The critical group of the Peisert graphs

Peter Sin University of Florida

Discrete Mathematics Seminar, U. Delaware, October 22nd, 2015.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Outline

Introduction

- Chip-firing game
- Paley and Peisert graphs
- Algebraic setting
- The computation of μ_L
- More on Jacobi sums
- The *p*-elementary divisors

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

Examples

 A integer m × n matrix defines an abelian group
Z^m/⟨ columns of A ⟩, whose cyclic decomposition is given by the Smith Normal Form of A.

► Let Γ be a graph.

- The group defined by its adjacency matrix A is called the Smith group of Γ.
- Let L be the Laplacian matrix of Γ. The torsion subgroup of the group defined by L is called the *critical group*, a.k.a *sandpile group*, *Picard group*, *Jacobian*, denoted K(Γ).
- By Kirchhoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem, |K(Γ)| is the number of spanning trees in Γ.

- A integer m × n matrix defines an abelian group Z^m/⟨ columns of A ⟩, whose cyclic decomposition is given by the Smith Normal Form of A.
- Let I be a graph.
- The group defined by its adjacency matrix A is called the Smith group of Γ.
- Let L be the Laplacian matrix of Γ. The torsion subgroup of the group defined by L is called the *critical group*, a.k.a *sandpile group*, *Picard group*, *Jacobian*, denoted K(Γ).
- By Kirchhoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem, |K(Γ)| is the number of spanning trees in Γ.

- A integer m × n matrix defines an abelian group Z^m/⟨ columns of A ⟩, whose cyclic decomposition is given by the Smith Normal Form of A.
- Let Γ be a graph.
- The group defined by its adjacency matrix A is called the Smith group of Γ.
- Let L be the Laplacian matrix of Γ. The torsion subgroup of the group defined by L is called the *critical group*, a.k.a *sandpile group*, *Picard group*, *Jacobian*, denoted K(Γ).
- By Kirchhoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem, |K(Γ)| is the number of spanning trees in Γ.

- A integer m × n matrix defines an abelian group Z^m/⟨ columns of A ⟩, whose cyclic decomposition is given by the Smith Normal Form of A.
- Let Γ be a graph.
- The group defined by its adjacency matrix A is called the Smith group of Γ.
- Let L be the Laplacian matrix of Γ. The torsion subgroup of the group defined by L is called the *critical group*, a.k.a sandpile group, Picard group, Jacobian, denoted K(Γ).
- By Kirchhoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem, |K(Γ)| is the number of spanning trees in Γ.

- A integer m × n matrix defines an abelian group Z^m/⟨ columns of A ⟩, whose cyclic decomposition is given by the Smith Normal Form of A.
- Let Γ be a graph.
- The group defined by its adjacency matrix A is called the Smith group of Γ.
- Let L be the Laplacian matrix of Γ. The torsion subgroup of the group defined by L is called the *critical group*, a.k.a *sandpile group*, *Picard group*, *Jacobian*, denoted K(Γ).
- By Kirchhoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem, |K(Γ)| is the number of spanning trees in Γ.

Outline

Introduction

Chip-firing game

Paley and Peisert graphs

Algebraic setting

The computation of μ_L

More on Jacobi sums

The *p*-elementary divisors

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Examples



- A configuration is an assignment of a nonnegative integer s(v) to each round vertex v and −∑_v s(v) to the square vertex.
- A round vertex v can be fired if it has at least deg(v) chips.
- The square vertex is fired only when no others can be fired.
- A configuration is *stable* if no round vertex can be fired.
- A configuration is *recurrent* if there is a sequence of firings that lead to the same configuration.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@



- A configuration is an assignment of a nonnegative integer s(v) to each round vertex v and −∑_v s(v) to the square vertex.
- A round vertex v can be fired if it has at least deg(v) chips.
- The square vertex is fired only when no others can be fired.
- A configuration is *stable* if no round vertex can be fired.
- A configuration is *recurrent* if there is a sequence of firings that lead to the same configuration.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@



A configuration is an assignment of a nonnegative integer s(v) to each round vertex v and −∑_v s(v) to the square vertex.

A round vertex v can be fired if it has at least deg(v) chips.

- The square vertex is fired only when no others can be fired.
- A configuration is *stable* if no round vertex can be fired.
- A configuration is *recurrent* if there is a sequence of firings that lead to the same configuration.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@



- A configuration is an assignment of a nonnegative integer s(v) to each round vertex v and −∑_v s(v) to the square vertex.
- A round vertex v can be fired if it has at least deg(v) chips.
- The square vertex is fired only when no others can be fired.
- A configuration is *stable* if no round vertex can be fired.
- A configuration is *recurrent* if there is a sequence of firings that lead to the same configuration.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)



- A configuration is an assignment of a nonnegative integer s(v) to each round vertex v and −∑_v s(v) to the square vertex.
- A round vertex v can be fired if it has at least deg(v) chips.
- The square vertex is fired only when no others can be fired.
- A configuration is *stable* if no round vertex can be fired.
- A configuration is *recurrent* if there is a sequence of firings that lead to the same configuration.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)



- A configuration is an assignment of a nonnegative integer s(v) to each round vertex v and −∑_v s(v) to the square vertex.
- A round vertex v can be fired if it has at least deg(v) chips.
- The square vertex is fired only when no others can be fired.
- A configuration is *stable* if no round vertex can be fired.
- A configuration is *recurrent* if there is a sequence of firings that lead to the same configuration.
- A configuration is *critical* if it is both recurrent and stable.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶



- A configuration is an assignment of a nonnegative integer s(v) to each round vertex v and −∑_v s(v) to the square vertex.
- A round vertex v can be fired if it has at least deg(v) chips.
- The square vertex is fired only when no others can be fired.
- A configuration is *stable* if no round vertex can be fired.
- A configuration is *recurrent* if there is a sequence of firings that lead to the same configuration.
- A configuration is *critical* if it is both recurrent and stable.



▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲ 画 > ▲ 画 > → 画 → のへで









▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ = 三 のへの





▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへの

0



- * ロ * * 母 * * き * き * うへの



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへ⊙



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで



▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ④ < @



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □ のへで



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □ のへで



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

- Start with a configuration s and fire vertices in a sequence where each vertex v is fired x(v) times, ending up with configuration s'.
- $\blacktriangleright s'(v) = -x(v) \deg(v) + \sum_{(v,w) \in E} x(w)$
- \blacktriangleright s' = s Lx

Theorem

Let s be a configuration in the chip-firing game on a connected graph G. Then there is a unique critical configuration which can be reached from s.

Theorem

Start with a configuration s and fire vertices in a sequence where each vertex v is fired x(v) times, ending up with configuration s'.

•
$$s'(v) = -x(v) \deg(v) + \sum_{(v,w) \in E} x(w)$$

$$\triangleright$$
 s' = s – Lx

Theorem

Let s be a configuration in the chip-firing game on a connected graph G. Then there is a unique critical configuration which can be reached from s.

Theorem

Start with a configuration s and fire vertices in a sequence where each vertex v is fired x(v) times, ending up with configuration s'.

•
$$s'(v) = -x(v) \deg(v) + \sum_{(v,w) \in E} x(w)$$

►
$$s' = s - Lx$$

Theorem

Let s be a configuration in the chip-firing game on a connected graph G. Then there is a unique critical configuration which can be reached from s.

Theorem

Start with a configuration s and fire vertices in a sequence where each vertex v is fired x(v) times, ending up with configuration s'.

•
$$s'(v) = -x(v) \deg(v) + \sum_{(v,w) \in E} x(w)$$

►
$$s' = s - Lx$$

Theorem

Let s be a configuration in the chip-firing game on a connected graph G. Then there is a unique critical configuration which can be reached from s.

Theorem

Start with a configuration s and fire vertices in a sequence where each vertex v is fired x(v) times, ending up with configuration s'.

•
$$s'(v) = -x(v) \deg(v) + \sum_{(v,w) \in E} x(w)$$

►
$$s' = s - Lx$$

Theorem

Let *s* be a configuration in the chip-firing game on a connected graph *G*. Then there is a unique critical configuration which can be reached from *s*.

Theorem

Outline

Introduction

- Chip-firing game
- Paley and Peisert graphs
- Algebraic setting
- The computation of μ_L
- More on Jacobi sums
- The *p*-elementary divisors

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Examples

- Let G be a group, and S ⊆ G be a subset closed under inverses and not containing the identity.
- the Cayley graph Γ(G, S) has vertex set G and (g, h) is an edge iff g⁻¹h ∈ S.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

G acts by left multiplication as a regular group of automorphisms of Γ(G, S).

- Let G be a group, and S ⊆ G be a subset closed under inverses and not containing the identity.
- the Cayley graph Γ(G, S) has vertex set G and (g, h) is an edge iff g⁻¹h ∈ S.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

G acts by left multiplication as a regular group of automorphisms of Γ(G, S).
- Let G be a group, and S ⊆ G be a subset closed under inverses and not containing the identity.
- the Cayley graph Γ(G, S) has vertex set G and (g, h) is an edge iff g⁻¹h ∈ S.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

G acts by left multiplication as a regular group of automorphisms of Γ(G, S).

- $G = \mathbb{F}_q, q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, S = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2}$. Then $\Gamma(G, S)$ is the *Paley graph* Paley(q).
- $G = \mathbb{F}_q$, $q = p^{2t}$, $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. and β a generator of \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} . Set $S' = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4} \cup \beta \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4}$. Then $\Gamma(G, S')$ is the *Peisert graph* $P^*(q)$.
- Both types are conference graphs i.e. self-complementary strongly regular graphs. For the same q they are cospectral.
- ► The Smith and critical groups of Paley(*q*) were computed by Chandler-Sin-Xiang (2014) [1].
- All Cayley graphs on an elementary abelian group of order *q* that are cospectral with Paley(*q*) have isomorphic Smith groups. Also the *p*'-parts of their critical groups are isomorphic.
- ► Our problem is to determine the isomorphism type of K(P*(q)).

- $G = \mathbb{F}_q, q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, S = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2}$. Then $\Gamma(G, S)$ is the *Paley graph* $\operatorname{Paley}(q)$.
- $G = \mathbb{F}_q$, $q = p^{2t}$, $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. and β a generator of \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} . Set $S' = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4} \cup \beta \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4}$. Then $\Gamma(G, S')$ is the *Peisert graph* $P^*(q)$.
- Both types are conference graphs i.e. self-complementary strongly regular graphs. For the same q they are cospectral.
- ► The Smith and critical groups of Paley(*q*) were computed by Chandler-Sin-Xiang (2014) [1].
- All Cayley graphs on an elementary abelian group of order *q* that are cospectral with Paley(*q*) have isomorphic Smith groups. Also the *p*'-parts of their critical groups are isomorphic.
- ► Our problem is to determine the isomorphism type of K(P*(q)).

- $G = \mathbb{F}_q, q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, S = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2}$. Then $\Gamma(G, S)$ is the *Paley graph* $\operatorname{Paley}(q)$.
- $G = \mathbb{F}_q$, $q = p^{2t}$, $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. and β a generator of \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} . Set $S' = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4} \cup \beta \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4}$. Then $\Gamma(G, S')$ is the *Peisert graph* $P^*(q)$.
- Both types are conference graphs i.e. self-complementary strongly regular graphs. For the same q they are cospectral.
- ► The Smith and critical groups of Paley(*q*) were computed by Chandler-Sin-Xiang (2014) [1].
- All Cayley graphs on an elementary abelian group of order *q* that are cospectral with Paley(*q*) have isomorphic Smith groups. Also the *p*'-parts of their critical groups are isomorphic.
- ► Our problem is to determine the isomorphism type of K(P*(q)).

- $G = \mathbb{F}_q$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $S = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2}$. Then $\Gamma(G, S)$ is the *Paley graph* $\operatorname{Paley}(q)$.
- $G = \mathbb{F}_q$, $q = p^{2t}$, $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. and β a generator of \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} . Set $S' = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4} \cup \beta \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4}$. Then $\Gamma(G, S')$ is the *Peisert graph* $P^*(q)$.
- Both types are conference graphs i.e. self-complementary strongly regular graphs. For the same q they are cospectral.
- ► The Smith and critical groups of Paley(q) were computed by Chandler-Sin-Xiang (2014) [1].
- All Cayley graphs on an elementary abelian group of order *q* that are cospectral with Paley(*q*) have isomorphic Smith groups. Also the *p*'-parts of their critical groups are isomorphic.
- ► Our problem is to determine the isomorphism type of K(P*(q)).

