

Uniform Computable Categoricity and Scott Families

Russell Miller

Queens College & CUNY Graduate Center

Special Session on Computability
Southeastern Logic Symposium
29 February 2020

Flavors of computable categoricity

Flavors of computable categoricity

Definitions

Let \mathcal{A} be a structure with domain ω .

- \mathcal{A} is *computably categorical* if, for every computable structure \mathcal{B} isomorphic to \mathcal{A} , there is a computable isomorphism $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.

Flavors of computable categoricity

Definitions

Let \mathcal{A} be a structure with domain ω .

- \mathcal{A} is *computably categorical* if, for every computable structure \mathcal{B} isomorphic to \mathcal{A} , there is a computable isomorphism $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.
- \mathcal{A} is *relatively computably categorical* if, for all structures \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} (on domain ω) isomorphic to \mathcal{A} , there is an isomorphism $f : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with $f \leq_T \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{C}$.

Flavors of computable categoricity

Definitions

Let \mathcal{A} be a structure with domain ω .

- \mathcal{A} is *computably categorical* if, for every computable structure \mathcal{B} isomorphic to \mathcal{A} , there is a computable isomorphism $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.
- \mathcal{A} is *relatively computably categorical* if, for all structures \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} (on domain ω) isomorphic to \mathcal{A} , there is an isomorphism $f : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with $f \leq_T \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{C}$.
- \mathcal{A} is *uniformly computably categorical* if there is a Turing functional Φ such that, for all structures \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} (on domain ω) isomorphic to \mathcal{A} , $\Phi^{\mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{C}}$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{B} onto \mathcal{C} .

(Also cf. Downey-Hirschfeldt-Khoussainov for a uniform version for computable structures only.)

Example of uniform computable categoricity

Consider the ground field $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_n} : n \in \omega)$ generated by all square roots of prime numbers; and then the field

$$K = E(\sqrt[4]{p_n} : n \in \emptyset').$$

So when $n \in \emptyset'$, one of $\pm\sqrt{p_n}$ has a square root of its own, and the other doesn't. K is not computably categorical.

Example of uniform computable categoricity

Consider the ground field $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_n} : n \in \omega)$ generated by all square roots of prime numbers; and then the field

$$K = E(\sqrt[4]{p_n} : n \in \emptyset').$$

So when $n \in \emptyset'$, one of $\pm\sqrt{p_n}$ has a square root of its own, and the other doesn't. K is not computably categorical.

Now let

$$F = E(\sqrt[4]{p_n} : n \notin \emptyset').$$

Example of uniform computable categoricity

Consider the ground field $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_n} : n \in \omega)$ generated by all square roots of prime numbers; and then the field

$$K = E(\sqrt[4]{p_n} : n \in \emptyset').$$

So when $n \in \emptyset'$, one of $\pm\sqrt{p_n}$ has a square root of its own, and the other doesn't. K is not computably categorical.

Now let

$$F = E(\sqrt[4]{p_n} : n \notin \emptyset').$$

This F is uniformly computably categorical. Given two copies F_0, F_1 of F , for each n , we wait until either some $\sqrt[4]{p_n}$ appears in F_0 or else $n \searrow \emptyset'$. Once either of these occurs, we know what to do: if $n \in \emptyset'$, we can map $\sqrt{p_n}$ in F_0 to either of $\pm\sqrt{p_n}$ in F_1 ; while if $\sqrt[4]{p_n} \in F_0$, we map it to $\pm\sqrt[4]{p_n} \in F_1$ and use this to define the map on $\pm\sqrt{p_n}$.

Scott families

Defn. (folklore)

A *Scott family* for a structure \mathcal{A} is a set S of wff's $\varphi(\vec{x})$ such that:

- For every $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}^{<\omega}$, some wff $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S$ has $\models_{\mathcal{A}} \varphi(\vec{a})$.
- If $\varphi \in S$ and $\models_{\mathcal{A}} (\varphi(\vec{a}) \ \& \ \varphi(\vec{b}))$, then $(\exists \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})) \ \alpha(\vec{a}) = \vec{b}$.
- For every $\varphi \in S$, some $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}^{<\omega}$ makes $\models_{\mathcal{A}} \varphi(\vec{a})$.

