## Assignment 1 with solutions, MAP 6505, Fall 2023

**3.3** (1 pt). Which of the following functions are Lebesgue integrable on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$\frac{\sin(x)}{x}$$
,  $\frac{e^{ikx}}{x}$ ,  $\frac{\cos(x)}{\sqrt{|x|}}$ ,  $e^{-x}$ ,  $x^{100}e^{-x^2}$ 

SOLUTION: All of these functions are continuous almost everywhere. Therefore the Lebesgue integrability means the absolute integrability. If the integral does not converge (converge) absolutely in a particular regularization, then it does not converge (converges) in any regularization. It follows

$$\int_{\pi}^{\infty} \frac{|\sin(x)|}{x} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi n}^{\pi(n+1)} \frac{|\sin(x)|}{x} dx$$
$$\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi n} \int_{\pi n}^{\pi(n+1)} |\sin(x)| dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi n} = \infty$$

So,  $\frac{\sin(x)}{x}$  is not integrable on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The absolute value  $\left|\frac{e^{ikx}}{x}\right| = \frac{1}{|x|}$  is not integrable,

$$\int \frac{dx}{|x|} = 2\lim_{a \to 0^+} = \int_a^{\frac{1}{a}} \frac{dx}{x} = -4\lim_{a \to 0^+} \ln(a) = \infty$$

and so is  $\frac{e^{ikx}}{x}$ . The function  $\frac{\cos(x)}{\sqrt{|x|}}$  is integrable on any bounded interval containing x = 0:

$$\int_0^a \frac{|\cos(x)|}{\sqrt{|x|}} \, dx \le \int_0^a \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}} = 2\sqrt{a} < \infty$$

However it is not absolutely integrable on any unbounded interval:

$$\int_{\pi}^{\infty} \frac{|\cos(x)|}{\sqrt{|x|}} \, dx \quad = \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi n}^{\pi(n+1)} \frac{|\cos(x)|}{\sqrt{|x|}} \, dx \ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi n}} = \infty$$

similarly to the first function in question. The fourth function is also not integrable because

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \int_{-a}^{a} e^{-x} dx = \lim_{a \to \infty} (e^{a} - e^{-a}) = \infty$$

The last function is integrable on  $\mathbb{R}$  because

$$x^{100} \le 100! e^{|x|}$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \quad \lim_{a \to \infty} \int_{-a}^{a} e^{-x^2 + |x|} dx = 2e^{\frac{1}{4}} \lim_{a \to \infty} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{a - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-y^2 dy} < \sqrt{\pi} e^{\frac{1}{4}} < \infty$$

where  $y = x - \frac{1}{2}$  and after the change of variables the integration region was enlarged to the whole  $\mathbb{R}$  to get the last inequality.

**4.8** (1 pt). Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\int f(x) dx = 1$  and  $\varphi$  be a continuous function with bounded support. Put  $f_n(x) = nf(nx), n = 1, 2, \dots$  Show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n(x)\varphi(x) \, dx = \varphi(0)$$

*Hint*: Use the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and that any continuous function with bounded support is bounded.

SOLUTION: One infers that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n(x)\varphi(x) \, dx \quad \stackrel{(1)}{=} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f(y)\varphi(y/n) \, dy$$
$$\stackrel{(2)}{=} \quad \int f(y) \lim_{n \to \infty} f(y)\varphi(y/n) \, dy$$
$$\stackrel{(3)}{=} \quad \varphi(0) \int f(y) \, dy \stackrel{(4)}{=} \varphi(0)$$

Here (1) is obtained by changing variables, y = nx so that dy = ndx, (2) follows from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. Any continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with a bounded support must be bounded sup  $|\varphi| = M < \infty$ . Therefore the integrand has an integrable bound independent of n:

$$|f(y)\varphi(y/n)| \le M|f(y)| \in \mathcal{L}$$

and the absolute value of an integrable function is integrable. The equality (3) follows from continuity of  $\varphi$  and (4) holds by the hypothesis.

5.6 (2 pts). Let

$$f(x,y) = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}, \quad (x,y) \in \Omega = (1,\infty) \times (1,\infty)$$

(i) Calculate the iterated integral

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{1}^{\infty} \left| f(x, y) \right| \, dx \right) \, dy$$

Is it true that  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega)$ ?

