# On the dimensions of some error-correcting codes

Peter Sin

# Gainesville, September 13, 2007.

Peter Sin On the dimensions of some error-correcting codes

ъ

- LDPC (low density parity check) codes have attracted much attention recently, due to their good performance in theory and practice.
- A main division is into *random* and *structured* types.
- One structured family, constructed using certain bipartite graphs was studied by: J.-L. Kim, U. Peled, I. Perepelitsa, V. Pless, and S. Friedland (2004)
- They conjectured the dimensions of the codes.
- We'll describe the conjecture and its proof (with Q. Xiang).
- The proof involves the geometry of generalized quadrangles and the representation theory of Sp(4, q).

ヘロン ヘアン ヘビン ヘビン

- LDPC (low density parity check) codes have attracted much attention recently, due to their good performance in theory and practice.
- A main division is into random and structured types.
- One structured family, constructed using certain bipartite graphs was studied by: J.-L. Kim, U. Peled, I. Perepelitsa, V. Pless, and S. Friedland (2004)
- They conjectured the dimensions of the codes.
- We'll describe the conjecture and its proof (with Q. Xiang).
- The proof involves the geometry of generalized quadrangles and the representation theory of Sp(4, q).

- LDPC (low density parity check) codes have attracted much attention recently, due to their good performance in theory and practice.
- A main division is into *random* and *structured* types.
- One structured family, constructed using certain bipartite graphs was studied by: J.-L. Kim, U. Peled, I. Perepelitsa, V. Pless, and S. Friedland (2004)
- They conjectured the dimensions of the codes.
- We'll describe the conjecture and its proof (with Q. Xiang).
- The proof involves the geometry of generalized quadrangles and the representation theory of Sp(4, *q*).

- LDPC (low density parity check) codes have attracted much attention recently, due to their good performance in theory and practice.
- A main division is into *random* and *structured* types.
- One structured family, constructed using certain bipartite graphs was studied by: J.-L. Kim, U. Peled, I. Perepelitsa, V. Pless, and S. Friedland (2004)
- They conjectured the dimensions of the codes.
- We'll describe the conjecture and its proof (with Q. Xiang).
- The proof involves the geometry of generalized quadrangles and the representation theory of Sp(4, *q*).

- LDPC (low density parity check) codes have attracted much attention recently, due to their good performance in theory and practice.
- A main division is into *random* and *structured* types.
- One structured family, constructed using certain bipartite graphs was studied by: J.-L. Kim, U. Peled, I. Perepelitsa, V. Pless, and S. Friedland (2004)
- They conjectured the dimensions of the codes.
- We'll describe the conjecture and its proof (with Q. Xiang).
- The proof involves the geometry of generalized quadrangles and the representation theory of Sp(4, *q*).

- LDPC (low density parity check) codes have attracted much attention recently, due to their good performance in theory and practice.
- A main division is into *random* and *structured* types.
- One structured family, constructed using certain bipartite graphs was studied by: J.-L. Kim, U. Peled, I. Perepelitsa, V. Pless, and S. Friedland (2004)
- They conjectured the dimensions of the codes.
- We'll describe the conjecture and its proof (with Q. Xiang).
- The proof involves the geometry of generalized quadrangles and the representation theory of Sp(4, *q*).

- q, any prime power
- $P^*$ ,  $L^*$  be two sets in bijection with  $\mathbf{F}_q^3$
- $(a, b, c) \in P^*$  is incident with  $[x, y, z] \in L^*$  if and only if

$$y = ax + b$$
 and  $z = ay + c.$  (1)

- The binary incidence matrix  $M_2(P^*, L^*)$  and its transpose can be taken as parity check matrices of two codes.
- These codes are designated LU(3, q). We have:

$$\dim \mathrm{LU}(3,q) = q^3 - \mathrm{rank} M_2(P^*,L^*).$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

- q, any prime power
- $P^*$ ,  $L^*$  be two sets in bijection with  $\mathbf{F}_q^3$
- $(a, b, c) \in P^*$  is incident with  $[x, y, z] \in L^*$  if and only if

$$y = ax + b$$
 and  $z = ay + c.$  (1)

- The binary incidence matrix  $M_2(P^*, L^*)$  and its transpose can be taken as parity check matrices of two codes.
- These codes are designated LU(3, q). We have:

$$\dim \mathrm{LU}(3,q) = q^3 - \mathrm{rank} M_2(P^*,L^*).$$

▲御♪ ▲ヨ♪ ▲ヨ♪ 二旦

- q, any prime power
- $P^*$ ,  $L^*$  be two sets in bijection with  $\mathbf{F}_q^3$
- $(a, b, c) \in P^*$  is incident with  $[x, y, z] \in L^*$  if and only if

$$y = ax + b$$
 and  $z = ay + c$ . (1)

- The binary incidence matrix  $M_2(P^*, L^*)$  and its transpose can be taken as parity check matrices of two codes.
- These codes are designated LU(3, q). We have:

$$\dim \mathrm{LU}(3,q) = q^3 - \mathrm{rank} M_2(P^*,L^*).$$

▲御▶ ▲理▶ ▲理▶ 二連

- q, any prime power
- $P^*$ ,  $L^*$  be two sets in bijection with  $\mathbf{F}_q^3$
- (*a*, *b*, *c*) ∈ *P*<sup>\*</sup> is incident with [*x*, *y*, *z*] ∈ *L*<sup>\*</sup> if and only if

$$y = ax + b$$
 and  $z = ay + c$ . (1)

 The binary incidence matrix M<sub>2</sub>(P\*, L\*) and its transpose can be taken as parity check matrices of two codes.

• These codes are designated LU(3, q). We have:

$$\dim LU(3,q) = q^3 - \operatorname{rank} M_2(P^*, L^*).$$

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 回 ▶ ▲ 回 ▶ ― 回

- q, any prime power
- $P^*$ ,  $L^*$  be two sets in bijection with  $\mathbf{F}_q^3$
- (*a*, *b*, *c*) ∈ *P*<sup>\*</sup> is incident with [*x*, *y*, *z*] ∈ *L*<sup>\*</sup> if and only if

$$y = ax + b$$
 and  $z = ay + c$ . (1)

- The binary incidence matrix M<sub>2</sub>(P\*, L\*) and its transpose can be taken as parity check matrices of two codes.
- These codes are designated LU(3, q). We have:

$$\dim \mathrm{LU}(3,q) = q^3 - \mathrm{rank} M_2(P^*,L^*).$$

(同) (日) (日) 三日

# • Conjecture: If q is odd, the dimension of LU(3, q) is $(q^3 - 2q^2 + 3q - 2)/2$ .

• This number was known to be a lower bound when *q* is an odd prime.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト - 三日

- Conjecture: If q is odd, the dimension of LU(3, q) is  $(q^3 2q^2 + 3q 2)/2$ .
- This number was known to be a lower bound when *q* is an odd prime.

