

SOME UNISERIAL REPRESENTATIONS OF CERTAIN SPECIAL LINEAR GROUPS

PETER SIN AND JOHN G. THOMPSON

ABSTRACT. In an earlier paper a construction was given for an infinite-dimensional uniserial module over \mathbf{Q} for $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ whose composition factors are all isomorphic to the standard (two-dimensional) module. In this note we consider generalizations of this construction to other composition factors and to other rings of algebraic integers.

1. INTRODUCTION

The group $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ is generated by the matrices

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The element S has order 4 and ST has order 6. Moreover, Γ is isomorphic to the free product of the two cyclic groups generated by S and $R = ST$, with amalgamation of the elements S^2 and R^3 .

Let V be the standard 2-dimensional module for Γ over \mathbf{C} (and later for other subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{C})$). In [1, Theorem 5.1] a module E for Γ of countably infinite dimension was constructed over \mathbf{C} with the following properties.

- (1) T acts indecomposably on E .
- (2) E has a filtration $0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \cdots$ such that each quotient E_{i+1}/E_i is isomorphic to V .
- (3) The elements of Γ are represented by integer matrices.

In [1, Theorem 8.1], it was shown that properties (1) and (2) characterize the module E up to isomorphism. By (3), we have $E = \mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E'$ for some $\mathbf{Q}\Gamma$ module E' and the same proof shows that E' also satisfies (1) and (2) and is uniquely characterized by them.

More generally, we can study Γ -modules on which T acts indecomposably (as a single Jordan block). We shall call these *T-indecomposable* modules for short. It is clear that every composition

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11M06, 20C12.

This work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#204181 to Peter Sin).

factor of such a module would have the same property so the first problem is to identify some simple modules with this property. The symmetric powers $S^m(V)$ provide an infinite class of such modules, as can be seen by reduction mod $p \gg m$. We therefore consider when one symmetric power $S^m(V)$ can extend another $S^n(V)$ and when the resulting extension is T -indecomposable. It is immediately clear from the action of $-I$ that a necessary condition for the existence of a nonsplit extension is that m and n have the same parity. The condition is also sufficient, and in §3 we compute the dimension of $\text{Ext}_{\text{Gr}}^1(S^m(V), S^n(V))$. In §1 we consider T -indecomposable modules constructed from symmetric powers. In such a module the degrees of the symmetric powers must all have the same parity. The main result of §1 is a construction, for any sequence of positive integers of the same parity, of a T -indecomposable module whose composition factors are the symmetric powers with degrees equal to the terms of the sequence. In the final section we discuss generalizations of [1, Theorem 5.1] to rings \mathcal{O} of integers in number fields, other than \mathbf{Q} , and consider T -indecomposable modules for $\text{SL}(2, \mathcal{O})$ whose composition factors are all isomorphic to V . We show that such modules can exist only for imaginary quadratic fields and study some examples of existence and nonexistence.

2. UNISERIAL MODULES CONSTRUCTED FROM SYMMETRIC POWERS

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{a} = a_1, a_2, \dots$ be any finite or infinite sequence of positive integers, all of the same parity. Then there exists a T -indecomposable $\mathbf{Q}\Gamma$ -module $M(\mathbf{a})$ with increasing filtration $0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots$ such that for $k \geq 1$ we have $F_k/F_{k-1} \cong S^{a_k}(V)$.*

Proof. The elements S , T and R are represented on $S^m(V)$ with respect to the basis of monomials (ordered in a standard way) by the matrices

$$\rho_m(S) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & (-1)^m \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & (-1)^{m-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\rho_m(T) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & (m-1) & m \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & \dots & \binom{m-1}{2} & \binom{m}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & \binom{m-1}{3} & \binom{m}{3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & m \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\rho_m(R) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & (-1)^m \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & (-1)^{m-1} & (-1)^{m-1}m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \dots & -\binom{m-1}{3} & -\binom{m}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & \dots & \binom{m-1}{2} & \binom{m}{2} \\ 0 & -1 & -2 & -3 & \dots & -(m-1) & -m \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

respectively.