(ii) Calculate and compare the iterated integrals

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{1}^{\infty} f(x,y) \, dx \right) dy \,, \quad \int_{1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{1}^{\infty} f(x,y) \, dy \right) dx$$

SOLUTION: (i) To evaluate the integral, let us use the identity

$$f(x,y) = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}$$

so that

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{1}^{\infty} |f(x,y)| \, dx \right) dy = \int_{1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{y}^{\infty} - \int_{1}^{y} \right) f(x,y) \, dx \, dy$$
$$= \int_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{1+y^2} \right) \, dy = \infty$$

where the first equality follows from that  $f(x, y) \ge 0$  if  $x \ge y$ , and the second is obtained by the fundamental theorem of calculus and the aforementioned identity. By the first part of Fubini's theorem the function f(x, y) is not integrable on  $(1, \infty) \times (1, \infty)$ .

(ii) By the identity used in Part (i) and the fundamental theorem of calculus

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{1}^{\infty} f(x,y) \, dx \right) dy = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{1+y^2} = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

The second integral is evaluated using the identity

$$f(x,y) = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}$$

and the fundamental theorem of calculus

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{1}^{\infty} f(x, y) \, dy \right) dx = -\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1 + x^2} = -\frac{\pi}{4} \, dx$$

The second part of Fubini's theorem does not hold because the function is not absolutely (Lebesgue) integrable.

8.4 (6 pts). Solution to the Poisson equation. Suppose that  $\rho \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and has a bounded support  $\Omega$ . Suppose that the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth (or piecewise smooth). Prove that

$$\Delta u(x) = -4\pi\rho(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad u(x) = \int \frac{\rho(y)}{|x-y|} d^3y$$

by justifying each of the following assertions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{i}) & u \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}), \quad u \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus \Omega\right), \\ (\mathrm{ii}) & \Delta_{x} \frac{1}{|x-y|} = 0, \quad \forall x \neq y \\ (\mathrm{iii}) & x \notin \Omega \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta u(x) = 0, \\ (\mathrm{iv}) & x \in \Omega \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta u(x) = -\left(\nabla, \int_{\Omega} \rho(y) \nabla_{y} \frac{1}{|x-y|} d^{3}y\right) \\ & = -\int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla_{y} \rho(y), \nabla_{y} \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) d^{3}y \\ & = -\left(\int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(x)} + \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right) \left(\nabla_{y} \rho(y), \nabla_{y} \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) d^{3}y \\ (\mathrm{v}) & \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \left(\nabla_{y} \rho(y), \nabla_{y} \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) d^{3}y = 4\pi\rho(x), \quad x \in \Omega \\ (\mathrm{vi}) & \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \left(\nabla_{y} \rho(y), \nabla_{y} \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) d^{3}y = 0 \end{array}$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the gradient.

SOLUTION: (i) It was proved in this section that the function

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho(y)}{|x - y|^{\alpha}} \, dy \,, \quad |\rho(y)| \le M \,,$$

is from  $C^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega})$ . In the case in question, N = 3,  $\alpha = 1$ , and, hence, p = 1. The integration region  $\Omega$  is the support of  $\rho$  and, hence, a closed subset in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

(ii) By a direct evaluation of partial derivatives

$$\begin{split} \Delta \frac{1}{|x|} &= (\nabla, \nabla) \frac{1}{|x|} = -\left(\nabla, \frac{x}{|x|^3}\right) = -\frac{1}{|x|^3} \left(\nabla, x\right) - \left(x, \nabla \frac{1}{|x|^3}\right) \\ &= -\frac{3}{|x|^3} + \frac{3(x, x)}{|x|^5} = 0, \quad \forall x \neq 0 \end{split}$$

Shifting the variable x by a constant vector y, it is concluded that

$$\Delta_x \frac{1}{|x-y|} = 0, \quad \forall x \neq y$$

(iii) Any partial derivative  $D^{\beta}$  of u(x) for  $x \notin \Omega$  can be evaluated by rearranging the order of integration and differentiation. In particular,

$$\Delta u(x) = \int_{\Omega} \rho(y) \Delta_x \frac{1}{|x-y|} d^3 y = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega,$$

by Part (ii) because  $y \neq x$  if y spans  $\Omega$ .