▲□ → ▲ □ → ▲ □ → ▲ □ → ④ Q ()

- q, any prime power
- (*V*, (., .)), a 4-dimensional **F**<sub>q</sub>-vector space with a nonsingular alternating bilinear form
- *e*<sub>0</sub>,*e*<sub>1</sub>, *e*<sub>2</sub>, *e*<sub>3</sub>, a symplectic basis such that
  (*e*<sub>0</sub>, *e*<sub>3</sub>) = (*e*<sub>1</sub>, *e*<sub>2</sub>) = 1
- x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>, coordinates for basis
- $P = \mathbf{P}(V)$ , the set of points of the projective space of V
- *L*, the set of totally isotropic 2-dimensional subspaces of *V*, considered as lines in *P*
- (*P*, *L*) is called the *symplectic generalized quadrangle*.

- q, any prime power
- (V, (.,.)), a 4-dimensional F<sub>q</sub>-vector space with a nonsingular alternating bilinear form
- $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3$ , a symplectic basis such that  $(e_0, e_3) = (e_1, e_2) = 1$
- x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>, coordinates for basis
- $P = \mathbf{P}(V)$ , the set of points of the projective space of V
- *L*, the set of totally isotropic 2-dimensional subspaces of *V*, considered as lines in *P*
- (*P*, *L*) is called the *symplectic generalized quadrangle*.

- q, any prime power
- (V, (.,.)), a 4-dimensional F<sub>q</sub>-vector space with a nonsingular alternating bilinear form
- $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3$ , a symplectic basis such that  $(e_0, e_3) = (e_1, e_2) = 1$
- x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>, coordinates for basis
- $P = \mathbf{P}(V)$ , the set of points of the projective space of V
- *L*, the set of totally isotropic 2-dimensional subspaces of *V*, considered as lines in *P*
- (*P*, *L*) is called the *symplectic generalized quadrangle*.

- q, any prime power
- (V, (.,.)), a 4-dimensional F<sub>q</sub>-vector space with a nonsingular alternating bilinear form
- $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3$ , a symplectic basis such that  $(e_0, e_3) = (e_1, e_2) = 1$
- x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>, coordinates for basis
- $P = \mathbf{P}(V)$ , the set of points of the projective space of V
- *L*, the set of totally isotropic 2-dimensional subspaces of *V*, considered as lines in *P*
- (*P*, *L*) is called the *symplectic generalized quadrangle*.

- q, any prime power
- (V, (.,.)), a 4-dimensional F<sub>q</sub>-vector space with a nonsingular alternating bilinear form
- $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3$ , a symplectic basis such that  $(e_0, e_3) = (e_1, e_2) = 1$
- x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>, coordinates for basis
- $P = \mathbf{P}(V)$ , the set of points of the projective space of V
- *L*, the set of totally isotropic 2-dimensional subspaces of *V*, considered as lines in *P*
- (*P*, *L*) is called the *symplectic generalized quadrangle*.

イロン 不得 とくほ とくほう 一座

- q, any prime power
- (V, (.,.)), a 4-dimensional F<sub>q</sub>-vector space with a nonsingular alternating bilinear form
- $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3$ , a symplectic basis such that  $(e_0, e_3) = (e_1, e_2) = 1$
- x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>, coordinates for basis
- $P = \mathbf{P}(V)$ , the set of points of the projective space of V
- *L*, the set of totally isotropic 2-dimensional subspaces of *V*, considered as lines in *P*
- (*P*, *L*) is called the *symplectic generalized quadrangle*.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一座

- q, any prime power
- (V, (.,.)), a 4-dimensional F<sub>q</sub>-vector space with a nonsingular alternating bilinear form
- $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3$ , a symplectic basis such that  $(e_0, e_3) = (e_1, e_2) = 1$
- x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>, coordinates for basis
- $P = \mathbf{P}(V)$ , the set of points of the projective space of V
- *L*, the set of totally isotropic 2-dimensional subspaces of *V*, considered as lines in *P*
- (*P*, *L*) is called the *symplectic generalized quadrangle*.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

# Quadrangle property

Given any line and any point not on the line, there is a unique line which passes though the given point and meets the given line.



# • $p_0 = \langle e_0 \rangle$ and $\ell_0 = \langle e_0, e_1 \rangle$ .

- $p^{\perp}$ , the set of points on lines through the point p
- $P_1 = P \setminus p_0^{\perp}$
- $L_1$ , the set of lines in L which do not meet  $\ell_0$
- We have new incidence systems  $(P_1, L_1), (P, L_1), (P_1, L)$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一座

- $p_0 = \langle e_0 \rangle$  and  $\ell_0 = \langle e_0, e_1 \rangle$ .
- $p^{\perp}$ , the set of points on lines through the point p
- $P_1 = P \setminus p_0^{\perp}$
- $L_1$ , the set of lines in L which do not meet  $\ell_0$
- We have new incidence systems  $(P_1, L_1), (P, L_1), (P_1, L)$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

- $p_0 = \langle e_0 \rangle$  and  $\ell_0 = \langle e_0, e_1 \rangle$ .
- $p^{\perp}$ , the set of points on lines through the point p
- $P_1 = P \setminus p_0^{\perp}$
- $L_1$ , the set of lines in L which do not meet  $\ell_0$
- We have new incidence systems  $(P_1, L_1), (P, L_1), (P_1, L)$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一座

- $p_0 = \langle e_0 \rangle$  and  $\ell_0 = \langle e_0, e_1 \rangle$ .
- $p^{\perp}$ , the set of points on lines through the point p
- $P_1 = P \setminus p_0^{\perp}$
- $L_1$ , the set of lines in L which do not meet  $\ell_0$
- We have new incidence systems  $(P_1, L_1), (P, L_1), (P_1, L)$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

- $p_0 = \langle e_0 \rangle$  and  $\ell_0 = \langle e_0, e_1 \rangle$ .
- $p^{\perp}$ , the set of points on lines through the point p
- $P_1 = P \setminus p_0^{\perp}$
- L<sub>1</sub>, the set of lines in L which do not meet  $\ell_0$
- We have new incidence systems  $(P_1, L_1), (P, L_1), (P_1, L)$ .

(四)((日)(日)(日)(日)

# • We will see below that (*P*<sub>1</sub>, *L*<sub>1</sub>) is equivalent to the system (*P*<sup>\*</sup>, *L*<sup>\*</sup>).

So we want to prove:

#### Theorem

Assume q is odd. The rank of  $M_2(P_1, L_1)$  equals  $(q^3 + 2q^2 - 3q + 2)/2$ .

A known result is:

#### Theorem

(Bagchi-Brouwer-Wilbrink) Assume q is a power of an odd prime. Then the rank of  $M_2(P, L)$  is  $(q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 2)/2$ .