For positive integers m and n , we define $(m+1) \times (n+1)$ matrices

$$B(m, n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C(m, n) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ (-1)^{m-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$E(m, n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ be a sequence of positive integers, all of the same parity. Let

$$R(\mathbf{a}) = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{a_1}(R) & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \rho_{a_2}(R) & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \rho_{a_3}(R) & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

be the infinite block-diagonal matrix with blocks $\rho_{a_i}(R)$

Let $B_{ij} = B(a_i, a_j)$, $C_{ij} = C(a_i, a_j)$. We use these to define the infinite block upper-triangular matrix

$$S(\mathbf{a}) = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{a_1}(S) & B_{12} & C_{13} & B_{14} & 2C_{15} & B_{16} & 3C_{17} & \dots \\ 0 & \rho_{a_2}(S) & B_{23} & C_{24} & B_{25} & 2C_{26} & B_{27} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \rho_{a_3}(S) & B_{34} & C_{35} & B_{36} & 2C_{37} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following matrix equations are easily seen to hold when m, n, j and k are positive integers that are either all odd or all even.

- (1) $\rho_m(S)^4 = I$, $\rho_m(R)^6 = I$.
- (2) $\rho_m(S)B(m, n) + B(m, n)\rho_n(S) = 0$.
- (3) $B(m, n)C(n, k) + C(m, j)B(j, k) = 0$.
- (4) $\rho_m(S)C(m, n) + C(m, n)\rho_n(S) = -E(m, n)$.
- (5) $B(m, j)B(j, n) = E(m, n)$.
- (6) $C(m, n)C(n, k) = 0$.

These equations imply that $S(\mathbf{a})^4 = I$, $R(\mathbf{a})^6 = I$ and $S(\mathbf{a})^2 = R(\mathbf{a})^3$, so there is a representation of Γ sending S to $S(\mathbf{a})$ and R to $R(\mathbf{a})$. It is clear from the block forms of $R(\mathbf{a})$ and $S(\mathbf{a})$ that the underlying module has a filtration described in the statement of the theorem.

Finally, it remains to show that the $\mathbf{Q}\Gamma$ -module $M(\mathbf{a})$ that we have just constructed is T -indecomposable.

Since $T = -SR$, and each diagonal block $\rho_{a_i}(T)$ of $-S(\mathbf{a})R(\mathbf{a})$ is an upper unitriangular and acts indecomposably, T -indecomposability will follow if we show that the bottom left entry of each super-diagonal block $-S(\mathbf{a})R(\mathbf{a})$ is nonzero. If $a_i = m$ and $a_{i+1} = n$, then the $(i, i+1)$

block of $-S(\mathbf{a})R(\mathbf{a})$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 -B(m, n)\rho_n(R) &= - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & (-1)^n \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & (-1)^{n-1} & (-1)^{n-1}n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -\binom{n-1}{3} & -\binom{n}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \binom{n-1}{2} & \binom{n}{2} \\ 0 & -1 & \dots & -(n-1) & -n \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 2.2. We note that if $S^m(V)$ is reduced modulo a prime $p \leq m$, then T will not act indecomposably. This is in contrast with the module E constructed in [1, Theorem 5.1] which is T -indecomposable modulo every prime.

3. EXTENSIONS BETWEEN SYMMETRIC POWERS

In this section we compute the groups $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{C}\Gamma}^1(S^m(V), S^n(V))$. The uniqueness result [1, Theorem 8.1] depends on the fact that $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{C}\Gamma}^1(V, V) \cong \mathbf{C}$. In the case of symmetric powers there may be inequivalent non-split extensions and, as we shall see, inequivalent T -indecomposable extensions.

Let $M_{k,\ell}(\mathbf{C})$ denote the space of $k \times \ell$ matrices with entries from \mathbf{C} . If ρ and σ are complex matrix representations of a group G of degrees k and ℓ respectively we let

$$I(\rho, \sigma) = \{M \in M_{k,\ell}(\mathbf{C}) \mid \forall g \in G, \rho(g)M = M\sigma(g)\}$$

and set $i(\rho, \sigma) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} I(\rho, \sigma)$.

The first two lemmas are proved by considering the decomposition of $S^m(V)$ into simple one-dimensional submodules for $\langle R \rangle$. We omit the details.