(iv) If  $x \in \Omega$ , then only the first partials can be evaluated by rearranging the order of integration and differentiation. In this case,

$$\nabla u(x) = \int_{\Omega} \rho(y) \nabla_x \frac{1}{|x-y|} d^3 y \stackrel{(1)}{=} - \int_{\Omega} \rho(y) \nabla_y \frac{1}{|x-y|} d^3 y$$
  
$$\stackrel{(2)}{=} -\oint_{\partial\Omega} \rho(y) \frac{n_y}{|x-y|} dS_y + \int_{\Omega} \nabla_y \rho(y) \frac{1}{|x-y|} d^3 y$$
  
$$\stackrel{(3)}{=} \int_{\Omega} \nabla_y \rho(y) \frac{1}{|x-y|} d^3 y$$

Here: (1) is justified by  $\nabla_x f(x-y) = -\nabla_y f(x-y)$  for any  $C^1$  function f; (2) the divergence theorem was applied to integrate by parts, where  $n_y$  is the outward unit normal on the boundary  $\partial \Omega$  and  $dS_y$  is the surface area element; (3) the surface integral vanishes because  $\rho$  vanishes on  $\partial \Omega$ .

Next, note that the components of the gradient  $\nabla \rho$  are bounded because  $\rho \in C^1$  and has a bounded support (partial derivatives of  $\rho$  vanish outside of a ball, and, hence, by continuity must attain their extreme values). Therefore by the aforementioned theorem, the components of the gradient  $\nabla u$  are from the class  $C^1$  and their partial derivatives can be obtained by changing the order of differentiation and integration. In particular, for  $x \in \Omega$ ,

$$\Delta u(x) = (\nabla, \nabla u) \stackrel{(1)}{=} -\int_{\Omega} \left( \nabla_y \rho(y), \nabla_y \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) d^3 y$$
$$\stackrel{(2)}{=} -\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus B_\varepsilon(x)} + \int_{B_\varepsilon(x)} \right) \left( \nabla_y \rho(y), \nabla_y \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) d^3 y$$

Here (1) is again due to  $\nabla_x f(x-y) = -\nabla_y f(x-y)$  and (2) is due to the additivity of the integral where  $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$  is the ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  centered at x (note that  $\nabla \rho(y) = 0$  if  $y \notin \overline{\Omega}$  for this

reason the second integral can be extended to the whole  $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$  if  $x \in \partial \Omega$ ).

(v) Let us estimate the behavior of the integral over  $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ .

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \left( \nabla_{y} \rho(y), \nabla_{y} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) d^{3}y \right| &\stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \left| \left( \nabla_{y} \rho(y), \nabla_{y} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) \right| d^{3}y \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{\leq} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \left| \nabla_{y} \rho(y) \right| \left| \nabla_{y} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right| d^{3}y \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{\equiv} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \left| \nabla_{y} \rho(y) \right| \frac{1}{|x-y|^{2}} d^{3}y \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{\leq} M \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \frac{d^{3}y}{|x-y|^{2}} = M \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{d^{3}z}{|z|^{2}} \\ &\stackrel{(5)}{\equiv} 4\pi M \varepsilon \to 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0 \end{split}$$

Here (1) is by the properties of the integral;

(2) is by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality for the dot product  $|(a,b)| \leq |a||b|$  for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ; (3) is by a direct evaluation of the gradient,  $|\nabla|x|^{-1}| = |-x|x|^{-3}| = |x|^{-2}$ ;

(4) the gradient  $\nabla \rho$  is continuous on a closed and bounded region  $\Omega$  and, hence, is bounded  $|\nabla \rho| \leq \sup |\nabla \rho| = M$  by the extreme value theorem;

(5) after the change of variables z = y - x so that the new integration region is centered at the origin, the integral is evaluated in spherical coordinates;  $d^3z = r^2 \sin \phi dr d\phi d\theta$ , r = |z|, and  $(r, \phi, \theta) \in [0, \varepsilon] \times [0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$ . It is concluded that the integral over  $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$  tends to 0 as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ .

(vi) Let us analyze the second integral in the limit  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ :

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \left( \nabla_{y} \rho(y), \nabla_{y} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) d^{3}y \\ \stackrel{(1)}{=} \oint_{\partial(\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(x))} \rho(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{y}} \frac{1}{|x-y|} dS_{y} - \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \rho(y) \Delta_{y} \frac{1}{|x-y|} d^{3}y \\ \stackrel{(2)}{=} \oint_{\partial(\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(x))} \rho(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{y}} \frac{1}{|x-y|} dS_{y} + 0 \\ \stackrel{(3)}{=} \left( \oint_{\partial\Omega} + \oint_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \right) \rho(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{y}} \frac{1}{|x-y|} dS_{y} \\ \stackrel{(4)}{=} 0 - \oint_{|z|=\varepsilon} \rho(z+x) \frac{\partial}{\partial|z|} \frac{1}{|z|} dS_{z} \\ \stackrel{(5)}{=} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \oint_{|z|=\varepsilon} \rho(z+x) dS_{z} \end{split}$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \Delta u(x) = -\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \left( \nabla_{y} \rho(y), \nabla_{y} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) d^{3}y$$
$$= -4\pi \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \rho(z_{\varepsilon} + x) \stackrel{(7)}{=} -4\pi \rho(x)$$

