

The Smith Normal Form of the Incidence Matrix of Skew Lines in $\text{PG}(3, q)$

Andries Brouwer, T. U. Eindhoven
Josh Ducey, University of Florida
Peter Sin, University of Florida

Discrete Mathematics Seminar, U. Delaware, April 27th,
2011.

Outline

Introduction

All invariants are powers of p

p -filtrations and SNF bases

Invariants of the Strongly Regular Graph

Relation with Point-Line Incidence

Simultaneous SNF Bases

Skew lines

- ▶ We consider the relation of *skewness* between lines in $PG(3, q)$, $q = p^t$.
- ▶ Under the Klein Correspondence, two lines are skew iff the corresponding points of the Klein quadric in $PG(5, q)$ are not orthogonal, i.e, not joined by a line of the quadric. Thus the graph of skew lines is the same as the non-collinearity graph of points in the hyperbolic polar space $O^+(5, q)$.
- ▶ This is a strongly regular graph.

Skew lines

- ▶ We consider the relation of *skewness* between lines in $PG(3, q)$, $q = p^t$.
- ▶ Under the Klein Correspondence, two lines are skew iff the corresponding points of the Klein quadric in $PG(5, q)$ are not orthogonal, i.e, not joined by a line of the quadric. Thus the graph of skew lines is the same as the non-collinearity graph of points in the hyperbolic polar space $O^+(5, q)$.
- ▶ This is a strongly regular graph.

Skew lines

- ▶ We consider the relation of *skewness* between lines in $PG(3, q)$, $q = p^t$.
- ▶ Under the Klein Correspondence, two lines are skew iff the corresponding points of the Klein quadric in $PG(5, q)$ are not orthogonal, i.e, not joined by a line of the quadric. Thus the graph of skew lines is the same as the non-collinearity graph of points in the hyperbolic polar space $O^+(5, q)$.
- ▶ This is a strongly regular graph.

Notation

- ▶ V , a 4-dimensional vector space over \mathbf{F}_q
- ▶ \mathcal{L}_r = set of subspaces of dimension r in V
- ▶ A incidence matrix of skewness between lines in $\mathbb{P}(V)$
- ▶ A is square of size $(q^2 + q + 1)(q^2 + 1)$.
- ▶ For any matrix M , let $e_i(M)$ = number of invariant factors in the Smith Normal Form of M which are exactly divisible by p^i .

Outline

Introduction

All invariants are powers of p

p -filtrations and SNF bases

Invariants of the Strongly Regular Graph

Relation with Point-Line Incidence

Simultaneous SNF Bases

- ▶ $A^2 = q^4 I + (q^4 - q^3 - q^2 + q)A + (q^4 - q^3)(J - A - I)$
- ▶ Eigenvalues of A are q , $-q^2$, and q^4 with respective multiplicities $q^4 + q^2$, $q^3 + q^2 + q$, and 1.
- ▶ Special case of *oppositeness* relation
- ▶ We can replace \mathbf{Z} by a suitable p -adic ring; We will use an unramified extension R of \mathbb{Z}_p containing a $(q^4 - 1)$ -th root of unity.

- ▶ $A^2 = q^4 I + (q^4 - q^3 - q^2 + q)A + (q^4 - q^3)(J - A - I)$
- ▶ Eigenvalues of A are q , $-q^2$, and q^4 with respective multiplicities $q^4 + q^2$, $q^3 + q^2 + q$, and 1.
- ▶ Special case of *oppositeness* relation
- ▶ We can replace \mathbb{Z} by a suitable p -adic ring; We will use an unramified extension R of \mathbb{Z}_p containing a $(q^4 - 1)$ -th root of unity.

- ▶ $A^2 = q^4 I + (q^4 - q^3 - q^2 + q)A + (q^4 - q^3)(J - A - I)$
- ▶ Eigenvalues of A are q , $-q^2$, and q^4 with respective multiplicities $q^4 + q^2$, $q^3 + q^2 + q$, and 1.
- ▶ Special case of *oppositeness* relation
- ▶ We can replace \mathbb{Z} by a suitable p -adic ring; We will use an unramified extension R of \mathbb{Z}_p containing a $(q^4 - 1)$ -th root of unity.

- ▶ $A^2 = q^4 I + (q^4 - q^3 - q^2 + q)A + (q^4 - q^3)(J - A - I)$
- ▶ Eigenvalues of A are q , $-q^2$, and q^4 with respective multiplicities $q^4 + q^2$, $q^3 + q^2 + q$, and 1.
- ▶ Special case of *oppositeness* relation
- ▶ We can replace \mathbf{Z} by a suitable p -adic ring; We will use an unramified extension R of \mathbb{Z}_p containing a $(q^4 - 1)$ -th root of unity.

Theorem

Let $e_i = e_i(A)$.

1. $e_i = e_{3t-i}$ for $0 \leq i < t$.
2. $e_i = 0$ for $t < i < 2t$, $3t < i < 4t$, and $i > 4t$.
3. $\sum_{i=0}^t e_i = q^4 + q^2$.
4. $\sum_{i=2t}^{3t} e_i = q^3 + q^2 + q$.
5. $e_{4t} = 1$.

Thus we get all the elementary divisor multiplicities once we know t of the numbers e_0, \dots, e_t (or the numbers e_{2t}, \dots, e_{3t}).

More notation

- ▶ $[3]^t = \{(s_0, \dots, s_{t-1}) \mid s_i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \text{ for all } i\}$
- ▶ $\mathcal{H}(i) = \{(s_0, \dots, s_{t-1}) \in [3]^t \mid \#\{j \mid s_j = 2\} = i\}$
- ▶ For $\vec{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_{t-1}) \in [3]^t$

$$\lambda_i = ps_{i+1} - s_i,$$

(subscripts mod t) and

$$\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{t-1})$$

- ▶ For an integer k , set d_k to be the coefficient of x^k in the expansion of $(1 + x + \dots + x^{p-1})^4$. Set $d(\vec{s}) = \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} d_{\lambda_i}$.

► Theorem

Let $e_i = e_i(A)$ denote the multiplicity of p^i as an elementary divisor of A . Then, for $0 \leq i \leq t$,

$$e_{2t+i} = \sum_{\vec{s} \in \mathcal{H}(i)} d(\vec{s}).$$

Example, $q = 9$

- ▶ $(1 + x + x^2)^4 = 1 + 4x + 10x^2 + 16x^3 + 19x^4 + 16x^5 + 10x^6 + 4x^7 + x^8$
- ▶ $\mathcal{H}(0) = \{(11), (13), (31), (33)\},$
 $\mathcal{H}(1) = \{(21), (23), (12), (32)\}, \mathcal{H}(2) = \{(22)\}.$
- ▶ $e_4 = d(11) + d(13) + d(31) + d(33) = 202$
- ▶ $e_5 = d(21) + d(23) + d(12) + d(32) = 256$
- ▶ $e_6 = d(22) = 361$

Table: The elementary divisors of the incidence matrix of lines vs. lines in $\text{PG}(3, 9)$, where two lines are incident when skew.

