ , (8) and (14) imply:

$$\alpha(c) = \int_c^{\infty} [y - c]^\theta \varphi(y) dy = \int_0^{\infty} t^\theta \varphi(t + c) dt, \quad \text{and} \quad (24)$$

$$g_4(c) \equiv \left[ \frac{\delta}{2\theta} \right] g(c) = \int_c^\infty [y - c]^{\theta-1} y \varphi(y) dy = \int_0^\infty t^{\theta-1} [c + t] \varphi(t + c) dt. \quad (25)$$

We will now prove: (i)  $\alpha(0) = g_4(0)$ ; (ii)  $\frac{\alpha(c)}{g_4(c)}$  is a decreasing function of  $c$  for all  $p > 0$ ; and (iii) there exists a unique  $\hat{c}_3$  such that  $2\alpha(\hat{c}_3) = g(\hat{c}_3)$ .

To begin, define  $s(t) \equiv \frac{e^{-t} t^{\theta-1} [t+c]^{p-2}}{\Gamma(p)}$  for  $c > 0$  and  $t \geq 0$ . From (9) and (14):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(c) &= \int_c^\infty [y - c]^\theta \frac{e^{-y} y^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} dy = \int_0^\infty e^{-(t+c)} \frac{(t)^\theta [t+c]^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} dt \\ &= e^{-c} \int_0^\infty t [t+c] s(t) dt \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \alpha'(c) &= -\alpha(c) + [p-1] e^{-c} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t} t^\theta [t+c]^{p-2}}{\Gamma(p)} dt \\ &= -\alpha(c) + [p-1] e^{-c} \int_0^\infty t s(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

From (9) and (25):

$$\begin{aligned} g_4(c) &= \int_c^\infty \frac{[y - c]^{\theta-1} y^p e^{-y}}{\Gamma(p)} dy = \int_0^\infty \frac{(t)^{\theta-1} [t+c]^p e^{-(t+c)}}{\Gamma(p)} dt \\ &= e^{-c} \int_0^\infty [t+c]^2 s(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Therefore:

$$g_4'(c) = -g_4(c) + p e^{-c} \int_0^\infty [t+c] s(t) dt. \quad (29)$$

(26) and (28) imply:

$$\alpha(0) = \int_0^\infty t^2 s(t) dt = g_4(0). \quad (30)$$

To show that  $\frac{\alpha(c)}{g_4(c)}$  is a decreasing function of  $c$ , it suffices to show:

$$\alpha'(c) g_4(c) - g_4'(c) \alpha(c) < 0. \quad (31)$$

$$\text{Define: } \alpha_0 = \int_0^\infty s(t) dt; \quad \alpha_1 = \int_0^\infty t s(t) dt; \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 = \int_0^\infty t^2 s(t) dt.$$

(26) – (29) imply:

$$\alpha(c) = e^{-c} [c \alpha_1 + \alpha_2]; \quad \alpha'(c) = -\alpha(c) + [p-1] e^{-c} \alpha_1;$$

$$g_4(c) = e^{-c} [c_0^2 \alpha + 2c \alpha_1 + \alpha_2]; \quad \text{and} \quad g_4'(c) = -g_4(c) + p e^{-c} [c \alpha_0 + \alpha_1].$$

Therefore, the inequality in (31) holds if and only if:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [-\alpha(c) + (p-1)e^{-c}\alpha_1] g_4(c) < [-g_4(c) + pe^{-c}(c\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)] \alpha(c) \\
\Leftrightarrow & [p-1]e^{-c}\alpha g_4(c) < pe^{-c}[c\alpha_0 + \alpha_1] \alpha(c) \\
\Leftrightarrow & [p-1][c_0^2\alpha_0 + 2c\alpha_1 + \alpha_2] \alpha_1 < p[c\alpha_1 + \alpha_2][c\alpha_0 + \alpha_1] \\
\Leftrightarrow & [p-1][c_0^2\alpha_0\alpha_1 + 2c\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2] < p[c^2\alpha_1\alpha_0 + c\alpha_1^2 + c\alpha_0\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2] \\
\Leftrightarrow & c^2\alpha_1\alpha_0 + c\alpha_1^2[2-p] + \alpha_1\alpha_2 + pc\alpha_0\alpha_2 > 0. \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

Since,  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\alpha_1$ , and  $\alpha_2$  are strictly positive, (32) holds if  $p \leq 2$ .

Now suppose  $p > 2$ . The Cauchy - Schwartz inequality implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1 &= \int_0^\infty t s(t) dt = \int_0^\infty (t\sqrt{s(t)}) (\sqrt{s(t)}) dt \\
&\leq \left[ \int_0^\infty (t\sqrt{s(t)})^2 dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \int_0^\infty (\sqrt{s(t)})^2 dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left[ \int_0^\infty t^2 s(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \int_0^\infty s(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\alpha_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{33}
\end{aligned}$$

(33) implies:

$$\alpha_1^2 \leq \alpha_0 \alpha_2 \Rightarrow c\alpha_1^2[2-p] \geq c[2-p]\alpha_0\alpha_2. \tag{34}$$

Using (34) in (32) provides:

$$\begin{aligned}
c^2\alpha_1\alpha_0 + c\alpha_1^2[2-p] + \alpha_1\alpha_2 + pc\alpha_0\alpha_2 &\geq c^2\alpha_1\alpha_0 + c[2-p]\alpha_0\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2 + pc\alpha_0\alpha_2 \\
&= c^2\alpha_1\alpha_0 + 2c\alpha_0\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2 > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (32) holds for  $p > 2$  as well.

Finally, note that  $\frac{2\alpha(0)}{g(0)} = \frac{\delta}{\theta} > 1$  and that  $\frac{2\alpha(c)}{g(c)}$  is a decreasing function of  $c$  for all  $p > 0$ . Furthermore, from (8),  $\frac{2\alpha(c)}{g(c)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, there exists a unique  $\hat{c}_3$  such that  $2\alpha(\hat{c}_3) = g(\hat{c}_3)$ .

These conclusions facilitate a restatement of [P-L]. When (23) holds:

$$\frac{a^\delta}{2a^\theta\alpha(c_3)} \leq \frac{a^{\delta-\theta}}{g(c_3)} \Rightarrow 2\alpha(c_3) \geq g(c_3) \Rightarrow c_3 \leq \hat{c}_3. \tag{35}$$

(22), (23), and (35) imply that [P-L] can be written as:

$$\text{Maximize}_{a, c_3 \leq \hat{c}_3} \widehat{L} = a \left[ p - \frac{g_2(c_3)}{[g(c_3)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} a^{\frac{\delta-\theta}{\theta}} \right]. \quad (36)$$

Because  $\widehat{L}$  is concave in  $a$ , the unconstrained optimum for  $a$  occurs where:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \widehat{L}}{\partial a} &= p - \frac{g_2(c_3)}{[g(c_3)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} a^{\frac{\delta-\theta}{\theta}} - a \frac{g_2(c_3)}{[g(c_3)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \left[ \frac{\delta-\theta}{\theta} \right] a^{\frac{\delta-2\theta}{\theta}} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow a^{\frac{\delta-\theta}{\theta}} \frac{g_2(c_3)}{[g(c_3)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \left[ \frac{\delta}{\theta} \right] &= p \Rightarrow a = \left[ \frac{p\theta [g(c_3)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\delta g_2(c_3)} \right]^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

(36) and (37) imply that the solution to [P-L] is given by:

$$a = \left[ \frac{p\theta [g(c_3^*)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\delta g_2(c_3^*)} \right]^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^L = a \left[ p - \frac{p\theta}{\delta} \right] = ap \left[ 1 - \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right], \quad (38)$$

where  $c_3^*$  is the point at which  $\rho(c_3) \equiv \left[ \frac{\delta g_2(c_3)}{p\theta [g(c_3)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \right]^{\frac{\delta\theta}{\delta-\theta}}$  attains its minimum value in the range  $[0, \hat{c}_3]$ .