Here (1) the Green's formula was used to integrate by parts, and  $n_y$  is the outward unit normal on the boundary of the integration domain (note that the singular point y = x is not in the integration region and, hence, the hypotheses of the Green's formula are met);

(2)  $\Delta_y |y - x|^{-1} = 0$  for any  $y \neq x$ ;

(3) The boundary consists of two pieces,  $\partial\Omega$  and the sphere  $|y-x| = \varepsilon$ , if x is an interior point. Since  $\rho = 0$  on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , The surface integral over  $\partial\Omega$  vanishes. If  $x \in \partial\Omega$ , then the first integral is taken over the part of  $\partial\Omega$  that is not in the ball  $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$ , but the latter does not matter as  $\rho = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  anyway. The second surface integral is taken over the part of the sphere  $\partial B_{\varepsilon}(x)$  lies in  $\Omega$ , but since  $\rho = 0$  outside  $\Omega$ , the integral can be extended to the whole sphere. (4) For any  $x \in \Omega$ , the first surface integral is equal to zero, while the second one is taken over the whole sphere  $|y-x| = \varepsilon$ . In the second integral, put z = y - x so that the normal derivative on the sphere  $|z| = \varepsilon$  oriented toward the origin (the outward normal on the boundary of the integration region) is the negative of the radial derivative, and  $dS_y = dS_z$  since a sphere remains

a sphere under a parallel translation.

(5) The radial derivative on the sphere was evaluated.

(6) The integral mean value theorem was used, where  $z_{\varepsilon}$  is a point on the sphere,  $|z_{\varepsilon}| = \varepsilon$ , and  $4\pi\varepsilon^2$  is the sphere area.

(7) By continuity of  $\rho$  and that  $z_{\varepsilon} \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ .

9.2 (4 pts). Consider the function defined by the Fourier integral

$$F(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(kx)}{1+x^4} \, dx$$

(i) Show that  $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ 

(ii) Show that  $F \in C^3(|k| \ge \delta)$  for any  $\delta > 0$ .

(iii) Use the residue theorem to find an explicit form of F(k). Compute F'''(k). Does it exist for all k?

(iv) Can F'''(k) be obtained by interchanging the order of  $D_k^3$  and integration with respect to x? If so, evaluate the integral after differentiation of the integrand with respect to k.

SOLUTION: (i) One has

$$\left|\frac{\partial^p}{\partial^p k} \frac{\cos(kx)}{1+x^4}\right| \leq \frac{|x|^p}{1+x^4} \in \mathcal{L}, \quad p = 1, 2,$$

and the first and second partial derivatives of the integrand with respect to k are continuous for all x. By Theorem 5.2,  $F \in C^2$ .

(ii) The integrable bound of the third derivative that is independent of the parameter k does not exist. So, put

$$F_n''(k) = -\int_{-n}^n \frac{x^2 \cos(kx)}{1+x^4} \, dx \to F''(k)$$

as  $n \to \infty$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial k}\frac{x^2\cos(kx)}{1+x^4}\right| \le \frac{|x|^3}{1+x^4} \in \mathcal{L}(-n,n)$$

By Theorem 5.2,

$$F_n'''(k) = -2\int_0^n \frac{x^3\sin(kx)}{1+x^4} \, dx$$

The sequence  $F_n'''(k)$  converges by Abel's theorem for conditionally convergent integrals for all  $|k| \ge \delta > 0$  and any such  $\delta$  because

$$\left| \int_{c}^{d} \sin(kx) \, dx \right| = \left| \frac{\cos(kd) - \cos(kc)}{k} \right| \le \frac{2}{|k|} \le \frac{2}{\delta}$$
$$\left( \frac{x^{3}}{1 + x^{4}} \right)' = \frac{x^{2}(3 - x^{4})}{(1 + x^{4})^{2}} < 0, \quad x > 2$$

so that the factor at  $\sin(kx)$  in the integrand is monotonically decreasing for all x > 2. Therefore there exists a function G(k) such that  $F_n'''(k) \to G(k)$  as  $n \to \infty$  for all k (note that G(0) = 0because  $F_n'''(0) = 0$ ). By the second part of Abel's theorem

$$|F_n'''(k) - G(k)| \le 2 \cdot \frac{2}{\delta} \cdot \frac{n^3}{1+n^4}, \quad |k| \ge \delta > 0, \quad n > 2.$$