So each $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S$ defines some automorphism orbit in \mathcal{A}^n .

Scott families

Defn. (folklore)

A *Scott family* for a structure \mathcal{A} is a set S of wff's $\varphi(\vec{x})$ such that:

- For every $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}^{<\omega}$, some wff $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S$ has $\models_{\mathcal{A}} \varphi(\vec{a})$.
- If $\varphi \in S$ and $\models_{\mathcal{A}} (\varphi(\vec{a}) \ \& \ \varphi(\vec{b}))$, then $(\exists \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})) \ \alpha(\vec{a}) = \vec{b}$.
- For every $\varphi \in S$, some $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}^{<\omega}$ makes $\models_{\mathcal{A}} \varphi(\vec{a})$.

So each $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S$ defines some automorphism orbit in \mathcal{A}^n .

Thm. (Ventsov; cf. Downey-Hirschfeldt-Khoussainov)

A computable structure \mathcal{A} is uniformly computably categorical iff \mathcal{A} has a c.e. Scott family of Σ_1^c formulas.

Thm. (Ash-Knight-Manasse-Slaman; Chisholm)

A computable structure \mathcal{A} is relatively computably categorical iff, for some $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}^{<\omega}$, (\mathcal{A}, \vec{a}) has a c.e. Scott family of Σ_1^c formulas.

Back to our example

Our field $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{p_n} : n \notin \emptyset')(\sqrt{p_n} : n \in \emptyset')$ is u.c.c., and does have a Scott family of Σ_1 formulas. The important formulas are:

$$\text{(for } n \in \emptyset') \quad x^2 = p_n (= 1 + \cdots + 1, \text{ } p_n \text{ times}).$$

$$\text{(for } n \notin \emptyset') \quad x^2 = p_n \ \& \ (\exists y) y^2 = x.$$

$$\text{(for } n \notin \emptyset') \quad x^2 = p_n \ \& \ (\exists y) y^2 + x = 0.$$

$$\text{(for } n \notin \emptyset') \quad x^4 = p_n.$$

Enumerating this Scott family requires a \emptyset' -oracle.

But when our categoricity functional Φ is given $(F_0 \oplus F_1)$ as an oracle, it can compute \emptyset' uniformly from those diagrams: just wait until either $n \searrow \emptyset'$ or a fourth root of p_n appears in F_0 .

General theorem for UCC

Theorem

A countable structure \mathcal{A} is uniformly computably categorical iff \mathcal{A} has a Scott family S of Σ_1 formulas such that $S \leq_e \Sigma_1\text{-Th}(\mathcal{A})$.

An oracle for the atomic diagram of a copy of \mathcal{A} always allows the categoricity operator to enumerate $\Sigma_1\text{-Th}(\mathcal{A})$, and it then enumerates S using the e -reduction.

General theorem for UCC

Theorem

A countable structure \mathcal{A} is uniformly computably categorical iff \mathcal{A} has a Scott family S of Σ_1 formulas such that $S \leq_e \Sigma_1\text{-Th}(\mathcal{A})$.

An oracle for the atomic diagram of a copy of \mathcal{A} always allows the categoricity operator to enumerate $\Sigma_1\text{-Th}(\mathcal{A})$, and it then enumerates S using the e -reduction.

But this theorem will not be the final word

Work of Csima & Harrison-Trainor

Theorem (Csima & Harrison-Trainor, 2017)

For every countable structure \mathcal{A} , there is a Turing degree $\mathbf{d} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ and a countable \mathbf{d} -computable ordinal α such that:

- all \mathbf{d} -computable copies of \mathcal{A} are $\mathbf{d}^{(\alpha)}$ -computably isomorphic;
- there exist \mathbf{d} -computable $\mathcal{A}_0 \cong \mathcal{A}_1 \cong \mathcal{A}$ for which every isomorphism $f : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1$ has $\mathbf{d}^{(\alpha)} \leq_T \text{deg}(f) \cup \mathbf{d}$;
- and $(\forall \mathbf{c} \geq \mathbf{d})$ these both hold with \mathbf{c} in place of \mathbf{d} .