Elem. Div.	1	3	3^2	3^4	3^5	3^6	3^8
Multiplicity	361	256	6025	202	256	361	1

Outline

Introduction

All invariants are powers of p

p -filtrations and SNF bases

Invariants of the Strongly Regular Graph

Relation with Point-Line Incidence

Simultaneous SNF Bases

p -filtrations

- ▶ R , local principal ideal domain, max ideal pR , $F = R/pR$.
- ▶ For $L \leq R^\ell$, set $\bar{L} = (L + pR^\ell)/pR^\ell$.
- ▶ $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, R -module homomorphism
- ▶ $M_i(\eta) = \{x \in R^m \mid \eta(x) \in p^i R^n\}$
- ▶ $N_i(\eta) = \{p^{-i}\eta(x) \mid x \in M_i(\eta)\}$ (and $N_{-1}(\eta) = \{0\}$)
- ▶ $R^m = M_0(\eta) \supseteq M_1(\eta) \supseteq \cdots$
- ▶ $N_0(\eta) \subseteq N_1(\eta) \subseteq \cdots$
- ▶ $F^m = \overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \cdots$
- ▶ $\overline{N_0(\eta)} \subseteq \overline{N_1(\eta)} \subseteq \cdots$.

p -filtrations

- ▶ R , local principal ideal domain, max ideal pR , $F = R/pR$.
- ▶ For $L \leq R^\ell$, set $\bar{L} = (L + pR^\ell)/pR^\ell$.
- ▶ $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, R -module homomorphism
- ▶ $M_i(\eta) = \{x \in R^m \mid \eta(x) \in p^i R^n\}$
- ▶ $N_i(\eta) = \{p^{-i}\eta(x) \mid x \in M_i(\eta)\}$ (and $N_{-1}(\eta) = \{0\}$)
- ▶ $R^m = M_0(\eta) \supseteq M_1(\eta) \supseteq \cdots$
- ▶ $N_0(\eta) \subseteq N_1(\eta) \subseteq \cdots$
- ▶ $F^m = \overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \cdots$
- ▶ $\overline{N_0(\eta)} \subseteq \overline{N_1(\eta)} \subseteq \cdots$.

p -filtrations

- ▶ R , local principal ideal domain, max ideal pR , $F = R/pR$.
- ▶ For $L \leq R^\ell$, set $\bar{L} = (L + pR^\ell)/pR^\ell$.
- ▶ $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, R -module homomorphism
 - ▶ $M_i(\eta) = \{x \in R^m \mid \eta(x) \in p^i R^n\}$
 - ▶ $N_i(\eta) = \{p^{-i} \eta(x) \mid x \in M_i(\eta)\}$ (and $N_{-1}(\eta) = \{0\}$)
 - ▶ $R^m = M_0(\eta) \supseteq M_1(\eta) \supseteq \dots$
 - ▶ $N_0(\eta) \subseteq N_1(\eta) \subseteq \dots$
 - ▶ $F^m = \overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \dots$
 - ▶ $\overline{N_0(\eta)} \subseteq \overline{N_1(\eta)} \subseteq \dots$

p -filtrations

- ▶ R , local principal ideal domain, max ideal pR , $F = R/pR$.
- ▶ For $L \leq R^\ell$, set $\bar{L} = (L + pR^\ell)/pR^\ell$.
- ▶ $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, R -module homomorphism
- ▶ $M_i(\eta) = \{x \in R^m \mid \eta(x) \in p^i R^n\}$
- ▶ $N_i(\eta) = \{p^{-i}\eta(x) \mid x \in M_i(\eta)\}$ (and $N_{-1}(\eta) = \{0\}$)
- ▶ $R^m = M_0(\eta) \supseteq M_1(\eta) \supseteq \dots$
- ▶ $N_0(\eta) \subseteq N_1(\eta) \subseteq \dots$
- ▶ $F^m = \overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \dots$
- ▶ $\overline{N_0(\eta)} \subseteq \overline{N_1(\eta)} \subseteq \dots$

p -filtrations

- ▶ R , local principal ideal domain, max ideal pR , $F = R/pR$.
- ▶ For $L \leq R^\ell$, set $\bar{L} = (L + pR^\ell)/pR^\ell$.
- ▶ $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, R -module homomorphism
- ▶ $M_i(\eta) = \{x \in R^m \mid \eta(x) \in p^i R^n\}$
- ▶ $N_i(\eta) = \{p^{-i}\eta(x) \mid x \in M_i(\eta)\}$ (and $N_{-1}(\eta) = \{0\}$)
- ▶ $R^m = M_0(\eta) \supseteq M_1(\eta) \supseteq \dots$
- ▶ $N_0(\eta) \subseteq N_1(\eta) \subseteq \dots$
- ▶ $F^m = \overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \dots$
- ▶ $\overline{N_0(\eta)} \subseteq \overline{N_1(\eta)} \subseteq \dots$

p -filtrations

- ▶ R , local principal ideal domain, max ideal pR , $F = R/pR$.
- ▶ For $L \leq R^\ell$, set $\bar{L} = (L + pR^\ell)/pR^\ell$.
- ▶ $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, R -module homomorphism
- ▶ $M_i(\eta) = \{x \in R^m \mid \eta(x) \in p^i R^n\}$
- ▶ $N_i(\eta) = \{p^{-i}\eta(x) \mid x \in M_i(\eta)\}$ (and $N_{-1}(\eta) = \{0\}$)
- ▶ $R^m = M_0(\eta) \supseteq M_1(\eta) \supseteq \cdots$
- ▶ $N_0(\eta) \subseteq N_1(\eta) \subseteq \cdots$
- ▶ $F^m = \overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \cdots$
- ▶ $\overline{N_0(\eta)} \subseteq \overline{N_1(\eta)} \subseteq \cdots$.

p -filtrations

- ▶ R , local principal ideal domain, max ideal pR , $F = R/pR$.
- ▶ For $L \leq R^\ell$, set $\bar{L} = (L + pR^\ell)/pR^\ell$.
- ▶ $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, R -module homomorphism
- ▶ $M_i(\eta) = \{x \in R^m \mid \eta(x) \in p^i R^n\}$
- ▶ $N_i(\eta) = \{p^{-i}\eta(x) \mid x \in M_i(\eta)\}$ (and $N_{-1}(\eta) = \{0\}$)
- ▶ $R^m = M_0(\eta) \supseteq M_1(\eta) \supseteq \dots$
- ▶ $N_0(\eta) \subseteq N_1(\eta) \subseteq \dots$
- ▶ $F^m = \overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \dots$
- ▶ $\overline{N_0(\eta)} \subseteq \overline{N_1(\eta)} \subseteq \dots$.

p -filtrations

- ▶ R , local principal ideal domain, max ideal pR , $F = R/pR$.
- ▶ For $L \leq R^\ell$, set $\bar{L} = (L + pR^\ell)/pR^\ell$.
- ▶ $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, R -module homomorphism
- ▶ $M_i(\eta) = \{x \in R^m \mid \eta(x) \in p^i R^n\}$
- ▶ $N_i(\eta) = \{p^{-i}\eta(x) \mid x \in M_i(\eta)\}$ (and $N_{-1}(\eta) = \{0\}$)
- ▶ $R^m = M_0(\eta) \supseteq M_1(\eta) \supseteq \dots$
- ▶ $N_0(\eta) \subseteq N_1(\eta) \subseteq \dots$
- ▶ $F^m = \overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \dots$
- ▶ $\overline{N_0(\eta)} \subseteq \overline{N_1(\eta)} \subseteq \dots$.

p -filtrations

- ▶ R , local principal ideal domain, max ideal pR , $F = R/pR$.
- ▶ For $L \leq R^\ell$, set $\bar{L} = (L + pR^\ell)/pR^\ell$.
- ▶ $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, R -module homomorphism
- ▶ $M_i(\eta) = \{x \in R^m \mid \eta(x) \in p^i R^n\}$
- ▶ $N_i(\eta) = \{p^{-i}\eta(x) \mid x \in M_i(\eta)\}$ (and $N_{-1}(\eta) = \{0\}$)
- ▶ $R^m = M_0(\eta) \supseteq M_1(\eta) \supseteq \dots$
- ▶ $N_0(\eta) \subseteq N_1(\eta) \subseteq \dots$
- ▶ $F^m = \overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \dots$
- ▶ $\overline{N_0(\eta)} \subseteq \overline{N_1(\eta)} \subseteq \dots$.