Lemma 3.1. *The trace of R on $S^m(V)$ is as follows.*

(1) *If m is odd then*

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\rho_m}(R) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, \\ 1 & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, \\ -1 & \text{if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

(2) *If m is even then*

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\rho_m}(R) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ 1 & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ -1 & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let m and n be nonnegative integers of the same parity. Then*

$$i(\rho_m|_{\langle R \rangle}, \rho_m|_{\langle R \rangle}) = \frac{1}{3}[(m+1)(n+1) + 2 \mathrm{tr}_{\rho_m}(R) \mathrm{tr}_{\rho_n}(R)].$$

Let m and n be nonnegative integers of the same parity. Let $S(m, n)$ be the subspace of $(m+1) \times (n+1)$ complex matrices M such that $\rho_m(S)M + M\rho_n(S) = 0$ and let $s(m, n)$ be its dimension.

Lemma 3.3. *We have*

$$s(m, n) = \begin{cases} \frac{(m+1)(n+1)}{2} & \text{if } m \text{ and } n \text{ are odd,} \\ \frac{(m+1)(n+1)-1}{2} + \epsilon(m, n) & \text{if } m \text{ and } n \text{ are even,} \end{cases}$$

where $\epsilon(m, n) = 1$ if $m+n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and zero otherwise.

Theorem 3.4. *Let m and n be nonnegative integers. If they differ in parity then $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{C}\Gamma}^1(S^m(V), S^n(V)) = 0$. If they have the same parity then*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{C}\Gamma}^1(S^m(V), S^n(V)) = s(m, n) + \delta_{m,n} - i(\rho_m|_{\langle R \rangle}, \rho_n|_{\langle R \rangle}).$$

(Here $\delta_{m,n}$ is the Kronecker delta.)

Proof. Since $-I \in \Gamma$ acts as $(-1)^m$ on $S^m(V)$, it is clear that

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{C}\Gamma}^1(S^m(V), S^n(V)) = 0$$

if m and n differ in parity.

Therefore we assume for the rest of this proof that m and n have the same parity.

Let $\mathrm{hom}_{m,n}(\Gamma)$ denote the set of matrix representations of the form

$$(1) \quad \phi(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_m(g) & \tau(g) \\ 0 & \rho_n(g) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The representation is determined by function τ , which satisfies the cocycle condition

$$(2) \quad \tau(gh) = \rho_m(g)\tau(h) + \tau(g)\rho_n(h) \quad \forall g, h \in \Gamma.$$

Conversely, if a function $\tau : \Gamma \rightarrow M_{m+1, n+1}(\mathbf{C})$ satisfies (2) then the function ϕ in (1) is a homomorphism, so it lies in $\text{hom}_{m, n}(\Gamma)$.

For $M \in M_{m+1, n+1}(\mathbf{C})$ we set

$$A(M) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{m+1} & M \\ 0 & I_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

There is an equivalence relation on $\text{hom}_{m, n}(\Gamma)$ where representations ϕ and ϕ' are equivalent if for some M we have $\phi'(g) = A(M)^{-1}\phi(g)A(M)$, for all $g \in \Gamma$. The set of equivalence classes is $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{C}\Gamma}^1(S^m(V), S^n(V))$.

By Maschke's Theorem, each equivalence class contains a representation of the form (1) for which $\tau(R) = 0$. Let $\text{hom}(m, n, R, \Gamma)$ denote this subset of $\text{hom}_{m, n}(\Gamma)$. From the defining relations of Γ , we see that a representation $\phi \in \text{hom}(m, n, R, \Gamma)$ is determined uniquely by the choice of

$$\phi(S) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_m(S) & \tau(S) \\ 0 & \rho_n(S) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $\phi(S)$ must satisfy

$$\phi(S)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_m(S)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_n(S)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

but is otherwise unrestricted. It is immediate that this relation is equivalent to the condition that $\tau(S) \in S(m, n)$. In this way, we can identify $\text{hom}(m, n, R, \Gamma)$ with $S(m, n)$.