(The idea: \mathbf{d} can enumerate a Scott family of $\Sigma_\alpha^{\text{in}}$ formulas for \mathcal{A} .)

So, relative to \mathbf{d} , $\mathbf{d}^{(\alpha)}$ is the strong degree of categoricity of \mathcal{A} . Moreover, while many degrees \mathbf{d} witness this theorem, the ordinal α is the same for all of them. I will call α the *categoricity ordinal* of \mathcal{A} .

Work of Csima & Harrison-Trainor

Theorem (Csima & Harrison-Trainor, 2017)

For every countable structure \mathcal{A} , there is a Turing degree $\mathbf{d} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ and a countable \mathbf{d} -computable ordinal α such that:

- all \mathbf{d} -computable copies of \mathcal{A} are $\mathbf{d}^{(\alpha)}$ -computably isomorphic;
- there exist \mathbf{d} -computable $\mathcal{A}_0 \cong \mathcal{A}_1 \cong \mathcal{A}$ for which every isomorphism $f : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1$ has $\mathbf{d}^{(\alpha)} \leq_T \text{deg}(f) \cup \mathbf{d}$;
- and $(\forall \mathbf{c} \geq \mathbf{d})$ these both hold with \mathbf{c} in place of \mathbf{d} .

(The idea: \mathbf{d} can enumerate a Scott family of $\Sigma_\alpha^{\text{in}}$ formulas for \mathcal{A} .)

So, relative to \mathbf{d} , $\mathbf{d}^{(\alpha)}$ is the strong degree of categoricity of \mathcal{A} .

Moreover, while many degrees \mathbf{d} witness this theorem, the ordinal α is the same for all of them. I will call α the *categoricity ordinal* of \mathcal{A} .

It is possible for α to decrease if \mathcal{A} is replaced by (\mathcal{A}, \vec{a}) , but there is a finite tuple \vec{a} that yields the least possible α across all finite tuples.

Applying this theorem

The C-HT theorem allows us to parse out two necessities for computing isomorphisms between copies \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} .

- We may actually need α jumps of the atomic diagrams:
a functional Φ can compute isomorphisms $\Phi^{\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha)} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{(\alpha)}}$;
- or we may only need a fixed oracle D , using $\Phi^{\mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{C} \oplus D}$.

For example, consider the field $E(\sqrt[n]{p_n} : n \in D)$. Categoricity is generally harder here, but only the atomic diagram and a fixed D -oracle are required: this field is UCC relative to D .

Similarly, every algebraic field K is *uniformly D -computably categorical* for some fixed set D . Indeed, it is sufficient to take D to be the jump of $\{f \in \mathbb{Q}[X] : f \text{ has a root in } K\}$.

In contrast, $(\omega^2, <)$ has categoricity ordinal 3, and $(\omega^n, <)$ has $(2n - 1)$.

Back to our \emptyset' example

Once again let $F = E(\sqrt[n]{p_n} : n \notin \emptyset')$. We already saw a \emptyset' -c.e. Scott family of Σ_1 formulas for F . But now consider the following family:

$$\text{(for } n \in \emptyset') \quad x^2 = p_n (= 1 + \dots + 1, \text{ } p_n \text{ times}).$$

$$\text{(for all } n) \quad x^2 = p_n \ \& \ (\exists y) \ y^2 = x.$$

$$\text{(for all } n) \quad x^2 = p_n \ \& \ (\exists y) \ y^2 + x = 0.$$

$$\text{(for all } n) \quad x^4 = p_n.$$

This family is sufficient to accomplish the same purpose, and it is just plain c.e., with no oracle.

What do Scott families really want?