► Lemma

Let $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$ be a homomorphism of free R -modules of finite rank. Then, for $i \geq 0$,

$$e_i(\eta) = \dim_F \left(\overline{M_i(\eta)} / \overline{M_{i+1}(\eta)} \right) = \dim_F \left(\overline{N_i(\eta)} / \overline{N_{i-1}(\eta)} \right).$$

Left SNF Bases

- ▶ For a given homomorphism $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, we will be interested in pairs of bases $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ with respect to which the matrix of η is in Smith normal form.
- ▶ We define a *left* SNF basis for η to be any basis of R^m that belongs to such a pair. Similarly, a *right* SNF basis for η is any basis of R^n belonging to such a pair. We now describe how to construct such bases.
- ▶ $\overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \overline{M_\ell(\eta)} \supsetneq \ker(\eta)$
- ▶ $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ basis of $\ker(\eta)$
- ▶ Extend to a basis $\overline{\mathcal{B}_\ell} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ of $\overline{M_\ell(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Continue, to get a basis $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$ of $\overline{M_0(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Lift the elements of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ to a set $\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}$ of preimages in $\ker(\eta)$.
- ▶ Continuing, enlarge each \mathcal{B}_{i+1} by adjoining a set \mathcal{B}_i of preimages in $M_i(\eta)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$.
- ▶ The set $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \mathcal{B}_i$ is an R -basis of R^m .

Left SNF Bases

- ▶ For a given homomorphism $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, we will be interested in pairs of bases $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ with respect to which the matrix of η is in Smith normal form.
- ▶ We define a *left* SNF basis for η to be any basis of R^m that belongs to such a pair. Similarly, a *right* SNF basis for η is any basis of R^n belonging to such a pair. We now describe how to construct such bases.
- ▶ $\overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \overline{M_\ell(\eta)} \supsetneq \ker(\eta)$
- ▶ $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ basis of $\ker(\eta)$
- ▶ Extend to a basis $\overline{\mathcal{B}_\ell} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ of $\overline{M_\ell(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Continue, to get a basis $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$ of $\overline{M_0(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Lift the elements of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ to a set $\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}$ of preimages in $\ker(\eta)$.
- ▶ Continuing, enlarge each \mathcal{B}_{i+1} by adjoining a set \mathcal{B}_i of preimages in $M_i(\eta)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$.
- ▶ The set $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \mathcal{B}_i$ is an R -basis of R^m .

Left SNF Bases

- ▶ For a given homomorphism $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, we will be interested in pairs of bases $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ with respect to which the matrix of η is in Smith normal form.
- ▶ We define a *left* SNF basis for η to be any basis of R^m that belongs to such a pair. Similarly, a *right* SNF basis for η is any basis of R^n belonging to such a pair. We now describe how to construct such bases.
- ▶ $\overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \overline{M_\ell(\eta)} \supsetneq \ker(\eta)$
- ▶ $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ basis of $\ker(\eta)$
- ▶ Extend to a basis $\overline{\mathcal{B}_\ell} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ of $\overline{M_\ell(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Continue, to get a basis $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$ of $\overline{M_0(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Lift the elements of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ to a set $\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}$ of preimages in $\ker(\eta)$.
- ▶ Continuing, enlarge each \mathcal{B}_{i+1} by adjoining a set \mathcal{B}_i of preimages in $M_i(\eta)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$.
- ▶ The set $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \mathcal{B}_i$ is an R -basis of R^m .

Left SNF Bases

- ▶ For a given homomorphism $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, we will be interested in pairs of bases $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ with respect to which the matrix of η is in Smith normal form.
- ▶ We define a *left* SNF basis for η to be any basis of R^m that belongs to such a pair. Similarly, a *right* SNF basis for η is any basis of R^n belonging to such a pair. We now describe how to construct such bases.
- ▶ $\overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \overline{M_\ell(\eta)} \supsetneq \ker(\eta)$
- ▶ $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ basis of $\ker(\eta)$
 - ▶ Extend to a basis $\overline{\mathcal{B}_\ell} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ of $\overline{M_\ell(\eta)}$.
 - ▶ Continue, to get a basis $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$ of $\overline{M_0(\eta)}$.
 - ▶ Lift the elements of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ to a set $\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}$ of preimages in $\ker(\eta)$.
 - ▶ Continuing, enlarge each \mathcal{B}_{i+1} by adjoining a set \mathcal{B}_i of preimages in $M_i(\eta)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$.
 - ▶ The set $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \mathcal{B}_i$ is an R -basis of R^m .

Left SNF Bases

- ▶ For a given homomorphism $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, we will be interested in pairs of bases $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ with respect to which the matrix of η is in Smith normal form.
- ▶ We define a *left* SNF basis for η to be any basis of R^m that belongs to such a pair. Similarly, a *right* SNF basis for η is any basis of R^n belonging to such a pair. We now describe how to construct such bases.
- ▶ $\overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \overline{M_\ell(\eta)} \supsetneq \ker(\eta)$
- ▶ $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ basis of $\ker(\eta)$
- ▶ Extend to a basis $\overline{\mathcal{B}_\ell} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ of $\overline{M_\ell(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Continue, to get a basis $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$ of $\overline{M_0(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Lift the elements of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ to a set $\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}$ of preimages in $\ker(\eta)$.
- ▶ Continuing, enlarge each \mathcal{B}_{i+1} by adjoining a set \mathcal{B}_i of preimages in $M_i(\eta)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$.
- ▶ The set $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \mathcal{B}_i$ is an R -basis of R^m .

Left SNF Bases

- ▶ For a given homomorphism $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, we will be interested in pairs of bases $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ with respect to which the matrix of η is in Smith normal form.
- ▶ We define a *left* SNF basis for η to be any basis of R^m that belongs to such a pair. Similarly, a *right* SNF basis for η is any basis of R^n belonging to such a pair. We now describe how to construct such bases.
- ▶ $\overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \overline{M_\ell(\eta)} \supsetneq \ker(\eta)$
- ▶ $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ basis of $\ker(\eta)$
- ▶ Extend to a basis $\overline{\mathcal{B}_\ell} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ of $\overline{M_\ell(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Continue, to get a basis $\cup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$ of $\overline{M_0(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Lift the elements of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ to a set $\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}$ of preimages in $\ker(\eta)$.
- ▶ Continuing, enlarge each \mathcal{B}_{i+1} by adjoining a set \mathcal{B}_i of preimages in $M_i(\eta)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$.
- ▶ The set $\mathcal{B} = \cup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \mathcal{B}_i$ is an R -basis of R^m .