Therefore  $F_n^{\prime\prime\prime} \to G$  converges uniformly on the set  $|k| \geq \delta > 0$ 

$$\sup_{|k| \ge \delta > 0} |F_n'''(k) - G(k)| \le \frac{4}{\delta} \frac{n^3}{1 + n^4} \to 0$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . By Theorem 1.3, G(k) = F'''(k) and  $F \in C^3(k \neq 0)$  because  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary.

(iii) Consider the function  $f(z) = e^{ikz}(1+z^4)^{-1}$  which is analytic in the complex plane and has four simple poles

$$z_1 = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad z_2 = \frac{i-1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad z_3 = -z_1, \quad z_4 = -z_2$$

Then

$$F(k) = \operatorname{Re} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} f(z) \, dz$$

Let  $k \ge 0$ . Take the closed contour in the complex plane that consists of the interval  $I_R = [-R, R]$  in the real axis and the circular arc  $C_R^+$ : |z| = R, Im  $z \ge 0$ . Then the integral of f over the arc vanishes in the limit  $R \to \infty$  because for all R > 1

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{C_R^+} f(z) dz \right| &= \left| \int_0^{\pi} f(Re^{it}) Re^{it} dt \right| \le \int_0^{\pi} \frac{Re^{-kR\sin(t)}}{|1 + R^4 e^{4it}|} dt \\ &\le \frac{R}{R^4 - 1} \int_0^{\pi} dt = \frac{\pi R}{R^4 - 1} \to 0 \end{split}$$

as  $R \to \infty$ . By the residue theorem

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikx}}{1+x^4} dx = 2\pi i \left( \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} f(z) + \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} f(z) \right) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{e^{ikz_1}}{z_1} - \frac{e^{ikz_2}}{z_2} \right)$$

If  $k \leq 0$ , then the residue theorem is applied to the closed contour that consists of the interval  $I_R$  and the circular arc  $C_R^-$ : |z| = R, Im  $z \leq 0$ . The integral of f over the arc vanishes in the limit  $R \to \infty$  by the same argument. Therefore

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikx}}{1+x^4} dx = -2\pi i \left( \operatorname{Res}_{z_3} f(z) + \operatorname{Res}_{z_4} f(z) \right) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{e^{ikz_4}}{z_4} - \frac{e^{ikz_3}}{z_3} \right)$$

The extra minus sign is due to the negative orientation of the contour. Therefore

$$F(k) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-|k|/\sqrt{2}} \left( \cos(k/\sqrt{2}) + \sin(|k|/\sqrt{2}) \right)$$

It follows from the explicit form of F(k) that  $F \in C^{\infty}(k \neq 0)$ . Near k = 0, using the power series for the exponential and trigonometric functions in the variable  $q = k/\sqrt{2}$ 

$$\begin{split} F(k) &= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \Big( 1 - |q| + \frac{1}{2}q^2 - \frac{1}{6}|q|^3 + O(q^4) \Big) \Big( 1 + |q| - \frac{1}{2}q^2 - \frac{1}{3}|q|^3 + O(q^4) \Big) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \Big( 1 - q^2 + \frac{1}{2}|q|^3 + O(q^4) \Big) \end{split}$$

This shows that  $F \in C^2$  but F'''(0) does not exist.

(iv) No. The said integral does not exist for any  $k \neq 0$  because it does not converge absolutely:

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{\pi n/k}^{\pi (n+1)/k} \frac{x^3 |\sin(kx)|}{1+x^4} \, dx \ge \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2(\frac{\pi n}{k})^3}{1+(\frac{\pi n}{k})^4} = \infty \,, \quad k \neq 0$$

Note that the left-hand side is the integral of the absolute value of the third derivative of the integrand with respect to k over the interval  $(2\pi/k, \infty)$ . If k = 0, then the said integral vanishes but the explicit form of F shows that F'''(0) does not exist. So, the order of  $D_k^3$  and the integration cannot be interchanged for any k.