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## Overview

- LDPC (low density parity check) codes have attracted much attention recently, due to their good performance in theory and practice.
- A main division is into random and structured types.
- One structured family, constructed using certain bipartite graphs was studied by: J.-L. Kim, U. Peled, I. Perepelitsa, V. Pless, and S. Friedland (2004)
- They conjectured the dimensions of the codes.
- We'll describe the conjecture and its proof (with Q. Xiang).
- The proof involves the geometry of generalized quadrangles and the representation theory of $\operatorname{Sp}(4, q)$.
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The codes $L U(3, q)$

- $q$, any prime power
- $P^{*}, L^{*}$ be two sets in bijection with $\mathrm{F}_{q}{ }^{3}$
- $(a, b, c) \in P^{*}$ is incident with $[x, y, z] \in L^{*}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a x+b \quad \text { and } \quad z=a y+c \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The binary incidence matrix $M_{2}\left(P^{*}, L^{*}\right)$ and its transpose can be taken as parity check matrices of two codes.
- These codes are designated LU(3, q). We have:
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## The symplectic generalized quadrangle

- q, any prime power
- (V, (.,.)), a 4-dimensional $F_{q \text {-vector space with a }}$ nonsingular alternating bilinear form
- $e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$, a symplectic basis such that
$\left(e_{0}, e_{3}\right)=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=1$
- $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, coordinates for basis
- $P=\mathbf{P}(V)$, the set of points of the projective space of $V$
- $L$, the set of totally isotropic 2-dimensional subspaces of $V$, considered as lines in $P$
- $(P, L)$ is called the symplectic generalized quadrangle.
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Quadrangle property
Given any line and any point not on the line, there is a unique line which passes though the given point and meets the given line.
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Next, see $\left(P_{1}, L_{1}\right) \cong\left(P^{*}, L^{*}\right)$, for $q$ any prime power.
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$$
\begin{align*}
P_{1} & =\left\{\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right) \mid x_{3} \neq 0\right\} \\
& =\left\{(a: b: c: 1) \mid, a, b, c \in \mathbf{F}_{q}\right\} \cong \mathbf{F}_{q}^{3} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Coordinates of lines in $P(V)$

- $e_{i} \wedge e_{j}, 0 \leq i<j \leq 3$, basis of the exterior square $\wedge^{2}(V)$
- $p_{01}, p_{02}, p_{03}, p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{23}$, homogeneous coordinates for $\mathbf{P}\left(\wedge^{2}(V)\right)$
- If $W$ is a 2 -dimensional subspace of $V$ then $\wedge^{2}(W) \in \mathrm{P}\left(\wedge^{2}(V)\right)$.
- If $W=\left\langle\left(a_{0}: a_{1}: a_{2}: a_{3}\right),\left(b_{0}: b_{1}: b_{2}: b_{3}\right)\right\rangle$ then $\wedge^{2}(W)$ has coordinates $p_{i j}=a_{i} b_{j}-a_{j} b_{i}$, its Grassmann-Plücker coordinates.
- The totality of points of $\mathbf{P}\left(\wedge^{2}(V)\right)$ obtained from all $W$ forms the set with equation $p_{01} p_{23}-p_{02} p_{13}+p_{03} p_{12}=0$, called the Klein Quadric.
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Coordinates of $L$ and $L_{1}$

- L corresponds to the subset of points of the Klein quadric which satisfy the additional linear equation $p_{03}=-p_{12}$.
- $L_{1}$ is the subset of $L$ given by $p_{23} \neq 0$.
- The quadratic relation yields
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\begin{align*}
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\end{align*}
$$

## Incidence equations

- When is $(a: b: c: 1) \in P_{1}$ on
$\left(z^{2}+x y: x: z:-z: y: 1\right) \in L_{1}$ ?
- If the line is spanned by points with homogeneous coordinates ( $\left.a_{0}: a_{1}: a_{2}: a_{3}\right)$ and ( $\left.b_{0}: b_{1}: b_{2}: b_{3}\right)$. The given point and line are incident if and only if all $3 \times 3$ minors of the matrix
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$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a & b & c & 1  \tag{4}\\
a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} \\
b_{0} & b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{3}
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are zero.

- The four equations which result reduce to the two equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=-c y+b, \quad x=c z-a . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Hence $\left(P_{1}, L_{1}\right)$ and ( $\left.P^{*}, L^{*}\right)$ are equivalent.
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\end{equation*}
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Relative dimensions and a bound $q$ is any prime power.

- $F_{2}[P]$, the vector space of all $F_{2}$-valued functions on $P$
- Abuse notation slightly, identify points and lines with their characteristic functions in $F_{2}[P]$.
- $C(P, L)$, the subspace of $\mathbf{F}_{2}[P]$ spanned by the $\ell \in L$.
- $C\left(P, L_{1}\right)$, the subspace generated by lines in $L_{1}$
- $\pi_{P_{1}}: \mathbf{F}_{2}[P] \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{2}\left[P_{1}\right]$, natural projection map
- $C\left(P_{1}, L\right)=\pi_{P_{1}}(C(P, L)), C\left(P_{1}, L_{1}\right)=\pi_{P_{1}}\left(C\left(P, L_{1}\right)\right)$
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## Proof of Theorem 1

Assume that $q$ is odd. By Corollary 4 the proof of Theorem 1 will be completed if we can show that $Z \cup X_{0} \cup Y$ spans $C(P, L)$ as a vector space over $\mathbf{F}_{2}$.