Left SNF Bases

- ▶ For a given homomorphism $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, we will be interested in pairs of bases $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ with respect to which the matrix of η is in Smith normal form.
- ▶ We define a *left* SNF basis for η to be any basis of R^m that belongs to such a pair. Similarly, a *right* SNF basis for η is any basis of R^n belonging to such a pair. We now describe how to construct such bases.
- ▶ $\overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \overline{M_\ell(\eta)} \supsetneq \ker(\eta)$
- ▶ $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ basis of $\ker(\eta)$
- ▶ Extend to a basis $\overline{\mathcal{B}_\ell} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ of $\overline{M_\ell(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Continue, to get a basis $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$ of $\overline{M_0(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Lift the elements of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ to a set $\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}$ of preimages in $\ker(\eta)$.
- ▶ Continuing, enlarge each \mathcal{B}_{i+1} by adjoining a set \mathcal{B}_i of preimages in $M_i(\eta)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$.
- ▶ The set $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \mathcal{B}_i$ is an R -basis of R^m .

Left SNF Bases

- ▶ For a given homomorphism $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, we will be interested in pairs of bases $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ with respect to which the matrix of η is in Smith normal form.
- ▶ We define a *left* SNF basis for η to be any basis of R^m that belongs to such a pair. Similarly, a *right* SNF basis for η is any basis of R^n belonging to such a pair. We now describe how to construct such bases.
- ▶ $\overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \overline{M_\ell(\eta)} \supsetneq \ker(\eta)$
- ▶ $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ basis of $\ker(\eta)$
- ▶ Extend to a basis $\overline{\mathcal{B}_\ell} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ of $\overline{M_\ell(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Continue, to get a basis $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$ of $\overline{M_0(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Lift the elements of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ to a set $\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}$ of preimages in $\ker(\eta)$.
- ▶ Continuing, enlarge each \mathcal{B}_{i+1} by adjoining a set \mathcal{B}_i of preimages in $M_i(\eta)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$.
- ▶ The set $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \mathcal{B}_i$ is an R -basis of R^m .

Left SNF Bases

- ▶ For a given homomorphism $\eta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$, we will be interested in pairs of bases $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ with respect to which the matrix of η is in Smith normal form.
- ▶ We define a *left* SNF basis for η to be any basis of R^m that belongs to such a pair. Similarly, a *right* SNF basis for η is any basis of R^n belonging to such a pair. We now describe how to construct such bases.
- ▶ $\overline{M_0(\eta)} \supseteq \overline{M_1(\eta)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \overline{M_\ell(\eta)} \supsetneq \ker(\eta)$
- ▶ $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ basis of $\ker(\eta)$
- ▶ Extend to a basis $\overline{\mathcal{B}_\ell} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ of $\overline{M_\ell(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Continue, to get a basis $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$ of $\overline{M_0(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Lift the elements of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}}$ to a set $\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}$ of preimages in $\ker(\eta)$.
- ▶ Continuing, enlarge each \mathcal{B}_{i+1} by adjoining a set \mathcal{B}_i of preimages in $M_i(\eta)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{B}_i}$.
- ▶ The set $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \mathcal{B}_i$ is an R -basis of R^m .

Right SNF Bases

- ▶ $N_\ell(\eta) = N_{\ell+1}(\eta) = \cdots$, call this module N'
- ▶ N' the *purification* of $\text{Im } \eta$
- ▶ The elementary divisors of η remain the same if we change its codomain N' .
- ▶ Basis $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_0$ of $\overline{N_0(\eta)}$,
- ▶ Extend to basis $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_0 \cup \overline{\mathcal{C}}_1$ of $\overline{N_1(\eta)}$.
- ▶ Continue, ending with basis $\cup_{i=0}^{\ell} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_i$ of $\overline{N'}$.
- ▶ Now we lift $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_0$ to a set \mathcal{C}_0 of preimages in $N_0(\eta)$.
- ▶ Continuing, enlarge each \mathcal{C}_i by adjoining a set \mathcal{C}_{i+1} of preimages in $N_{i+1}(\eta)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{i+1}$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{C}' = \cup_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathcal{C}_i$ is an R -basis of N' .
- ▶ Extend arbitrarily to a basis $\mathcal{C} = \cup_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \mathcal{C}_i$ of R^n .

Outline

Introduction

All invariants are powers of p

p -filtrations and SNF bases

Invariants of the Strongly Regular Graph

Relation with Point-Line Incidence

Simultaneous SNF Bases

Eliminating the all-one vector

- ▶ View A as an R -module map.
- ▶ $A : R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \rightarrow R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ sends a 2-subspace to the (formal) sum of the 2-subspaces incident with it.
- ▶ $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} x$
- ▶ $Y_2 = \left\{ \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x x \in R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \mid \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x = 0 \right\}$
- ▶ $R^{\mathcal{L}_2} = R\mathbf{1} \oplus Y_2$
- ▶ $(\mathbf{1})A = q^4 \mathbf{1}$
- ▶ $e_{4t}(A) = e_{4t}(A|_{Y_2}) + 1$
- ▶ $e_i(A) = e_i(A|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t$.

Eliminating the all-one vector

- ▶ View A as an R -module map.
- ▶ $A : R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \rightarrow R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ sends a 2-subspace to the (formal) sum of the 2-subspaces incident with it.
- ▶ $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} x$
- ▶ $Y_2 = \left\{ \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x x \in R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \mid \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x = 0 \right\}$
- ▶ $R^{\mathcal{L}_2} = R\mathbf{1} \oplus Y_2$
- ▶ $(\mathbf{1})A = q^4 \mathbf{1}$
- ▶ $e_{4t}(A) = e_{4t}(A|_{Y_2}) + 1$
- ▶ $e_i(A) = e_i(A|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t$.

Eliminating the all-one vector

- ▶ View A as an R -module map.
- ▶ $A : R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \rightarrow R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ sends a 2-subspace to the (formal) sum of the 2-subspaces incident with it.
- ▶ $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} x$
- ▶ $Y_2 = \left\{ \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x x \in R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \mid \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x = 0 \right\}$
- ▶ $R^{\mathcal{L}_2} = R\mathbf{1} \oplus Y_2$
- ▶ $(\mathbf{1})A = q^4 \mathbf{1}$
- ▶ $e_{4t}(A) = e_{4t}(A|_{Y_2}) + 1$
- ▶ $e_i(A) = e_i(A|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t$.

Eliminating the all-one vector

- ▶ View A as an R -module map.
- ▶ $A : R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \rightarrow R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ sends a 2-subspace to the (formal) sum of the 2-subspaces incident with it.
- ▶ $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} x$
- ▶ $Y_2 = \left\{ \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x x \in R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \mid \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x = 0 \right\}$
- ▶ $R^{\mathcal{L}_2} = R\mathbf{1} \oplus Y_2$
- ▶ $(\mathbf{1})A = q^4 \mathbf{1}$
- ▶ $e_{4t}(A) = e_{4t}(A|_{Y_2}) + 1$
- ▶ $e_i(A) = e_i(A|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t$.

Eliminating the all-one vector

- ▶ View A as an R -module map.
- ▶ $A : R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \rightarrow R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ sends a 2-subspace to the (formal) sum of the 2-subspaces incident with it.
- ▶ $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} x$
- ▶ $Y_2 = \left\{ \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x x \in R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \mid \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x = 0 \right\}$
- ▶ $R^{\mathcal{L}_2} = R\mathbf{1} \oplus Y_2$
- ▶ $(\mathbf{1})A = q^4 \mathbf{1}$
- ▶ $e_{4t}(A) = e_{4t}(A|_{Y_2}) + 1$
- ▶ $e_i(A) = e_i(A|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t$.