• Note that the difference in ranks is 2q.

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

- We will see below that (*P*<sub>1</sub>, *L*<sub>1</sub>) is equivalent to the system (*P*<sup>\*</sup>, *L*<sup>\*</sup>).
- So we want to prove:

Assume q is odd. The rank of  $M_2(P_1, L_1)$  equals  $(q^3 + 2q^2 - 3q + 2)/2$ .

• A known result is:

#### Theorem

(Bagchi-Brouwer-Wilbrink) Assume q is a power of an odd prime. Then the rank of  $M_2(P, L)$  is  $(q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 2)/2$ .

• Note that the difference in ranks is 2q.

- We will see below that (*P*<sub>1</sub>, *L*<sub>1</sub>) is equivalent to the system (*P*<sup>\*</sup>, *L*<sup>\*</sup>).
- So we want to prove:

Assume q is odd. The rank of  $M_2(P_1, L_1)$  equals  $(q^3 + 2q^2 - 3q + 2)/2$ .

A known result is:

#### Theorem

(Bagchi-Brouwer-Wilbrink) Assume q is a power of an odd prime. Then the rank of  $M_2(P, L)$  is  $(q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 2)/2$ .

• Note that the difference in ranks is 2q.

- We will see below that (*P*<sub>1</sub>, *L*<sub>1</sub>) is equivalent to the system (*P*<sup>\*</sup>, *L*<sup>\*</sup>).
- So we want to prove:

Assume q is odd. The rank of  $M_2(P_1, L_1)$  equals  $(q^3 + 2q^2 - 3q + 2)/2$ .

# A known result is:

#### Theorem

(Bagchi-Brouwer-Wilbrink) Assume q is a power of an odd prime. Then the rank of  $M_2(P,L)$  is  $(q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 2)/2$ .

• Note that the difference in ranks is 2q.

- We will see below that (*P*<sub>1</sub>, *L*<sub>1</sub>) is equivalent to the system (*P*<sup>\*</sup>, *L*<sup>\*</sup>).
- So we want to prove:

Assume q is odd. The rank of  $M_2(P_1, L_1)$  equals  $(q^3 + 2q^2 - 3q + 2)/2$ .

• A known result is:

# Theorem

(Bagchi-Brouwer-Wilbrink) Assume q is a power of an odd prime. Then the rank of  $M_2(P, L)$  is  $(q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 2)/2$ .

• Note that the difference in ranks is 2q.

- We will see below that (*P*<sub>1</sub>, *L*<sub>1</sub>) is equivalent to the system (*P*<sup>\*</sup>, *L*<sup>\*</sup>).
- So we want to prove:

Assume q is odd. The rank of  $M_2(P_1, L_1)$  equals  $(q^3 + 2q^2 - 3q + 2)/2$ .

• A known result is:

### Theorem

(Bagchi-Brouwer-Wilbrink) Assume q is a power of an odd prime. Then the rank of  $M_2(P, L)$  is  $(q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 2)/2$ .

• Note that the difference in ranks is 2q.

Next, see  $(P_1, L_1) \cong (P^*, L^*)$ , for *q* any prime power.



◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

# Coordinates of P1

# x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub> be homogeneous coordinates of *P* p<sub>0</sub> = ⟨e<sub>0</sub>⟩ P<sub>1</sub> = {(x<sub>0</sub> : x<sub>1</sub> : x<sub>2</sub> : x<sub>3</sub>) | x<sub>3</sub> ≠ 0} = {(a : b : c : 1) |, a, b, c ∈ F<sub>q</sub>} ≅ F<sub>q</sub><sup>3</sup>.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ のへで

# Coordinates of P1

 x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub> be homogeneous coordinates of *P* p<sub>0</sub> = ⟨e<sub>0</sub>⟩
 P<sub>1</sub> = {(x<sub>0</sub> : x<sub>1</sub> : x<sub>2</sub> : x<sub>3</sub>) | x<sub>3</sub> ≠ 0} = {(a : b : c : 1) |, a, b, c ∈ F<sub>q</sub>} ≅ F<sub>q</sub><sup>3</sup>.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ のへで
Coordinates of P1

•  $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3$  be homogeneous coordinates of *P* •  $p_0 = \langle e_0 \rangle$ •  $P_1 = \{ (x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3) \mid x_3 \neq 0 \}$  $= \{ (a : b : c : 1) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbf{F}_a \} \cong \mathbf{F}_a^3.$ 

(2)

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

- $e_i \wedge e_j$ ,  $0 \le i < j \le 3$ , basis of the exterior square  $\wedge^2(V)$
- *p*<sub>01</sub>, *p*<sub>02</sub>, *p*<sub>03</sub>, *p*<sub>12</sub>, *p*<sub>13</sub>, *p*<sub>23</sub>, homogeneous coordinates for P(∧<sup>2</sup>(V))
- If *W* is a 2-dimensional subspace of *V* then  $\wedge^2(W) \in \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2(V))$ .
- If  $W = \langle (a_0 : a_1 : a_2 : a_3), (b_0 : b_1 : b_2 : b_3) \rangle$  then  $\wedge^2(W)$  has coordinates  $p_{ij} = a_i b_j a_j b_i$ , its *Grassmann-Plücker* coordinates.
- The totality of points of  $P(\wedge^2(V))$  obtained from all W forms the set with equation  $p_{01}p_{23} p_{02}p_{13} + p_{03}p_{12} = 0$ , called the *Klein Quadric*.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

- $e_i \wedge e_j$ ,  $0 \le i < j \le 3$ , basis of the exterior square  $\wedge^2(V)$
- *p*<sub>01</sub>, *p*<sub>02</sub>, *p*<sub>03</sub>, *p*<sub>12</sub>, *p*<sub>13</sub>, *p*<sub>23</sub>, homogeneous coordinates for P(∧<sup>2</sup>(V))
- If *W* is a 2-dimensional subspace of *V* then  $\wedge^2(W) \in \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2(V))$ .
- If  $W = \langle (a_0 : a_1 : a_2 : a_3), (b_0 : b_1 : b_2 : b_3) \rangle$  then  $\wedge^2(W)$  has coordinates  $p_{ij} = a_i b_j a_j b_i$ , its *Grassmann-Plücker* coordinates.
- The totality of points of P(∧<sup>2</sup>(V)) obtained from all W forms the set with equation p<sub>01</sub>p<sub>23</sub> − p<sub>02</sub>p<sub>13</sub> + p<sub>03</sub>p<sub>12</sub> = 0, called the *Klein Quadric*.