We next show that  $\hat{c}_3$  is the value of  $c$  that solves (10). To demonstrate this conclusion, recall that by definition,  $2\alpha(c) = g(c)$  at  $\hat{c}_3$ . Therefore, from (8) and (26):

$$2e^{-c} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \frac{(t)^\theta [t+c]^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} dt = \left[ \frac{2\theta}{\delta} \right] e^{-c} \int_0^\infty \frac{(t)^{\theta-1} [t+c]^p e^{-t}}{\Gamma(p)} dt. \quad (39)$$

Let  $l(t) = (t)^{\theta-1} [t+c]^{p-1} e^{-t}$ . Then (39) implies:

$$\delta \int_0^\infty t l(t) dt = \theta \int_0^\infty [t+c] l(t) dt \Leftrightarrow [\delta - \theta] \int_0^\infty t l(t) dt = c\theta \int_0^\infty l(t) dt. \quad (40)$$

Substituting for  $l(t)$  in (40) provides (10).

Finally, notice that  $\beta = \left[ \frac{a^{\delta-\theta}}{g(c_3^*)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}$  and  $x_0 = ac_3^*$ , from (14) and (20). ■

**Proposition A1.** Suppose  $p = 1$  and  $\delta > 1$ . Then at the solution to [P-L]:

$$\pi^L = a \left[ 1 - \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right] \quad \text{where} \quad a = \left( \frac{\theta \left( \left[ \frac{2\theta}{\delta} \right] e^{-c_3^*} \Gamma(\theta) [\theta + c_3^*] \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{e^{-c_3^*}} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}} \quad (41)$$

$$\text{and } c_3^* = \begin{cases} \frac{1-\theta+\theta^2}{1-\theta} & \text{if } \delta \geq \frac{1}{1-\theta} \\ \delta - \theta & \text{if } \delta \leq \frac{1}{1-\theta}. \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

**Proof.** The proof follows from substituting into (38) the expressions for  $c_3^*$  identified in Observation A3 below. The proof of Observation A3 employs the conclusions recorded as Observations A1 and A2.

**Observation A1.**  $\widehat{c}_3 = \delta - \theta$  when  $p = 1$ .

*Proof.* When  $p = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta - \theta] \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^\theta [t + c]^{p-1} dt &= [\delta - \theta] \Gamma(\theta + 1) \\ \text{and } c\theta \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{\theta-1} [t + c]^{p-1} dt &= c\theta \Gamma(\theta). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Since  $\theta \Gamma(\theta) = \Gamma(\theta + 1)$ , (9) and (43) imply:

$$[\delta - \theta] \Gamma(\theta + 1) = c\Gamma(\theta + 1) \Rightarrow \widehat{c}_3 = \delta - \theta. \quad \square$$

**Observation A2.** Suppose  $p = 1$ . Then  $\rho(c_3) \equiv \left[ \frac{\delta g_2(c_3)}{p \theta [g(c_3)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \right]^{\frac{\delta\theta}{\delta-\theta}}$  attains its global minimum at  $c_3 = \frac{1-\theta+\theta^2}{1-\theta}$ .

*Proof.* From (8) and (9):

$$g_2(c) = \int_c^\infty [y - c] \varphi(y) dy = \int_c^\infty [y - c] \frac{e^{-y} y^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} dy. \quad (44)$$

Substituting  $y - c = t$  into (44) provides:

$$g_2(c) = \int_0^\infty t e^{-(t+c)} \frac{[t + c]^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} dt = \frac{e^{-c}}{\Gamma(p)} \int_0^\infty t e^{-t} [t + c]^{p-1} dt. \quad (45)$$

(45) implies that if  $p = 1$ , then  $g_2(c) = e^{-c}$ .

From (25),  $g_4(c) = \int_0^\infty t^{\theta-1} [c + t]^p \frac{e^{-(t+c)}}{\Gamma(p)} dt$ . Hence, if  $p = 1$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} g_4(c) &= e^{-c} \int_0^\infty t^{\theta-1} [c + t] e^{-t} dt = e^{-c} \left[ c \int_0^\infty t^{\theta-1} e^{-t} dt + \int_0^\infty t^\theta e^{-t} dt \right] \\ &= e^{-c} [c\Gamma(\theta) + \Gamma(\theta + 1)] = e^{-c} \Gamma(\theta) [\theta + c]. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

(25) and (46) imply:

$$g(c) = \left[ \frac{2\theta}{\delta} \right] g_4(c) = \left[ \frac{2\theta}{\delta} \right] e^{-c} \Gamma(\theta) [\theta + c]$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\delta g_2(c_3)}{p\theta [g(c_3)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} = \frac{\delta e^{-c}}{\theta \left[ \left( \frac{2\theta}{\delta} \right) e^{-c} \Gamma(\theta) (\theta + c_3) \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} = k_0 \left[ \frac{e^{-c}}{[e^{-c} (\theta + c_3)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \right] \quad (47)$$

$$\text{where } k_0 = \frac{\delta}{\theta \left[ \frac{2\theta}{\delta} \Gamma(\theta) \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}.$$

Note that:

$$\ln \left( \frac{\delta g_2(c_3)}{p\theta [g(c_3)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \right) = \ln k_0 - c_3 + \frac{c_3}{\theta} - \frac{\ln(c_3 + \theta)}{\theta}.$$

Let  $v(c_3) = \ln \left\{ \frac{\delta g_2(c_3)}{p\theta [g(c_3)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \right\}$ . Then:

$$\frac{\partial v(c_3)}{\partial c_3} = -1 + \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta [c_3 + \theta]} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 v(c_3)}{\partial (c_3)^2} = \frac{1}{\theta [c_3 + \theta]^2} > 0. \quad (48)$$

(48) implies that  $\left. \frac{\partial v(c_3)}{\partial c_3} \right|_{c_3=0} < 0$ ,  $\left. \frac{\partial v(c_3)}{\partial c_3} \right|_{c_3 \rightarrow \infty} > 0$ , and  $v(c_3)$  is convex. Therefore,  $v(c_3)$  reaches its minimum at  $\tilde{c}_3$ , where:

$$-1 + \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta [\tilde{c}_3 + \theta]} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{c}_3 = \frac{1 - \theta + \theta^2}{1 - \theta}. \quad \square$$

**Observation A3.** Suppose  $p = 1$  and  $\theta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then:

- (i)  $c_3^* = \frac{1 - \theta + \theta^2}{1 - \theta}$  when  $\delta \geq \frac{1}{1 - \theta}$ , and  $c_3^* = \hat{c}_3$  if  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\delta = 2$ .
- (ii)  $c_3^* = \hat{c}_3 = \delta - \theta$  when  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{1 - \theta}$ .