- $G = \mathbb{F}_q$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $S = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2}$. Then $\Gamma(G, S)$ is the *Paley graph* $\operatorname{Paley}(q)$.
- $G = \mathbb{F}_q$, $q = p^{2t}$, $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. and β a generator of \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} . Set $S' = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4} \cup \beta \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4}$. Then $\Gamma(G, S')$ is the *Peisert graph* $P^*(q)$.
- Both types are conference graphs i.e. self-complementary strongly regular graphs. For the same q they are cospectral.
- ► The Smith and critical groups of Paley(q) were computed by Chandler-Sin-Xiang (2014) [1].
- All Cayley graphs on an elementary abelian group of order *q* that are cospectral with Paley(*q*) have isomorphic Smith groups. Also the *p*'-parts of their critical groups are isomorphic.
- Our problem is to determine the isomorphism type of $K(P^*(q))$.

- $G = \mathbb{F}_q$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $S = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2}$. Then $\Gamma(G, S)$ is the *Paley graph* $\operatorname{Paley}(q)$.
- $G = \mathbb{F}_q$, $q = p^{2t}$, $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. and β a generator of \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} . Set $S' = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4} \cup \beta \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4}$. Then $\Gamma(G, S')$ is the *Peisert graph* $P^*(q)$.
- Both types are conference graphs i.e. self-complementary strongly regular graphs. For the same q they are cospectral.
- ► The Smith and critical groups of Paley(q) were computed by Chandler-Sin-Xiang (2014) [1].
- All Cayley graphs on an elementary abelian group of order *q* that are cospectral with Paley(*q*) have isomorphic Smith groups. Also the *p*'-parts of their critical groups are isomorphic.
- Our problem is to determine the isomorphism type of K(P*(q)).

Outline

Introduction

- Chip-firing game
- Paley and Peisert graphs
- Algebraic setting
- The computation of μ_L
- More on Jacobi sums
- The *p*-elementary divisors

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Examples

• Recall $q = p^{2t}$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

► $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[\xi], \xi$ a primitive (q - 1)-st root of unity.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- R is a local PID with maximal ideal pR.
- $R^{\mathbb{F}_q}$ has basis elements [x] for $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$.
- $\mu_L : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q}$, left multiplication by L.

- Recall $q = p^{2t}$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.
- $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p}[\xi], \xi$ a primitive (q 1)-st root of unity.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- R is a local PID with maximal ideal pR.
- $R^{\mathbb{F}_q}$ has basis elements [x] for $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$.
- $\mu_L : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q}$, left multiplication by L.

- Recall $q = p^{2t}$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.
- $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[\xi], \xi$ a primitive (q 1)-st root of unity.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- R is a local PID with maximal ideal pR.
- $R^{\mathbb{F}_q}$ has basis elements [x] for $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$.
- $\mu_L : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q}$, left multiplication by L.

- Recall $q = p^{2t}$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.
- $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[\xi], \xi$ a primitive (q 1)-st root of unity.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- R is a local PID with maximal ideal pR.
- $R^{\mathbb{F}_q}$ has basis elements [x] for $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$.
- $\mu_L : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q}$, left multiplication by L.

- Recall $q = p^{2t}$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.
- $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[\xi], \xi$ a primitive (q 1)-st root of unity.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- R is a local PID with maximal ideal pR.
- $R^{\mathbb{F}_q}$ has basis elements [x] for $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$.
- $\mu_L : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q}$, left multiplication by L.

•
$$\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$$
 acts on $R^{\mathbb{F}_q} = R[0] \oplus R^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}$

- R^𝔽[×]_q decomposes further into the direct sum of 𝔽[×]_q-invariant components of rank 1, affording the characters *Tⁱ*, *i* = 0,...,*q* − 2.
- The component affording Tⁱ is spanned by

$$e_i = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} T^i(x^{-1})[x].$$

•
$$\mathbb{F}_q^{ imes}$$
 acts on $R^{\mathbb{F}_q} = R[0] \oplus R^{\mathbb{F}_q^{ imes}}$

- R^{𝔅[×]}_q decomposes further into the direct sum of 𝔅[×]_q-invariant components of rank 1, affording the characters *Tⁱ*, *i* = 0,...,*q* − 2.
- The component affording Tⁱ is spanned by

$$e_i = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} T^i(x^{-1})[x].$$

•
$$\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$$
 acts on $R^{\mathbb{F}_q} = R[0] \oplus R^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}$

- *R*^𝔽[×]_q decomposes further into the direct sum of 𝔽[×]_q-invariant components of rank 1, affording the characters *Tⁱ*, *i* = 0,...,*q* − 2.
- The component affording Tⁱ is spanned by

$$e_i = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} T^i(x^{-1})[x].$$

•
$$\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$$
 acts on $R^{\mathbb{F}_q} = R[0] \oplus R^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}$

- *R*^𝔽[×]_q decomposes further into the direct sum of 𝔽[×]_q-invariant components of rank 1, affording the characters *Tⁱ*, *i* = 0,...,*q* − 2.
- The component affording Tⁱ is spanned by

$$e_i = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} T^i(x^{-1})[x].$$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

•
$$\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$$
 acts on $R^{\mathbb{F}_q} = R[0] \oplus R^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}$

- *R*^𝔽[×]_q decomposes further into the direct sum of 𝔽[×]_q-invariant components of rank 1, affording the characters *Tⁱ*, *i* = 0,...,*q* − 2.
- The component affording Tⁱ is spanned by

$$e_i = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^{ imes}} T^i(x^{-1})[x].$$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Next consider the action of the subgroup $H = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4}$.

- T^i, T^{i+r}, T^{i+2r} , and T^{i+3r} are equal on H
- ► For $i \notin \{0, r, 2r, 3r\}$ the elements e_i , e_{i+r} , e_{i+2r} and e_{i+3r} span the *H*-isotypic component

$$M_i = \{m \in R^{\mathbb{F}_q} \mid ym = T^i(y)m, \quad \forall y \in H\}$$

of $R^{\mathbb{F}_q}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{q-5}{4}$.

▶ M_0 , the submodule of *H*-fixed points in $R^{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Basis elements $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} x = e_0 + [0], [0], e_r, e_{2r}$ and e_3r .

$$\triangleright \ R^{\mathbb{F}_q} = M_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\frac{q-5}{4}} M_i.$$

- ▶ We have $\mu_L(M_i) \subseteq M_i$ as μ_L is an *RH*-module homomophism.
- ► Can re-order new basis so that the matrix of µ_L is block-diagonal with ^{q-5}/₄ 4 × 4 blocks and a single 5 × 5 block.

Next consider the action of the subgroup $H = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4}$.

- T^{i}, T^{i+r}, T^{i+2r} , and T^{i+3r} are equal on H
- For i ∉ {0, r, 2r, 3r} the elements e_i, e_{i+r}, e_{i+2r} and e_{i+3r} span the H-isotypic component

$$M_i = \{m \in R^{\mathbb{F}_q} \mid ym = T^i(y)m, \quad \forall y \in H\}$$

of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{q-5}{4}$.

▶ M_0 , the submodule of *H*-fixed points in $R^{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Basis elements $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} x = e_0 + [0], [0], e_r, e_{2r}$ and e_3r .

$$\triangleright \ R^{\mathbb{F}_q} = M_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\frac{q-5}{4}} M_i.$$

- ▶ We have $\mu_L(M_i) \subseteq M_i$ as μ_L is an *RH*-module homomophism.
- ► Can re-order new basis so that the matrix of µ_L is block-diagonal with ^{q-5}/₄ 4 × 4 blocks and a single 5 × 5 block.

Next consider the action of the subgroup $H = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4}$.

- T^{i}, T^{i+r}, T^{i+2r} , and T^{i+3r} are equal on H
- For i ∉ {0, r, 2r, 3r} the elements e_i, e_{i+r}, e_{i+2r} and e_{i+3r} span the H-isotypic component

$$M_i = \{m \in R^{\mathbb{F}_q} \mid ym = T^i(y)m, \quad \forall y \in H\}$$

of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{q-5}{4}$.

► M_0 , the submodule of *H*-fixed points in $R^{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Basis elements $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} x = e_0 + [0], [0], e_r, e_{2r}$ and e_3r .

$$\blacktriangleright R^{\mathbb{F}_q} = M_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\frac{q-5}{4}} M_i.$$

- We have µ_L(M_i) ⊆ M_i as µ_L is an RH-module homomophism.
- ► Can re-order new basis so that the matrix of µ_L is block-diagonal with ^{q-5}/₄ 4 × 4 blocks and a single 5 × 5 block.

Next consider the action of the subgroup $H = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4}$.

- T^{i}, T^{i+r}, T^{i+2r} , and T^{i+3r} are equal on H
- For i ∉ {0, r, 2r, 3r} the elements e_i, e_{i+r}, e_{i+2r} and e_{i+3r} span the H-isotypic component

$$M_i = \{m \in R^{\mathbb{F}_q} \mid ym = T^i(y)m, \quad \forall y \in H\}$$

of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{q-5}{4}$.

▶ M_0 , the submodule of *H*-fixed points in $R^{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Basis elements $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} x = e_0 + [0], [0], e_r, e_{2r}$ and e_3r .

$$\triangleright \ R^{\mathbb{F}_q} = M_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\frac{q-5}{4}} M_i.$$

- We have µ_L(M_i) ⊆ M_i as µ_L is an RH-module homomophism.
- ► Can re-order new basis so that the matrix of µ_L is block-diagonal with ^{q-5}/₄ 4 × 4 blocks and a single 5 × 5 block.

Next consider the action of the subgroup $H = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4}$.

- T^{i}, T^{i+r}, T^{i+2r} , and T^{i+3r} are equal on H
- For i ∉ {0, r, 2r, 3r} the elements e_i, e_{i+r}, e_{i+2r} and e_{i+3r} span the H-isotypic component

$$M_i = \{m \in R^{\mathbb{F}_q} \mid ym = T^i(y)m, \quad \forall y \in H\}$$

of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{q-5}{4}$.

► M_0 , the submodule of *H*-fixed points in $R^{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Basis elements $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} x = e_0 + [0], [0], e_r, e_{2r}$ and e_3r .

$$\triangleright \ R^{\mathbb{F}_q} = M_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\frac{q-5}{4}} M_i.$$

- We have µ_L(M_i) ⊆ M_i as µ_L is an RH-module homomophism.
- ► Can re-order new basis so that the matrix of µ_L is block-diagonal with ^{q-5}/₄ 4 × 4 blocks and a single 5 × 5 block.

Next consider the action of the subgroup $H = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 4}$.

- T^{i}, T^{i+r}, T^{i+2r} , and T^{i+3r} are equal on H
- For i ∉ {0, r, 2r, 3r} the elements e_i, e_{i+r}, e_{i+2r} and e_{i+3r} span the H-isotypic component

$$M_i = \{m \in R^{\mathbb{F}_q} \mid ym = T^i(y)m, \quad \forall y \in H\}$$

of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_q}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{q-5}{4}$.

► M_0 , the submodule of *H*-fixed points in $R^{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Basis elements $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} x = e_0 + [0], [0], e_r, e_{2r}$ and e_3r .

$$\triangleright \ R^{\mathbb{F}_q} = M_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\frac{q-5}{4}} M_i.$$

- We have µ_L(M_i) ⊆ M_i as µ_L is an RH-module homomophism.
- ► Can re-order new basis so that the matrix of µ_L is block-diagonal with ^{q-5}/₄ 4 × 4 blocks and a single 5 × 5 block.

Outline

Introduction

- Chip-firing game
- Paley and Peisert graphs
- Algebraic setting
- The computation of μ_L
- More on Jacobi sums
- The *p*-elementary divisors

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

Examples

Definition

Let θ and ψ be multiplicative characters of \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} taking values in R^{\times} . The *Jacobi sum* is

$$J(\theta,\psi) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \theta(x)\psi(1-x).$$

(At x = 0, nonprinc. chars take value 0, princ. char takes value 1.)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

▶ $r = \frac{(q-1)}{4}$

- $\blacktriangleright \eta = \beta^r, \, \alpha = \frac{(\eta 1)}{2}, \, \overline{\alpha} = \frac{(\eta + 1)}{2}$
- $\delta_0 : \mathbb{F}_q \to R$ takes the value 1 at 0 and 0 elsewhere.

characteristic function of S' is

$$\delta_{S'} = \frac{1}{2} (T^0 - \delta_0 + \alpha T^r + \overline{\alpha} T^{-r}),$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

$$\mathbf{r} = \frac{(q-1)}{4}$$

$$\mathbf{\eta} = \beta^{\mathbf{r}}, \, \alpha = \frac{(\eta-1)}{2}, \, \overline{\alpha} = \frac{(\eta+1)}{2}$$

• $\delta_0 : \mathbb{F}_q \to R$ takes the value 1 at 0 and 0 elsewhere.

characteristic function of S' is

$$\delta_{S'} = \frac{1}{2} (T^0 - \delta_0 + \alpha T^r + \overline{\alpha} T^{-r}),$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

$$\mathbf{r} = \frac{(q-1)}{4}$$

$$\mathbf{\eta} = \beta^r, \, \alpha = \frac{(\eta-1)}{2}, \, \overline{\alpha} = \frac{(\eta+1)}{2}$$

• $\delta_0 : \mathbb{F}_q \to R$ takes the value 1 at 0 and 0 elsewhere.

characteristic function of S' is

$$\delta_{S'} = \frac{1}{2} (T^0 - \delta_0 + \alpha T^r + \overline{\alpha} T^{-r}),$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

$$r = \frac{(q-1)}{4}$$

$$\eta = \beta^r, \alpha = \frac{(\eta-1)}{2}, \overline{\alpha} = \frac{(\eta+1)}{2}$$

- ▶ $\delta_0 : \mathbb{F}_q \to R$ takes the value 1 at 0 and 0 elsewhere.
- characteristic function of S' is

$$\delta_{\mathcal{S}'} = \frac{1}{2} (T^0 - \delta_0 + \alpha T^r + \overline{\alpha} T^{-r}),$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Lemma Suppose $i \notin \{0, r, 3r\}$. Then

$$\mu_L(\boldsymbol{e}_i) = \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{q}\boldsymbol{e}_i - \overline{\alpha}\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{T}^{-i}, \boldsymbol{T}^{-r})\boldsymbol{e}_{i+r} - \alpha\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{T}^{-i}, \boldsymbol{T}^{-3r})\boldsymbol{e}_{i+3r}).$$

Proof.

$$2\mu_{A}(e_{i}) = 2\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} T^{-i}(x) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \delta_{S'}(y)[x+y]$$

=
$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} T^{-i}(x) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} (T^{0}(y) - \delta_{0}(y) + \alpha T^{r}(y) + \overline{\alpha} T^{-r}(y))[x+y]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Lemma Suppose $i \notin \{0, r, 3r\}$. Then

$$\mu_L(\boldsymbol{e}_i) = \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{q}\boldsymbol{e}_i - \overline{\alpha}\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{T}^{-i}, \boldsymbol{T}^{-r})\boldsymbol{e}_{i+r} - \alpha\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{T}^{-i}, \boldsymbol{T}^{-3r})\boldsymbol{e}_{i+3r}).$$

Proof.

$$2\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\boldsymbol{e}_{i}) = 2\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} T^{-i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}\in\mathbb{F}_{q}} \delta_{\mathcal{S}'}(\boldsymbol{y})[\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}]$$
$$= \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} T^{-i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}\in\mathbb{F}_{q}} (T^{0}(\boldsymbol{y}) - \delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{y}) + \alpha T^{r}(\boldsymbol{y}) + \overline{\alpha} T^{-r}(\boldsymbol{y}))[\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Lemma Suppose $i \notin \{0, r, 3r\}$. Then

$$\mu_L(\boldsymbol{e}_i) = \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{e}_i - \overline{\alpha} \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{T}^{-i}, \boldsymbol{T}^{-r}) \boldsymbol{e}_{i+r} - \alpha \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{T}^{-i}, \boldsymbol{T}^{-3r}) \boldsymbol{e}_{i+3r}).$$

Proof.

•••

$$2\mu_{A}(\boldsymbol{e}_{i}) = 2\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} T^{-i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}\in\mathbb{F}_{q}} \delta_{\mathcal{S}'}(\boldsymbol{y})[\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}]$$
$$= \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} T^{-i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}\in\mathbb{F}_{q}} (T^{0}(\boldsymbol{y}) - \delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{y}) + \alpha T^{r}(\boldsymbol{y}) + \overline{\alpha} T^{-r}(\boldsymbol{y}))[\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

The matrix of $\mu_{L|M_i}$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} q & -\alpha J(T^{-i-r}, T^{-3r}) & 0 & -\overline{\alpha} J(T^{-i-3r}, T^{-r}) \\ -\overline{\alpha} J(T^{-i}, T^{-r}) & q & -\alpha J(T^{-i-2r}, T^{-3r}) & 0 \\ 0 & -\overline{\alpha} J(T^{-i-r}, T^{-r}) & q & -\alpha J(T^{-i-3r}, T^{-3r}) \\ -\alpha J(T^{-i}, T^{-3r}) & 0 & -\overline{\alpha} J(T^{-i-2r}, T^{-r}) & q \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix $\mu_{L|M_0}$ is



Outline

Introduction

- Chip-firing game
- Paley and Peisert graphs
- Algebraic setting
- The computation of μ_L
- More on Jacobi sums
- The *p*-elementary divisors

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Examples
- Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{(q-1)}$.
- ▶ *p*-digit expression: $j = a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \dots + a_{2t-1}p^{2t-1}$, $0 \le a_i \le p - 1$.
- Write as $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{2t-1})$.
- Let s(j) denote the sum $\sum_i a_i$ of the *p*-digits of *j* modulo q-1.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

- ▶ $r = \frac{q-1}{4} = (\frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \dots)$
- ► $3r = \frac{q-1}{4} = \left(\frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \dots\right)$
- ► s(r) = s(3r) = t(p-1).

- Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{(q-1)}$.
- ▶ *p*-digit expression: $j = a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \cdots + a_{2t-1}p^{2t-1}$, $0 \le a_i \le p - 1$.
- Write as $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{2t-1})$.
- Let s(j) denote the sum $\sum_i a_i$ of the *p*-digits of *j* modulo q-1.

- ▶ $r = \frac{q-1}{4} = \left(\frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \dots\right)$
- ▶ $3r = \frac{q-1}{4} = (\frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \dots)$
- ► s(r) = s(3r) = t(p-1).

- Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{(q-1)}$.
- ▶ *p*-digit expression: $j = a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \cdots + a_{2t-1}p^{2t-1}$, $0 \le a_i \le p - 1$.
- Write as $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{2t-1})$.
- Let s(j) denote the sum $\sum_i a_i$ of the *p*-digits of *j* modulo q-1.

▶
$$r = \frac{q-1}{4} = (\frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \dots)$$

- ▶ $3r = \frac{q-1}{4} = \left(\frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \dots\right)$
- ► s(r) = s(3r) = t(p-1).

- Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{(q-1)}$.
- ▶ *p*-digit expression: $j = a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \cdots + a_{2t-1}p^{2t-1}$, $0 \le a_i \le p-1$.
- Write as $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{2t-1})$.
- ► Let s(j) denote the sum $\sum_i a_i$ of the *p*-digits of *j* modulo q-1.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- ▶ $r = \frac{q-1}{4} = (\frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \dots)$
- ▶ $3r = \frac{q-1}{4} = \left(\frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \dots\right)$
- ► s(r) = s(3r) = t(p-1).

- Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{(q-1)}$.
- ▶ *p*-digit expression: $j = a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \cdots + a_{2t-1}p^{2t-1}$, $0 \le a_i \le p - 1$.
- Write as $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{2t-1})$.
- Let s(j) denote the sum $\sum_i a_i$ of the *p*-digits of *j* modulo q-1.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- $r = \frac{q-1}{4} = (\frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \dots)$
- ► $3r = \frac{q-1}{4} = \left(\frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \dots\right)$
- ► s(r) = s(3r) = t(p-1).

- Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{(q-1)}$.
- ▶ *p*-digit expression: $j = a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \cdots + a_{2t-1}p^{2t-1}$, $0 \le a_i \le p - 1$.
- Write as $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{2t-1})$.
- Let s(j) denote the sum ∑_i a_i of the p-digits of j modulo q − 1.

- $r = \frac{q-1}{4} = (\frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \dots)$
- ► $3r = \frac{q-1}{4} = (\frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \dots)$
- s(r) = s(3r) = t(p-1).

- Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{(q-1)}$.
- ▶ *p*-digit expression: $j = a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \cdots + a_{2t-1}p^{2t-1}$, $0 \le a_i \le p-1$.
- Write as $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{2t-1})$.
- Let s(j) denote the sum ∑_i a_i of the p-digits of j modulo q − 1.

- ► $r = \frac{q-1}{4} = (\frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \dots)$
- ► $3r = \frac{q-1}{4} = (\frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \frac{p-3}{4}, \frac{3p-1}{4}, \dots)$

•
$$s(r) = s(3r) = t(p-1)$$
.

► By Stickelberger's Theorem and relation between Gauss sums and Jacobi sums, we know that when *i*, *j* and *i* + *j* are not divisible by *q* − 1 the *p*-adic valuation of *J*(*T*^{−*i*}, *T*^{−*j*}) is equal to

$$c(i,j) := \frac{1}{p-1}(s(i)+s(j)-s(i+j)),$$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

► This valuation can be viewed as the number of carries, when adding the *p*-expansions of *i* and *k*, modulo *q* − 1. ► By Stickelberger's Theorem and relation between Gauss sums and Jacobi sums, we know that when *i*, *j* and *i* + *j* are not divisible by *q* − 1 the *p*-adic valuation of *J*(*T*^{-*i*}, *T*^{-*j*}) is equal to

$$c(i,j) := \frac{1}{p-1}(s(i)+s(j)-s(i+j)),$$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

► This valuation can be viewed as the number of carries, when adding the *p*-expansions of *i* and *k*, modulo *q* − 1.

Outline

Introduction

- Chip-firing game
- Paley and Peisert graphs
- Algebraic setting
- The computation of μ_L
- More on Jacobi sums
- The *p*-elementary divisors

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Examples

Theorem

1. The p-elementary divisors of $(\mu_L)_{|M_0}$ are 0, 1, 1, p^t , p^t .

2. For
$$1 \le i \le \frac{q-5}{4}$$
, consider the two lists $\{c(i, r), c(i + r, 3r), c(i + 2r, r), c(i + 3r, 3r)\}$ and $\{c(i, 3r), c(i + r, r), c(i + 2r, 3r), c(i + 3r, r)\}$ and let C_i be the list that contains the smallest element. Then the four *p*-elementary divisors of $(\mu_L)_{|M_i}$ are p^c for *c* in C_i .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

If X is a matrix with entries in R let m_j(X) denote the multiplicity of p^j as a p-elementary divisor and let κ(X) denote the product of the nonzero p-elementary divisors.

•
$$V_{\rho}(\kappa(X)) = \sum_{j} jm_{j}(X),$$

 κ(L) = κ(μ_L) = |K(P^{*}(q)|_p) We first note that for any given power p^s, if two matrices X and X' over R are equal modulo p^s then m_i(X) = m_i(X') for every j < s.
 </p>

$$v_{\rho}(\kappa(X)) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} jm_j(X) + s(\operatorname{rank}(X) - \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} m_j(X)).$$

- We shall obtain a lower bound for v_p(κ(L)) by looking at L modulo q.
- Then we shall see that as this lower bound coincides with the actual value of v_p(κ(L)) known from the Matrix-Tree Theorem.

If X is a matrix with entries in R let m_j(X) denote the multiplicity of p^j as a p-elementary divisor and let κ(X) denote the product of the nonzero p-elementary divisors.

•
$$v_p(\kappa(X)) = \sum_j jm_j(X),$$

 κ(L) = κ(μ_L) = |K(P^{*}(q)|_p) We first note that for any given power p^s, if two matrices X and X' over R are equal modulo p^s then m_j(X) = m_j(X') for every j < s.
 </p>

$$v_{\rho}(\kappa(X)) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} jm_j(X) + s(\operatorname{rank}(X) - \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} m_j(X)).$$

- We shall obtain a lower bound for v_p(κ(L)) by looking at L modulo q.
- Then we shall see that as this lower bound coincides with the actual value of v_p(κ(L)) known from the Matrix-Tree Theorem.

If X is a matrix with entries in R let m_j(X) denote the multiplicity of p^j as a p-elementary divisor and let κ(X) denote the product of the nonzero p-elementary divisors.

•
$$v_p(\kappa(X)) = \sum_j jm_j(X),$$

$$v_p(\kappa(X)) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} jm_j(X) + s(\operatorname{rank}(X) - \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} m_j(X)).$$

- We shall obtain a lower bound for v_p(κ(L)) by looking at L modulo q.
- Then we shall see that as this lower bound coincides with the actual value of v_p(κ(L)) known from the Matrix-Tree Theorem.

If X is a matrix with entries in R let m_j(X) denote the multiplicity of p^j as a p-elementary divisor and let κ(X) denote the product of the nonzero p-elementary divisors.

•
$$v_p(\kappa(X)) = \sum_j jm_j(X),$$

κ(L) = κ(μ_L) = |K(P^{*}(q)|_p) We first note that for any given power p^s, if two matrices X and X' over R are equal modulo p^s then m_j(X) = m_j(X') for every j < s.

$$v_{\rho}(\kappa(X)) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} jm_j(X) + s(\operatorname{rank}(X) - \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} m_j(X)).$$

- We shall obtain a lower bound for v_p(κ(L)) by looking at L modulo q.
- Then we shall see that as this lower bound coincides with the actual value of v_p(κ(L)) known from the Matrix-Tree Theorem.

If X is a matrix with entries in R let m_j(X) denote the multiplicity of p^j as a p-elementary divisor and let κ(X) denote the product of the nonzero p-elementary divisors.

•
$$v_p(\kappa(X)) = \sum_j jm_j(X),$$

κ(L) = κ(μ_L) = |K(P^{*}(q)|_p) We first note that for any given power p^s, if two matrices X and X' over R are equal modulo p^s then m_j(X) = m_j(X') for every j < s.

$$v_p(\kappa(X)) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} jm_j(X) + s(\operatorname{rank}(X) - \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} m_j(X)).$$

- We shall obtain a lower bound for v_p(κ(L)) by looking at L modulo q.
- Then we shall see that as this lower bound coincides with the actual value of v_p(κ(L)) known from the Matrix-Tree Theorem.

If X is a matrix with entries in R let m_j(X) denote the multiplicity of p^j as a p-elementary divisor and let κ(X) denote the product of the nonzero p-elementary divisors.

•
$$v_p(\kappa(X)) = \sum_j jm_j(X),$$

►

κ(L) = κ(μ_L) = |K(P^{*}(q)|_p) We first note that for any given power p^s, if two matrices X and X' over R are equal modulo p^s then m_j(X) = m_j(X') for every j < s.

$$v_p(\kappa(X)) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} jm_j(X) + s(\operatorname{rank}(X) - \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} m_j(X)).$$

- We shall obtain a lower bound for v_p(κ(L)) by looking at L modulo q.
- Then we shall see that as this lower bound coincides with the actual value of ν_p(κ(L)) known from the Matrix-Tree Theorem.

If we work modulo q, this matrix is R-equivalent to

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11}J(T^{-i},T^{-r}) & u_{12}J(T^{-i-2r},T^{-3r}) & 0 & 0\\ u_{21}J(T^{-i},T^{-3r}) & u_{22}J(T^{-i-2r},T^{-r}) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & v_{11}J(T^{-i-3},T^{-3r}) & v_{12}J(T^{-i-3r},T^{-r})\\ 0 & 0 & v_{21}J(T^{-i-r},T^{-r}) & v_{22}J(T^{-i-r},T^{-3r}), \end{bmatrix}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

where the u_{mn} and v_{mn} are units of R.

Carries

Consider the matrix

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} c(i,r) & c(i+2r,3r) & \cdot & \cdot \\ c(i,3r) & c(i+2r,r) & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & c(i+3r,3r) & c(i+3r,r) \\ \cdot & \cdot & c(i+r,r) & c(i+r,3r) \end{bmatrix}$$

of the valuations of the nonzero entries of B. These entries are integers in the range [0, 2t].

Lemma

Suppose $1 \le i \le q - 2$ and $i \ne r$, 2r, 3r. Then

(i) c(i, r) + c(q - 1 - i, r) = 2t.

(ii) c(i,r) + c(i+r,3r) + c(i+2r,r) + c(i+3r,3r) = 4t.

(iii) c(i,r) + c(i+2r,r) = c(i+2r,r) + c(i+2r,3r).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─ のへで

Carries

Consider the matrix

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} c(i,r) & c(i+2r,3r) & \cdot & \cdot \\ c(i,3r) & c(i+2r,r) & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & c(i+3r,3r) & c(i+3r,r) \\ \cdot & \cdot & c(i+r,r) & c(i+r,3r) \end{bmatrix}$$

of the valuations of the nonzero entries of *B*. These entries are integers in the range [0, 2t].

Lemma

Suppose $1 \le i \le q - 2$ and $i \ne r, 2r, 3r$. Then (i) c(i,r) + c(q - 1 - i, r) = 2t. (ii) c(i,r) + c(i + r, 3r) + c(i + 2r, r) + c(i + 3r, 3r) = 4t. (iii) c(i,r) + c(i + 2r, r) = c(i + 2r, r) + c(i + 2r, 3r).