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

- $e_i \wedge e_j$ ,  $0 \le i < j \le 3$ , basis of the exterior square  $\wedge^2(V)$
- *p*<sub>01</sub>, *p*<sub>02</sub>, *p*<sub>03</sub>, *p*<sub>12</sub>, *p*<sub>13</sub>, *p*<sub>23</sub>, homogeneous coordinates for P(∧<sup>2</sup>(V))
- If *W* is a 2-dimensional subspace of *V* then  $\wedge^2(W) \in \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2(V))$ .
- If  $W = \langle (a_0 : a_1 : a_2 : a_3), (b_0 : b_1 : b_2 : b_3) \rangle$  then  $\wedge^2(W)$  has coordinates  $p_{ij} = a_i b_j a_j b_i$ , its *Grassmann-Plücker* coordinates.
- The totality of points of  $P(\wedge^2(V))$  obtained from all W forms the set with equation  $p_{01}p_{23} p_{02}p_{13} + p_{03}p_{12} = 0$ , called the *Klein Quadric*.

(日本)(日本)(日本)(日本)

- $e_i \wedge e_j$ ,  $0 \le i < j \le 3$ , basis of the exterior square  $\wedge^2(V)$
- *p*<sub>01</sub>, *p*<sub>02</sub>, *p*<sub>03</sub>, *p*<sub>12</sub>, *p*<sub>13</sub>, *p*<sub>23</sub>, homogeneous coordinates for P(∧<sup>2</sup>(V))
- If *W* is a 2-dimensional subspace of *V* then  $\wedge^2(W) \in \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2(V))$ .
- If  $W = \langle (a_0 : a_1 : a_2 : a_3), (b_0 : b_1 : b_2 : b_3) \rangle$  then  $\wedge^2(W)$  has coordinates  $p_{ij} = a_i b_j a_j b_i$ , its *Grassmann-Plücker* coordinates.
- The totality of points of P(∧<sup>2</sup>(V)) obtained from all W forms the set with equation p<sub>01</sub>p<sub>23</sub> p<sub>02</sub>p<sub>13</sub> + p<sub>03</sub>p<sub>12</sub> = 0, called the *Klein Quadric*.

(日本)(日本)(日本)(日本)

- $e_i \wedge e_j$ ,  $0 \le i < j \le 3$ , basis of the exterior square  $\wedge^2(V)$
- *p*<sub>01</sub>, *p*<sub>02</sub>, *p*<sub>03</sub>, *p*<sub>12</sub>, *p*<sub>13</sub>, *p*<sub>23</sub>, homogeneous coordinates for P(∧<sup>2</sup>(V))
- If *W* is a 2-dimensional subspace of *V* then  $\wedge^2(W) \in \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2(V))$ .
- If  $W = \langle (a_0 : a_1 : a_2 : a_3), (b_0 : b_1 : b_2 : b_3) \rangle$  then  $\wedge^2(W)$  has coordinates  $p_{ij} = a_i b_j a_j b_i$ , its *Grassmann-Plücker* coordinates.
- The totality of points of P(∧<sup>2</sup>(V)) obtained from all W forms the set with equation p<sub>01</sub>p<sub>23</sub> − p<sub>02</sub>p<sub>13</sub> + p<sub>03</sub>p<sub>12</sub> = 0, called the *Klein Quadric*.

▲御♪ ▲ヨ♪ ▲ヨ♪ 二旦

- *L* corresponds to the subset of points of the Klein quadric which satisfy the additional linear equation  $p_{03} = -p_{12}$ .
- $\ell_0 = \langle (1:0:0:0), (0:1:0:0) \rangle$
- $L_1$  is the subset of *L* given by  $p_{23} \neq 0$ .

• The quadratic relation yields

$$L_{1} \cong \{ (z^{2} + xy : x : z : -z : y : 1) \mid x, y, z \in \mathbf{F}_{q} \}$$
  
$$\cong \mathbf{F}_{q}^{3}.$$
(3)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一座

- *L* corresponds to the subset of points of the Klein quadric which satisfy the additional linear equation  $p_{03} = -p_{12}$ .
- $\ell_0 = \langle (1:0:0:0), (0:1:0:0) \rangle$
- $L_1$  is the subset of *L* given by  $p_{23} \neq 0$ .

• The quadratic relation yields

$$L_{1} \cong \{ (z^{2} + xy : x : z : -z : y : 1) \mid x, y, z \in \mathbf{F}_{q} \}$$
  
$$\cong \mathbf{F}_{q}^{3}.$$
(3)

- *L* corresponds to the subset of points of the Klein quadric which satisfy the additional linear equation  $p_{03} = -p_{12}$ .
- $\ell_0 = \langle (1:0:0:0), (0:1:0:0) \rangle$
- $L_1$  is the subset of L given by  $p_{23} \neq 0$ .

• The quadratic relation yields

$$L_{1} \cong \{ (z^{2} + xy : x : z : -z : y : 1) \mid x, y, z \in \mathbf{F}_{q} \}$$
  
$$\cong \mathbf{F}_{q}^{3}.$$
(3)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

- *L* corresponds to the subset of points of the Klein quadric which satisfy the additional linear equation  $p_{03} = -p_{12}$ .
- $\ell_0 = \langle (1:0:0:0), (0:1:0:0) \rangle$
- $L_1$  is the subset of L given by  $p_{23} \neq 0$ .
- The quadratic relation yields

$$L_1 \cong \{ (z^2 + xy : x : z : -z : y : 1) \mid x, y, z \in \mathbf{F}_q \}$$
  
$$\cong \mathbf{F}_q^3.$$
(3)

▲御 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 二 臣

#### Incidence equations

- When is (a: b: c: 1) ∈ P<sub>1</sub> on (z<sup>2</sup> + xy : x : z : -z : y : 1) ∈ L<sub>1</sub>?
- If the line is spanned by points with homogeneous coordinates (a<sub>0</sub> : a<sub>1</sub> : a<sub>2</sub> : a<sub>3</sub>) and (b<sub>0</sub> : b<sub>1</sub> : b<sub>2</sub> : b<sub>3</sub>). The given point and line are incident if and only if all 3 × 3 minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & 1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_0 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

are zero.

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 回 ▶ ▲ 回 ▶ ― 回

Incidence equations

- When is (a : b : c : 1) ∈ P<sub>1</sub> on (z<sup>2</sup> + xy : x : z : -z : y : 1) ∈ L<sub>1</sub>?
- If the line is spanned by points with homogeneous coordinates (a<sub>0</sub> : a<sub>1</sub> : a<sub>2</sub> : a<sub>3</sub>) and (b<sub>0</sub> : b<sub>1</sub> : b<sub>2</sub> : b<sub>3</sub>). The given point and line are incident if and only if all 3 × 3 minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & 1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_0 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4)

are zero.

★ 문 ► ★ 문 ►

The four equations which result reduce to the two equations

$$z = -cy + b,$$
  $x = cz - a.$  (5)

• Hence  $(P_1, L_1)$  and  $(P^*, L^*)$  are equivalent.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ のへで

The four equations which result reduce to the two equations

$$z = -cy + b,$$
  $x = cz - a.$  (5)

• Hence  $(P_1, L_1)$  and  $(P^*, L^*)$  are equivalent.

