

## Technical Appendix to Accompany

### “Motivating Regulated Suppliers to Assess Alternative Technologies, Protocols, and Capital Structures”

by Mark Jamison, David Mandy, and David Sappington

The purpose of the technical appendix is to provide additional characterization of the solution to [RP-I]' when the density functions for  $S$  under the alternative capital structure are triangular.

The  $F_L(S)$  distribution.

Consider the triangular density  $f_L(S)$  with support on  $[\underline{S}, \bar{S}]$ . The peak of the density must be  $\frac{2}{\bar{S}-\underline{S}}$  to ensure an area of 1 under the density ( $\frac{h}{2} [\bar{S} - \underline{S}] = 1 \Leftrightarrow h = \frac{2}{\bar{S}-\underline{S}}$ ). We assume the  $f_L(S)$  density is symmetric, so the value of  $S$  at which the density attains its peak is  $S_L = \frac{1}{2} [\underline{S} + \bar{S}]$ . The slope of the density on  $[\underline{S}, S_L]$  is  $\frac{\frac{2}{\bar{S}-\underline{S}}}{S_L - \underline{S}} = \frac{2}{[\bar{S}-\underline{S}][\frac{1}{2}(\underline{S} + \bar{S}) - \underline{S}]} = \frac{4}{[\bar{S}-\underline{S}]^2}$ . The slope of the density on  $[S_L, \bar{S}]$  is  $-\frac{4}{[\bar{S}-\underline{S}]^2}$ . Consequently, since  $\frac{2}{\bar{S}-\underline{S}} - \frac{4[S-S_L]}{[\bar{S}-\underline{S}]^2} = \frac{2[\bar{S}-\underline{S}] - 4S + 2[\underline{S} + \bar{S}]}{[\bar{S}-\underline{S}]^2} = \frac{4[\bar{S}-S]}{[\bar{S}-\underline{S}]^2}$ :

$$f_L(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{4[S-\underline{S}]}{[\bar{S}-\underline{S}]^2} & \text{for } S \in [\underline{S}, S_L] \\ \frac{4[\bar{S}-S]}{[\bar{S}-\underline{S}]^2} & \text{for } S \in [S_L, \bar{S}]. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

(1) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} F_L(S) &= \frac{4}{[\bar{S}-\underline{S}]^2} \int_{\underline{S}}^S [\tilde{S} - \underline{S}] d\tilde{S} = \frac{4}{[\bar{S}-\underline{S}]^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [S^2 - (\underline{S})^2] - \underline{S}[S - \underline{S}] \right\} \\ &= \frac{4}{[\bar{S}-\underline{S}]^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right] [S - \underline{S}][S + \underline{S} - 2\underline{S}] = \frac{2[S - \underline{S}]^2}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2} \quad \text{for } S \in [\underline{S}, S_L]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

An interior minimum requires  $\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial S^2} > 0 \Leftrightarrow p_L''(\cdot) = f_L'(\cdot) > 0$ . Therefore, an interior minimum must occur on  $[\underline{S}, S_L]$ .

Case 1.  $S_L - S_H \geq \frac{k}{\phi_L \phi_H}$  (as in Proposition 5 in the text).

Recall the regulator optimally sets  $R = R_D$  in this case. Furthermore, since  $\pi_i = R_D - K_0 + S_i$  when  $R = R_D \in [K_0 - S_L + \frac{k}{\phi_L}, K_0 - \underline{S}]$ :

$$\pi_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow R_D - K_0 + S_H \geq -\frac{k}{\phi_H} \Leftrightarrow R_D \geq K_0 - S_H - \frac{k}{\phi_H}.$$

Recall from the text that  $\pi_0 = \max \left\{ 0, \pi_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H} \right\}$ . Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \phi_L [R_D - K_0 + S_L] + \phi_L p_L(R_D) D \\ &+ \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } R_D \in [K_0 - S_L + \frac{k}{\phi_L}, K_0 - S_H - \frac{k}{\phi_H}] \\ \phi_H \left[ R_D - K_0 + S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H} \right] & \text{for } R_D \in (K_0 - S_H - \frac{k}{\phi_H}, K_0 - \underline{S}]. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

It is convenient to view the regulator as choosing  $S = K_0 - R_D$ . (3) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \phi_L [S_L - S] + \phi_L F_L(S) D \\ &+ \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } -S \in [-S_L + \frac{k}{\phi_L}, -S_H - \frac{k}{\phi_H}] \\ \phi_H \left[ S_H - S + \frac{k}{\phi_H} \right] & \text{for } -S \in (-S_H - \frac{k}{\phi_H}, -\underline{S}] \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \phi_L [S_L - S] + \phi_L F_L(S) D \\ &+ \begin{cases} \phi_H \left[ S_H - S + \frac{k}{\phi_H} \right] & \text{for } S \in [\underline{S}, S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H}) \\ 0 & \text{for } S \in [S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H}, S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L}]. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

(4) implies:

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial S} = \begin{cases} -1 + \phi_L f_L(S) D & \text{for } S \in (\underline{S}, S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H}) \\ -\phi_L + \phi_L f_L(S) D & \text{for } S \in (S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H}, S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L}). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

There are five candidates for the value of  $S$  that minimizes  $M$ .

Case (1a).  $S^* = \underline{S}$  because  $\frac{\partial M^+}{\partial S} \Big|_{S=\underline{S}} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow -1 + \phi_L f_L(\underline{S}) D \geq 0$ .

This case cannot arise because the triangular density ensures  $f_L(\underline{S}) = 0$ .

Case (1b).  $S^* \in (\underline{S}, S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H})$  when  $\frac{\partial M^+}{\partial S} \Big|_{S=\underline{S}} < 0$  and  $\frac{\partial M^-}{\partial S} \Big|_{S=S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H}} > 0$ .

From (5), this case arises when:

$$-1 + \phi_L f_L(S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H}) D > 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\phi_L D} < \frac{4 \left[ S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H} - \underline{S} \right]}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4\phi_L D} + \underline{S} < S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H}. \quad (6)$$

In this case:

$$-1 + \phi_L f_L(S^*) D = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{4[S^* - \underline{S}]}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2} = \frac{1}{\phi_L D} \Leftrightarrow S^* = \underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4\phi_L D}. \quad (7)$$

Case (1c).  $S^* = S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H}$  when  $\left. \frac{\partial M^-}{\partial S} \right|_{S=S_H+\frac{k}{\phi_H}} \leq 0$  and  $\left. \frac{\partial M^+}{\partial S} \right|_{S=S_H+\frac{k}{\phi_H}} \geq 0$ .

From (5), this case arises when:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{D} \leq f_L\left(S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\phi_L D} &\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{D} \leq \frac{4\left[S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H} - \underline{S}\right]}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2} \leq \frac{1}{\phi_L D} \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4D} + \underline{S} \leq S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H} &\leq \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4\phi_L D} + \underline{S}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Case (1d).  $S^* \in \left(S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H}, S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L}\right)$  when  $\left. \frac{\partial M^+}{\partial S} \right|_{S=S_H+\frac{k}{\phi_H}} < 0$  and  $\left. \frac{\partial M^-}{\partial S} \right|_{S=S_L-\frac{k}{\phi_L}} > 0$ .

From (5), this case arises when:

$$\begin{aligned} f_L\left(S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H}\right) \leq \frac{1}{D} < f_L\left(S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L}\right) &\Leftrightarrow \frac{4\left[S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H} - \underline{S}\right]}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2} \leq \frac{1}{D} \leq \frac{4\left[S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L} - \underline{S}\right]}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2} \\ \Leftrightarrow S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H} - \underline{S} \leq \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4D} &\leq S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L} - \underline{S}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In this case:

$$-\phi_L + \phi_L f_L(S^*) D = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{4[S^* - \underline{S}]}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2} = \frac{1}{D} \Leftrightarrow S^* = \underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4D}. \quad (10)$$

Case (1e).  $S^* = S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L}$  when  $\left. \frac{\partial M^-}{\partial S} \right|_{S=S_L-\frac{k}{\phi_L}} \leq 0$ .