Now suppose that ϕ and $\phi' \in \text{hom}(m, n, R, \Gamma)$ correspond to $\tau(S)$ and $\tau'(S) \in S(m, n)$, respectively, and that they are equivalent. Thus, for some matrix $M \in M_{m+1, n+1}(\mathbf{C})$, we have

$$(3) \quad \phi'(R) = A(M)^{-1}\phi(R)A(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi'(S) = A(M)^{-1}\phi(S)A(M).$$

These equations are equivalent to the conditions that $M \in I(\rho_m|_{\langle R \rangle}, \rho_n|_{\langle R \rangle})$ and $\tau'(S) = \tau(S) + \rho_m(S)M - M\rho_n(S)$. Therefore, if $T(m, n)$ is the set of elements X in $S(m, n)$ which have the form $X = \rho_m(S)M - M\rho_n(S)$ for some $M \in M_{m+1, n+1}(\mathbf{C})$, then

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{C}\Gamma}^1(S^m(V), S^n(V)) \cong S(m, n)/T(m, n).$$

Let $\lambda : I(\rho_m|_{\langle R \rangle}, \rho_n|_{\langle R \rangle}) \rightarrow M_{m+1, n+1}(\mathbf{C})$ be defined by $\lambda(M) = \rho_m(S)M - M\rho_n(S)$. The kernel of this linear map is the set of matrices M which intertwine $\rho_m(g)$ and $\rho_n(g)$, for $g = T$ and $g = S$, hence for

all $g \in \Gamma$. Thus, the kernel is $\mathbf{C} \cdot I_{m+1}$ if $m = n$ and zero otherwise. The image of λ is $T(m, n)$. Therefore,

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}} T(m, n) = i(\rho_m|_{\langle R \rangle}, \rho_m|_{\langle R \rangle}) - \delta_{m,n},$$

and the theorem now follows. \square

Example 3.5. We have $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{C}\Gamma}^1(S^2(V), S^2(V)) = 2$. The classes of nonsplit modules are equivalent to one of the following. In all cases R is represented by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho_2(R) & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_2(R) \end{bmatrix}$$

and we describe the matrix for S .

If S is represented by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

the module is uniserial, but not T -indecomposable.

For each $a \in \mathbf{C}$ we have a representation in which S acts as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

These modules are T -indecomposable and inequivalent for different values of a .

Remark 3.6. Since $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{C}\Gamma}^1(S^0(V), S^0(V)) = 0$, it is clear that Theorem 2.1 does not extend without restriction to sequences of nonnegative even integers. Calculations suggest that there may exist T -indecomposable modules corresponding to sequences of nonnegative even integers which do not have two consecutive 0s, but we have not yet found a proof.

4. UNISERIAL MODULES FOR CERTAIN SPECIAL LINEAR GROUPS

Let \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers in a number field. We regard Γ as embedded in $\text{SL}(2, \mathcal{O})$ in the standard way. We consider the existence

of countably infinite-dimensional modules E' for $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O})$ over \mathbf{C} satisfying the following properties.

- (1) T acts indecomposably on E' .
- (2) E' has a filtration $0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots$ such that quotient E_{i+1}/E_i is isomorphic to V .

In particular, by our previous results [1, Theorem 8.1], the restriction of E' to Γ must be isomorphic to the module E in the Introduction.

We recall from [1, Lemma 8.2] that $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{C}\Gamma}^1(V, V) \cong \mathbf{C}$ and from [1, §5] that there is a nontrivial cocycle $g : \Gamma \rightarrow M_{2,2}(\mathbf{C})$ such that

$$(4) \quad g(S) = 0, \quad g(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We introduce matrices

$$X(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad H(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \eta & 0 \\ 0 & \eta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where η is a complex number and is nonzero for $H(\eta)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ that contains Γ . Suppose that $H(\eta)$ and $X(\eta)$ lie in G for some $\eta \in \mathbf{C}^\times$. Let $f : G \rightarrow M_{2,2}(\mathbf{C})$ be a function satisfying the cocycle condition*

$$f(gh) = gf(h) + f(g)h, \quad \text{for } g, h \in G.$$

- (a) *If f extends the special cocycle (4) on Γ then $\eta^4 = 1$.*
- (b) *If f extends the zero cocycle on Γ and η is algebraic, then $f(X(\eta)) = 0$ and $f(H(\eta)) = 0$.*