同 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト ヨ うくぐ

- F<sub>2</sub>[P], the vector space of all F<sub>2</sub>-valued functions on P
- Abuse notation slightly, identify points and lines with their characteristic functions in **F**<sub>2</sub>[*P*].
- C(P, L), the subspace of  $\mathbf{F}_2[P]$  spanned by the  $\ell \in L$ .
- $C(P, L_1)$ , the subspace generated by lines in  $L_1$
- $\pi_{P_1}$  :  $\mathbf{F}_2[P] \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_2[P_1]$ , natural projection map
- $C(P_1, L) = \pi_{P_1}(C(P, L)), C(P_1, L_1) = \pi_{P_1}(C(P, L_1))$

- F<sub>2</sub>[P], the vector space of all F<sub>2</sub>-valued functions on P
- Abuse notation slightly, identify points and lines with their characteristic functions in F<sub>2</sub>[P].
- C(P, L), the subspace of  $\mathbf{F}_2[P]$  spanned by the  $\ell \in L$ .
- $C(P, L_1)$ , the subspace generated by lines in  $L_1$
- $\pi_{P_1}: \mathbf{F}_2[P] \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_2[P_1]$ , natural projection map
- $C(P_1, L) = \pi_{P_1}(C(P, L)), C(P_1, L_1) = \pi_{P_1}(C(P, L_1))$

- F<sub>2</sub>[P], the vector space of all F<sub>2</sub>-valued functions on P
- Abuse notation slightly, identify points and lines with their characteristic functions in F<sub>2</sub>[P].
- C(P, L), the subspace of  $\mathbf{F}_2[P]$  spanned by the  $\ell \in L$ .
- $C(P, L_1)$ , the subspace generated by lines in  $L_1$
- $\pi_{P_1}: \mathbf{F}_2[P] \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_2[P_1]$ , natural projection map
- $C(P_1, L) = \pi_{P_1}(C(P, L)), C(P_1, L_1) = \pi_{P_1}(C(P, L_1))$

- F<sub>2</sub>[P], the vector space of all F<sub>2</sub>-valued functions on P
- Abuse notation slightly, identify points and lines with their characteristic functions in F<sub>2</sub>[P].
- C(P, L), the subspace of  $\mathbf{F}_2[P]$  spanned by the  $\ell \in L$ .
- $C(P, L_1)$ , the subspace generated by lines in  $L_1$
- $\pi_{P_1}: \mathbf{F}_2[P] \to \mathbf{F}_2[P_1]$ , natural projection map
- $C(P_1, L) = \pi_{P_1}(C(P, L)), C(P_1, L_1) = \pi_{P_1}(C(P, L_1))$

- F<sub>2</sub>[P], the vector space of all F<sub>2</sub>-valued functions on P
- Abuse notation slightly, identify points and lines with their characteristic functions in F<sub>2</sub>[P].
- C(P, L), the subspace of  $\mathbf{F}_2[P]$  spanned by the  $\ell \in L$ .
- $C(P, L_1)$ , the subspace generated by lines in  $L_1$
- $\pi_{P_1}: \mathbf{F}_2[P] \to \mathbf{F}_2[P_1]$ , natural projection map
- $C(P_1, L) = \pi_{P_1}(C(P, L)), C(P_1, L_1) = \pi_{P_1}(C(P, L_1))$

- F<sub>2</sub>[P], the vector space of all F<sub>2</sub>-valued functions on P
- Abuse notation slightly, identify points and lines with their characteristic functions in F<sub>2</sub>[P].
- C(P, L), the subspace of  $\mathbf{F}_2[P]$  spanned by the  $\ell \in L$ .
- $C(P, L_1)$ , the subspace generated by lines in  $L_1$
- $\pi_{P_1}: \mathbf{F}_2[P] \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_2[P_1]$ , natural projection map
- $C(P_1, L) = \pi_{P_1}(C(P, L)), C(P_1, L_1) = \pi_{P_1}(C(P, L_1))$

# Z ⊂ C(P, L<sub>1</sub>), a set of lines in L<sub>1</sub> which maps bijectively under π<sub>P1</sub> to a basis of C(P<sub>1</sub>, L<sub>1</sub>)

- *X*, the set of the lines through  $p_0$  and let  $X_0 = X \setminus \{\ell_0\}$
- Y be any q lines which meet l<sub>0</sub> in the q distinct points other than p<sub>0</sub>

• 
$$|X_0 \cup Y| = 2q$$
 (cf. Theorem 1).



- Z ⊂ C(P, L<sub>1</sub>), a set of lines in L<sub>1</sub> which maps bijectively under π<sub>P1</sub> to a basis of C(P<sub>1</sub>, L<sub>1</sub>)
- *X*, the set of the lines through  $p_0$  and let  $X_0 = X \setminus \{\ell_0\}$
- Y be any q lines which meet l<sub>0</sub> in the q distinct points other than p<sub>0</sub>
- $|X_0 \cup Y| = 2q$  (cf. Theorem 1).



- Z ⊂ C(P, L<sub>1</sub>), a set of lines in L<sub>1</sub> which maps bijectively under π<sub>P1</sub> to a basis of C(P<sub>1</sub>, L<sub>1</sub>)
- *X*, the set of the lines through  $p_0$  and let  $X_0 = X \setminus \{\ell_0\}$
- Y be any q lines which meet ℓ<sub>0</sub> in the q distinct points other than p<sub>0</sub>

•  $|X_0 \cup Y| = 2q$  (cf. Theorem 1).



- Z ⊂ C(P, L<sub>1</sub>), a set of lines in L<sub>1</sub> which maps bijectively under π<sub>P1</sub> to a basis of C(P<sub>1</sub>, L<sub>1</sub>)
- *X*, the set of the lines through  $p_0$  and let  $X_0 = X \setminus \{\ell_0\}$
- Y be any q lines which meet l<sub>0</sub> in the q distinct points other than p<sub>0</sub>

• 
$$|X_0 \cup Y| = 2q$$
 (cf. Theorem 1).



#### Lemma

 $Z \cup X_0 \cup Y$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbf{F}_2$ .

#### Corollary

# $\dim_{\mathsf{F}_2}\mathrm{LU}(3,q)\geq q^3-\dim_{\mathsf{F}_2}C(P,L)+2q. \tag{(4)}$

Peter Sin On the dimensions of some error-correcting codes

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三目 のへで

### Lemma

 $Z \cup X_0 \cup Y$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbf{F}_2$ .

# Corollary

$$\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} \mathrm{LU}(3,q) \ge q^3 - \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} C(P,L) + 2q. \tag{6}$$

Peter Sin On the dimensions of some error-correcting codes

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

Proof of Theorem 1

Assume that *q* is odd. By Corollary 4 the proof of Theorem 1 will be completed if we can show that  $Z \cup X_0 \cup Y$  spans C(P, L) as a vector space over  $\mathbf{F}_2$ .