Eliminating the all-one vector

- ▶ View A as an R -module map.
- ▶ $A : R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \rightarrow R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ sends a 2-subspace to the (formal) sum of the 2-subspaces incident with it.
- ▶ $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} x$
- ▶ $Y_2 = \left\{ \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x x \in R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \mid \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x = 0 \right\}$
- ▶ $R^{\mathcal{L}_2} = R\mathbf{1} \oplus Y_2$
- ▶ $(\mathbf{1})A = q^4 \mathbf{1}$
- ▶ $e_{4t}(A) = e_{4t}(A|_{Y_2}) + 1$
- ▶ $e_i(A) = e_i(A|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t$.

Eliminating the all-one vector

- ▶ View A as an R -module map.
- ▶ $A : R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \rightarrow R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ sends a 2-subspace to the (formal) sum of the 2-subspaces incident with it.
- ▶ $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} x$
- ▶ $Y_2 = \left\{ \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x x \in R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \mid \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x = 0 \right\}$
- ▶ $R^{\mathcal{L}_2} = R\mathbf{1} \oplus Y_2$
- ▶ $(\mathbf{1})A = q^4 \mathbf{1}$
- ▶ $e_{4t}(A) = e_{4t}(A|_{Y_2}) + 1$
- ▶ $e_i(A) = e_i(A|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t$.

Eliminating the all-one vector

- ▶ View A as an R -module map.
- ▶ $A : R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \rightarrow R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ sends a 2-subspace to the (formal) sum of the 2-subspaces incident with it.
- ▶ $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} x$
- ▶ $Y_2 = \left\{ \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x x \in R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \mid \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_2} a_x = 0 \right\}$
- ▶ $R^{\mathcal{L}_2} = R\mathbf{1} \oplus Y_2$
- ▶ $(\mathbf{1})A = q^4 \mathbf{1}$
- ▶ $e_{4t}(A) = e_{4t}(A|_{Y_2}) + 1$
- ▶ $e_i(A) = e_i(A|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t$.

SRG equation

- ▶ $A(A + (q^2 - q)I) = q^3I + (q^4 - q^3)J$
- ▶ On Y_2 , $A(A + (q^2 - q)I) = q^3I$.
- ▶ Let P and Q be unimodular, with $D = PAQ^{-1}$ diagonal. Then we get the relation

$$Q(A + (q^2 - q)I)P^{-1} = q^3D^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

SRG equation

- ▶ $A(A + (q^2 - q)I) = q^3I + (q^4 - q^3)J$
- ▶ On Y_2 , $A(A + (q^2 - q)I) = q^3I$.
- ▶ Let P and Q be unimodular, with $D = PAQ^{-1}$ diagonal. Then we get the relation

$$Q(A + (q^2 - q)I)P^{-1} = q^3D^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

SRG equation

- ▶ $A(A + (q^2 - q)I) = q^3I + (q^4 - q^3)J$
- ▶ On Y_2 , $A(A + (q^2 - q)I) = q^3I$.
- ▶ Let P and Q be unimodular, with $D = PAQ^{-1}$ diagonal. Then we get the relation

$$Q(A + (q^2 - q)I)P^{-1} = q^3D^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

SRG equation (continued)

- ▶ $Q(A + (q^2 - q)I)P^{-1} = q^3 D^{-1}$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2}) = 0$ for $i > 3t$.
- ▶ $e_{4t}(A) = 1$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2 + (q^2 - q)I}) = e_{3t-i}(A|_{Y_2})$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3t$.

SRG equation (continued)

- ▶ $Q(A + (q^2 - q)I)P^{-1} = q^3D^{-1}$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2}) = 0$ for $i > 3t$.
- ▶ $e_{4t}(A) = 1$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2 + (q^2 - q)I}) = e_{3t-i}(A|_{Y_2})$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3t$.

SRG equation (continued)

- ▶ $Q(A + (q^2 - q)I)P^{-1} = q^3D^{-1}$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2}) = 0$ for $i > 3t$.
- ▶ $e_{4t}(A) = 1$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2 + (q^2 - q)I}) = e_{3t-i}(A|_{Y_2})$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3t$.

SRG equation (continued)

- ▶ $Q(A + (q^2 - q)I)P^{-1} = q^3D^{-1}$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2}) = 0$ for $i > 3t$.
- ▶ $e_{4t}(A) = 1$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I) = e_{3t-i}(A|_{Y_2})$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3t$.

Proof of First Theorem

- ▶ $A|_{Y_2} \equiv A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I \pmod{p^t}$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I) = e_{3t-i}(A|_{Y_2})$, for $0 \leq i < t$
- ▶ $V_\lambda := \lambda$ -eigenspace for A (as a matrix over the field of fractions of R).
- ▶ $V_q \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ and $V_{-q^2} \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ are pure R -submodules of Y_2 .
- ▶ $V_q \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \subseteq N_t(A|_{Y_2})$ and $V_{-q^2} \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \subseteq M_{2t}(A|_{Y_2})$.
- ▶

$$q^4 + q^2 = \dim_F(\overline{V_q \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathcal{L}_2}}) \leq \dim_F \overline{N_t(A|_{Y_2})} = \sum_{i=0}^t e_i(A|_{Y_2})$$

and

$$q^3 + q^2 + q = \dim_F(\overline{V_{-q^2} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathcal{L}_2}}) \leq \dim_F \overline{M_{2t}(A|_{Y_2})} = \sum_{i=2t}^{3t} e_i(A|_{Y_2}).$$

- ▶ Since $(q^4 + q^2) + (q^3 + q^2 + q) = \dim_F \overline{Y_2}$, we must have equalities throughout, so $e_i(A) = 0$ for all other i .

Proof of First Theorem

- ▶ $A|_{Y_2} \equiv A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I \pmod{p^t}$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I) = e_{3t-i}(A|_{Y_2})$, for $0 \leq i < t$
- ▶ $V_\lambda := \lambda$ -eigenspace for A (as a matrix over the field of fractions of R).
- ▶ $V_q \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ and $V_{-q^2} \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ are pure R -submodules of Y_2 .
- ▶ $V_q \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \subseteq N_t(A|_{Y_2})$ and $V_{-q^2} \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \subseteq M_{2t}(A|_{Y_2})$.
- ▶

$$q^4 + q^2 = \dim_F(\overline{V_q \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathcal{L}_2}}) \leq \dim_F \overline{N_t(A|_{Y_2})} = \sum_{i=0}^t e_i(A|_{Y_2})$$

and

$$q^3 + q^2 + q = \dim_F(\overline{V_{-q^2} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathcal{L}_2}}) \leq \dim_F \overline{M_{2t}(A|_{Y_2})} = \sum_{i=2t}^{3t} e_i(A|_{Y_2}).$$

- ▶ Since $(q^4 + q^2) + (q^3 + q^2 + q) = \dim_F \overline{Y_2}$, we must have equalities throughout, so $e_i(A) = 0$ for all other i .