Proof. The proof is by computations resulting from applying relations in G to the cocycle relation or, equivalently, to the matrix representation

$$\phi(g) = \begin{pmatrix} g & f(g) \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}, \quad g \in G.$$

Suppose

$$(5) \quad f(X(\eta)) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad f(H(\eta)) = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a) We assume first that f extends the special cocycle. The relation $(SH(\eta))^2 = -1$ implies $r = q$ and $s = -\eta^{-2}p$. The relation $TX(\eta) = X(\eta)T$ implies $\gamma = \eta$ and $\delta = \alpha + \eta$. Thus,

$$f(X(\eta)) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \eta & \alpha + \eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad f(H(\eta)) = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ q & -\eta^{-2}p \end{pmatrix}.$$

The relation $(SH(\eta)^{-1}X(\eta))^3 = -1$ yields, after simplifications, that $\alpha = (-\eta + \eta^2)/2$ and $\beta = p + \eta^3$, so

$$(6) \quad f(X(\eta)) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-\eta + \eta^2}{2} & p + \eta^3 \\ \eta & \frac{\eta + \eta^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad f(H(\eta)) = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ q & -\eta^{-2}p \end{pmatrix}.$$

We compute

$$(7) \quad f(H(\eta^2)) = H(\eta)f(H(\eta)) + f(H(\eta))H(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\eta p & (\eta + \eta^{-1})q \\ (\eta + \eta^{-1})q & -2\eta^{-3}p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now (6) holds for any $\eta \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ such that $H(\eta)$ and $X(\eta) \in G$. Since $H(\eta^2) = H(\eta)^2$ and $X(\eta^2) = H(\eta)TH(\eta)^{-1}$, we can apply (6) with η^2 in place of η , replacing (p, q, η) with $(2\eta p, (\eta + \eta^{-1})q, \eta^2)$ from (7). Then we have

$$f(X(\eta^2)) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-\eta^2 + \eta^4}{2} & 2\eta p + \eta^6 \\ \eta^2 & \frac{\eta^2 + \eta^4}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand we have $X(\eta^2) = H(\eta)TH(\eta)^{-1}$, which yields

$$f(X(\eta^2)) = \begin{pmatrix} -\eta q & 2\eta p + \eta^2 \\ \eta^{-2} & \eta q + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the last two equations we conclude that $\eta^4 = 1$, which proves (a).

For (b) we assume that $f(S) = 0$ and $f(T) = 0$. Starting from (5) and using the same relations in G as were used in (a), we deduce in this case that

$$(8) \quad f(X(\eta)) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\eta^2 s \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f(H(\eta)) = \begin{pmatrix} -\eta^2 s & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since η is algebraic, let the minimal polynomial of η^2 with integer coefficients be $P(x) = \sum_{n=0}^d a_n x^n$. Since $X(\eta^{2n}) = H(\eta)^n T H(\eta)^{-n}$ we obtain

$$f(X(\eta^{2n})) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2n\eta^{2n+1}s \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Then since $X(\eta^{2n})^{a_n} = X(a_n \eta^{2n})$ and $T^{a_0} = X(a_0)$ we can take products to obtain

$$0 = f(X(P(\eta^2))) = - \sum_{n=1}^d a_n 2n \eta^{2n+1} s.$$

Since the right hand side is of the form $\eta^3 s$ times a polynomial in η^2 of degree $\leq d - 1$, it follows from the minimality of d that $s = 0$. \square

The only number fields without units of infinite order are \mathbf{Q} and the imaginary quadratic fields $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$, where m is a squarefree positive integer. Let \mathcal{O}_{-m} denotes the ring of integers in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$. The groups $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_{-m})$ are known as *Bianchi groups*. Generators and relations were obtained by Swan [2],[3].