## Geometric arguments

#### Lemma

Let  $\ell \in L$ . Then the sum of all lines which meet  $\ell$  (excluding  $\ell$  itself) is the constant function 1.

#### Proof.

The function given by the sum takes the value  $q \equiv 1$  at any point of  $\ell$  and value 1 at any point off  $\ell$ , by the quadrangle property.

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

Geometric arguments

#### Lemma

Let  $\ell \in L$ . Then the sum of all lines which meet  $\ell$  (excluding  $\ell$  itself) is the constant function 1.

### Proof.

The function given by the sum takes the value  $q \equiv 1$  at any point of  $\ell$  and value 1 at any point off  $\ell$ , by the quadrangle property.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

## Similarly:

#### Lemma

Let  $\ell \neq \ell_0$  be a line which meets  $\ell_0$  at a point p. Let  $\Phi_\ell$  be the sum of all lines in  $L_1$  which meet  $\ell$ . Then

$$\Phi_{\ell}(p') = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p' = p; \\ q, & \text{if } p' \in \ell \setminus \{p\}; \\ 0, & \text{if } p' \in p^{\perp} \setminus \ell; \\ 1, & \text{if } p' \in P \setminus p^{\perp}. \end{cases}$$
(7)

#### Corollary

Let  $p \in \ell_0$  and let  $\ell$ ,  $\ell'$  be two lines through p, neither equal to  $\ell_0$ . Then  $\ell - \ell' \in C(P, L_1)$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 三臣

## Similarly:

#### Lemma

Let  $\ell \neq \ell_0$  be a line which meets  $\ell_0$  at a point p. Let  $\Phi_\ell$  be the sum of all lines in  $L_1$  which meet  $\ell$ . Then

$$\Phi_{\ell}(\boldsymbol{p}') = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \boldsymbol{p}' = \boldsymbol{p}; \\ \boldsymbol{q}, & \text{if } \boldsymbol{p}' \in \ell \setminus \{\boldsymbol{p}\}; \\ 0, & \text{if } \boldsymbol{p}' \in \boldsymbol{p}^{\perp} \setminus \ell; \\ 1, & \text{if } \boldsymbol{p}' \in \boldsymbol{P} \setminus \boldsymbol{p}^{\perp}. \end{cases}$$
(7)

#### Corollary

Let  $p \in \ell_0$  and let  $\ell$ ,  $\ell'$  be two lines through p, neither equal to  $\ell_0$ . Then  $\ell - \ell' \in C(P, L_1)$ .

<ロ> <問> <問> < 同> < 同> < 同> < 同> < 同

## Some representation theory

#### Lemma

ker  $\pi_{P_1} \cap C(P, L)$  has dimension q + 1, with basis X.

## Proof:

Let G<sub>p0</sub> be the stabilizer in Sp(V) of p0.

ker  $\pi_{P_1} = \mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp}] = \mathbf{F}_2[\{p_0\}] \oplus \mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp} \setminus \{p_0\}]$  (8)

as an  $\mathbf{F}_2 G_{p_0}$ -module. Clearly  $\mathbf{F}_2[\{p_0\}]$  is a one-dimensional trivial  $\mathbf{F}_2 G_{p_0}$ -module.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

## Some representation theory

#### Lemma

ker  $\pi_{P_1} \cap C(P, L)$  has dimension q + 1, with basis X.

## Proof:

• Let  $G_{p_0}$  be the stabilizer in Sp(V) of  $p_0$ .

#### 0

# ker $\pi_{P_1} = \mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp}] = \mathbf{F}_2[\{p_0\}] \oplus \mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp} \setminus \{p_0\}]$ (8)

as an  $\mathbf{F}_2 G_{p_0}$ -module. Clearly  $\mathbf{F}_2[\{p_0\}]$  is a one-dimensional trivial  $\mathbf{F}_2 G_{p_0}$ -module.

Some representation theory

#### Lemma

ker  $\pi_{P_1} \cap C(P, L)$  has dimension q + 1, with basis X.

## Proof:

• Let  $G_{p_0}$  be the stabilizer in Sp(V) of  $p_0$ .

#### ۲

ker 
$$\pi_{P_1} = \mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp}] = \mathbf{F}_2[\{p_0\}] \oplus \mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp} \setminus \{p_0\}]$$
 (8)

as an  $F_2G_{p_0}$ -module. Clearly  $F_2[\{p_0\}]$  is a one-dimensional trivial  $F_2G_{p_0}$ -module.

We consider the following subgroups of G<sub>ρ<sub>0</sub></sub>.

$$Q = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbf{F}_q \right\}, \quad Z(Q) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid c \in \mathbf{F}_q \right\}$$
(9)

- Q ⊲ G<sub>p0</sub>, Q/Z(Q) is elementary abelian of order q<sup>2</sup> and Z(Q) acts trivially on p<sup>⊥</sup><sub>0</sub>.
- Since *Q* has odd order, it acts semisimply on F<sub>2</sub>[*p*<sup>⊥</sup><sub>0</sub>] and we can compute the decomposition.

( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( )

We consider the following subgroups of G<sub>ρ<sub>0</sub></sub>.

$$Q = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbf{F}_q \right\}, \quad Z(Q) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid c \in \mathbf{F}_q \right\}$$
(9)

- $Q \lhd G_{p_0}$ , Q/Z(Q) is elementary abelian of order  $q^2$  and Z(Q) acts trivially on  $p_0^{\perp}$ .
- Since Q has odd order, it acts semisimply on F<sub>2</sub>[p<sub>0</sub><sup>⊥</sup>] and we can compute the decomposition.

個人 くほん くほん しほ
We consider the following subgroups of G<sub>ρ<sub>0</sub></sub>.

$$Q = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbf{F}_q \right\}, \quad Z(Q) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid c \in \mathbf{F}_q \right\}$$
(9)

- $Q \triangleleft G_{p_0}$ , Q/Z(Q) is elementary abelian of order  $q^2$  and Z(Q) acts trivially on  $p_0^{\perp}$ .
- Since Q has odd order, it acts semisimply on F<sub>2</sub>[p<sup>⊥</sup><sub>0</sub>] and we can compute the decomposition.

- モン・モン・ 早

$$\mathbf{F}_{2}[\boldsymbol{\rho}_{0}^{\perp}] = T \oplus \boldsymbol{W}, \tag{10}$$

where *T* is the q + 2-dimensional space of *Q*-fixed points and *W* is simple of dimension  $q^2 - 1$ .

The intersection

$$\ker \pi_{P_1} \cap C(P, L) = \mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp}] \cap C(P, L), \tag{11}$$

is an  $\mathbf{F}_2 G_{p_0}$ -submodule of  $\mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp}]$ .