*Proof.* From Observations A1 and A2:

$$c_3^* \leq \hat{c}_3 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1 - \theta + \theta^2}{1 - \theta} \leq \delta - \theta \Leftrightarrow 1 - \theta + \theta^2 \leq [1 - \theta] [\delta - \theta]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 - \theta + \theta^2 \leq \delta - \theta\delta - \theta + \theta^2 \Leftrightarrow 1 \leq \delta [1 - \theta]. \quad (49)$$

The result follows from (49), since  $v(c_3)$  is a convex function, from (48).  $\square$

Finally, notice that if  $p = 1$ , then (47) implies:

$$\frac{p\theta [g(c_3^*)]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\delta g_2(c_3^*)} = \frac{\theta \left[ \left( \frac{2\theta}{\delta} \right) e^{-c_3^*} \Gamma(\theta) (\theta + c_3^*) \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\delta e^{-c_3^*}}$$



$$\Rightarrow a_0 = \left( \frac{\theta \left[ \left( \frac{2\theta}{\delta} \right) e^{-c_3^*} \Gamma(\theta) (\theta + c_3^*) \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\delta e^{-c_3^*}} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Proposition A2.** Suppose  $p = 1$  and  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then for all  $\delta \in (1, 2)$ :

$$\frac{\pi^L}{\pi} \geq \left( \frac{[\delta^2 + 1] \pi e^{-(\delta-\frac{1}{2})}}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}}. \quad (50)$$

**Proof.** Since  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\delta \in (1, 2)$ ,  $\delta < \frac{1}{1-\theta}$ . Hence, from Proposition A1:

$$a_0 = \left( \frac{\theta \left( \left[ \frac{2\theta}{\delta} \right] e^{-c_3^*} \Gamma(\theta) [\theta + c_3^*] \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\delta e^{-c_3^*}} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}} \quad \text{and} \quad c_3^* = \delta - \theta. \quad (51)$$

Substituting for  $\theta$  and  $c_3^*$  in (51) provides:

$$a_0 = \left( \frac{1}{2\delta} \frac{\left( e^{-(\delta-\frac{1}{2})} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \right)^2}{e^{-(\delta-\frac{1}{2})}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}} = \left( \frac{1}{2\delta} e^{-(\delta-\frac{1}{2})} \left( \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}} = \left( \frac{\pi}{2\delta} e^{-(\delta-\frac{1}{2})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}}.$$

Therefore from Finding 3:

$$\pi^L = \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2\delta} \right] \left( \frac{\pi}{2\delta} e^{-(\delta-\frac{1}{2})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}}. \quad (52)$$

From Finding 2:

$$\pi \leq \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2\delta} \right] \left( \frac{2}{\delta [1 + \delta^2]} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}}. \quad (53)$$

(52) and (53) imply that the inequality in (50) holds.  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition A3.**  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi} \geq 0.9495$  for all  $\delta > 1$  when  $p = 1$  and  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Proof.** From Proposition 2,  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi} \geq 0.9495$  for all  $\delta \geq 2$  when  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore, from

Proposition A2, it will suffice to prove that for all  $\delta \in (1, 2)$ , the minimum value of  $\left( \frac{[\delta^2+1]\pi e^{-(\delta-\frac{1}{2})}}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}}$  is greater than or equal to 0.9495. Let  $B(\delta) \equiv \left( \frac{[\delta^2+1]\pi e^{-(\delta-\frac{1}{2})}}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}}$ .

Then:

$$\ln(B(\delta)) = \frac{1}{2\delta-1} \left[ \ln(\delta^2 + 1) + \ln(\pi) - \delta + \frac{1}{2} - 2 \ln(2) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \ln(B(\delta))}{\partial \delta} &= \frac{1}{2\delta - 1} \left[ \frac{2\delta}{\delta^2 + 1} - 1 \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{[2\delta - 1]^2} \left[ \ln(\delta^2 + 1) + \ln(\pi) - \delta + \frac{1}{2} - 2 \ln(2) \right] \\ \Rightarrow \left. \frac{\partial \ln(B(\delta))}{\partial \delta} \right|_{\delta=1} &= -2 \left[ -\ln(2) + \ln(\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \right] > 0; \text{ and} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial \ln(B(\delta))}{\partial \delta} \right|_{\delta=2} = \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{4}{5} - 1 \right] - \frac{2}{9} \left[ \ln(5) + \ln(\pi) - 2 + \frac{1}{2} - 2 \ln(2) \right] < 0. \quad (55)$$

We will now show that there exists a unique  $\delta \in [1, 2]$  such that  $\frac{\partial \ln(B(\delta))}{\partial \delta} = 0$ . This fact, (54), and (55) imply that  $B(\delta)$  is minimized either at  $\delta = 1$  or  $\delta = 2$ . To show that there exists a unique  $\delta$  such that  $\frac{\partial \ln(B(\delta))}{\partial \delta} = 0$ , note that  $\frac{\partial \ln(B(\delta))}{\partial \delta} = 0$  if and only if:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{1}{2\delta - 1} \right] \left[ \frac{2\delta}{\delta^2 + 1} - 1 \right] - \frac{2}{[2\delta - 1]^2} \left[ \ln(\delta^2 + 1) + \ln(\pi) - \delta + \frac{1}{2} - 2 \ln(2) \right] &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow M(\delta) \equiv 2\delta^2 - \delta - [1 + \delta^2] [\ln(\delta^2 + 1) + \ln(\pi) - 2 \ln(2)] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

(56) implies:

$$M(\delta = 1) = 2 - 1 - 2 [\ln(2) + \ln(\pi) - 2 \ln(2)] > 0;$$

$$M(\delta = 2) = 8 - 2 - 5 [\ln(5) + \ln(\pi) - 2 \ln(2)] < 0; \text{ and}$$

$$M''(\delta) = 1.484 - 2 \ln(1 + \delta^2) - \frac{4\delta^2}{1 + \delta^2}.$$

Since  $1 + \delta^2$  and  $\frac{\delta^2}{1 + \delta^2}$  are both increasing functions of  $\delta$ , it follows that  $M''(\delta) \leq 1.484 - 2 \ln(2) - 2 < 0$ . Therefore, there exists a unique  $\delta$  such that  $M(\delta) = 0$ .

Hence, when  $p = 1$ ,  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $1 < \delta \leq 2$ , the lower bound of  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi}$  is minimized either at  $\delta = 2$  or as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . From the proof of Proposition 2, the lower bound of  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi}$  is minimized at  $\delta = 2.55899$  when  $p = 1$ ,  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\delta \geq 2$ . Therefore, it will suffice to compare the lower bounds of  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi} \Big|_{\delta=2.55899}$  and  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi} \Big|_{\delta \rightarrow 1}$ . The lower bound of  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi} \Big|_{\delta \rightarrow 1} = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0.95225 > 0.94955$  = the lower bound of  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi} \Big|_{\delta=2.55899}$ . Therefore,  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi} \geq 0.94955$  for all  $\delta > 1$  when  $p = 1$  and  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ . ■

## Appendix B. Proofs of Conclusions in the Text

This appendix provides detailed proofs of the formal conclusions in the paper. The formal conclusions are the following:

**Finding 1.**  $\pi \leq \tilde{a} \left[1 - \frac{\theta}{\delta}\right]$ , where  $\tilde{a} = \left[\left(\frac{\theta}{\delta}\right) (2)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\right]^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}} \left[\frac{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta}\right)\right)^{1-\theta}}{1+\delta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}}$ .