Geometric arguments
Lemma
Let $\ell \in L$. Then the sum of all lines which meet $\ell$ (excluding $\ell$ itself) is the constant function 1.

Proof.
The function given by the sum takes the value $q \equiv 1$ at any point of $\ell$ and value 1 at any point off $\ell$, by the quadrangle property.
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Similarly:

## Lemma

Let $\ell \neq \ell_{0}$ be a line which meets $\ell_{0}$ at a point $p$. Let $\Phi_{\ell}$ be the sum of all lines in $L_{1}$ which meet $\ell$. Then
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## Corollary

Let $p \in \ell_{0}$ and let $\ell, \ell^{\prime}$ be two lines through $p$, neither equal to $\ell_{0}$. Then $\ell-\ell^{\prime} \in C\left(P, L_{1}\right)$.
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## Some representation theory

## Lemma

$\operatorname{ker} \pi_{P_{1}} \cap C(P, L)$ has dimension $q+1$, with basis $X$.

## Proof:

- Let $G_{p_{0}}$ be the stabilizer in $\operatorname{Sp}(V)$ of $p_{0}$.

$$
\operatorname{ker} \pi_{P_{1}}=\mathbf{F}_{2}\left[p_{0}^{\perp}\right]=\mathbf{F}_{2}\left[\left\{p_{0}\right\}\right] \oplus \mathbf{F}_{2}\left[p_{0}^{\perp} \backslash\left\{p_{0}\right\}\right]
$$

as an $F_{2} G_{p_{0}}$-module. Clearly $F_{2}\left[\left\{p_{0}\right\}\right]$ is a one-dimensional trivial $F_{2} G_{p_{0}}$-module.
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- We consider the following subgroups of $G_{p_{0}}$.
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Q=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a & b & c  \tag{9}\\
0 & 1 & 0 & b \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -a \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, a, b, c \in \mathbf{F}_{q}\right\}, \quad Z(Q)=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & c \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, c \in \mathbf{F}_{q}\right\}
$$

- $Q \triangleleft G_{p_{0}}, Q / Z(Q)$ is elementary abelian of order $q^{2}$ and $Z(Q)$ acts trivially on $p_{0}^{\perp}$.
- Since $Q$ has odd order, it acts semisimply on $\mathrm{F}_{2}\left[p_{0}^{\perp}\right]$ and we can compute the decomposition.
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where $T$ is the $q+2$-dimensional space of $Q$-fixed points and $W$ is simple of dimension $q^{2}-1$.
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- The $q+1$ lines through $p_{0}$ lie in the intersection, accounting for $q+1$ dimensions of $T$.
- We must argue that the intersection is no bigger than their span. If it were, then by (10), $\mathrm{F}_{2}\left[p_{0}^{\perp}\right] \cap C(P, L)$ must contain either $W$ or all the $Q$-fixed points on $F_{2}\left[p_{0}^{\perp}\right]$.
- Both possibilities lead immediately to contradictions.
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## Lemma

ker $\pi_{P_{1}} \cap C\left(P, L_{1}\right)$ has dimension $q-1$, and basis the set of functions $\ell-\ell^{\prime}$, where $\ell \neq \ell_{0}$ is an arbitrary but fixed line through $p_{0}$ and $\ell^{\prime}$ varies over the $q-1$ lines through $p_{0}$ different from $\ell_{0}$ and $\ell$.
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- By Lemma 9, the span of $X_{0}$ and $Z$ is equal to the span of $X_{0}$ and $L_{1}$, since $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{P_{1}} \cap C\left(P, L_{1}\right)$ is contained in the span of $X_{0}$.
- We must show that the span of $X_{0} \cup L_{1} \cup Y$ contains all lines through $\ell_{0}$, including $\ell_{0}$.
- First, consider a line $\ell \neq \ell_{0}$ through $\ell_{0}$. We can assume that $\ell$ meets $\ell_{0}$ at a point other than $p_{0}$, since otherwise $\ell \in X_{0}$. Therefore $\ell$ meets $\ell_{0}$ in the same point $p$ as some element $\ell^{\prime} \in Y$. Then Corollary 7 shows that $\ell$ lies in the span of $Y$ and $L_{1}$.
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## - Finally, we see from Lemma 6 that

so we are done.

- The only line still missing is $\ell_{0}$.
- By Lemma 5 applied to $\ell_{0}$, we see that the constant function 1 is in the span.
- Finally, we see from Lemma 6 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\ell \in X_{0}} \Phi_{\ell}=1-\ell_{0} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we are done.

Further research

- Consider the binary code $\operatorname{LU}(3, q)$ when $q=2^{t}, t \geq 1$.
- Corollary 4 provides a lower bound for the dimension.
- Note, however, that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{F}_{2}} C(P, L)$ is quite different:

Nevertheless:

- Computer calculations of J.-L. Kim (up to $q=16$ )
suggested that the inequality (6) is equality for even $q$ as well.
- Ogul Arslan has found a proof (2007).
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