Proof of First Theorem

- ▶ $A|_{Y_2} \equiv A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I \pmod{p^t}$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I) = e_{3t-i}(A|_{Y_2})$, for $0 \leq i < t$
- ▶ $V_\lambda := \lambda$ -eigenspace for A (as a matrix over the field of fractions of R).
- ▶ $V_q \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ and $V_{-q^2} \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ are pure R -submodules of Y_2 .
- ▶ $V_q \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \subseteq N_t(A|_{Y_2})$ and $V_{-q^2} \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \subseteq M_{2t}(A|_{Y_2})$.
- ▶

$$q^4 + q^2 = \dim_F(\overline{V_q \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathcal{L}_2}}) \leq \dim_F \overline{N_t(A|_{Y_2})} = \sum_{i=0}^t e_i(A|_{Y_2})$$

and

$$q^3 + q^2 + q = \dim_F(\overline{V_{-q^2} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathcal{L}_2}}) \leq \dim_F \overline{M_{2t}(A|_{Y_2})} = \sum_{i=2t}^{3t} e_i(A|_{Y_2}).$$

- ▶ Since $(q^4 + q^2) + (q^3 + q^2 + q) = \dim_F \overline{Y_2}$, we must have equalities throughout, so $e_i(A) = 0$ for all other i .

Proof of First Theorem

- ▶ $A|_{Y_2} \equiv A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I \pmod{p^t}$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I) = e_{3t-i}(A|_{Y_2})$, for $0 \leq i < t$
- ▶ $V_\lambda := \lambda$ -eigenspace for A (as a matrix over the field of fractions of R).
- ▶ $V_q \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ and $V_{-q^2} \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ are pure R -submodules of Y_2 .
- ▶ $V_q \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \subseteq N_t(A|_{Y_2})$ and $V_{-q^2} \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \subseteq M_{2t}(A|_{Y_2})$.
- ▶

$$q^4 + q^2 = \dim_F(\overline{V_q \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathcal{L}_2}}) \leq \dim_F \overline{N_t(A|_{Y_2})} = \sum_{i=0}^t e_i(A|_{Y_2})$$

and

$$q^3 + q^2 + q = \dim_F(\overline{V_{-q^2} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathcal{L}_2}}) \leq \dim_F \overline{M_{2t}(A|_{Y_2})} = \sum_{i=2t}^{3t} e_i(A|_{Y_2}).$$

- ▶ Since $(q^4 + q^2) + (q^3 + q^2 + q) = \dim_F \overline{Y_2}$, we must have equalities throughout, so $e_i(A) = 0$ for all other i .

Proof of First Theorem

- ▶ $A|_{Y_2} \equiv A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I \pmod{p^t}$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I) = e_{3t-i}(A|_{Y_2})$, for $0 \leq i < t$
- ▶ $V_\lambda := \lambda$ -eigenspace for A (as a matrix over the field of fractions of R).
- ▶ $V_q \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ and $V_{-q^2} \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ are pure R -submodules of Y_2 .
- ▶ $V_q \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \subseteq N_t(A|_{Y_2})$ and $V_{-q^2} \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \subseteq M_{2t}(A|_{Y_2})$.
- ▶

$$q^4 + q^2 = \dim_F(\overline{V_q \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathcal{L}_2}}) \leq \dim_F \overline{N_t(A|_{Y_2})} = \sum_{i=0}^t e_i(A|_{Y_2})$$

and

$$q^3 + q^2 + q = \dim_F(\overline{V_{-q^2} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathcal{L}_2}}) \leq \dim_F \overline{M_{2t}(A|_{Y_2})} = \sum_{i=2t}^{3t} e_i(A|_{Y_2}).$$

- ▶ Since $(q^4 + q^2) + (q^3 + q^2 + q) = \dim_F \overline{Y_2}$, we must have equalities throughout, so $e_i(A) = 0$ for all other i .

Proof of First Theorem

- ▶ $A|_{Y_2} \equiv A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I \pmod{p^t}$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I) = e_{3t-i}(A|_{Y_2})$, for $0 \leq i < t$
- ▶ $V_\lambda := \lambda$ -eigenspace for A (as a matrix over the field of fractions of R).
- ▶ $V_q \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ and $V_{-q^2} \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ are pure R -submodules of Y_2 .
- ▶ $V_q \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \subseteq N_t(A|_{Y_2})$ and $V_{-q^2} \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \subseteq M_{2t}(A|_{Y_2})$.
- ▶

$$q^4 + q^2 = \dim_F(\overline{V_q \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathcal{L}_2}}) \leq \dim_F \overline{N_t(A|_{Y_2})} = \sum_{i=0}^t e_i(A|_{Y_2})$$

and

$$q^3 + q^2 + q = \dim_F(\overline{V_{-q^2} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathcal{L}_2}}) \leq \dim_F \overline{M_{2t}(A|_{Y_2})} = \sum_{i=2t}^{3t} e_i(A|_{Y_2}).$$

- ▶ Since $(q^4 + q^2) + (q^3 + q^2 + q) = \dim_F \overline{Y_2}$, we must have equalities throughout, so $e_i(A) = 0$ for all other i .

Proof of First Theorem

- ▶ $A|_{Y_2} \equiv A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I \pmod{p^t}$
- ▶ $e_i(A|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I) = e_{3t-i}(A|_{Y_2})$, for $0 \leq i < t$
- ▶ $V_\lambda := \lambda$ -eigenspace for A (as a matrix over the field of fractions of R).
- ▶ $V_q \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ and $V_{-q^2} \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2}$ are pure R -submodules of Y_2 .
- ▶ $V_q \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \subseteq N_t(A|_{Y_2})$ and $V_{-q^2} \cap R^{\mathcal{L}_2} \subseteq M_{2t}(A|_{Y_2})$.
- ▶

$$q^4 + q^2 = \dim_F(\overline{V_q \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathcal{L}_2}}) \leq \dim_F \overline{N_t(A|_{Y_2})} = \sum_{i=0}^t e_i(A|_{Y_2})$$

and

$$q^3 + q^2 + q = \dim_F(\overline{V_{-q^2} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathcal{L}_2}}) \leq \dim_F \overline{M_{2t}(A|_{Y_2})} = \sum_{i=2t}^{3t} e_i(A|_{Y_2}).$$

- ▶ Since $(q^4 + q^2) + (q^3 + q^2 + q) = \dim_F \overline{Y_2}$, we must have *equalities* throughout, so $e_i(A) = 0$ for all other i .

Remark

The above proof simply exploits the SRG equation, and makes no use of the geometry of $\text{PG}(3, q)$. Therefore the first theorem is also true for the adjacency matrix A of any strongly regular graph with the same parameters.

Outline

Introduction

All invariants are powers of p

p -filtrations and SNF bases

Invariants of the Strongly Regular Graph

Relation with Point-Line Incidence

Simultaneous SNF Bases

- ▶ B denote the incidence matrix with rows indexed by \mathcal{L}_1 and columns indexed by \mathcal{L}_2 , where incidence again means zero intersection.
- ▶ B^t denotes the transpose of B , and is just the incidence matrix of lines vs. points.

▶

$$B^t B = (q^3 + q^2)I + (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)A + (q^3 + q^2 - q)(J - A - I). \quad (2)$$

- ▶ $(1)B^t B = q^4(q^2 + q + 1)(q + 1)\mathbf{1}$,
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B) = e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t$.
- ▶ $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I + (q^3 + q^2 - q)J$
- ▶ On Y_2 , $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I$.
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I)$
- ▶ $e_{2t+i}(A) = e_{t-i}(B^t B)$, for $0 \leq i \leq t$.