4.1. Representations over the ring of power series. Let $\mathbf{C}[[t]]$ be the ring of formal complex power series in the indeterminate t . Let \mathcal{U} denote the ring of matrices of the form

$$(9) \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} X^{(0)} & X^{(1)} & X^{(2)} & X^{(3)} & \dots \\ 0 & X^{(0)} & X^{(1)} & X^{(2)} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & X^{(0)} & X^{(1)} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & X^{(0)} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix},$$

where, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$X^{(n)} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1}^{(n)} & x_{1,2}^{(n)} \\ x_{2,1}^{(n)} & x_{2,2}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbf{C})$$

and the $X^{(n)}$ are repeated down the diagonals. The center $Z(\mathcal{U})$ consists of those matrices in which the submatrices $X^{(n)}$ are all scalar matrices. The map $Z(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[[t]]$ sending the matrix with $X^{(n)} = a_n I$, for all $n \geq 0$, to $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$ is a \mathbf{C} -algebra isomorphism, and extends to a $\mathbf{C}[[t]]$ -algebra isomorphism

$$(10) \quad \gamma : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow M_2(\mathbf{C}[[t]]), \quad U \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1}(t) & x_{1,2}(t) \\ x_{2,1}(t) & x_{2,2}(t) \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$x_{i,j}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_{i,j}^{(n)} t^n, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Thus, any homomorphism of a group G into \mathcal{U} defines, by composition with γ , a representation $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{C}[[t]])$ and vice versa, using γ^{-1} .

The representation τ_1 of Γ given in [1, Lemma 5.2(c)] has its image in \mathcal{U} and the corresponding representation $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{C}[[t]])$ is given by

$$(11) \quad \psi(S) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \psi(T) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 + g(t) \\ g(t) & 1 + t \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here, the power series $g(t)$ is defined by

$$1+g(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k t^k, \quad b_m = \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m} \binom{2m-2}{m-1}, \quad (m \geq 2), \quad b_1 = b_0 = 1.$$

(The b_n are the Catalan numbers, up to signs.) The power series $g(t)$ satisfies the identity

$$(12) \quad g(t)^2 + g(t) = t.$$

We note that the image of ψ is actually in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C}[[t]])$. The T -indecomposability of the representation τ_1 can be seen from $\psi(T)$ by observing that the $(2, 1)$ entry $g(t)$ has zero constant term and nonzero coefficient of t .

4.2. Examples. We now consider the possibility of constructing representations of the groups $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_{-m})$ that extend the representation τ_1 (or, equivalently, ψ) of Γ .

Example 4.2. $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_{-1})$. Let $i^2 = -1$. $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_{-1})$ is generated by S, T and $J = -I$ together with

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}.$$

The defining relations are

$$\begin{aligned} J^2 &= 1, \quad J \text{ central}, \quad XT = TX, \\ S^2 &= J, \quad (TS)^3 = J, \quad H^2 = J, \quad (TH)^2 = J, \\ (XH)^2 &= J, \quad (SH)^2 = J, \quad (XSH)^3 = J. \end{aligned}$$

Let d and $e \in \mathbf{C}[[t]]$ be defined by $d^2 = t^2 + 4t + 1$, $d(0) = 1$ and $e^2 = 3t^2 + 12t + 4$, $e(0) = 2$. We claim that $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C}[[t]])$ can be extended to $\psi_{-1} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C}[[t]])$ by setting

$$\psi_{-1}(X) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-it+e}{2d} & \frac{i(g+1)}{d} \\ \frac{ig}{d} & \frac{it+e}{2d} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \psi_{-1}(H) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i(1+2g)}{d} & \frac{it}{d} \\ \frac{d}{it} & -\frac{i(1+2g)}{d} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In order to check that the relations hold among these 2×2 matrices, we note first that all matrices have determinant 1. Then, apart from the relations expressing commutativity of elements, every other relation is of the form $M^r = \pm I$, with $r = 2$ or 3 , and these can be checked by computing traces, making use of equation (12).

Example 4.3. $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_{-2})$. Let $\eta^2 = -2$. By [3, Theorem 10.1], the group $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_{-2})$ is generated by matrices S, T and

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} J^2 &= 1, & J &\text{ central,} & XT &= TX, \\ S^2 &= J, & (TS)^3 &= J, & (SX^{-1}SX)^2 &= J. \end{aligned}$$

Let $d \in \mathbf{C}[[t]]$ be defined by $d^2 = t^2 + 4t + 1$, $d(0) = 1$. We claim that $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C}[[t]])$ can be extended to $\psi_{-2} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C}[[t]])$ by setting

$$\psi_{-2}(X) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-\eta t + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{d^2+1}}{2d} & \frac{\eta(g+1)}{d} \\ \frac{\eta g}{d} & \frac{\eta t + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{d^2+1}}{2d} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We leave to the reader the task of verifying that the defining relations among the group generators are satisfied by their images under ψ . As in the previous example, it is helpful to consider traces and to make use of the equation (12).