 By lemma, the diagonal sum of each 2 × 2 block is equal to the antidiagonal sum. This implies that

 $v_{\rho}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_i})) \geq c(i,r) + c(i+r,3r) + c(i+2r,r) + c(i+3r,3r) = 4t.$

 $V_{\mathcal{P}}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_0})) \geq = 2t.$

• Combining our bounds for $v_p(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_0}))$ and $v_p(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_i}))$ we see that

$$V_{\rho}(\kappa(\mu_L)) = V_{\rho}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_0})) + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{q-5}{4}} V_{\rho}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_i}))$$

 $\geq 2t + \frac{q-5}{4} 4t$
 $= (q-3)t$
 $= V_{\rho}(\kappa(\mu_L)),$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

 By lemma, the diagonal sum of each 2 × 2 block is equal to the antidiagonal sum. This implies that

 $v_{\rho}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_i})) \ge c(i,r) + c(i+r,3r) + c(i+2r,r) + c(i+3r,3r) = 4t.$

$$v_p(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_0})) \geq = 2t.$$

• Combining our bounds for $v_p(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_0}))$ and $v_p(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_i}))$ we see that

$$V_{\rho}(\kappa(\mu_L)) = V_{\rho}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_0})) + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{q-5}{4}} V_{\rho}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_i}))$$

 $\geq 2t + \frac{q-5}{4} 4t$
 $= (q-3)t$
 $= V_{\rho}(\kappa(\mu_L)),$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

 By lemma, the diagonal sum of each 2 × 2 block is equal to the antidiagonal sum. This implies that

 $v_{\rho}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_i})) \ge c(i,r) + c(i+r,3r) + c(i+2r,r) + c(i+3r,3r) = 4t.$

$$v_{\rho}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_0})) \geq = 2t.$$

Combining our bounds for v_p(κ(μ_{L|M₀})) and v_p(κ(μ_{L|M_i})) we see that

$$egin{aligned} & V_{m{
ho}}(\kappa(\mu_L)) = v_{m{
ho}}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_0})) + \sum_{i=1}^{rac{q-5}{4}} v_{m{
ho}}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_i})) \ & \geq 2t + rac{q-5}{4} 4t \ & = (q-3)t \ & = v_{m{
ho}}(\kappa(\mu_L)), \end{aligned}$$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

 By lemma, the diagonal sum of each 2 × 2 block is equal to the antidiagonal sum. This implies that

 $v_{\rho}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_i})) \ge c(i,r) + c(i+r,3r) + c(i+2r,r) + c(i+3r,3r) = 4t.$

$$v_{p}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_0})) \geq = 2t.$$

Combining our bounds for v_p(κ(μ_{L|M₀})) and v_p(κ(μ_{L|M_i})) we see that

$$egin{aligned} & V_{m{
ho}}(\kappa(\mu_L)) = v_{m{
ho}}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_0})) + \sum_{i=1}^{rac{q-5}{4}} v_{m{
ho}}(\kappa(\mu_{L|M_i})) \ & \geq 2t + rac{q-5}{4} 4t \ & = (q-3)t \ & = v_{m{
ho}}(\kappa(\mu_L)), \end{aligned}$$

The theorem now follows from the observations:

- The *p*-elementary divisors of each 2 × 2 block is determined by the miniumum *p*-adic valuation of an entry, and the determinant.
- ► Each entry in the lower block of *C* equal to the sum of the corresponding entry of the upper block plus s(i) s(i + r) + s(i + 2r) s(s + 3r).

The theorem now follows from the observations:

- The *p*-elementary divisors of each 2 × 2 block is determined by the miniumum *p*-adic valuation of an entry, and the determinant.
- ► Each entry in the lower block of *C* equal to the sum of the corresponding entry of the upper block plus s(i) - s(i + r) + s(i + 2r) - s(s + 3r).

Corollary

Let m(i) denote the multiplicity of p^i as a p-elementary divisor of L. Then for $1 \le i \le 2t - 1$ we have m(i) = m(2t - i), and m(0) = m(2t) + 2.

Proof.

The corollary follows from the main theorem and part (i) of lemma on carries

We can get a formula for the *p*-rank (first obtained by Weng-Qiu-Wang-Xiang [2] (2007))

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Corollary rank_p $L = 2(3^t - 1)(\frac{p+1}{4})^{2t}$

Outline

Introduction

- Chip-firing game
- Paley and Peisert graphs
- Algebraic setting
- The computation of μ_L
- More on Jacobi sums
- The *p*-elementary divisors





Example Let $q = 9^2$. Then from [1], we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}(\operatorname{Paley}(9^2)) &\cong (\mathbb{Z}/20\mathbb{Z})^{40} \oplus [(\mathbb{Z}/3Z)^{16} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})^{18} \\ &\oplus (\mathbb{Z}/27\mathbb{Z})^{16} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/81\mathbb{Z})^{14}], \end{split}$$

while our result shows

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{P}^*(9^2)) &\cong (\mathbb{Z}/20\mathbb{Z})^{40} \oplus [(\mathbb{Z}/3Z)^{20} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})^{10} \\ &\oplus (\mathbb{Z}/27\mathbb{Z})^{20} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/81\mathbb{Z})^{14}]. \end{split}$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

This is a new way to see that $P^*(9^2)$ and $Paley(9^2)$ are not isomorphic.

Example

The critical group $K(P^*(3^{12}))$ is isomorphic to

$$\begin{split} (\mathbb{Z}/132860\mathbb{Z})^{265720} \oplus [(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{11376} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/3^2\mathbb{Z})^{33408} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/3^3\mathbb{Z})^{54176} \\ \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/3^4\mathbb{Z})^{66852} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/3^5\mathbb{Z})^{66420} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/3^6\mathbb{Z})^{64066} \\ \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/3^7\mathbb{Z})^{66420} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/3^8\mathbb{Z})^{66852} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/3^9\mathbb{Z})^{54176} \\ \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/3^{10}\mathbb{Z})^{33408} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/3^{11}\mathbb{Z})^{11376} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/3^{12}\mathbb{Z})^{1454}]. \end{split}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Thank you for your attention!

- David B. Chandler, Peter Sin, and Qing Xiang. "The Smith and critical groups of Paley graphs". *J. Algebraic Combin.* 41.4 (2015), pp. 1013–1022.
- [2] Guobiao Weng, Weisheng Qiu, Zeying Wang, and Qing Xiang. "Pseudo-Paley graphs and skew Hadamard difference sets from presemifields". *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 44.1-3 (2007), pp. 49–62.