- ▶ B denote the incidence matrix with rows indexed by \mathcal{L}_1 and columns indexed by \mathcal{L}_2 , where incidence again means zero intersection.
- ▶ B^t denotes the transpose of B , and is just the incidence matrix of lines vs. points.



$$B^t B = (q^3 + q^2)I + (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)A + (q^3 + q^2 - q)(J - A - I). \quad (2)$$

- ▶ $(1)B^t B = q^4(q^2 + q + 1)(q + 1)\mathbf{1},$
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B) = e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t.$
- ▶ $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I + (q^3 + q^2 - q)J$
- ▶ On Y_2 , $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I.$
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I)$
- ▶ $e_{2t+i}(A) = e_{t-i}(B^t B),$ for $0 \leq i \leq t.$

- ▶ B denote the incidence matrix with rows indexed by \mathcal{L}_1 and columns indexed by \mathcal{L}_2 , where incidence again means zero intersection.
- ▶ B^t denotes the transpose of B , and is just the incidence matrix of lines vs. points.



$$B^t B = (q^3 + q^2)I + (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)A + (q^3 + q^2 - q)(J - A - I). \quad (2)$$

- ▶ $(1)B^t B = q^4(q^2 + q + 1)(q + 1)\mathbf{1},$
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B) = e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t.$
- ▶ $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I + (q^3 + q^2 - q)J$
- ▶ On Y_2 , $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I.$
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I)$
- ▶ $e_{2t+i}(A) = e_{t-i}(B^t B),$ for $0 \leq i \leq t.$

- ▶ B denote the incidence matrix with rows indexed by \mathcal{L}_1 and columns indexed by \mathcal{L}_2 , where incidence again means zero intersection.
- ▶ B^t denotes the transpose of B , and is just the incidence matrix of lines vs. points.



$$B^t B = (q^3 + q^2)I + (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)A + (q^3 + q^2 - q)(J - A - I). \quad (2)$$

- ▶ $(1) B^t B = q^4(q^2 + q + 1)(q + 1)\mathbf{1},$
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B) = e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t.$
- ▶ $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I + (q^3 + q^2 - q)J$
- ▶ On Y_2 , $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I.$
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I)$
- ▶ $e_{2t+i}(A) = e_{t-i}(B^t B),$ for $0 \leq i \leq t.$

- ▶ B denote the incidence matrix with rows indexed by \mathcal{L}_1 and columns indexed by \mathcal{L}_2 , where incidence again means zero intersection.
- ▶ B^t denotes the transpose of B , and is just the incidence matrix of lines vs. points.



$$B^t B = (q^3 + q^2)I + (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)A + (q^3 + q^2 - q)(J - A - I). \quad (2)$$

- ▶ $(1)B^t B = q^4(q^2 + q + 1)(q + 1)\mathbf{1},$
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B) = e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t.$
- ▶ $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I + (q^3 + q^2 - q)J$
- ▶ On Y_2 , $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I.$
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I)$
- ▶ $e_{2t+i}(A) = e_{t-i}(B^t B),$ for $0 \leq i \leq t.$

- ▶ B denote the incidence matrix with rows indexed by \mathcal{L}_1 and columns indexed by \mathcal{L}_2 , where incidence again means zero intersection.
- ▶ B^t denotes the transpose of B , and is just the incidence matrix of lines vs. points.

▶

$$B^t B = (q^3 + q^2)I + (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)A + (q^3 + q^2 - q)(J - A - I). \quad (2)$$

- ▶ $(1)B^t B = q^4(q^2 + q + 1)(q + 1)\mathbf{1},$
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B) = e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t.$
- ▶ $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I + (q^3 + q^2 - q)J$
- ▶ On Y_2 , $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I.$
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I)$
- ▶ $e_{2t+i}(A) = e_{t-i}(B^t B),$ for $0 \leq i \leq t.$

- ▶ B denote the incidence matrix with rows indexed by \mathcal{L}_1 and columns indexed by \mathcal{L}_2 , where incidence again means zero intersection.
- ▶ B^t denotes the transpose of B , and is just the incidence matrix of lines vs. points.

▶

$$B^t B = (q^3 + q^2)I + (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)A + (q^3 + q^2 - q)(J - A - I). \quad (2)$$

- ▶ $(1) B^t B = q^4(q^2 + q + 1)(q + 1)\mathbf{1},$
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B) = e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t.$
- ▶ $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I + (q^3 + q^2 - q)J$
- ▶ On Y_2 , $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I.$
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I)$
- ▶ $e_{2t+i}(A) = e_{t-i}(B^t B),$ for $0 \leq i \leq t.$

- ▶ B denote the incidence matrix with rows indexed by \mathcal{L}_1 and columns indexed by \mathcal{L}_2 , where incidence again means zero intersection.
- ▶ B^t denotes the transpose of B , and is just the incidence matrix of lines vs. points.

▶

$$B^t B = (q^3 + q^2)I + (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)A + (q^3 + q^2 - q)(J - A - I). \quad (2)$$

- ▶ $(1) B^t B = q^4(q^2 + q + 1)(q + 1)\mathbf{1},$
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B) = e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2})$ for $i \neq 4t.$
- ▶ $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I + (q^3 + q^2 - q)J$
- ▶ On Y_2 , $B^t B = -[A + (q^2 - q)I] + q^2 I.$
- ▶ $e_i(B^t B|_{Y_2}) = e_i(A|_{Y_2} + (q^2 - q)I)$
- ▶ $e_{2t+i}(A) = e_{t-i}(B^t B),$ for $0 \leq i \leq t.$

Outline

Introduction

All invariants are powers of p

p -filtrations and SNF bases

Invariants of the Strongly Regular Graph

Relation with Point-Line Incidence

Simultaneous SNF Bases

Proof of Second Theorem

- ▶ Suppose that we can diagonalize B^t and B by:

$$PB^tE^{-1} = D_{2,1}$$

and

$$EBQ^{-1} = D_{1,2}$$

where E is the same matrix in both equations

- ▶ Then we can diagonalize the product:

$$PB^tBQ^{-1} = D_{r,1}D_{1,s},$$

- ▶ In general is not possible to find such a matrix E ([5] is a source of information on this topic).
- ▶ Yet that is exactly what we will do.

Proof of Second Theorem

- ▶ Suppose that we can diagonalize B^t and B by:

$$PB^tE^{-1} = D_{2,1}$$

and

$$EBQ^{-1} = D_{1,2}$$

where E is the same matrix in both equations

- ▶ Then we can diagonalize the product:

$$PB^tBQ^{-1} = D_{r,1}D_{1,s},$$

- ▶ In general is not possible to find such a matrix E ([5] is a source of information on this topic).
- ▶ Yet that is exactly what we will do.

Proof of Second Theorem

- ▶ Suppose that we can diagonalize B^t and B by:

$$PB^tE^{-1} = D_{2,1}$$

and

$$EBQ^{-1} = D_{1,2}$$

where E is the same matrix in both equations

- ▶ Then we can diagonalize the product:

$$PB^tBQ^{-1} = D_{r,1}D_{1,s},$$

- ▶ In general is not possible to find such a matrix E ([5] is a source of information on this topic).
- ▶ Yet that is exactly what we will do.

Proof of Second Theorem

- ▶ Suppose that we can diagonalize B^t and B by:

$$PB^tE^{-1} = D_{2,1}$$

and

$$EBQ^{-1} = D_{1,2}$$

where E is the same matrix in both equations

- ▶ Then we can diagonalize the product:

$$PB^tBQ^{-1} = D_{r,1}D_{1,s},$$

- ▶ In general is not possible to find such a matrix E ([5] is a source of information on this topic).
- ▶ Yet that is exactly what we will do.

► Lemma

There exists a basis \mathcal{B} of $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$ that is simultaneously a left SNF basis for B and a right SNF basis for B^t .