**Finding 2.**  $\pi \leq \hat{a} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2\delta}\right]$ , where  $\hat{a} = \left(\frac{2}{\delta[1+\delta^2]}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}}$  when  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Finding 3.** *At the solution to [P-L]:*

$$\beta = \left(\frac{\theta}{\delta}\right) e^{\frac{1-\theta+\theta^2}{1-\theta}}; \quad x_0 = \left[\frac{1-\theta+\theta^2}{1-\theta}\right] a; \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^L = \left[\frac{\delta-\theta}{\delta}\right] a; \quad (57)$$

$$\text{where } a = \left[\left(\frac{2\Gamma(\theta)}{1-\theta}\right) \left(\frac{\theta}{\delta}\right)^{\theta+1} e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)}\right]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}}. \quad (58)$$

**Corollary 1.**  $a < 1$  at the solution to [P-L].

**Corollary 2.**  $\frac{d\beta}{d\delta} < 0$  and  $\frac{d\pi^L}{d\delta} > 0$  at the solution to [P-L]. Furthermore,  $\frac{da}{d\delta} > 0$  and  $\frac{dx_0}{d\delta} > 0$  when  $\delta \geq 4e^{\frac{1}{4}}$ .

**Corollary 3.**  $\frac{d\beta}{d\theta} > 0$  at the solution to [P-L].

**Proposition 1.**  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi} \geq \left[\left(\frac{\theta}{\delta}\right) \frac{[1+\delta] e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \Gamma(\theta)}{[1-\theta] \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta}\right)\right)^{1-\theta}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}} \geq .743$  for all  $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $\delta \geq 2$ .

**Proposition 2.**  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi} \geq \left(\frac{[\delta^2+1]\pi e^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{\delta^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}} \geq 0.9495$  for all  $\delta \geq 2$  when  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ .

## Proof of Finding 1.

The principal's problem [P] is:

$$\underset{w(x), a}{\text{Maximize}} \quad L = \int_0^\infty [x - w(x)] f(x|a) dx \quad (59)$$

$$\text{subject to: } \int_0^\infty 2(w(x))^\theta f(x|a) dx - a^\delta \geq 0, \text{ and} \quad (60)$$

$$\int_0^\infty 2(w(x))^\theta f_a(x|a) dx - \delta a^{\delta-1} = 0. \quad (61)$$

Let  $X$  be a random variable denoting output, and let  $x$  denote a specific value of  $X$ .

The density function for  $X$  is:

$$f(x|a) = \frac{1}{a^p \Gamma(p)} x^{p-1} e^{-\frac{x}{a}} \quad \text{for } x \geq 0.$$

Define the random variable  $Y = \frac{X}{a}$ , and let  $y$  denote a specific value of  $Y$ . It can be shown that  $Y \sim \varphi(y)$ , where:

$$\varphi(y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} y^{p-1} e^{-y} \quad \text{for } y \geq 0.$$

Letting  $E(\cdot)$  denote ‘‘expectation,’’ (59) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \int_0^\infty x f(x|a) dx - \int_0^\infty w(x) f(x|a) dx = ap - \int_0^\infty w(x) f(x|a) dx \\ &= ap - \int_0^\infty w(ay) \varphi(y) dy = ap - E(w(aY)). \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Similarly, (60) can be rewritten as:

$$\int_0^\infty 2(w(ay))^\theta \varphi(y) dy - a^\delta \geq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 2E\left((w(aY))^\theta\right) \geq a^\delta. \quad (63)$$

Furthermore, (61) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty 2(w(ay))^\theta \left(\frac{ay - ap}{a^2}\right) \varphi(y) dy = \delta a^{\delta-1} \\ \Leftrightarrow &\int_0^\infty 2(w(ay))^\theta y \varphi(y) dy - \int_0^\infty 2(w(ay))^\theta p \varphi(y) dy = \delta a^\delta \\ \Leftrightarrow &2E\left((w(aY))^\theta Y\right) - 2pE\left((w(aY))^\theta\right) = \delta a^\delta. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Notice that:

$$2E\left((w(aY))^\theta Y\right) - pa^\delta \geq \delta a^\delta \Leftrightarrow E\left((w(aY))^\theta Y\right) \geq \frac{[p + \delta] a^\delta}{2}. \quad (65)$$

From Holder's inequality, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are two non-negative functions, then:

$$E(XY) \leq [E(X^p)]^{\frac{1}{p}} [E(Y^q)]^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \text{for all } p > 1, \text{ where } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

Consequently:

$$\begin{aligned} E\left((w(aY))^\theta Y\right) &\leq \left[E\left(\left((w(aY))^\theta\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\right)\right]^\theta \left[E\left((Y)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}\right)\right]^{1-\theta} \\ \Rightarrow E\left((w(aY))^\theta Y\right) &\leq [E((w(aY)))]^\theta \left[E\left((Y)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}\right)\right]^{1-\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Notice that:

$$\begin{aligned} [E((w(aY)))]^\theta \left[E\left((Y)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}\right)\right]^{1-\theta} &\geq \frac{[p + \delta] a^\delta}{2} \\ \Leftrightarrow [E((w(aY)))]^\theta &\geq \frac{[p + \delta] a^\delta}{2 \left[E\left((Y)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}\right)\right]^{1-\theta}} \\ \Leftrightarrow E((w(aY))) &\geq \left[\frac{[p + \delta] a^\delta}{2 \left[E\left((Y)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}\right)\right]^{1-\theta}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}} = \left[\frac{[p + \delta] a^\delta (\Gamma(p))^{1-\theta}}{2 (\Gamma(p + \frac{1}{1-\theta}))^{1-\theta}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow E((w(aY))) \geq ka^{\frac{\delta}{\theta}}, \quad \text{where } k = \left[\frac{[p + \delta] (\Gamma(p))^{1-\theta}}{2 (\Gamma(p + \frac{1}{1-\theta}))^{1-\theta}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}. \quad (68)$$

The equality in (67) holds because, since  $Y \sim \Gamma(p)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} E\left((Y)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}\right) &= \int_0^\infty (y)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} (y)^{p-1} e^{-y} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \int_0^\infty (y)^{p-1+\frac{1}{1-\theta}} e^{-y} dy = \frac{\Gamma(p + \frac{1}{1-\theta})}{\Gamma(p)}. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

(67) and (69) imply:

$$E((w(aY))) \geq \left[\frac{(p + \delta) a^\delta (\Gamma(p))^{1-\theta}}{2 (\Gamma(p + \frac{1}{1-\theta}))^{1-\theta}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}.$$

(62) and (68) imply:

$$L \leq ap - ka^{\frac{\delta}{\theta}} = L_u(a). \quad (70)$$

We will now maximize  $L_u(a)$  to derive an upper bound,  $L^*$ , for the maximum value of  $L$ .

$$\frac{\partial L_u(a)}{\partial a} = p - k \left( \frac{\delta}{\theta} \right) a^{\frac{\delta}{\theta}-1} = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{a} = \left[ \frac{\theta p}{\delta k} \right]^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}}. \quad (71)$$

Using (71) in (70) provides:

$$L^* \leq \tilde{a} \left[ p - k \tilde{a}^{\frac{\delta}{\theta}-1} \right] = \tilde{a} \left[ p - \frac{p\theta}{\delta} \right] = p \left[ 1 - \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right] \left[ \frac{\theta p}{\delta k} \right]^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}}. \quad (72)$$

Substituting for  $k$  from (68) into (72) provides:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \leq L^* &\leq p \left[ 1 - \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right] \left[ \frac{p\theta}{\delta} \left( \frac{(2)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} (\Gamma(p + \frac{1}{1-\theta}))^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}}}{[p + \delta]^{\frac{1}{\theta}} (\Gamma(p))^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}}} \right) \right]^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}} \\ &= p \left[ 1 - \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right] \left[ p \left( \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right) (2)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \right]^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}} \left[ \frac{(\Gamma(p + \frac{1}{1-\theta}))^{1-\theta}}{[p + \delta] (\Gamma(p))^{1-\theta}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