Example 4.4. $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_{-3})$. The ring \mathcal{O}_{-3} is the ring of cyclotomic integers of order 3. By [3, Theorem 6.1], G is generated by Γ together with the two elements

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\omega = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{-3})$. We will show that $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{C}\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_{-3})}^1(V, V) = 0$. Now $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{C}\Gamma}^1(V, V) = \mathbf{C}$ and a nontrivial class is represented by the special cocycle (4). Theorem 4.1(a) implies that the special cocycle cannot be extended to a cocycle on G and Theorem 4.1 (b) shows that any extension of the zero cocycle to must vanish at X and at H , hence on all of G .

Example 4.5. $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_{-5})$. Let $\eta^2 = -5$. The group $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_{-5})$ is generated by matrices S, T , together with:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} -\eta & 2 \\ 2 & \eta \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} -\eta - 4 & -2\eta \\ 2\eta & \eta - 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The defining relations (from [3]) are :

$$\begin{aligned} J^2 &= I, \quad J \text{ central}, \quad XT = TX, \\ S^2 &= J, \quad B^2 = J, \quad (TS)^3 = J, \\ (SB)^2 &= J, \quad (SXBX^{-1})^2 = J, \quad C(ST) = (ST)C, \\ (T^{-1}XBX^{-1})C &= C(-T^{-1}B^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let g be the power series of our paper with Catalan number coefficients, and let d , f and h be power series defined by:

$$d^2 = 1 + 4t + t^2, \quad f^2 = 4 - 4t - t^2, \quad h^2 = 1 - 16t^2 - 8t^3 - t^4$$

with the constant terms chosen to be positive in all three cases.

Then $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C}[[t]])$ can be extended to $\psi_{-5} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C}[[t]])$ by setting

$$\psi_{-5}(X) = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix}, \quad p = \frac{-\eta t + f}{2d}, \quad q = \frac{\eta(1+g)}{d}, \quad r = \frac{\eta g}{d}, \quad s = \frac{\eta t + f}{2d},$$

$$\psi_{-5}(B) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & -a \end{bmatrix}, \quad a = \frac{5 - t^2}{\eta(2g+1) - tf}, \quad b = \frac{t(2g+1) + \eta f}{\eta(2g+1) - tf},$$

$$\psi_{-5}(C) = \begin{bmatrix} v & w(1+t) \\ -w & v - (2g+1)w \end{bmatrix}, \quad w = \frac{-2\eta}{h}, \quad v = \frac{-(t+2)f - \eta(2g+1)}{h}.$$

The lengthy checking of the relations is omitted. We carried it out with the aid of the computer algebra system *Macaulay2* [5].

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Sin and J. G. Thompson, The divisor matrix, Dirichlet series and $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$, in *The legacy of Alladi Ramakrishnan in the mathematical sciences* (K. Alladi, J. Klauder, C. R. Rao, Eds.), Developments in Mathematics, Springer (2010), 299–327.
- [2] R. Swan, Generators and relations for certain special linear groups, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1968) 576–581.
- [3] Swan, Richard G. Generators and relations for certain special linear groups, *Advances in Math.* **6** (1971) 1–77.
- [4] P. M. Cohn, A presentation of SL_2 for Euclidean imaginary quadratic number fields. *Mathematika* **15** (1968) 156–163.
- [5] D.R. Grayson and M.E. Stillman, *Macaulay 2*, a software system for research in algebraic geometry. Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>

SOME UNISERIAL REPRESENTATIONS OF CERTAIN SPECIAL LINEAR GROUPS

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, PO Box 118105,
GAINESVILLE, FL 32611-8105, USA

E-mail address: `sin@math.ufl.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE