

Technical Appendix to Accompany
“On the Optimal Design of Demand Response Policies”

by David P. Brown and David E. M. Sappington

This appendix presents conditions that ensure there exists a unique $\tilde{\theta}_i$ such that $\Omega_i^D = (\tilde{\theta}_i, \bar{\theta}]$ in the benchmark setting (analyzed in section 5 of the paper) where there are N_j consumers of type $j \in \{L, H\}$.

Recall that consumer i will provide demand response (DR) in state θ if:

$$\left. \frac{\partial V_i(x_i^u + x_i^o, \theta)}{\partial x_i^u} \right|_{x_i^u = \underline{x}_i} < r + m(\theta). \quad (1)$$

Let $\tilde{\theta}_i$ denote a value of θ at which (1) holds when the inequality is replaced by an equality. $\tilde{\theta}_i \in [\underline{\theta}, \bar{\theta}]$ will be unique and $\Omega_i^D = (\tilde{\theta}_i, \bar{\theta}]$ (so individual i provides DR only for $\theta \in (\tilde{\theta}_i, \bar{\theta}]$) if:

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial V_i(x_i^u + x_i^o, \theta)}{\partial x_i^u} \right|_{x_i^u = \underline{x}_i} &> r + m(\theta) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [\underline{\theta}, \tilde{\theta}_i), \text{ and} \\ \left. \frac{\partial V_i(x_i^u + x_i^o, \theta)}{\partial x_i^u} \right|_{x_i^u = \underline{x}_i} &< r + m(\theta) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in (\tilde{\theta}_i, \bar{\theta}]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

(2) will hold if: (i) $\left. \frac{\partial V_i(x_i^u + x_i^o, \underline{\theta})}{\partial x_i^u} \right|_{x_i^u = \underline{x}_i} > r + m(\underline{\theta})$, so individual i does not provide DR for the smallest θ realization; (ii) $\left. \frac{\partial V_i(x_i^u + x_i^o, \bar{\theta})}{\partial x_i^u} \right|_{x_i^u = \underline{x}_i} < r + m(\bar{\theta})$, so individual i provides DR for the highest θ realization; and:

$$\text{(iii) } \frac{\partial^2 V_i(x_i^u + x_i^o, \theta)}{\partial x_i^u \partial \theta} < m'(\theta) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [\underline{\theta}, \bar{\theta}]. \quad (3)$$

(3) implies that consumer i 's marginal valuation of electricity consumption increases with θ less rapidly than the compensation for DR increases with θ .

We begin by identifying sufficient conditions for (3) to hold. To do so, recall first that consumer i 's marginal valuation of electricity consumption is:

$$\frac{\partial V_i(x_i^u + x_i^o, \theta)}{\partial x_i^u} = v_i \theta [x_i^u]^{\alpha_i}, \text{ where } \alpha_i < 0. \quad (4)$$

Further recall that the amount of electricity consumer i purchases from the utility is:

$$x_i^u(\cdot) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} & \text{if } v_i \theta [x_i^u]^{\alpha_i} < r + m(\theta) \\ \left[\frac{r}{v_i \theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

In addition, under the optimal DR policy in this setting where $C(X) = F + aX + bX^2$:

$$m(\theta) = a + 2b \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^u(\cdot) - r. \quad (6)$$

(4) and (6) imply that (3) will hold if:

$$v_i [x_i^u]^{\alpha_i} + v_i \theta \alpha_i [x_i^u]^{\alpha_i-1} \frac{\partial x_i^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} < 2b \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial x_i^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta}. \quad (7)$$

If $\frac{\partial x_i^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, then because $\alpha_i < 0$, (7) holds if:

$$b > \frac{v_i [x_i^u]^{\alpha_i}}{2 \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial x_i^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta}} \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (8)$$

We now derive conditions that ensure $\frac{\partial x_i^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Case 1. No Consumer Ever Provides Demand Response

From (5), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$:

$$\frac{\partial x_i^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{\alpha_i} \left[\frac{r}{v_i} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} \theta^{-\frac{1+\alpha_i}{\alpha_i}} > 0 \text{ for all } \theta \in \Omega_i^{-D}. \quad (9)$$

Case 2. Both Types of Consumers Provide Some Demand Response

(5) and (6) imply that for $\theta \in \Omega_i^D$:

$$\frac{\partial x_i^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_i}{\alpha_i}} \left[\frac{2b}{v_i \theta} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial x_j^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta^2} \right]. \quad (10)$$

(10) implies that if $\theta \in \Omega_L^D$ and $\theta \in \Omega_H^D$, then:

$$\frac{\partial x_L^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \left[\frac{2b}{v_L \theta} \left(N_L \frac{\partial x_L^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} + N_H \frac{\partial x_H^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} \right) - \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta^2} \right]; \text{ and} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x_H^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \left[\frac{2b}{v_H \theta} \left(N_L \frac{\partial x_L^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} + N_H \frac{\partial x_H^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} \right) - \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta^2} \right] \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial x_L^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \left[\frac{2b N_H}{v_L \theta} \frac{\partial x_H^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta^2} \right]}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{2b N_L}{v_L \theta}}; \text{ and} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial x_H^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \left[\frac{2b N_L}{v_H \theta} \frac{\partial x_L^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta^2} \right]}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \frac{2b N_H}{v_H \theta}}. \quad (12)$$

(11) and (12) imply:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial x_L^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} \left[1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left(\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{2b N_L}{v_L \theta} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{2b N_H}{v_L \theta} \frac{\partial x_H^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{2b N_H}{v_L \theta} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \left[\frac{2b N_L}{v_H \theta} \frac{\partial x_L^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta^2} \right]}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \frac{2b N_H}{v_H \theta}} \right] \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial x_L^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} &\left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{2b N_L}{v_L \theta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{2b N_H}{v_L \theta} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \frac{2b N_L}{v_H \theta}}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \frac{2b N_H}{v_H \theta}} \right] \right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{2bN_H}{v_L \theta} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta^2}}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \frac{2bN_H}{v_H \theta}} \right] \\
\Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial x_L^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} &= -\frac{B}{A}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
A &\equiv 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{2bN_L}{v_L \theta} \left[1 + \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left(\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \frac{2bN_H}{v_H \theta}}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left(\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \frac{2bN_H}{v_H \theta}} \right]; \text{ and} \\
B &\equiv \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L \theta^2} \\
&\quad \cdot \left[1 + \frac{2bN_H}{v_L \theta} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \frac{v_L}{v_H}}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \frac{2bN_H}{v_H \theta}} \right) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Define $D \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \frac{2bN_H}{v_H \theta} < 0$. Since $\alpha_L < 0$, (14) implies:

$$A > 0 \Leftrightarrow 1 + \frac{D}{1-D} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{1-D} > 0.$$

This inequality holds because $D < 0$.

Since $\alpha_L < 0$ and $r+m(\theta) = C'(\cdot) > 0$, (14) implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
B < 0 &\Leftrightarrow 1 + \frac{2bN_H}{v_L \theta} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left(\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \frac{v_L}{v_H}}{1-D} \right] > 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow \frac{1-D + \frac{2bN_H}{v_L \theta} \frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \frac{v_L}{v_H}}{1-D} &> 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1-D+D}{1-D} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{1-D} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This inequality holds because $D < 0$. Since $A > 0$ and $B < 0$, (13) implies $\frac{\partial x_L^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} > 0$.

Since $\alpha_H < 0$, $A > 0$, and $\frac{\partial x_L^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} > 0$, (12), (13), and (14) imply:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial x_H^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} > 0 &\Leftrightarrow \frac{2bN_L}{v_H\theta} \left[\frac{\partial x_L^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} \right] - \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H\theta^2} < 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow -\frac{2bN_L}{v_H\theta} \left[\frac{B}{A} \right] - \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H\theta^2} < 0 \Leftrightarrow 2bN_L B + \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{\theta} \right] A > 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow 2bN_L \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L\theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L\theta^2} \left[1 + \frac{2bN_H}{v_L\theta} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{\alpha_H} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_H\theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_H}{\alpha_H}} \frac{v_L}{v_H}}{1-D} \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{r+m(\theta)}{\theta} \left[1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left(\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L\theta} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{2bN_L}{v_L\theta} \left(1 + \frac{D}{1-D} \right) \right] > 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow 2bN_L \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L\theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L\theta^2} \left[1 + \frac{D}{1-D} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{r+m(\theta)}{\theta} \left[1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left(\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L\theta} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{2bN_L}{v_L\theta} \left(1 + \frac{D}{1-D} \right) \right] > 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha_L} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_L\theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_L}{\alpha_L}} \frac{2bN_L}{v_L\theta} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{\theta} \right] \left[\frac{1-D+D}{1-D} - \frac{1-D+D}{1-D} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{r+m(\theta)}{\theta} > 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \frac{r+m(\theta)}{\theta} > 0
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Because $r+m(\theta) = C'(\cdot) > 0$, the inequality in (15) holds.

Case 3. Only One Consumer Type Provides Some Demand Response

From (5) and (9):

$$\frac{\partial x_k^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{\alpha_k} \left[\frac{r}{v_k} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} \theta^{-\frac{1+\alpha_k}{\alpha_k}} > 0 \text{ for all } \theta \in \Omega_k^{-D}; \text{ and} \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{\partial x_i^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i\theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_i}{\alpha_i}} \left[\frac{2b}{v_i\theta} \left(N_i \frac{\partial x_i^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} + N_k \frac{\partial x_k^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} \right) - \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i\theta^2} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial x_i^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} \left[1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \left(\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha_i}{\alpha_i}} \frac{2b N_i}{v_i \theta} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_i}{\alpha_i}} \left[\frac{2b N_k}{v_i \theta} \frac{\partial x_k^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta^2} \right] \text{ for } \theta \in \Omega_i^D. \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (16), (17) can be written as:

$$\frac{\partial x_i^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} = - \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha_i} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_i}{\alpha_i}} \left[\frac{2b N_k}{v_i \theta} \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \left[\frac{r}{v_k} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} \theta^{-\frac{1+\alpha_k}{\alpha_k}} + \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta^2} \right]}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta} \right]^{\frac{1-\alpha_i}{\alpha_i}} \frac{2b N_i}{v_i \theta}}. \quad (18)$$

Since $\alpha_i < 0$, (18) implies that $\frac{\partial x_i^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} > 0$ in this θ region if and only if:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2b N_k}{v_i \theta} \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \left[\frac{r}{v_k} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} \theta^{-\frac{1+\alpha_k}{\alpha_k}} + \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta^2} > 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \frac{2b N_k}{v_i \theta^2} \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \left[\frac{r}{v_k} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} \theta^{-\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} + \frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta^2} > 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \frac{2b N_k}{\alpha_k} \left[\frac{r}{v_k \theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} + r+m(\theta) > 0. \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

From (6):

$$\begin{aligned}
r+m(\theta) &= C'(\cdot) = a + 2b \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^u(\cdot) \\
&= a + 2b \left[N_k \left(\frac{r}{v_k \theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} + N_i \left(\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} \right]. \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

(19) and (20) imply that $\frac{\partial x_i^u(\cdot)}{\partial \theta} > 0$ in this setting if:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2b N_k}{\alpha_k} \left[\frac{r}{v_k \theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} + a + 2b \left[N_k \left(\frac{r}{v_k \theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} + N_i \left(\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} \right] > 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \frac{N_k}{\alpha_k} \left[\frac{r}{v_k \theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} + N_k \left[\frac{r}{v_k \theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} + N_i \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} + \frac{a}{2b} > 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow N_k \left[\frac{r}{v_k \theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \right] + N_i \left[\frac{r+m(\theta)}{v_i \theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} + \frac{a}{2b} > 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow N_k \left[\frac{r}{v_k \theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{|\alpha_k|} \right] + N_i \left[\frac{r + m(\theta)}{v_i \theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} + \frac{a}{2b} > 0. \quad (21)$$

Because $r + m(\theta) = C'(\cdot) > 0$, the inequality in (21) holds if $1 > \frac{1}{|\alpha_k|}$. This inequality always holds for the parameter values considered in the numerical solutions analyzed in Section 5 of the paper.