From (73), when  $p = 1$ :

$$\pi \leq \left[ 1 - \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right] \left[ \left( \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right) (2)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \right]^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}} \left[ \frac{(\Gamma(\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta}))^{1-\theta}}{1 + \delta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}}. \quad \blacksquare \quad (74)$$

## Proof of Finding 2.

Suppose  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $f(x|a)$  is as specified in (1). Lemma A1 in Appendix A implies that if  $w(x)$  is not constrained to be non-negative for all realizations of  $x$ , then the solution to the principal's problem is determined by:

$$w(x) = \left( \lambda + \mu \left[ \frac{f_a(x, a)}{f(x, a)} \right] \right)^2; \quad \lambda = \frac{a^\delta}{2}; \quad \mu = \frac{\delta a^{\delta+1}}{2p}; \quad (75)$$

$$\frac{f_a(x, a)}{f(x, a)} = \frac{x - ap}{a^2}; \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^3 a^{2\delta-1} + 2p\lambda\delta a^{\delta-1} - 2p^2 = 0. \quad (76)$$

(75) and (76) imply that an upper bound ( $\pi^u$ ) for  $\pi$  is:

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^u &= E \{x - w(x)\} = ap - \int_0^\infty \left[ \lambda + \mu \left( \frac{x - pa}{a^2} \right) \right]^2 f(x|a) dx \\
&= ap - \int_0^\infty \left[ \lambda^2 + 2\lambda\mu \left( \frac{x - pa}{a^2} \right) + \mu^2 \left( \frac{(x - pa)^2}{a^4} \right) \right] f(x|a) dx \\
&= ap - \left[ \lambda^2 + \frac{\mu^2 p}{a^2} \right] = ap - \left[ \lambda^2 + \frac{p}{a^2} \left( \frac{\delta a^{\delta+1}}{2p} \right)^2 \right] \\
&= ap - \left[ \lambda^2 + \frac{p}{a^2} \frac{1}{4p^2} \delta^2 a^{2\delta+2} \right] = ap - \left[ \frac{\delta^2 a^{2\delta}}{4p} + \frac{a^{2\delta}}{4} \right] = ap - \frac{1}{4p} [\delta^2 a^{2\delta} + p a^{2\delta}] \\
&= ap - \frac{1}{4p} [a \delta^2 a^{2\delta-1} + p a^{2\delta}] = ap - \frac{1}{4p} \left[ a \frac{[2p^2 - 2p\lambda\delta a^{\delta-1}]}{\delta} + p a^{2\delta} \right] \\
&= ap - \frac{1}{4p} \left[ \frac{2ap^2}{\delta} - 2p\lambda a^\delta + p a^{2\delta} \right] = ap - \frac{1}{4p} \left[ \frac{2ap^2}{\delta} - p a^\delta a^\delta + p a^{2\delta} \right] \\
&= ap - \frac{1}{4p} \left[ \frac{2ap^2}{\delta} \right] = ap - \frac{ap}{2\delta} = \frac{ap[2\delta - 1]}{2\delta}. \tag{77}
\end{aligned}$$

(76) and (77) imply that when  $p = 1$ :

$$\pi \leq a \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2\delta} \right], \text{ where } a = \left( \frac{2}{\delta [1 + \delta^2]} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

### Proof of Finding 3.

The proof follows directly from the proof of Proposition A1 in Appendix A.  $\blacksquare$

### Proof of Corollary 1.

From Finding 3,  $a < 1$  at the solution to [P-L] if:

$$\left[ \frac{2\Gamma(\theta)}{1-\theta} \right] \left( \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right)^{\theta+1} e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} < 1. \tag{78}$$

Since  $\delta \geq 2$ :

$$\left[ \frac{2\Gamma(\theta)}{1-\theta} \right] \left( \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right)^{\theta+1} e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \leq \left[ \frac{2\Gamma(\theta)}{1-\theta} \right] \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{\theta+1} e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)}$$

$$= \left[ \frac{\theta \Gamma(\theta)}{1-\theta} \right] \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^\theta e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} = \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\theta+1)}{1-\theta} \right] \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^\theta e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)}. \quad (79)$$

Notice that:

$$\Gamma(\theta+1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{\theta+1-1} dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^\theta dt = E\{T^\theta\}, \quad (80)$$

where the density function for the random variable  $T$  is exponential with mean 1. (80) and Holder's inequality imply:

$$\Gamma(\theta+1) = E\{T^\theta\} \leq E\left\{(T^\theta)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\right\}^\theta = E\{T\} = 1 \quad (81)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\theta+1)}{1-\theta} \right] \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^\theta e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \leq \left[ \frac{1}{1-\theta} \right] \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^\theta e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)}. \quad (82)$$

(79) and (82) imply:

$$\left[ \frac{2\Gamma(\theta)}{1-\theta} \right] \left( \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right)^{\theta+1} e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \leq \left[ \frac{1}{1-\theta} \right] \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^\theta e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)}. \quad (83)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } z(\theta) &= \ln \left( \left[ \frac{1}{1-\theta} \right] \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^\theta e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \right) \\ &= -\ln(1-\theta) + \theta \ln(\theta) - \theta \ln(2) - (1-\theta+\theta^2) \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

$$\Rightarrow z'(\theta) = \frac{1}{1-\theta} + 1 + \ln(\theta) - \ln(2) + 1 - 2\theta = \frac{1}{1-\theta} + 2[1-\theta] + \ln(\theta) - \ln(2) \quad (85)$$

$$\Rightarrow z''(\theta) = \frac{1}{[1-\theta]^2} - 2 + \frac{1}{\theta} \geq \frac{1}{[1-\theta]^2}. \quad (86)$$

The inequality in (86) holds because  $\frac{1}{\theta} \geq 2$ , since  $\theta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . (86) implies that  $z(\theta)$  is convex in  $\theta$  for all  $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Furthermore, from (84) and (85):

$$z(\theta=0) = -1 < 0; \quad z'(\theta=0) = -\infty; \quad z\left(\theta = \frac{1}{2}\right) = -\frac{3}{4} < 0; \quad \text{and} \quad (87)$$

$$z'\left(\theta = \frac{1}{2}\right) = 2 + 1 + \ln\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \ln(2) = 3 + \ln\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) > 0. \quad (88)$$

(87) and (88) imply that  $z(\theta) < 0$  for all  $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Therefore, from (84):

$$\ln \left( \left[ \frac{1}{1-\theta} \right] \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^\theta e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \right) < 0 \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{2} \right]$$



$$\Rightarrow \left[ \frac{1}{1-\theta} \right] \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^\theta e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} < 1 \text{ for all } \theta \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{2} \right]. \quad (89)$$

(83) and (89) imply that the inequality in (78) holds, so  $a < 1$  for all  $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . ■

### Proof of Corollary 2.

Recall from Finding 3 that at the solution to [P-L]:

$$\beta = \left[ \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right] e^{\frac{1-\theta+\theta^2}{1-\theta}} \text{ and } \pi^L = a \left[ \frac{\delta-\theta}{\delta} \right], \text{ where } a = \left[ \left( \frac{2\Gamma(\theta)}{1-\theta} \right) \left( \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right)^{\theta+1} e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}}. \quad (90)$$

It is apparent from (90) that  $\frac{d\beta}{d\delta} < 0$ .