- The q + 1 lines through  $p_0$  lie in the intersection, accounting for q + 1 dimensions of *T*.
- We must argue that the intersection is no bigger than their span. If it were, then by (10), F<sub>2</sub>[p<sub>0</sub><sup>⊥</sup>] ∩ C(P, L) must contain either W or all the Q-fixed points on F<sub>2</sub>[p<sub>0</sub><sup>⊥</sup>].
- Both possibilities lead immediately to contradictions.

ヘロア ヘビア ヘビア・

$$\mathbf{F}_2[\boldsymbol{p}_0^{\perp}] = T \oplus \boldsymbol{W}, \tag{10}$$

where *T* is the q + 2-dimensional space of *Q*-fixed points and *W* is simple of dimension  $q^2 - 1$ .

The intersection

ker 
$$\pi_{P_1} \cap C(P, L) = \mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp}] \cap C(P, L),$$
 (11)

# is an $\mathbf{F}_2 G_{p_0}$ -submodule of $\mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp}]$ .

- The *q* + 1 lines through *p*<sub>0</sub> lie in the intersection, accounting for *q* + 1 dimensions of *T*.
- We must argue that the intersection is no bigger than their span. If it were, then by (10), F<sub>2</sub>[p<sub>0</sub><sup>⊥</sup>] ∩ C(P, L) must contain either W or all the Q-fixed points on F<sub>2</sub>[p<sub>0</sub><sup>⊥</sup>].
- Both possibilities lead immediately to contradictions.

・ロン ・ 一 マン・ 日 マー・

$$\mathbf{F}_2[\boldsymbol{p}_0^{\perp}] = T \oplus \boldsymbol{W}, \tag{10}$$

where *T* is the q + 2-dimensional space of *Q*-fixed points and *W* is simple of dimension  $q^2 - 1$ .

The intersection

ker 
$$\pi_{P_1} \cap C(P, L) = \mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp}] \cap C(P, L),$$
 (11)

is an  $\mathbf{F}_2 G_{p_0}$ -submodule of  $\mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp}]$ .

- The q + 1 lines through p<sub>0</sub> lie in the intersection, accounting for q + 1 dimensions of T.
- We must argue that the intersection is no bigger than their span. If it were, then by (10), F<sub>2</sub>[p<sub>0</sub><sup>⊥</sup>] ∩ C(P, L) must contain either W or all the Q-fixed points on F<sub>2</sub>[p<sub>0</sub><sup>⊥</sup>].
- Both possibilities lead immediately to contradictions.

(日本) (日本) (日本)

$$\mathbf{F}_2[\boldsymbol{p}_0^{\perp}] = T \oplus \boldsymbol{W}, \tag{10}$$

where *T* is the q + 2-dimensional space of *Q*-fixed points and *W* is simple of dimension  $q^2 - 1$ .

The intersection

ker 
$$\pi_{P_1} \cap C(P, L) = \mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp}] \cap C(P, L),$$
 (11)

is an  $\mathbf{F}_2 G_{p_0}$ -submodule of  $\mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp}]$ .

- The q + 1 lines through p<sub>0</sub> lie in the intersection, accounting for q + 1 dimensions of T.
- We must argue that the intersection is no bigger than their span. If it were, then by (10), F<sub>2</sub>[p<sub>0</sub><sup>⊥</sup>] ∩ C(P, L) must contain either W or all the Q-fixed points on F<sub>2</sub>[p<sub>0</sub><sup>⊥</sup>].

• Both possibilities lead immediately to contradictions.

伺 とくき とくきとう

$$\mathbf{F}_{2}[\boldsymbol{p}_{0}^{\perp}] = T \oplus \boldsymbol{W}, \qquad (10)$$

where *T* is the q + 2-dimensional space of *Q*-fixed points and *W* is simple of dimension  $q^2 - 1$ .

The intersection

ker 
$$\pi_{P_1} \cap C(P, L) = \mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp}] \cap C(P, L),$$
 (11)

is an  $\mathbf{F}_2 G_{p_0}$ -submodule of  $\mathbf{F}_2[p_0^{\perp}]$ .

- The q + 1 lines through  $p_0$  lie in the intersection, accounting for q + 1 dimensions of *T*.
- We must argue that the intersection is no bigger than their span. If it were, then by (10), F<sub>2</sub>[p<sub>0</sub><sup>⊥</sup>] ∩ C(P, L) must contain either W or all the Q-fixed points on F<sub>2</sub>[p<sub>0</sub><sup>⊥</sup>].
- Both possibilities lead immediately to contradictions.

▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 二 臣

ker  $\pi_{P_1} \cap C(P, L_1)$  has dimension q - 1, and basis the set of functions  $\ell - \ell'$ , where  $\ell \neq \ell_0$  is an arbitrary but fixed line through  $p_0$  and  $\ell'$  varies over the q - 1 lines through  $p_0$  different from  $\ell_0$  and  $\ell$ .

# $Z \cup X_0 \cup Y$ spans C(P, L) as a vector space over $\mathbf{F}_2$ .

# Proof:

- By Lemma 9, the span of  $X_0$  and Z is equal to the span of  $X_0$  and  $L_1$ , since ker  $\pi_{P_1} \cap C(P, L_1)$  is contained in the span of  $X_0$ .
- We must show that the span of X<sub>0</sub> ∪ L<sub>1</sub> ∪ Y contains all lines through ℓ<sub>0</sub>, including ℓ<sub>0</sub>.
- First, consider a line  $\ell \neq \ell_0$  through  $\ell_0$ . We can assume that  $\ell$  meets  $\ell_0$  at a point other than  $p_0$ , since otherwise  $\ell \in X_0$ . Therefore  $\ell$  meets  $\ell_0$  in the same point p as some element  $\ell' \in Y$ . Then Corollary 7 shows that  $\ell$  lies in the span of Y and  $L_1$ .

ヘロト ヘ回ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

 $Z \cup X_0 \cup Y$  spans C(P, L) as a vector space over  $\mathbf{F}_2$ .

Proof:

- By Lemma 9, the span of  $X_0$  and Z is equal to the span of  $X_0$  and  $L_1$ , since ker  $\pi_{P_1} \cap C(P, L_1)$  is contained in the span of  $X_0$ .
- We must show that the span of X<sub>0</sub> ∪ L<sub>1</sub> ∪ Y contains all lines through ℓ<sub>0</sub>, including ℓ<sub>0</sub>.
- First, consider a line  $\ell \neq \ell_0$  through  $\ell_0$ . We can assume that  $\ell$  meets  $\ell_0$  at a point other than  $p_0$ , since otherwise  $\ell \in X_0$ . Therefore  $\ell$  meets  $\ell_0$  in the same point p as some element  $\ell' \in Y$ . Then Corollary 7 shows that  $\ell$  lies in the span of Y and  $L_1$ .