Defn., revised

A *pure Scott family* for a structure \mathcal{A} is a set S of wff's $\varphi(\vec{x})$ such that:

- For every $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}^{<\omega}$, some wff $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S$ has $\models_{\mathcal{A}} \varphi(\vec{a})$.
- If $\varphi \in S$ and $\models_{\mathcal{A}} (\varphi(\vec{a}) \ \& \ \varphi(\vec{b}))$, then $(\exists \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})) \ \alpha(\vec{a}) = \vec{b}$.
- For every $\varphi \in S$, some $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}^{<\omega}$ makes $\models_{\mathcal{A}} \varphi(\vec{a})$.

A *cluttered Scott family* for a structure \mathcal{A} is a set S of wff's $\varphi(\vec{x})$ s.t.:

- For every $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}^{<\omega}$, some wff $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S$ has $\models_{\mathcal{A}} \varphi(\vec{a})$.
- If $\varphi \in S$ and $\models_{\mathcal{A}} (\varphi(\vec{a}) \ \& \ \varphi(\vec{b}))$, then $(\exists \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})) \ \alpha(\vec{a}) = \vec{b}$.

In a cluttered Scott family S , every orbit is defined by some $\varphi \in S$, and each $\varphi \in S$ defines an orbit – but the empty set is considered an orbit. Extraneous wff's φ are allowed in, provided that they cause no harm.

Pure vs. cluttered

Theorem

For a countable structure \mathcal{A} and $\alpha < \omega_1^{CK}$, the following are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} has a pure Scott family S of Σ_α^c formulas, all $\leq_e \Sigma_{\alpha+1}^c$ - $Th(\mathcal{A})$.

Pure vs. cluttered

Theorem

For a countable structure \mathcal{A} and $\alpha < \omega_1^{CK}$, the following are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} has a pure Scott family S of Σ_α^c formulas, all $\leq_e \Sigma_{\alpha+1}^c\text{-Th}(\mathcal{A})$.
- 2 \mathcal{A} is uniformly α -jump categorical (a.k.a. $\Delta_{\alpha+1}^0$ -categorical).

Pure vs. cluttered

Theorem

For a countable structure \mathcal{A} and $\alpha < \omega_1^{CK}$, the following are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} has a pure Scott family S of Σ_α^c formulas, all $\leq_e \Sigma_{\alpha+1}^c\text{-Th}(\mathcal{A})$.
- 2 \mathcal{A} is uniformly α -jump categorical (a.k.a. $\Delta_{\alpha+1}^0$ -categorical).
- 3 \mathcal{A} has a c.e. cluttered Scott family C of $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}^c$ formulas.

The same holds relative to an oracle D .

Pure vs. cluttered

Theorem

For a countable structure \mathcal{A} and $\alpha < \omega_1^{CK}$, the following are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} has a pure Scott family S of Σ_α^c formulas, all $\leq_e \Sigma_{\alpha+1}^c\text{-Th}(\mathcal{A})$.
- 2 \mathcal{A} is uniformly α -jump categorical (a.k.a. $\Delta_{\alpha+1}^0$ -categorical).
- 3 \mathcal{A} has a c.e. cluttered Scott family C of $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}^c$ formulas.

The same holds relative to an oracle D .

(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftarrow (3) is clear. For (2) \Rightarrow (3), let Γ be an α -categoricity operator for \mathcal{A} . Then for each m and $\sigma \in 2^m$ such that $\Gamma^{\sigma \oplus \sigma}(x)$ halts for every $x < n$, we add to C the wff

$$(\exists y_{n+1} \cdots \exists y_m) \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_m)$$

where ψ is the Π_α^c formula described by σ , using our fixed Gödel coding of the Π_α^c -formulas..

The conundrum

Corollary

For a countable structure \mathcal{A} and $\alpha < \omega_1^{CK}$, the following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{A} has a pure Scott family S of Σ_α^c formulas with $S \leq_e \Sigma_{\alpha+1}^c - Th(\mathcal{A})$.
- \mathcal{A} has a c.e. cluttered Scott family C of $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}^c$ formulas.

The same holds relative to an oracle D .

There should be a direct proof of this equivalence, without the intermediate step of uniform D -computable α -jump categoricity.

For \Leftarrow , it simply involves using $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}^c - Th(\mathcal{A})$ to unclutter the Scott family. But what about \Rightarrow ?