To show  $\frac{d\pi^L}{d\delta} > 0$ , let:

$$t_0 = \left[ \frac{2\Gamma(\theta)}{1-\theta} \right] \left( \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right)^{\theta+1} e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)}. \quad (91)$$

(90) and (91) imply:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\pi^L) &= \ln(\delta-\theta) - \ln(\delta) + \left[ \frac{1}{\delta-\theta} \right] \ln(t_0) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \ln(\pi^L)}{\partial \delta} &= \frac{1}{\delta-\theta} - \frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{\ln(t_0)}{[\delta-\theta]^2} - \left[ \frac{1}{\delta-\theta} \right] \left[ \frac{1+\theta}{\delta} \right] \\ \Rightarrow [\delta(\delta-\theta)^2] \frac{\partial \ln(\pi^L)}{\partial \delta} &= \delta[\delta-\theta] - (\delta-\theta)^2 - \delta \ln(t_0) - [\delta-\theta][1+\theta] \\ &= [\delta-\theta][\delta - (\delta-\theta) - (1+\theta)] - \delta \ln(t_0) = -[\delta-\theta] - \delta \ln(t_0). \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

(92) implies:

$$\frac{\partial \ln(\pi^L)}{\partial \delta} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \delta - \theta + \delta \ln(t_0) \leq 0. \quad (93)$$

(81) and (91) imply:

$$t_0 = \left[ \frac{2\Gamma(\theta+1)}{1-\theta} \right] \frac{(\theta)^\theta}{(\delta)^{\theta+1}} e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \leq \left[ \frac{2}{1-\theta} \right] \frac{(\theta)^\theta}{(\delta)^{\theta+1}} e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \quad (94)$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(t_0) \leq \ln(2) - \ln(1-\theta) + \theta \ln(\theta) - [\theta+1] \ln(\delta) - (1-\theta+\theta^2). \quad (95)$$

(93) and (95) imply:

$$\frac{\partial \ln(\pi^L)}{\partial \delta} \geq 0 \text{ if } b(\theta) \leq 0, \quad (96)$$

$$\text{where } b(\theta) = \delta - \theta + \delta [\ln(2) - \ln(1-\theta) + \theta \ln(\theta) - [\theta+1] \ln(\delta) - (1-\theta+\theta^2)]. \quad (97)$$

From (97):

$$b(0) = \delta + \delta [\ln(2) - \ln(1) - \ln(\delta) - 1] = \delta [\ln(2) - \ln(\delta)]. \quad (98)$$

Since  $\delta \geq 2$ , (98) implies:

$$b(0) \leq 0 \text{ for all } \delta \geq 2. \quad (99)$$

From (97):

$$b\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \delta - \frac{1}{2} + \delta \left[ \ln(2) - \ln\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{3}{2} \ln(\delta) - \frac{3}{4} \right] \equiv h(\delta). \quad (100)$$

(100) implies:

$$h(2) = 2 - \frac{1}{2} + 2 \left[ 0.28972 - \left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \ln(2) \right] = 0. \quad (101)$$

Differentiating (100) provides:

$$h'(\delta) = 0.28972 - \left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \ln(\delta) - \frac{3}{2} < 0 \text{ for all } \delta \geq 1. \quad (102)$$

(100) – (102) imply:

$$b\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \leq 0 \text{ for all } \delta \geq 2. \quad (103)$$

Also, differentiating (97) provides:

$$\begin{aligned} b'(\theta) &= -1 + \delta \left[ \frac{1}{1-\theta} + \ln(\theta) + 1 - \ln(\delta) + 1 - 2\theta \right] \\ \Rightarrow b''(\theta) &= \delta \left[ \frac{1}{(1-\theta)^2} + \frac{1}{\theta} - 2 \right] \geq 0, \text{ since } \theta \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

(99), (103), and (104) imply:

$$b(\theta) \leq 0 \text{ for all } \theta \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{2} \right] \text{ and } \delta \geq 2. \quad (105)$$

(96) and (105) imply:

$$\frac{\partial \ln(\pi^L)}{\partial \delta} \geq 0 \text{ for all } \theta \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{2} \right] \text{ and } \delta \geq 2.$$

It remains to prove that  $\frac{da}{d\delta} > 0$  and  $\frac{dx_0}{d\delta} > 0$  when  $\delta \geq 4e^{\frac{1}{4}}$ . We will first show that if there exists a  $\tilde{\delta}$  such that  $\frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta}|_{\delta=\tilde{\delta}} > 0$ , then  $\frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta} > 0$  for all  $\delta > \tilde{\delta}$ . From (90):

$$\ln(a) = \left[ \frac{1}{\delta - \theta} \right] [\ln(2\Gamma(\theta)) - \ln(1 - \theta) + [\theta + 1] \ln(\theta) - [\theta + 1] \ln(\delta) - (1 - \theta + \theta^2)]$$

$$= \left[ \frac{1}{\delta - \theta} \right] [k(\theta) - (\theta + 1) \ln(\delta)], \quad (106)$$

$$\text{where } k(\theta) = \ln(2\Gamma(\theta)) - \ln(1 - \theta) + [\theta + 1] \ln(\theta) - (1 - \theta + \theta^2). \quad (107)$$

Differentiating (106) provides:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a} \left[ \frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta} \right] &= - \left( \frac{1}{[\delta - \theta]^2} \right) [k(\theta) - [\theta + 1] \ln(\delta)] - \left[ \frac{1}{\delta - \theta} \right] \left[ \frac{\theta + 1}{\delta} \right] \\ \Rightarrow \left[ \frac{\delta - \theta}{a} \right] \left[ \frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta} \right] &= - \frac{k(\theta) - (\theta + 1) \ln(\delta)}{\delta - \theta} - \frac{\theta + 1}{\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

(108) implies that if  $\left. \frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta} \right|_{\delta = \tilde{\delta}} > 0$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} - \frac{k(\theta) - [\theta + 1] \ln(\tilde{\delta})}{\tilde{\delta} - \theta} - \frac{\theta + 1}{\tilde{\delta}} &> 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow -\tilde{\delta} [k(\theta) - (\theta + 1) \ln(\tilde{\delta})] - [\tilde{\delta} - \theta] [\theta + 1] &> 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow -\tilde{\delta} \left[ \frac{k(\theta)}{\theta + 1} - \ln(\tilde{\delta}) \right] - (\tilde{\delta} - \theta) &> 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow - \left[ \frac{k(\theta)}{\theta + 1} - \ln(\tilde{\delta}) \right] - \left( 1 - \frac{\theta}{\tilde{\delta}} \right) &> 0 \Rightarrow \ln(\tilde{\delta}) + \frac{\theta}{\tilde{\delta}} > \frac{k(\theta)}{\theta + 1} + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

Notice that:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \delta} \left( \ln(\delta) + \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right) = \frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{\theta}{\delta^2} = \frac{1}{\delta} \left[ 1 - \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right] > 0.$$

Since  $\ln(\tilde{\delta}) + \frac{\theta}{\tilde{\delta}}$  is increasing in  $\delta$  while  $\frac{k(\theta)}{\theta + 1} + 1$  is independent of  $\delta$ , it follows from (109) that  $\left. \frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta} \right|_{\delta = \tilde{\delta}} > 0$  for all  $\delta > \tilde{\delta}$ . Therefore, to show that  $\left. \frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta} \right|_{\delta = 4e^{\frac{1}{4}}} > 0$  for all  $\delta > 4e^{\frac{1}{4}}$  for all  $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , it suffices to show that  $\left. \frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta} \right|_{\delta = 4e^{\frac{1}{4}}} > 0$  for all  $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ .

From (107):

$$\begin{aligned} k(\theta) &= \ln \left( 2 \frac{\theta \Gamma(\theta)}{\theta} \right) - \ln(1 - \theta) + [\theta + 1] \ln(\theta) - (1 - \theta + \theta^2) \\ &= \ln \left( 2 \frac{\Gamma(\theta + 1)}{\theta} \right) - \ln(1 - \theta) + [\theta + 1] \ln(\theta) - (1 - \theta + \theta^2) \\ &= \ln(2) + \ln(\Gamma(\theta + 1)) - \ln(1 - \theta) + \theta \ln(\theta) - (1 - \theta + \theta^2). \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

Since  $\Gamma(\theta + 1) \leq 1$  and  $\ln(\theta) < 0$ , (110) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} k(\theta) &\leq \ln(2) - \ln(1 - \theta) - (1 - \theta + \theta^2) \leq \ln(2) - \ln\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - (1 - \theta + \theta^2) \\ &= 2\ln(2) - (1 - \theta + \theta^2) \leq 2\ln(2) - \frac{3}{4} \Rightarrow -k(\theta) \geq -2\ln(2) + \frac{3}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

(108) and (111) imply:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{\delta(\delta - \theta)^2}{a} \right] \left[ \frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta} \right] &= \delta[-k(\theta) + (\theta + 1)\ln(\delta) - \theta - 1] + \theta[\theta + 1] \\ &\geq \delta \left[ -2\ln(2) + \frac{3}{4} + (\theta + 1)\ln(\delta) - \theta - 1 \right] + \theta[\theta + 1] \\ &= \delta \left[ -2\ln(2) + \frac{3}{4} \right] + [\theta + 1][\delta(\ln(\delta) - 1) + \theta]. \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

The expression in (112) is an increasing function of  $\theta$ . Therefore, it will suffice to find  $\tilde{\delta}$  such that:

$$\left[ \frac{\delta(\delta - \theta)^2}{a} \right] \frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta} \Big|_{\delta = \tilde{\delta}} \geq \delta \left[ -2\ln(2) - \frac{1}{4} + \ln(\delta) \right] \geq 0. \quad (113)$$

$\delta[-2\ln(2) - \frac{1}{4} + \ln(\delta)]$  is an increasing function of  $\delta$ . Also  $-2\ln(2) - \frac{1}{4} + \ln(\delta) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \delta \geq 4e^{\frac{1}{4}}$ . Therefore, (113) implies that  $\left[ \frac{\delta(\delta - \theta)^2}{a} \right] \frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta} \Big|_{\delta = 4e^{\frac{1}{4}}} \geq 0$ , and so  $\frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta} \Big|_{\delta = 4e^{\frac{1}{4}}} > 0$  for all  $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ .

Finally, recall from Finding 3 that  $x_0 = \left[ \frac{1 - \theta + \theta^2}{1 - \theta} \right] a$  at the solution to [P-L]. Therefore,  $\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \delta} > 0$  for all  $\delta \geq 4e^{\frac{1}{4}}$  and  $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  since  $\frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta} > 0$  for all  $\delta \geq 4e^{\frac{1}{4}}$  and  $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . ■

### Proof of Corollary 3.

From (90):

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\beta) &= \ln(\theta) - \ln(\delta) + \frac{1 - \theta + \theta^2}{1 - \theta} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \ln(\beta)}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{[1 - \theta] [-1 + 2\theta] + 1 - \theta + \theta^2}{[1 - \theta]^2} = \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{\theta[2 - \theta]}{[1 - \theta]^2} > 0. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

### Proof of Proposition 1.

(73) implies that when  $p = 1$ :

$$\pi \leq \left[1 - \frac{\theta}{\delta}\right] \left[\left(\frac{\theta}{\delta}\right) (2)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\right]^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}} \left[\frac{(\Gamma(\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta}))^{1-\theta}}{1+\delta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}}. \quad (114)$$

Finding 3 implies that when  $p = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^L &= \left[1 - \frac{\theta}{\delta}\right] \left(\left(\frac{\theta}{\delta}\right) \frac{([\frac{2\theta}{\delta}] e^{-c_3^*} \Gamma(\theta) [\theta + c_3^*])^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{e^{-c_3^*}}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}}, \text{ where } c_3^* = \frac{1 - \theta + \theta^2}{1 - \theta}. \\ \Rightarrow \pi^L &= \left[1 - \frac{\theta}{\delta}\right] \left[\left(\frac{\theta}{\delta}\right) (2)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\right]^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}} \left[\frac{((\frac{\theta}{\delta}) e^{-c_3^*} \Gamma(\theta) [\theta + c_3^*])^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{e^{-c_3^*}}\right]^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}} \\ &= \left[1 - \frac{\theta}{\delta}\right] \left[\left(\frac{\theta}{\delta}\right) (2)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\right]^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}} \left[\left(\frac{\theta}{\delta}\right) e^{-(1-\theta)c_3^*} \Gamma(\theta) [\theta + c_3^*]\right]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}} \\ &= \left[1 - \frac{\theta}{\delta}\right] \left[\left(\frac{\theta}{\delta}\right) (2)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\right]^{\frac{\theta}{\delta-\theta}} \left[\left(\frac{\theta}{\delta}\right) e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \Gamma(\theta) \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

(114) and (115) imply that when  $p = 1$ :

$$\frac{\pi^L}{\pi} \geq \left[\frac{(\frac{\theta}{\delta}) e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \Gamma(\theta) (\frac{1}{1-\theta})}{\frac{(\Gamma(\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta}))^{1-\theta}}{1+\delta}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}} = \left[\left(\frac{\theta}{\delta}\right) \frac{[1+\delta] e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \Gamma(\theta)}{[1-\theta] (\Gamma(\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta}))^{1-\theta}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}}. \quad (116)$$

$$\text{Define } d(\delta) \equiv \left(\frac{\theta}{\delta}\right) \frac{[1+\delta] e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \Gamma(\theta)}{[1-\theta] (\Gamma(\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta}))^{1-\theta}}, \text{ and note that:} \quad (117)$$

$$d(\delta) = \left[\frac{1+\delta}{\delta}\right] \left[\frac{\theta e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \Gamma(\theta)}{(1-\theta) (\Gamma(\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta}))^{1-\theta}}\right] = \left[1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right] r(\theta), \quad (118)$$

$$\text{where } r(\theta) \equiv \frac{\theta e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \Gamma(\theta)}{[1-\theta] (\Gamma(\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta}))^{1-\theta}}.$$

Notice from (116) that  $[d(\delta)]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}} \leq 1$  since  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi} \leq 1$ . Therefore,  $d(\delta) \leq 1$ . Consequently, since  $1 + \frac{1}{\delta} > 1$ , (118) implies that  $r(\theta) < 1$ .

$$\text{Let } G(\delta) \equiv \ln [d(\delta)]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}} \Rightarrow G(\delta) = \left[\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}\right] \ln [d(\delta)] \quad (119)$$

$$\Rightarrow G'(\delta) = -\frac{1}{[\delta-\theta]^2} \ln [d(\delta)] + \left[\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}\right] \left[\frac{d'(\delta)}{d(\delta)}\right]$$

$$\Rightarrow [\delta - \theta]^2 G'(\delta) = -\ln[d(\delta)] + [\delta - \theta] \left[ \frac{d'(\delta)}{d(\delta)} \right]. \quad (120)$$