・ロン ・ 一 マン・ 日 マー・

 $Z \cup X_0 \cup Y$  spans C(P, L) as a vector space over  $\mathbf{F}_2$ .

Proof:

- By Lemma 9, the span of  $X_0$  and Z is equal to the span of  $X_0$  and  $L_1$ , since ker  $\pi_{P_1} \cap C(P, L_1)$  is contained in the span of  $X_0$ .
- We must show that the span of X<sub>0</sub> ∪ L<sub>1</sub> ∪ Y contains all lines through ℓ<sub>0</sub>, including ℓ<sub>0</sub>.
- First, consider a line  $\ell \neq \ell_0$  through  $\ell_0$ . We can assume that  $\ell$  meets  $\ell_0$  at a point other than  $p_0$ , since otherwise  $\ell \in X_0$ . Therefore  $\ell$  meets  $\ell_0$  in the same point p as some element  $\ell' \in Y$ . Then Corollary 7 shows that  $\ell$  lies in the span of Y and  $L_1$ .

ヘロア ヘビア ヘビア・

 $Z \cup X_0 \cup Y$  spans C(P, L) as a vector space over  $\mathbf{F}_2$ .

Proof:

- By Lemma 9, the span of  $X_0$  and Z is equal to the span of  $X_0$  and  $L_1$ , since ker  $\pi_{P_1} \cap C(P, L_1)$  is contained in the span of  $X_0$ .
- We must show that the span of X<sub>0</sub> ∪ L<sub>1</sub> ∪ Y contains all lines through ℓ<sub>0</sub>, including ℓ<sub>0</sub>.
- First, consider a line l ≠ l<sub>0</sub> through l<sub>0</sub>. We can assume that l meets l<sub>0</sub> at a point other than p<sub>0</sub>, since otherwise l ∈ X<sub>0</sub>. Therefore l meets l<sub>0</sub> in the same point p as some element l' ∈ Y. Then Corollary 7 shows that l lies in the span of Y and L<sub>1</sub>.

## • The only line still missing is $\ell_0$ .

- By Lemma 5 applied to  $\ell_0$ , we see that the constant function 1 is in the span.
- Finally, we see from Lemma 6 that

$$\sum_{\ell \in X_0} \Phi_\ell = 1 - \ell_0, \tag{12}$$

so we are done.

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

- The only line still missing is  $\ell_0$ .
- By Lemma 5 applied to l<sub>0</sub>, we see that the constant function 1 is in the span.
- Finally, we see from Lemma 6 that

$$\sum_{\ell \in X_0} \Phi_\ell = 1 - \ell_0, \tag{12}$$

so we are done.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

3

- The only line still missing is  $\ell_0$ .
- By Lemma 5 applied to l<sub>0</sub>, we see that the constant function 1 is in the span.
- Finally, we see from Lemma 6 that

$$\sum_{\ell \in X_0} \Phi_\ell = 1 - \ell_0, \tag{12}$$

so we are done.

通 とう ほうとう ほうとう

• Consider the binary code LU(3, q) when  $q = 2^t$ ,  $t \ge 1$ .

- Corollary 4 provides a lower bound for the dimension.
- Note, however, that  $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} C(\mathbf{P}, L)$  is quite different:

#### Theorem

(Sastry-Sin) Assume  $q = 2^t$ . Then then the rank of  $M_2(P, L)$  is

$$+\left(rac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}
ight)^{2t}+\left(rac{1-\sqrt{17}}{2}
ight)^{2t}.$$

## Nevertheless:

- Computer calculations of J.-L. Kim (up to q = 16) suggested that the inequality (6) is equality for even q as well.
- Ogul Arslan has found a proof (2007).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- Consider the binary code LU(3, q) when  $q = 2^t$ ,  $t \ge 1$ .
- Corollary 4 provides a lower bound for the dimension.
- Note, however, that  $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} C(\mathbf{P}, L)$  is quite different:

#### Theorem

(Sastry-Sin) Assume  $q = 2^t$ . Then then the rank of  $M_2(P, L)$  is

$$+\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^{2t}+\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^{2t}.$$

### Nevertheless:

- Computer calculations of J.-L. Kim (up to q = 16) suggested that the inequality (6) is equality for even q as well.
- Ogul Arslan has found a proof (2007).

ヘロト ヘ回ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

- Consider the binary code LU(3, q) when  $q = 2^t$ ,  $t \ge 1$ .
- Corollary 4 provides a lower bound for the dimension.
- Note, however, that  $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} C(\mathbf{P}, L)$  is quite different:

#### Theorem

(Sastry-Sin) Assume  $q = 2^t$ . Then then the rank of  $M_2(P, L)$  is

$$1 + \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^{2t} + \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^{2t}.$$
 (13)

### Nevertheless:

- Computer calculations of J.-L. Kim (up to q = 16) suggested that the inequality (6) is equality for even q as well.
- Ogul Arslan has found a proof (2007).

ヘロア ヘビア ヘビア・

- Consider the binary code LU(3, q) when  $q = 2^t$ ,  $t \ge 1$ .
- Corollary 4 provides a lower bound for the dimension.
- Note, however, that  $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} C(\mathbf{P}, L)$  is quite different:

#### Theorem

(Sastry-Sin) Assume  $q = 2^t$ . Then then the rank of  $M_2(P, L)$  is

$$1 + \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^{2t} + \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^{2t}.$$
 (13)

## Nevertheless:

- Computer calculations of J.-L. Kim (up to *q* = 16) suggested that the inequality (6) is equality for even *q* as well.
- Ogul Arslan has found a proof (2007).

- Consider the binary code LU(3, q) when  $q = 2^t$ ,  $t \ge 1$ .
- Corollary 4 provides a lower bound for the dimension.
- Note, however, that  $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} C(\mathbf{P}, L)$  is quite different:

#### Theorem

(Sastry-Sin) Assume  $q = 2^t$ . Then then the rank of  $M_2(P, L)$  is

$$1 + \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^{2t} + \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^{2t}.$$
 (13)

Nevertheless:

- Computer calculations of J.-L. Kim (up to q = 16) suggested that the inequality (6) is equality for even q as well.
- Ogul Arslan has found a proof (2007).

- Consider the binary code LU(3, q) when  $q = 2^t$ ,  $t \ge 1$ .
- Corollary 4 provides a lower bound for the dimension.
- Note, however, that  $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} C(P, L)$  is quite different:

#### Theorem

(Sastry-Sin) Assume  $q = 2^t$ . Then then the rank of  $M_2(P, L)$  is

$$1 + \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^{2t} + \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^{2t}.$$
 (13)

Nevertheless:

- Computer calculations of J.-L. Kim (up to q = 16) suggested that the inequality (6) is equality for even q as well.
- Ogul Arslan has found a proof (2007).