- The group G has a cyclic subgroup S which is isomorphic to F^\times , acting on $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$ with all isotypic components of rank 1.
- Taking a generator of each component, we get a basis \mathcal{I} of $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$.
- By using idempotents in RG , we can show that \mathcal{I} is simultaneously a left SNF basis for B and a right SNF basis for B^t .
- Finally, the elementary divisors of B^t and B can be found in work of Chandler, Sin and Xiang.
- This lemma generalizes. Let $A_{1,\ell}$ be the incidence matrix between 1-subspaces and ℓ -subspaces in any finite vector space. Using the generalization and the C-S-X formula, we can obtain the elementary divisors of the matrix $A_{1,r}^t A_{1,s}$.

► **Lemma**

There exists a basis \mathcal{B} of $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$ that is simultaneously a left SNF basis for B and a right SNF basis for B^t .

- The group G has a cyclic subgroup S which is isomorphic to F^\times , acting on $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$ with all isotypic components of rank 1.
- Taking a generator of each component, we get a basis \mathcal{I} of $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$.
- By using idempotents in RG , we can show that \mathcal{I} is simultaneously a left SNF basis for B and a right SNF basis for B^t .
- Finally, the elementary divisors of B^t and B can be found in work of Chandler, Sin and Xiang.
- This lemma generalizes. Let $A_{1,\ell}$ be the incidence matrix between 1-subspaces and ℓ -subspaces in any finite vector space. Using the generalization and the C-S-X formula, we can obtain the elementary divisors of the matrix $A_{1,r}^t A_{1,s}$.

► Lemma

There exists a basis \mathcal{B} of $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$ that is simultaneously a left SNF basis for B and a right SNF basis for B^t .

- The group G has a cyclic subgroup S which is isomorphic to F^\times , acting on $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$ with all isotypic components of rank 1.
- Taking a generator of each component, we get a basis \mathcal{I} of $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$.
- By using idempotents in RG , we can show that \mathcal{I} is simultaneously a left SNF basis for B and a right SNF basis for B^t .
- Finally, the elementary divisors of B^t and B can be found in work of Chandler, Sin and Xiang.
- This lemma generalizes. Let $A_{1,\ell}$ be the incidence matrix between 1-subspaces and ℓ -subspaces in any finite vector space. Using the generalization and the C-S-X formula, we can obtain the elementary divisors of the matrix $A_{1,r}^t A_{1,s}$.

► Lemma

There exists a basis \mathcal{B} of $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$ that is simultaneously a left SNF basis for B and a right SNF basis for B^t .

- The group G has a cyclic subgroup S which is isomorphic to F^\times , acting on $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$ with all isotypic components of rank 1.
- Taking a generator of each component, we get a basis \mathcal{I} of $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$.
- By using idempotents in RG , we can show that \mathcal{I} is simultaneously a left SNF basis for B and a right SNF basis for B^t .
- Finally, the elementary divisors of B^t and B can be found in work of Chandler, Sin and Xiang.
- This lemma generalizes. Let $A_{1,\ell}$ be the incidence matrix between 1-subspaces and ℓ -subspaces in any finite vector space. Using the generalization and the C-S-X formula, we can obtain the elementary divisors of the matrix $A_{1,r}^t A_{1,s}$.

► Lemma

There exists a basis \mathcal{B} of $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$ that is simultaneously a left SNF basis for B and a right SNF basis for B^t .

- The group G has a cyclic subgroup S which is isomorphic to F^\times , acting on $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$ with all isotypic components of rank 1.
- Taking a generator of each component, we get a basis \mathcal{I} of $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$.
- By using idempotents in RG , we can show that \mathcal{I} is simultaneously a left SNF basis for B and a right SNF basis for B^t .
- Finally, the elementary divisors of B^t and B can be found in work of Chandler, Sin and Xiang.
- This lemma generalizes. Let $A_{1,\ell}$ be the incidence matrix between 1-subspaces and ℓ -subspaces in any finite vector space. Using the generalization and the C-S-X formula, we can obtain the elementary divisors of the matrix $A_{1,r}^t A_{1,s}$.

► Lemma

There exists a basis \mathcal{B} of $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$ that is simultaneously a left SNF basis for B and a right SNF basis for B^t .

- The group G has a cyclic subgroup S which is isomorphic to F^\times , acting on $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$ with all isotypic components of rank 1.
- Taking a generator of each component, we get a basis \mathcal{I} of $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$.
- By using idempotents in RG , we can show that \mathcal{I} is simultaneously a left SNF basis for B and a right SNF basis for B^t .
- Finally, the elementary divisors of B^t and B can be found in work of Chandler, Sin and Xiang.
- This lemma generalizes. Let $A_{1,\ell}$ be the incidence matrix between 1-subspaces and ℓ -subspaces in any finite vector space. Using the generalization and the C-S-X formula, we can obtain the elementary divisors of the matrix $A_{1,r}^t A_{1,s}$.





► Lemma

There exists a basis \mathcal{B} of $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$ that is simultaneously a left SNF basis for B and a right SNF basis for B^t .

- The group G has a cyclic subgroup S which is isomorphic to F^\times , acting on $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$ with all isotypic components of rank 1.
- Taking a generator of each component, we get a basis \mathcal{I} of $R^{\mathcal{L}_1}$.
- By using idempotents in RG , we can show that \mathcal{I} is simultaneously a left SNF basis for B and a right SNF basis for B^t .
- Finally, the elementary divisors of B^t and B can be found in work of Chandler, Sin and Xiang.
- This lemma generalizes. Let $A_{1,\ell}$ be the incidence matrix between 1-subspaces and ℓ -subspaces in any finite vector space. Using the generalization and the C-S-X formula, we can obtain the elementary divisors of the matrix $A_{1,r}^t A_{1,s}$.

Thank you for your attention!

References

-  Matthew Bardoe and Peter Sin, *The permutation modules for $GL(n+1, \mathbf{F}_q)$ acting on $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{F}_q)$ and \mathbf{F}_q^{n-1}* , J. London Math. Soc. (2) **61** (2000), no. 1, 58–80.
-  David B. Chandler, Peter Sin, and Qing Xiang, *The invariant factors of the incidence matrices of points and subspaces in $PG(n, q)$ and $AG(n, q)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 11, 4935–4957 (electronic).
-  Noboru Hamada, *On the p -rank of the incidence matrix of a balanced or partially balanced incomplete block design and its applications to error correcting codes*, Hiroshima Math. J. **3** (1973), 153–226.
-  Eric S. Lander, *Symmetric designs: An algebraic approach*, Cambridge University Press, 1983, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 74.



Joseph John Rushanan, *TOPICS IN INTEGRAL MATRICES AND ABELIAN GROUP CODES (SMITH NORMAL FORM (SNF), QUADRATIC, DUADIC, Q-CODES)*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1986, Thesis (Ph.D.)—California Institute of Technology.



Peter Sin, *The elementary divisors of the incidence matrices of points and linear subspaces in $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{F}_p)$* , J. Algebra **232** (2000), no. 1, 76–85.



Peter Sin, *The p -rank of the incidence matrix of intersecting linear subspaces*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **31** (2004), no. 3, 213–220.



Qing Xiang, *Recent progress in algebraic design theory*, Finite Fields Appl. **11** (2005), no. 3, 622–653.



Qing Xiang, *Recent results on p -ranks and Smith normal forms of some $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ designs*, Coding theory and quantum computing, Contemp. Math., vol. 381, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 53–67.