From (118):

$$d'(\delta) = - \left[ \frac{1}{\delta^2} \right] r(\theta) \Rightarrow \frac{d'(\delta)}{d(\delta)} = \frac{-1/\delta^2}{[1+\delta]/\delta} = - \frac{1}{\delta[1+\delta]}. \quad (121)$$

Substituting from (121) into (120) and using (117) provides:

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta - \theta]^2 G'(\delta) &= -\ln[d(\delta)] + [\delta - \theta] \left[ -\frac{1}{\delta(1+\delta)} \right] \\ &= -[\ln(1+\delta) - \ln(\delta) + \ln r(\theta)] - \frac{\delta - \theta}{\delta[1+\delta]} \\ &= -\ln(1+\delta) + \ln(\delta) - \frac{\delta - \theta}{\delta[1+\delta]} - \ln r(\theta). \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

(122) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} G'(\delta) \geq 0 &\Leftrightarrow -\ln(1+\delta) + \ln(\delta) - \frac{\delta - \theta}{\delta[1+\delta]} - \ln r(\theta) \geq 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \ln(1+\delta) - \ln(\delta) + \frac{\delta - \theta}{\delta[1+\delta]} \leq -\ln r(\theta) \\ &\Leftrightarrow R(\delta) \leq -\ln r(\theta), \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

$$\text{where } R(\delta) \equiv \ln(1+\delta) - \ln(\delta) + \frac{\delta - \theta}{\delta[1+\delta]}. \quad (124)$$

From (124):

$$R(\delta) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow \infty. \quad (125)$$

Differentiating (124) provides:

$$R'(\delta) = \frac{1}{1+\delta} - \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\delta[1+\delta] - [\delta - \theta][1+2\delta]}{\delta^2[1+\delta]^2} = -\frac{[\delta - \theta][1+2\delta]}{\delta^2[1+\delta]^2} < 0. \quad (126)$$

Furthermore,  $-\ln r(\theta) > 0$  since  $r(\theta) < 1$ . Therefore, (123) – (126) imply that for a given  $\theta$ , there exists a  $\delta_0(\theta)$  such that  $G(\delta)$  is decreasing for all  $\delta < \delta_0(\theta)$  and  $G(\delta)$  is increasing for all  $\delta > \delta_0(\theta)$ . If  $\delta_0(\theta) \leq 2$  for a given  $\theta$ , then the lower bound of  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi}$  is reached at  $\delta = 2$  since  $\delta \geq 2$  by assumption. Alternatively, if  $\delta_0(\theta) > 2$  for a given  $\theta$ , then the lower bound of  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi}$  is reached at  $\delta = \delta_0(\theta)$ , where  $\delta_0(\theta)$  is found by solving  $s(\delta) = -\ln r(\theta)$ .

To determine a lower bound for  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi}$  for all  $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $\delta \geq 2$ , define:

$$H(\theta) \equiv \left[ \left( \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right) \frac{[1+\delta] e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \Gamma(\theta)}{[1-\theta] \left( \Gamma \left( \frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta} \right) \right)^{1-\theta}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}} = \left[ \frac{[1+\delta] e^{-(1-\theta+\theta^2)} \Gamma(\theta+1)}{\delta [1-\theta] \left( \Gamma \left( \frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta} \right) \right)^{1-\theta}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\delta-\theta}}. \quad (127)$$

It can be shown that  $H'(\theta) > 0$  and so the minimum value of  $H(\theta)$  is reached as  $\theta \rightarrow 0$  for any fixed  $\delta \in [2, \infty)$ . To determine the limiting value of  $H(\theta)$  as  $\theta \rightarrow 0$ , note that:

$$H(\theta) \rightarrow \left[ \left( \frac{1+\delta}{\delta} \right) \left( \frac{1}{e} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \text{ as } \theta \rightarrow 0. \quad (128)$$

Since  $\left[ \left( \frac{1+\delta}{\delta} \right) \left( \frac{1}{e} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{\delta}}$  is an increasing function of  $\delta$ ,  $\left[ \left( \frac{1+\delta}{\delta} \right) \left( \frac{1}{e} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{\delta}}$  attains its minimum value when  $\delta = 2$ . From (128),  $H(\theta) \rightarrow \left( \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{e} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.74285$  as  $\theta \rightarrow 0$  when  $\delta = 2$ . Consequently, from (116),  $\frac{\pi^L}{\pi} \geq .743$  for all  $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $\delta \geq 2$ . ■

### Proof of Proposition 2.

Finding 2 and Proposition 1 imply that when  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ :

$$\frac{\pi^L}{\pi} \geq \frac{[1 - \frac{1}{2\delta}] \left( \frac{2}{\delta^3} \left[ \frac{22}{7} \right] e^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}}}{[1 - \frac{1}{2\delta}] \left( \frac{2}{\delta[\delta^2+1]} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}}} = \left( \frac{[\delta^2+1] 22 e^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{7\delta^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}}. \quad (129)$$

Let  $\tilde{B}(\delta) \equiv \left( \frac{[\delta^2+1] 22 e^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{7\delta^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta-1}}$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\tilde{B}(\delta)) &= \left[ \frac{1}{2\delta-1} \right] \ln \left( \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \right] \frac{22}{7} e^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right) = \left[ \frac{1}{2\delta-1} \right] \left[ \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{22}{7} e^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right) \right] \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta} \ln(\tilde{B}(\delta)) &= \left[ \frac{1}{2\delta-1} \right] \frac{(-2\delta^{-3})}{[1 + \frac{1}{\delta^2}]} - 2 \left[ \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{22}{7} e^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right) \right] \frac{1}{[2\delta-1]^2} \\ &= - \frac{2}{[2\delta-1]^2} \left[ \frac{2\delta-1}{\delta^3+\delta} + \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{22}{7} e^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (130)$$

(130) implies:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \delta} \ln(\tilde{B}(\delta)) = 0 \text{ when } \tilde{M}(\delta) \equiv \frac{2\delta-1}{\delta^3+\delta} + \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{22}{7} e^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right) = 0. \quad (131)$$

Note that:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \delta} \left( \frac{2\delta-1}{\delta^3+\delta} \right) \stackrel{s}{=} 2[\delta^3+\delta] - [2\delta-1][3\delta^2+1] = 2\delta^3+2\delta - 6\delta^3 - 2\delta + 3\delta^2 + 1$$

$$= -4\delta^3 + 3\delta^2 + 1 < -\delta^3 + 1 < 0. \quad (132)$$

(131) and (132) imply that  $\widetilde{M}'(\delta) < 0$  for all  $\delta \geq 2$ . It can be verified that  $\widetilde{M}(2.55899) = 0$ . Hence,  $\widetilde{M}(\delta) > 0$  if  $\delta < 2.55899$ , and  $\widetilde{M}(\delta) < 0$  if  $\delta > 2.55899$ . Therefore,  $\widetilde{B}'(\delta) < 0$  if  $\delta < 2.55899$ ,  $\widetilde{B}'(\delta) < 0$  if  $\delta > 2.55899$ , and  $\widetilde{B}'(\delta) = 0$  if  $\delta = 2.55899$ . Hence, (130) and (131) imply that for all  $\delta \geq 2$ :

$$\widetilde{B}(\delta) \geq \widetilde{B}(2.55899) \approx \left( \frac{[7.5485][3.14159]}{[6.5485][4.4817]} \right)^{\frac{1}{4.118}} \approx (.808026)^{.24284} \approx .94955. \quad \blacksquare$$