

$I[\omega_2]$ and Finite Forcing

Bill Mitchell
University of Florida

Institute for Mathematical Sciences
National University of Singapore
July 14, 2005

Definition 1. If $A = \{a_\xi : \xi < \kappa\}$ then an ordinal $\nu < \kappa$ is *approachable via A* if there is $c \subset \nu$ such that $\nu = \bigcup c$, $\text{otp}(c) = \text{cf}(\nu)$, and $c \cap \beta \in \{a_\xi : \xi < \nu\}$ for all $\beta < \nu$.

A set S is approachable if there is a sequence A such that the set of ordinals $\nu \in B$ which are not approachable via A is nonstationary.

Theorem 2. *It is equiconsistent with the existence of a κ^+ -Mahlo cardinal that every approachable subset of $\text{Cof}(\omega_1)$ is nonstationary.*

A κ^+ -Mahlo cardinal is needed

Define B_α to be the set of cardinals $\lambda < \kappa$ which are $f_\alpha(\lambda)$ -Mahlo in L , where $[f_\alpha]_{\text{NS}} = \alpha$.

Suppose that $\kappa = \omega_2$ is not κ^+ -Mahlo in L , and let α be least such that $\text{Cof}(\omega_1) \setminus B_\alpha$ is stationary. (Assume that $\alpha = \gamma + 1$.)

Then pick a club $D \subset B_\gamma \cup \text{Cof}(\omega)$, and for each $\nu \in \text{Cof}(\omega_1) \setminus B_\alpha$ pick a club $E_\nu \subset \nu \setminus B_\gamma$ with $E_\nu \in L$.

For $\nu \in \lim(D) \cap (\text{Cof}(\omega_1) \setminus B_\alpha)$ set $c_\nu = D \cap E_\nu$.

Now $D \cap E_\nu \cap \text{Cof}(\omega_1) = \emptyset$, so c_ν contains no members of cofinality ω_1 . It is unbounded in ν , so $\text{otp}(c_\nu) = \omega_1$.

Each initial segment $c_\nu \cap \beta$ is in $L[D \cap \beta]$.

- Hence every member of $\lim(D) \cap (\text{Cof}(\omega_1) \setminus B_\alpha)$ is approachable.

The Strategy

- Add a club subset of $B_\alpha^* = B_\alpha \cup \text{Cof}(\omega)$ for each $\alpha < \kappa^+$.
- Work gently, so as not to add other sets of approachable ordinals.

Adding one club set $D \subset B^*$

Assume $\lambda \in B$ implies $H_\lambda \prec H_\kappa$ and λ is inaccessible. We define a forcing \mathbb{P} to add a closed unbounded subset of B^* .

The conditions are finite sets, which may contain as members:

1. Ordinals $\lambda \in B^*$
 - which means that $\lambda \in D$.
2. Half-open intervals $(\eta', \eta]$, with $\eta' < \eta < \kappa$
 - which means that $(\eta', \eta] \cap D = \emptyset$.
3. Countable models $M \prec H_\kappa$ like those in the talk Monday
 - which makes M is strongly M -generic.

The members of a condition must satisfy some compatability conditions...

1. If $\{\lambda, (\eta', \eta]\} \subset p$ then $\lambda \notin (\eta', \eta]$.
2. If $\{\lambda, M\} \subset p$ and $\lambda < \sup(M)$ then $\min(M \setminus \lambda) \in B^*$.
3. If $\{(\eta', \eta], M\} \subset p$ then either $(\eta', \eta] \in M$ or $(\eta', \eta] \cap M = \emptyset$.
4. If $\{M, N\} \subset p$ then
 - (a) Either $M \cap N \in M$ or $M \cap N = M \cap H_\tau$ for some $\tau \in (B \cap M) \cup \{\kappa\}$.
 - (b) If $\lambda < \sup(M \cap N)$, $\lambda \in M$, and $\sup(N \cap \lambda) < \sup(M \cap \lambda)$ then $\lambda \in B$. Furthermore there are only finitely many such ordinals λ .

Lemma 3. *If p is any condition then*

- *The set p' obtained by adding to p all the ordinals which were required by the previous slide to be in B is still a condition.*
- *As is the set p'' obtained by adding to p' the ordinals $\sup(M)$ for $M \in p$ and $\sup(M \cap \lambda)$ for each $M, \lambda \in p'$.*
- *Furthermore, if $\lambda \notin p''$ then there is $(\eta', \eta]$ with $\eta' < \lambda \leq \eta$ so that $p'' \cup \{(\eta', \eta]\}$ is a condition.*

Corollary 4. *If $G \subset \mathbb{P}$ is generic then the set*

$$D = \{\lambda < \kappa : \exists p \in G \ \lambda \in p\}$$

is a closed and unbounded subset of B^ .*

Lemma 5. 1. If $\tau \in B$ then the condition $\{\tau\}$ is strongly

H_τ -generic.

2. The condition $\{M\}$ is strongly M -generic.

- For (1), we set $p|H_\tau = p \cap H_\tau \cup \{N \cap H_\tau : N \in p\}$.
- For (2), we set

$$p|M = p \cap M$$

$$\cup \{N \cap M : N \in p \text{ \& } M \cap N \in M\}$$

$$\cup \{\lambda : \lambda \in B \text{ is needed for compatibility of } M$$

$$\text{with some other requirement in } p\}$$

Corollary 6. *The cardinals ω_1 , κ , and all larger cardinals are preserved, and κ becomes ω_2 in the generic extension.*

Lemma 7. *If $G \subset \mathbb{P}$ is generic then*

- 1. $\kappa \setminus B^*$ is nonstationary in $V[G]$.*
- 2. $\{\lambda \in B : B \cap \lambda \text{ is nonstationary}\}$ is approachable in $V[G]$.*
- 3. Any stationary subset of B in the ground model remains stationary in $V[G]$.*
- 4. No stationary subset of $\{\lambda \in B : B \cap \lambda \text{ is stationary}\}$ is approachable in $V[G]$.*

Adding a club subset of *each* set B_α^*

Bookkeeping

We assume \square_κ , and use it with a minimal walk construction to define sets $A_{\alpha,\lambda}$ for $\alpha < \kappa^+$ and $\lambda < \kappa$ so...

- $A_{\alpha,\lambda} \subset \alpha$ and $|A_{\alpha,\lambda}| = |\lambda|$.
- If $\lambda < \lambda'$ then $A_{\alpha,\lambda} \subseteq A_{\alpha,\lambda'}$.
- If λ is a limit ordinal then $A_{\alpha,\lambda} = \bigcup_{\lambda' < \lambda} A_{\alpha,\lambda'}$.
- If $\alpha' \in A_{\alpha,\lambda} \cup \lim(A_{\alpha,\lambda})$ then $A_{\alpha',\lambda} = A_{\alpha,\lambda} \cap \alpha'$.

The first use of these sets $A_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is for the definition of canonical functions f_α such that $[f_\alpha]_{\text{NS}} = \alpha$.

$$f_\alpha(\lambda) = \text{otp}(A_{\alpha,\lambda})$$

for all $\alpha < \kappa^+$ and $\lambda < \kappa$.

These will be used, for example

- In the definition of $B_\alpha = \{\lambda < \kappa : \lambda \text{ is } f_\alpha(\lambda)\text{-Mahlo}\}$.
- If $\alpha' < \alpha$ and $\alpha' \in A_{\alpha,\lambda}$ then $D_\alpha \setminus \lambda \subset D_{\alpha'}$.
- If α is a limit ordinal then $D_\alpha = \{\lambda < \kappa : \forall \alpha' \in A_{\alpha,\lambda} \lambda \in D_{\alpha'}\}$.
- And, crucially, to keep the countable models straight...

We'll call M a countable model if M is countable, $M \prec (H_{\kappa^+}, \vec{C})$ (where \vec{C} is the \square_κ sequence) and

$$\lim(C_\alpha) \cap M \text{ is cofinal in } \alpha = \sup(M).$$

Note that this implies that $M \cap \kappa^+$ is determined by $M \cap \kappa$ and $\sup(M)$.

The sets $A_{\alpha,\lambda}$ determine functions $\pi_\alpha: \kappa \rightarrow \alpha$. Because of the coherence property of $A_{\alpha,\lambda}$ the sets M will satisfy

$$M \cap \kappa^+ = \pi_\alpha "(M \cap \kappa).$$

The conditions in this forcing (in the first approximation) are finite sets, which may contain as members:

1. Pairs $(\alpha, \lambda) \in B^*$
 - which means that $\lambda \in D_\alpha$.
2. Pairs $(\alpha, (\eta', \eta])$, with $\eta' < \eta < \kappa$
 - which means that $(\eta', \eta] \cap D_\alpha = \emptyset$.
3. Countable models $M \prec H_{\kappa^+}$
 - which (roughly) act like the set $M \cap H_\kappa$ in the previous forcing, for each $\alpha \in M \cap \kappa^+$.

Compatibility of members of a condition is worked out similarly to the one club forcing, keeping in mind that the club sets D_α are supposed to be continuously diagonally decreasing.

It turns out that the third component of the conditions is too simple: the models M are replaced with pairs (M, b) where b is a finite sequence of ordinals I call “proxies”.

This leads to a serious new technical complication in the proof of strong genericity for the models M . Other than that the arguments are similar to those of the one club forcing.

In particular, the argument use for lemma 10 shows that for any sequence $A = \langle a_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ in the extension there is an α and a club set $E \in V$ so that no member of $D_\alpha \cap E \cap \text{Cof}(\omega_1)$ is approachable via A .

Questions

- Can this be done for two consecutive cardinals, such at both ω_2 and ω_3 ?
(Note that the use of \square_κ raises a difficulty in doing this).
- Can anything be done at the successor of a singular cardinal (or even the sucessor of a regular cardinal)?