From (5), this case arises when:

$$f_L\left(S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L}\right) \leq \frac{1}{D} \Leftrightarrow \frac{4\left[S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L} - \underline{S}\right]}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2} \leq \frac{1}{D} \Leftrightarrow S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L} \leq \underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4D}. \quad (11)$$

We now calculate the minimized value of  $M$  in the four relevant cases.

Case (1b).

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= \phi_L [S_L - S + F_L(S) D] + \phi_H \left[ S_H - S + \frac{k}{\phi_H} \right] \\
&= \phi_L S_L + \phi_H S_H + k - S + \phi_L F_L(S) D \\
&= \phi_L S_L + \phi_H S_H + k - \underline{S} - \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4\phi_L D} + \phi_L D \frac{2 \left[ \underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4\phi_L D} - \underline{S} \right]^2}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2} \\
&= \phi_L S_L + \phi_H S_H + k - \underline{S} - \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4\phi_L D} + \frac{2\phi_L D}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2} \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^4}{16(\phi_L D)^2} \\
&= \phi_L S_L + \phi_H S_H + k - \underline{S} - \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4\phi_L D} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{8\phi_L D} \\
&= \phi_L S_L + \phi_H S_H + k - \underline{S} - \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{8\phi_L D}. \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

Case (1c).

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= \phi_L [S_L - S + F_L(S) D] \\
&= \phi_L \left[ S_L - S_H - \frac{k}{\phi_H} + D \frac{2 \left[ S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H} - \underline{S} \right]^2}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2} \right] \\
&= \phi_L \left[ S_L - S_H - \frac{k}{\phi_H} \right] + \frac{2\phi_L D \left[ S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H} - \underline{S} \right]^2}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}. \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

Case (1d).

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= \phi_L [S_L - S + F_L(S) D] \\
&= \phi_L S_L - \phi_L \left[ \underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4D} \right] + \phi_L D \frac{2 \left[ \underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4D} - \underline{S} \right]^2}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2} \\
&= \phi_L S_L - \phi_L \underline{S} - \frac{\phi_L [\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4D} + \frac{2\phi_L D}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2} \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^4}{16D^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \phi_L S_L - \phi_L \underline{S} - \frac{\phi_L [\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4D} + \frac{\phi_L [\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{8D} \\
&= \phi_L S_L - \phi_L \underline{S} - \frac{\phi_L [\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{8D} = \phi_L \left[ S_L - \underline{S} - \frac{(\bar{S} - \underline{S})^2}{8D} \right]. \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

Case (1e).

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= \phi_L [S_L - S + F_L(S) D] \\
&= \phi_L S_L - \phi_L \left[ S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L} \right] + \phi_L D \frac{2 \left[ S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L} - \underline{S} \right]^2}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2} \\
&= k + \frac{2 \phi_L D \left[ S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L} - \underline{S} \right]^2}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}. \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

The  $F_H(S)$  distribution.

We again consider a triangular density with support on  $[\underline{S}, \bar{S}]$  and height  $\frac{2}{\bar{S} - \underline{S}}$ .  $S_C$  denotes the value of  $S$  at which the density reaches its peak. The slope of the density on  $[\underline{S}, S_C]$  is  $\frac{\frac{2}{\bar{S} - \underline{S}}}{S_C - \underline{S}} = \frac{2}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][S_C - \underline{S}]}$ . The slope of the density on  $[S_C, \bar{S}]$  is  $-\frac{\frac{2}{\bar{S} - \underline{S}}}{\bar{S} - S_C} = -\frac{2}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]}$ . Consequently, since  $\frac{2}{\bar{S} - \underline{S}} - \frac{2[S - S_C]}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]} = \frac{2[\bar{S} - S]}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]}$ :

$$f_H(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{2[S - \underline{S}]}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][S_C - \underline{S}]} & \text{for } S \in [\underline{S}, S_C] \\ \frac{2[\bar{S} - S]}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]} & \text{for } S \in [S_C, \bar{S}]. \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

(16) implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_H &= \int_{\underline{S}}^{\bar{S}} S f_H(S) = \frac{2}{\bar{S} - \underline{S}} \left\{ \frac{1}{S_C - \underline{S}} \int_{\underline{S}}^{S_C} S [S - \underline{S}] dS + \frac{1}{\bar{S} - S_C} \int_{S_C}^{\bar{S}} S [\bar{S} - S] dS \right\} \\
&= \frac{2}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][S_C - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]} \left\{ [\bar{S} - S_C] \int_{\underline{S}}^{S_C} [S^2 - S \underline{S}] dS \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [S_C - \underline{S}] \int_{S_C}^{\bar{S}} [S \bar{S} - S^2] dS \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{2}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][S_C - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]} \left\{ [\bar{S} - S_C] \left[ \frac{S^3}{3} - \frac{S}{2} S^2 \right]_{\underline{S}}^{S_C} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [S_C - \underline{S}] \left[ \frac{\bar{S}}{2} S^2 - \frac{S^3}{3} \right]_{S_C}^{\bar{S}} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{3[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][S_C - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]} \left\{ [\bar{S} - S_C] [2S^3 - 3\underline{S} S^2]_{\underline{S}}^{S_C} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [S_C - \underline{S}] [3\bar{S} S^2 - 2S^3]_{S_C}^{\bar{S}} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{3[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][S_C - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]} \left\{ [\bar{S} - S_C] [2(S_C)^3 - 3\underline{S}(S_C)^2 - 2(\underline{S})^3 + 3(\underline{S})^3] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [S_C - \underline{S}] [3(\bar{S})^3 - 2(\bar{S})^3 - 3\bar{S}(S_C)^2 + 2(S_C)^3] \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{3[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][S_C - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]} \left\{ [\bar{S} - S_C] [2(S_C)^3 - 3\underline{S}(S_C)^2 + (\underline{S})^3] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [S_C - \underline{S}] [(\bar{S})^3 - 3\bar{S}(S_C)^2 + 2(S_C)^3] \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{3[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][S_C - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]} \left\{ 2(S_C)^3 [\bar{S} - \underline{S}] + [\bar{S} - S_C] [(\underline{S})^3 - 3\underline{S}(S_C)^2] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [S_C - \underline{S}] [(\bar{S})^3 - 3\bar{S}(S_C)^2] \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{3[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][S_C - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]} \left\{ 2(S_C)^3 [\bar{S} - \underline{S}] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 3(S_C)^2 [\underline{S}(\bar{S} - S_C) + \bar{S}(S_C - \underline{S})] + (\underline{S})^3 [\bar{S} - S_C] + (\bar{S})^3 [S_C - \underline{S}] \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{3[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][S_C - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]} \left\{ 2(S_C)^3 [\bar{S} - \underline{S}] - 3(S_C)^3 [\bar{S} - \underline{S}] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\underline{S})^3 [\bar{S} - S_C] + (\bar{S})^3 [S_C - \underline{S}] \right\} \\
&= \frac{- (S_C)^3 [\bar{S} - \underline{S}] + (\underline{S})^3 [\bar{S} - S_C] + (\bar{S})^3 [S_C - \underline{S}]}{3[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][S_C - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]} \\
&= \frac{(\bar{S})^3 [S_C - \underline{S}] + (\underline{S})^3 [\bar{S} - \underline{S} - (S_C - \underline{S})] - (S_C)^3 [\bar{S} - \underline{S}]}{3[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][S_C - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]} \\
&= \frac{[(\bar{S})^3 - (\underline{S})^3] [S_C - \underline{S}] - [(S_C)^3 - (\underline{S})^3] [\bar{S} - \underline{S}]}{3[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][S_C - \underline{S}][\bar{S} - S_C]}. \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

Recall:  $(x)^3 - (y)^3 = [x - y][x^2 + xy + y^2]$ . Therefore, (17) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_H &= \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}] \left[ (\bar{S})^2 + \underline{S} \bar{S} + (\underline{S})^2 \right] [S_C - \underline{S}] - [S_C - \underline{S}] \left[ (S_C)^2 + \underline{S} S_C + (\underline{S})^2 \right] [\bar{S} - \underline{S}]}{3 [\bar{S} - \underline{S}] [S_C - \underline{S}] [\bar{S} - S_C]} \\
&= \frac{(\bar{S})^2 + \underline{S} \bar{S} + (\underline{S})^2 - \left[ (S_C)^2 + \underline{S} S_C + (\underline{S})^2 \right]}{3 [\bar{S} - S_C]} = \frac{(\bar{S})^2 + \underline{S} \bar{S} - (S_C)^2 - \underline{S} S_C}{3 [\bar{S} - S_C]} \\
&= \frac{(\bar{S})^2 - (S_C)^2 + \underline{S} [\bar{S} - S_C]}{3 [\bar{S} - S_C]} = \frac{1}{3} [\bar{S} + S_C + \underline{S}]. \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

(18) implies:

$$S_H \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow S_C \leq -[\underline{S} + \bar{S}] \quad \text{where } \underline{S} < 0 < \bar{S}.$$

If  $S_C = -[\underline{S} + \bar{S}]$  so  $S_H = 0$ , then from (16):

$$f_H(S) = \begin{cases} -\frac{2[S - \underline{S}]}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][2\underline{S} + \bar{S}]} & \text{for } S \in [\underline{S}, -(\underline{S} + \bar{S})] \\ \frac{2[\bar{S} - S]}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}][\underline{S} + 2\bar{S}]} & \text{for } S \in [-(\underline{S} + \bar{S}), \bar{S}]. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

Note. We must have  $\underline{S} < S_C = -[\underline{S} + \bar{S}] \Leftrightarrow 2\underline{S} + \bar{S} < 0$ .

To verify that  $G(S) \equiv F_H(S) - F_L(S) > 0$  for all  $S \in (\underline{S}, \bar{S})$ , define  $S_I$  to be the unique value of  $S \in (\underline{S}, \bar{S})$  at which  $f_H(S) = f_L(S)$ . It is apparent that  $G(\underline{S}) = G(\bar{S}) = 0$ . Furthermore,  $G'(S) = f_H(S) - f_L(S) \geq 0$  as  $S \leq S_I$ . Consequently,  $G(\cdot)$  is 0 at  $\underline{S}$ , strictly increasing on  $(\underline{S}, S_I)$ , strictly decreasing on  $(S_I, \bar{S})$ , and 0 at  $\bar{S}$ . Therefore,  $G(S) > 0$  for all  $S \in (\underline{S}, \bar{S})$ .

In summary, when  $S_H = 0$  and  $S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L} \geq \frac{k}{\phi_H}$ , (6), (8), (9), and (11) – (15) provide:

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\text{Case (1b).}} \quad & \underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4\phi_L D} < \frac{k}{\phi_H}. \\
S^* &= \underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4\phi_L D}, \quad R^* = R_D^* = K_0 - \left( \underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4\phi_L D} \right), \quad \text{and} \\
M &= \phi_L S_L + k - \underline{S} - \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{8\phi_L D}. \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

Case (1c).  $\underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4D} \leq \frac{k}{\phi_H} \leq \underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4\phi_L D}$ .

$$S^* = \frac{k}{\phi_H}, \quad R^* = R_D^* = K_0 - \frac{k}{\phi_H}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$M = \phi_L \left[ S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_H} \right] + \frac{2\phi_L D \left[ \frac{k}{\phi_H} - \underline{S} \right]^2}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}. \quad (21)$$

Case (1d).  $\frac{k}{\phi_H} \leq \underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4D} \leq S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L}$ .

$$S^* = \underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4D}, \quad R^* = R_D^* = K_0 - \left( \underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4D} \right), \quad \text{and}$$

$$M = \phi_L S_L - \phi_L \underline{S} - \frac{\phi_L [\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{8D} = \phi_L \left[ S_L - \underline{S} - \frac{(\bar{S} - \underline{S})^2}{8D} \right]. \quad (22)$$

Case (1e).  $S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L} \leq \underline{S} + \frac{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}{4D}$ .

$$S^* = S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L}, \quad R^* = R_D^* = K_0 - \left( S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L} \right), \quad \text{and}$$

$$M = k + \frac{2\phi_L D \left[ S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L} - \underline{S} \right]^2}{[\bar{S} - \underline{S}]^2}. \quad (23)$$

Also,  $\pi_H = R - K_0$  and  $\pi_L = S_L + \pi_H$  in each case.

Case 2.  $S_L - S_H < \frac{k}{\phi_L \phi_H}$  (as in Proposition 6 in the text)

Denote by  $\underline{R}$  the right-most intersection of the boundaries defined by the equalities in expressions (35) and (36) in the text. From Proposition 6 in the text,  $\pi_0 = \pi_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H} = \pi_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L}$ . Therefore, the regulator chooses  $R_D \in [\underline{R}, K_0 - \underline{S}]$  to minimize:

$$M = \phi_L \pi_L + \phi_H \left[ \pi_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L} \right] + \phi_L p_L(R_D) D = \pi_L - \frac{\phi_H}{\phi_L} k + \phi_L p_L(R_D) D. \quad (24)$$

From equation (39) in the text:



$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial R}{\partial R_D} &= 1 - \frac{\left[ S_H + \frac{k}{\phi_H} - \left( S_L - \frac{k}{\phi_L} \right) \right] [p'_H(R_D) - p'_L(R_D)]}{[p_H(R_D) - p_L(R_D)]^2} \\
&= 1 - \frac{[R - R_D][p'_H(R_D) - p'_L(R_D)]}{p_H(R_D) - p_L(R_D)}. \tag{25}
\end{aligned}$$

From (24):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial M}{\partial R_D} &= p_L(R_D) + p'_L(R_D) R_D - p'_L(R_D) R + [1 - p_L(R_D)] \frac{\partial R}{\partial R_D} + \phi_L p'_L(R_D) D \\
&= p_L(R_D) - p'_L(R_D) [R - R_D] + [1 - p_L(R_D)] \frac{\partial R}{\partial R_D} + \phi_L p'_L(R_D) D. \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

(25), (26), and equation (39) in the text provide:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial M}{\partial R_D} &= \phi_L p'_L(\cdot) D + p_L(\cdot) - p'_L(\cdot) [R - R_D] + [1 - p_L(\cdot)] \left[ 1 - \frac{[R - R_D][p'_H(\cdot) - p'_L(\cdot)]}{p_H(\cdot) - p_L(\cdot)} \right] \\
&= 1 + \phi_L p'_L(\cdot) D - p'_L(\cdot) [R - R_D] - [1 - p_L(\cdot)] \frac{[R - R_D][p'_H(\cdot) - p'_L(\cdot)]}{p_H(\cdot) - p_L(\cdot)} \\
&= 1 + \phi_L p'_L(\cdot) D - \left[ p'_L(\cdot) + (1 - p_L(\cdot)) \frac{p'_H(\cdot) - p'_L(\cdot)}{p_H(\cdot) - p_L(\cdot)} \right] \frac{S_H - S_L + \frac{k}{\phi_L \phi_H}}{p_H(\cdot) - p_L(\cdot)}. \tag{27}
\end{aligned}$$

The value of  $R_D$  at which  $M$  is minimized will either be at the corner where  $R_D = \underline{R}$  or at an interior value of  $R_D$  at which  $\frac{\partial M}{\partial R_D} = 0$ .